FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Capital Circle Office Center • 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

MEMORANDUM

DECEMBER 5, 1996

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYO)

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (JOHNSON) TO JULISION OF ELECTRIC & GAS (GOAD) CONSUMER AFFAIRS (DEMELLO)

RE: DOCKET NO. 961381-EI - COMPLAINT OF MR. JORGE MORALES AGAINST FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY REGARDING ALLEGED CURRENT DIVERSION/METER TAMPERING REBILLING FOR ESTIMATED

USAGE OF ELECTRICITY

AGENDA: 12/17/96 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION -

INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: S:\PSC\LEG\WP\961381EI.RCM
ATTACHMENTS NOT AVAILABLE

CASE BACKGROUND

On April 30, 1996, Ms. Elia Morales, on behalf of her husband, Jorge, contacted the Division of Consumer Affairs and complained of his being unjustifiably charged with current diversion by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL). Mr. Morales questioned a bill received from FPL resulting from a meter tampering condition found at 6550 SW 17 Street, Miami, Florida, 33155. An inquiry was taken and sent to FPL for a full report. On May 15, 1996, a report was received from the company. A summary of the events described in FPL's report and other documents is shown below.

- This case is one of four accounts that were billed by FPL for dial tampering at the same time which involve individuals who are family members.
- Electric service at 6550 SW 17 Street, Miami, Florida 33155, was established in the name of Jorge Morales on December 6, 1985.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13003 DEC-5 %

DOCKET NO. 961381-EI DATE: DECEMBER 5, 1996 On August 31, 1994, FPL installed a new factory-direct 3) meter, No. 5C67708, at 6550 SW 17th Street. FPL did not document any particular reason for the meter changeout. On February 6, 1996, a FPL revenue protection 4) investigator reported dial tampering on Meter 5C67708. FPL then started conducting meter check readings. January 12, 1996, the meter read 26374. On February 6, the reading was 28707. At this rate of kilowatt hour (kwh) consumption, FPL projected that the customer would use 2800 kilowatt hours for the month. For the regular monthly meter reading done on February 5) 13, 1996, the reading showed 27479, which was lower than the reading taken on February 6, showing 28707. Mr. Morales was billed for 1105 kwh. A check reading was taken on February 14, 1996, and it showed a usage of 69 kwh in one day. On February 29, 1996, FPL removed meter number 5C67708 6) and replaced it with meter number 5C69683. When meter number 5C67708 was removed, FPL's revenue 7) protection meterman documented that the external seal of the meter had been rigged to appear intact, the inner seal was broken, and the dial had been tampered with. On March 12, 1996, meter number 5C67708 was tested. Test 8) results indicated that the meter registered 100.21% under a full load and 100.16 under a light load. The weighted average is 100.20%. Documentation at the time of the test also indicate a broken inner seal, an off-scale registration, and apparent dial tampering. Examination of the meter indicated that the calibration 9) of the meter was not affected. The meter tested within PSC toleration. In this type of meter dial tampering, the calibration of the meter is not affected because the meter dials are manually turned back before the meter read date. Consequently, the utility has no way to measure the actual percentage lost in order to rebill the account. Therefore, FPL used the seasonal percentage of usage formula which provides a reasonable estimation of electricity used but not paid for.

10) Based upon the pattern of low monthly usage from the inception of the account, which sharply contrasted with check readings of kwh usage, FPL established that the meter serving this residence had been tampered with since at least November, 1994. Thus, on April 4, 1996, the account was rebilled using the seasonal average percentage of usage calculation. Mr. Morales' account was backbilled from November 14, 1994, when a noticeable and sustained drop in consumption began until February 29, 1996, when the new meter was installed. The total amount rebilled was \$2,060.59, which includes \$264.96 for investigative costs.

By letter dated July 26, 1996, staff advised Mr. Morales that FPL's rebilling of this account in the sum of \$2,060.59 appeared to be proper. On August 8, 1996, the customer sent a letter requesting an informal conference. The conference, originally scheduled for September 6, 1996, was cancelled by Mr. Morales. After several attempts were made to reschedule, an informal conference was held on Friday, October 25, 1996. In attendance were Mr. Morales, FPL representatives, and Consumer Affairs staff. The parties have been unable to reach a settlement.

DOCKET NO. 961381-EI
DATE: DECEMBER 5, 1996

ISSUE 1: Is there suff
was diverted to the

ISSUE 1: Is there sufficient evidence to believe that electricity was diverted to the residence of Mr. Jorge Motales at 6550 Southwest 17th Street, Miami, Florida 33155?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. Prima facie evidence of meter tampering outlined in FPL's reports makes it reasonable to believe that meter tampering occurred at Mr. Morales' residence. As the customer of record during the entire period, Mr. Morales should be held responsible for a reasonable amount of backbilling.

STAFF ANALYSIS: FPL's Revenue Protection investigator reported dial tampering on meter number 5C67708, which served the residence of Jorge Morales and documented this finding with check readings. The results of FPL's investigation, including documentation of one regressive meter reading, are set forth below.

- The regular monthly reading on January 12, 1996 showed 26374. A check reading taken on February 6, 1996 showed 28707. The customer had used 2333 kwh in 25 days, projecting out to 2800 kwh per month.
- 2) A check reading taken on February 6, 1996, showed 28707 kwh; the regular monthly reading taken on February 13th showed 27479. Note that the amount of kwh recorded by the meter regressed by 1,228.
- A check reading taken on February 14, 1996 showed 27568. Consumption used was 89 kwh in one day projecting out to 2670 kwh per month.

Due to the cumulative nature of the meter, readings should not regress. This indicates a physical tampering with the meter dial for the purpose of evading the responsibility of paying the full amount for service received. That meter tampering occurred is further supported by the broken inner seal.

One of the simplest and most accurate ways to rebill an account for meter failure or tampering is to conduct tests to determine the actual percentage of power not properly measured by the meter. This type of calibration measurement allows the utility to rebill the account by applying the percentage lost to the amount of kwh's actually recorded. This method, however, cannot be used in meter dial tampering cases such as this case where the meter dials are manually turned back before the regular read date. This manual manipulation of the meter dial gives the impression that less kwh's were used.

FPL provided projections based upon the check readings that showed potential usage by this customer during the period of the investigation. Based upon these projections and the events discussed in the case background, it is reasonable to believe that electricity was consumed at 6550 SW 17th Street, the home of Jorge Morales, but was not billed to him.

Mr. Morales has been the customer of record for this account since December 6, 1985. As the customer of record during the period in which meter tampering occurred, Mr. Morales is responsible for a reasonable estimate of the energy used.

ISSUE 2: Is Florida Power & Light Company's calculation of a backbilled amount of \$1,795.63 for unmetered electric usage, plus a \$264.96 investigative charge, reasonable?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The amounts of \$1,795.63 for electric usage and the \$264.96 investigative charge, totalling \$2,060.59.

STAFF ANALYSIS: On March 12, 1996, meter number 5C67708 was tested. Test results indicated that the meter registered 100.21% under full load and 100.16% under a light load, with a weighted average of 100.20%. Under normal circumstances, this would be considered an accurate meter. In this case, the meter remained within proper calibration limits, but the dials were manually tampered with (turned back). This manual manipulation of the meter dials occurred before the monthly read date to make it appear as though less electricity was used.

FPL documented one instance of a regressed meter reading as a result of dial tampering. Even though a certain amount of kwh usage was billed every month, the setting back of the meter dial register prevented FPL from billing and receiving full payment for services given. During the entire period of the investigation, FPL billed the customer based on actual meter readings, not the projected greater kwh usage.

Due to the nature of this diversion, the rebilling of this account was based on a seasonal average percentage of usage chart. This chart takes into consideration seasonal variations in heating and cooling demands in the customer's area. The chart is a useful estimating tool for rebilling cases like this one where the meter is registering within acceptable tolerance, but the dials have been manually manipulated.

FPL applied the seasonal average percentage of usage method, for rebilling purposes, to Mr. Morales' account from the November 14, 1994 billing period to the February 13, 1996 billing period. FPL cited a noticeable drop in consumption in November of '94. FPL chose three separate meter readings that it believed to be accurate in order to determine a fair average usage. These readings were performed on October 12, 1994, September 12, 1995 (The meterman alleged that he was seen prior to this reading and therefore dial tampering did not occur), and February 13, 1996 (A new meter was set in February '96). The consumption for each reading was divided by the percentage of usage and a percentage of the annual total for This yielded three separate the month each reading was taken. estimates for annual usage. These three estimates were then summed The product was an estimated annual kwh usage of and divided. This estimate was then multiplied by each month's 34,604.

percentage usage from November '94 to February '96. This provided FPL with monthly kwh usage estimates which were then compared to each month's billed amount. Attachment B shows the kwh rebilled for Mr. Morales' account. The rates applicable during each of the corresponding months was applied appropriately. The cumulative amount resulted in a total of \$1,795.63, plus the investigative costs of \$264.96, equaling \$2,060.59.

Attachment A is FPL's customer usage chart for Mr. Morales' account. The chart indicates a very low level of kwh usage since April, 1994. It appears to indicate that the meter has been manipulated over an extended period of time, particularly since the residence served by the meter has approximately 1500 square feet. In addition, Mr. Morales stated that the house has central airconditioning, a washer and dryer, electric refrigerator, electric stove, an electric water heater, and a swimming pool.

During the informal conference, Mr. Morales explained that his usage is low because he "saves as much electric power as I can and, simply, I don't look for power consumption." He also said fluctuations in usage were due to his level of income. In previous years, he did not have as high of an income as he has now, so his electric usage depended on his salary.

Staff maintains that there is prima facie evidence that meter tampering occurred and electricity was manually diverted at 6550 SW 17th Street, Miami, Florida 33155. As the customer of record, Mr. Morales is responsible for all electric consumption at this address. Staff also agrees that FPL's calculation of the rebilled amount is proper and in accordance with Rule 25-6.104, Florida Administrative Code. The inclusion of investigative charges in the rebilling is in accordance with the Company's tariff no. 6.061. Thus, staff agrees that Mr. Morales should be required to pay the rebilled amount of \$2,060.59.

ISSUE 3: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's proposed agency action timely files a protest within twenty-one days, this docket should be closed.

STAFF ANALYSIS: If no person whose substantial interests are affected files a timely request for a Section 120.569, Florida Statutes, hearing within twenty-one days, no further action will be required and this docket should be closed.

12/04/1996

NAME JORGE MORALES

METER SET DATE: 8/31/94

METER NO. 5C87708

ADDRESS:6550 SW 17 ST

CUSTOMER CONNECT DATE: 12/6/85

ACCT. NO.: 95149-66267 CURRENT)

CASE NUMBER: 96A868292034

ACCT. NO.: 81-12-194-11570-4(PREVIOUS)

1996	1995	1994	1993	1992	1991	1990
1217	901	1180	1164	1257	3285	1853
1105	843	1339	845	1038	2482	1682
1911B	1024	1224	1416	1385	2443	1623
1537	1088	1064	1130	1577	2950	1859
1981	1262	1988	1148	1537	2299	2690
2379	1661	1771	1266	1459	258	2772
2795	1844	1876	1566	1964	1477	3378
3442	1475	1436	1391	1708	1014	3353
2967	2741	2103A	1287	1482	1564	3516
3000	1982	2949	1854	1693	1753	3939
2846	1323	1830	1452	1308	1681	3119
	1234	1456	1354	1051	494	2575
	1217 1105 1911B 1537 1901 2379 2795 3442 2967	1217 901 1105 843 1911B 1024 1537 1088 1961 1262 2379 1661 2795 1844 3442 1475 2967 2741 3000 1962 2846 1323	1217 901 1180 1105 843 1339 1911B 1024 1224 1537 1088 1064 1961 1262 1988 2379 1661 1771 2795 1844 1876 3442 1475 1436 2967 2741 2103A 3000 1962 2948 2846 1323 1830	1217 901 1180 1164 1105 843 1339 845 1911B 1024 1224 1416 1537 1088 1064 1130 1961 1262 1988 1148 2379 1661 1771 1268 2795 1844 1876 1565 3442 1475 1436 1391 2967 2741 2103A 1267 3000 1962 2949 1854 2846 1323 1830 1452	1217 901 1180 1164 1257 1105 843 1339 845 1038 1911B 1024 1224 1416 1385 1537 1088 1084 1130 1577 1961 1262 1988 1148 1537 2379 1661 1771 1266 1459 2795 1844 1876 1566 1964 3442 1475 1436 1391 1708 2967 2741 2103A 1287 1482 3000 1962 2948 1854 1693 2846 1323 1830 1452 1308	1217 901 1180 1164 1257 3285 1105 843 1339 845 1038 2482 1911B 1024 1224 1416 1385 2443 1537 1088 1084 1130 1577 2950 1981 1282 1988 1148 1537 2299 2379 1661 1771 1266 1459 258 2795 1844 1876 1566 1964 1477 3442 1475 1436 1391 1708 1014 2967 2741 2103A 1287 1482 1564 3000 1962 2949 1854 1603 1753 2846 1323 1830 1452 1308 1881

ANEW METER 5067708)

PREPARED BY:

ATTACHMENT

DECEMBER 5,

961381

-EI

12/05/1996 18:25 3055523849

REGULATOR AFFAIRS

PAGE 01

34604

A tional Billing Worksheet - South / 1

95149-662 JORGE MG 8550 SW	267 81 12 194 ELE ACT	PH (305)26	/85 1/044/ 66-6787 S	5069883	Cose # Meter #	96A86829 5C67708
MIAMI	FL 33155	P 26	6-97-3144 OLDBA:8	1-12-194-11570-4	Set Data Svc To Date	8/31/94 10/12/94
GMAD					REBILL	2 7 9 6 6 4 2 7 8 3
					ADDITONAL	19817

	Month	Year	KWH	%	Yearly	
1	Oct	84	2949	9.2	32064	
2	Sep	95	2741	10.25	26741	
3	Feb	96	2800	6.22	45016	
4			55550			

Average of Yotal Yearly Usage = ~ >

Statements
Account Rebilled From 10/12/94 To NMS, 02/13/96 . Using Seasonal Average Percentage.

1105 / 32 = 34.53 Per Day. 34.53 * 32 Days On Old Meter = 1105 .

1105 + 0 NMS Usage = 1105

Month		Days	KWH Reading	KWD Rding	KWH	KWD	% Usage	Rebilled	KWD	Remarks
Dec							6.76		$\overline{}$	
Nov		- 1			1		8.72		- 1	
Oct		- 1					10.07			
Sep							10.25	1		
Aug							10.18			
Jul		- 1				- 1	10.23			
Jun		- 1				- 1	10.14		- 1	
May						- 1	8.29			
Apr					p Tor		6.68	200		
Mar		- 1			100	- 1	5.99			
Feb	02/13/96	32	0		1105	- 1	6.22	2152		
Jen	01/12/96	31	26374		1217	- 1	6.47	2239		
_										
		To .	95		1 1/4					
Dec	12/12/95	33	25157		1234	\neg	6.78	2339		
Nov	11/09/95	29	23923		1323	- 1	8.72	3017	- 1	
Oct	10/11/95	29	22600		1962	- 1	10.07	3485	- 1	
Sep	09/12/95	32	20638	1	2741	- 1	10.25	2741	- 1	
Aug	08/11/95	29	17897	- 1	1475	- 1	10.18	3523	- 1	
Jul	07/13/95	30	16422	- 1	1844	- 1	10.23	3540		
Jun	06/13/95	32	14578	- 1	1661	- 1	10.14	3509	- 1	
May	05/12/95	29	12917		1262	- 1	8.29	2869		
Apr	04/13/95	29	11655	- 1	1088	- 1	6.68	2312	- 1	
Mar	03/15/95	29	10567	- 1	1024	- 1	5.99	2073	- 1	
eb	02/14/95	32	9543	- 1	843	- 1	6.22	2152	- 1	
len	01/13/95	30	8700	1	901	- 1	6.47	2239		
DIC CI	HARGES		######################################			-	lilled By:	H. LUBERT		
		7 -	2496				ATE	4/3/96		

ATTACHMENT B

DOCKET NO. 961381-EI DECEMBER 5, 1996

12/05/1996 18:25

3055523849

REGULATORY AFFAIRS

PAGE 03

A Yonal Billing Worksheet - South , 1

Customer Information

95149-86267 81 12 194 ELE ACT JORGE MORALES

FL 33155

12/6/85 1/044/ PH (305)266-6787 \$

5CBnen Case # Meter #

96A86829 5067708

6550 SW 17TH ST MIAMI

S/T# 266-97-3144

Set Date Svc To Date ASBILL

34577 34619

GMAD

OLDBA:81-12-194-11570-4

REDILL ADDITONAL

					AUDII OIIAL
•	Month	Year	KWH	%	Yearly
1	Oct	94	2949	9.2	32054
2	Sep	95	2741	10.25	26741
3	Feb	96	2800	6.22	45016
4				No. (a)	

Average of Total Yearly Usage = =>

34604

Account Rebilled From 10/12/94 To NMS, 02/13/98 . Using Seasonal Average Percentage.

1105 / 32 = 34.53 Per Day. 34.53 * 32 Days On Old Meter = 1105 .

1105 + 0 NMS Usage - 1105

Service To -

Month		Days	KWH Reading	KWD Ading	KWH Asbiiled	KWD	% Usage	KWH Rebilled	KWD	Remarks
Dec Nov Oct Sep Aug Jul Jun May Apr Mar Feb Jan	12/14/94 11/14/94	30 33	7799 6343		1456 1830		7.76 8.54 9.20 10.14 10.20 10.72 9.46 8.25 7.20 6.29 6.06 6.18	2685 2955		
Dec Nov Oct Sep Aug Jul Jun May Apr Mar Feb	Service	To ·	93				7.83 8.61 9.74 10.83 11.38 10.64 9.02 7.01 6.65 5.76 5.90 6.83			
							Elled By:	4/3/90		

-- AS BILLED-----TE-BILLED

01/13/95

12/14/94

RS-1

8700

901

63.69

0.00

3.54

5.74

73.62

.65

30

01/13/95

12/14/94

RS-1

8700

2239

161.00

0.00

1.65

8.95

14.99

186.59

112.97

30

H

12-

CHSTONER NAME AND SERVICE ADDRESS

-- AS BILLED-----RE-BILLED

04/13/95

03/15/95

RS-1

11655

1088

78.21

0.00

4.26

6.91

90.20

.80

29

24/11/95

03/15/95

RS-1

11655

2312

168.24

0.00

1.73

9.17

15.27

199.41

29

RECALCILLATED AMOUNT

104.21

13

13

DOCKET NO. 9 DECEMBER 5,

961381-EI , 1996

Þ
H
٠.
2
₽
0
147
=
5
m
7
3
-3
B

JUSTOMER MANE	AND SERVICE ADDRESS			BILL ACCT	REASON F	OR ADJUSTMENT		
JOHOL HURALES				9514966267	NON- I NHE	R CURR-DIVER C	R.*	
	AS BILLED	RE-BILLED-	•	AS BILLED	RE-BILLED		AS BILLED	Rf -B114 5D
								m bitter
SERVICE TO	05/12/95	05/12/95	SERVICE TO	06/13/95	06/13/08	SERVICE TO	222222	V5-2165676561
SERVICE FROM	04/13/93	04/13/95	SERVICE FROM	05/12/95	05/12/95	SERVICE FROM	07/13/95	07/11/95
RATE SCHED	RS-1	R5-1	RATE SCHED	RS-1	85-1		06/13/95	06/13/95
SERVICE DAYS	29	29	SERVICE DAYS	32		SERVICE DAYS	RS-1	RS-1
LHIS CROT DAYS	170		LHIS CRBT DAYS	-	-	LHIS CROT DAYS	30	30
KWH RDG	12917	12917	KVH RDQ	14378	19578	EWH RDG	16422	16422
OLHAND ROG			DENAMO ROG	100.00		DEMAND ROG	19162	10452
ON KNH RDG			OH-PK KWH RDG			ON-PK KYN RDG		
DH DEM RDG			ON-PK DEM RDG			OR-PK DEM ROG		
TOT KWH USED	1262	2869	TOT KIM USED	1661	3509	TOT KWH USED	1888	3540
DEM USED ON-PX USAGE			DEM USED			DEN USED		*,710
ORP DE NAME			DR-PK USAGE			ON-PH USAGE		
OFFF KWH USED			OHP DEMAND			ONP DEMAND		
OLLL KAN DEED			OFFF KWH USED			OFFP KWH USED		
SERV ANT	91.01	209.23	SERV ANT	120.36	256.31	SERY ANT	133.81	250.50
LD CHTL CR	0.00		LD CNTL CR	0.00		LO CHTL CR	0.00	0.00
OR RCT TAX	.93		GR RCT TAX	1,21		OR RCT TAX	1.37	2.65
FRANC CHG	4.96		FRANC CHG	6.56	13.97	FRANC CHG	7.29	14.10
UTILITY TX	8.12	19.07	T. C. T. M. D. C. T	10.83	23.43	STILLTY TX	12.08	23.64
FL SLS TAX			FL SLS TAX			FL SLE TAX		40.04
TRANSF CR			PRE CHIL CR			PRE CATL CR		
CURTAIL OR			TRANSF CR			TRANSF CR		
ACCESS CHO			CURTAIL CR			CURTAIL CR		
USACE CHG								
AD"'N CHG								
TO E ADJ								
REV DIFF								
TOTAL AMT	105.02	291.06	TOTAL AMT	138.99	296.34	TOTAL ANT	194.99	***
							1,74.23	296.97
RECALCULATED A	136.84	i i	RECALCILATED A	SOUNT 157	.36	RECALCULATED A		
	-			***********		HELDELOCKIED A		144.42

0

CHISTOMER NAME AND SERVICE ADDRESS

D	
H	
H	
D	
C	
Ξ	
3	
M	
z	
Н	
m	

CUSTOMER NAME AND JORGE MORALES	SERVICE ADDRESS			BILL ACC1 9514966267	REASON F NON-19HE	OR AUJUSTMENT R CURR-DIVER OF		
	AS BILLED	RE-BILLED		AS BILLID -	AE-BILLED		AS BILLED	RE-BILLED
SERVICE TO	08/11/95	08/11/95						
SERVICE FROM	07/13/95	07/13/95	SERVICE TO	09/12/95	09/12/95	SERVICE TO	10/11/95	10/11/95
RATE SCHED	RS-1	R5-1		08/11/95	08/11/95	SERVICE FROM	09/12/95	09/12/95
SERVICE DAYS	29	29	THE WATER	RS-1	RS-1	RATE SCHED	R5-1	RS-1
LMIS CROT BAYS	.,		SERVICE DAYS	32	12	EERVICE BAYS	29	29
KWH ADG	17897	17897	KWH RDG	20638	****			
DEMAND RDG		10553	DEMAND RDG	50030	20638		22600	22600
CII KWH ROG			ON-PK KWH ROG			DEMAND RDG		
GH+. A DEN RDG			OH-PK DEN ROG			ON-PK NAM RDG OM-PK DEM RDG		
TOT KWH USED	1475	3523	TOT KWH USED	2741				
DEM USED			DEN USED	2141	2741		1962	3445
OH-FK USAGE			ON-PK USAGE			DEM USED		
OMP DEMAND			ONP DEMAND			OR-PK USAGE		
OFFP KWN USED			OFFP KWM USED			ONP DEMAND		
			TO AND THE TIME			OFFP KWN USED		
SERV ANT	106.67	257.34	SERY ANT	199.82	199.82			
LD CHTL CR	0.00	0.80	LO CHTL CR	0.00	0.00	BERY ANT	148.67	265.52
CR RCT TAX	1.09	2.64	GR RCT TAX	2.05	2.05	CR RCT TAX	0.00	0.00
FRANC CHG	5.81	14.03	FRANC CHG	10.89	10.89	FRANC CHG	1.52	2.72
UTILITY TX	9.57	23.52		18.20	16.20	UTILITY TX	8.10	14.47
FL SLS TAX			FL SLS TAX		10.20	FL SLS TAX	13.48	24.13
PRE CHTL CR			PRE CHTL CR			PRE CHIL CR		
CURTAIL CR			TRANSF CR			TRANSF CR		
			CURTAIL CR			CURTAIL CR		
ACCESS CHG USAGE CHG						CONTAIL CR		
ADP'N CHG								
D E ADJ								
REV DIFF								
THA JATOF	123.14	297.53	TOTAL ANT	730.96	230.44			
-		-		130,90	230.96	TOTAL AMT	171.77	307.04
RECALCULATED AMOUNT	174.39		RECALCIRATED AMOU	NT.				
	-			***************************************	_	RECALCULATED AM	OUNT 1	15.27

-16-

CUSTOMER NAME AND	SERVICE ADDRESS			BILL ACCT	BEASON I	IN INTELLEGE NO		
JORGE MORALES				9514966267	MOH - I MAN		2	
				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	wood - 1 Miles	A CURR-DIVER CR	•	
	AS BILLED	RE-BULEN.			2272570055			
		WE DIEEED		AS BILLED	ME-BILLED		AS BILLED-	AE-BILLED
SERVICE TO	11/09/95							
SERVICE FROM		11/09/95		12/12/95	12/12/95	SERVICE TO	01/12/96	*******
RATE SCHED	10/11/95	10/11/95	SERVICE FROM	11/09/95	11/09/95	SERVICE FROM	12/12/95	01/12/96
SERVICE DAYS	RS-1	RS-1		RS-1	RS-1	RATE SCHED	AS-1	12/12/95
LHIS CROT DAYS	29	29	SERVICE DAYS	33	33	SERVICE DAYS	31	R5-1
CATS CADT DATS			LHIS CROT DAYS			LHIS CROT BAYS	41	31
KNN RDG	23923	23923	KWN RDG	25157		11.17.14.2		
DEMAND RDG			DEMAND ROG	23137	25157		26374	26374
OR KWN RDG			ON-PK KWH RDG			DEMAND ROG		
DN A DEM RDG			OH-PK DEM RDG			OH-PK KWH RDG		
122 200 100			ON THE DEN NOG			ON-PK DEM ROG		
TOT KNOW USED	1323	3017	TOT KWH USED	1234	2339	TOT KIM USED		
DEM USED			DEM USED	14.34	1339	In . want march	1217	2219
DH-PK USACE			ON-PR USAGE			DEN USED		
OWP DEMAND			GRP DEMAND			ON-PK USAGE		
OFFP KWH USED			OF F P NORT USED			ONP DEHAMO		
Value of the second						OFFP KWN USED		
SERV ANT	99.64	229.61	SERY ANT	92.82				
LO CHITL CR	0.00	0.00	LD CHITL CR	0.00	177.59	SERV ANT	91.52	169.92
GR RCT TAX	1.02	2.35	OR MCT TAX	.95	0.00	LD CHTL CR	0.00	0.00
FRANC CHG	5.43	12.52		5.06	1.82	GR RCT TAX	.99	1.74
UTILITY TX	8.93	21.00	STILITY TX	5.29	9.68	FRANC CHG	4.99	9.26
FL SLS TAX			FL SLS TAX	9.29	16.17	UTILITY TX	8.17	15.45
PRE CHIL CR			PRE CHIL CR			FL SLS TAX		856.65
TRANSF CR			TRANSF CR			PRE CHIL CR		
CURTAIL CR			CURTAIL CR			TRANSF CR		
ACCESS CHO						CURTAIL OR		
USAGE CHG								
AD"'N CHG								
T) E AOJ								
REV DIFF								
TOTAL AMT	115.02	265.46	TOTAL AMT					
-	-			107.12	205.26	TOTAL ANT	105.62	196.37
BEEN PRO AND								
RECALCULATED AROUN	120.40		RECALCULATED AND	UNT 98.14		RECALCULATED AND	WW.Y	
						WE CHE WILL WILL WAS	ANT I	90.75

BILL ACCT 9514966267

REASON FOR ADJUSTMENT HON-INKER CURR-DIVER CR*

6.0	CUSTOMER JORGL NO	NAME AND SERVICE A	DORESS
30-4		AS BILLED	RE-BILLEO
	SERVICE TO SLEVICE FO RATE SCHEE SERVICE DO LMIS CRDT	10M 01/12/96 0 RS-1	01/12/96
no de	EWH RDG DEMAND RDG ON EWN ON-, a DEM	ADG	27479
REGULATORY AFFATRS	TOT KYNI US DEN USED GN-PE USAG GMP DEMANG OFFP KWN U	z ,	2152
REGULA	SERY ANT LD CHT. C GR ACT TAN FRANC CHG UTILITY TO FL SLS TAN PRE CHIL TRANSF CR CURTAIL CH ACCESS CHG USAGE CHG	28 0.00 6 .85 9.52 7.38 CR	0.00
943	D E AD		

RECALCULATED AMOUNT

92.96

95.67

TOTAL ART

3055523849

10:25

12/05/1996

ATTACHMENT B

188.63

ATTACHMENT B

DOCKET NO. 961381-EI DECEMBER 5, 1996

12/05/1996 18:25 3055523649

REGULATOR AFFAIRS PAGE 10

CDIC CHARGES

and the same of the same			Meter: SC 2:	1.68
Lock Ring Front Entry Side Entry	Number Cost	Total		
HASP Locking Assembly Bracket Locking Assembly Berrel Lock (11/16*Hasp) 2* Barrel Pediock FT Knex Lock Lock Pin Johnny Ber 24*-34* Johnny Ber 21*-50* Johnny Ber 35*- 64* Johnny Ber 48*- 60*				
Vehicle	Sub Total		Lecking Davice	1
Meter Truck Freuble Truck Unde / Trailer Truck Underground CO. Passenger Car	Time Rete 0.5 \$4.68	Total 2.29		
	Sub Total	12.29		92.29
Contract Car @ #0.30	Per Mile , Miles Driven		42	\$12.50
Mecellaneous			Vahiole Cost	\$14.89
Sctures Ø #1.08 Other	# of		Miscellaneous	1
abor			Miscellaneous	1
rvestigetor #1 rvestigetor #2 fetermen "A"	Time Rate 1.5 862.25 1 862.25 0.5 852.88	Total #93 38 #62.25 #26 44		
	Sub Total	s182.07	Lebor - Bub Yotal Motor Topt	#182.07 #28.44
			Accounting 18.1 Clertaal 15	12.05
			Leber	#225.41
			Total Amount Of CDIC	1203.98