



GTE Telephone Operations

One Tampa City Center 201 North Franklin Street, FLTC0007 Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601 813-483-2606 813-204-8870 (Facsimile)

13416 CEC 17 H

FF30-Fr3. F33, REPORTING

Marceil Morrell**
Vice President & General Counsel - Florida

Associate General Counsel Anthony P. Gillman** Leslie Reicin Stein*

Attorneys*
Kimberly Caswell
M. Eric Edgington
Ernesto Mayor, Jr.

Licensed in Florida

Certified in Florida as Authorized House Counsel

December 16, 1996

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 961173-TP

Petition of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership for Arbitration of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with GTE Florida Incorporated Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Dear Ms. Bayo:

OTH

	Please find enclosed an original and fifteen copies of GTE Florida Incorporated's Request for Confidential Classification and Motion for Protective Order regarding
ACK	 certain information submitted in response to Staff's First Request for Production of
AFA	 Documents, Nos. 2 and 10, for filing in the above matter. Service has been made as Indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this matter.
APP	 please contact me at (813) 483-2615.
CAF	
CMU	 Very truly yours,
CTR EAG	Tenhor Palli
LEG	 Anthony P. Gillman
LIN	 400
OPC	 APG:tas Enclosures
RCH	 RECEIVED & FILED
SEC	 A part of GTE Corporation

PSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re. Petition of Sprint Communications)
Company Limited Partnership for Arbitration)
of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with)
GTE Florida Incorporated Pursuant to the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996)

Docket No. 961173-TP Filed: December 16, 1996

GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL) seeks confidential classification and a permanent protective order for certain information submitted in response to the Commission Staff's First Request for Production of Documents, Nos. 2 and 10, in this proceeding.

All of this information falls within Florida Statutes §364.183(3)(e), which defines the term "proprietary confidential business information" to include "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of that information." The documents in question consist of confidential cost study and related materials. If competitors are able to acquire this detailed and sensitive costing information regarding GTEFL, they could more easily develop entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competing with GTEFL. These competitors would be more adept at pricing their own services if they possess details about GTEFL's cost structure. This affords them an unfair advantage while severely jeopardizing GTEFL's competitive position. In a competitive business, any such knowledge obtained about a competitor can be used to the detriment of the entity to which it pertains. This unfair advantage skews the operation of the market, to the ultimate detriment of the consumer. Furthermore, because

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

13416 DEC 178

the information would be disclosed to competitors through a regulatory proceeding--rather than through legitimate market trial and error processes--the marketplace will be skewed, to the ultimate detriment of the consumer. This effect is particularly troublesome in the context of this docket, which is intended to set rules for encouraging rational and efficient competition, rather than providing any entity a competitive advantage.

While a ruling on this request is pending, GTEFL understands that the information at issue is exempt from Florida Statutes, Section 119.01(1) and Staff will accord it the stringent protection from disclosure required by Rule 25-22.006(3)(d). One highlighted, unredacted copy of the confidential material, labeled Exhibit A, is attached to the original of this Request. Redacted copies of these items are attached to this Request as Exhibit B. A detailed justification of the confidentiality of the information at issue is attached as Exhibit C.

Respectfully submitted on December 16, 1996.

By:

Anthony Gillman Kimberly Caswell

Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007

Tampa, Florida 33601

Telephone: 813-483-2615

Attorneys for GTE Florida Incorporated

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the foregoing Request for Confidential Classification and Motion for Protective Order in Docket No. 961173-TP were sent via U S mail on December 16, 1996 to the parties listed below.

Monica Barone/Charlie Pellegrini Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

> Benjamin W. Fincher Sprint 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

C. Everett Boyd Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom & Irvin 305 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32302

Anthony Gillman

ANALYSIS OF GTE FLORIDA TSLRIC DS-1 COSTS PER ORDER NUMBER PSC-96-0811-FOF-TP.

The order for Docket No. 950984-TP, page 13 states that GTE "shall explain why its TSLRIC estimate is higher than its proposed rate, current tariffed Special Access charge, for the unbundled DS-1 loop."

GTE filed a TSLRIC cost study for a DS-1 unbundled loop that would be appropriate for the provisioning of a DS-1 unbundled loop service to an ALEC on behalf of an end user. The TSLRIC costs provided are appropriate costs when an ALEC or any customer purchases DS1 unbundled services in a quantity that dictates the use of a small Fiber Optic system.

The current GTE DS-1 Special Access rates are applicable to DS-1 service provided to interexchange companies, large demand end-users, ALECs and other end-users. In order to determine if the current tariff rates are appropriate, a TSLRIC study was performed based on the technology requirements required to support the representative customer mix.

To develop a TSLRIC study that is representative of the approved tariff service, a review of the GTE Florida CNAS data base was performed to determine appropriate fiber optic systems sizes. The predominate fiber systems used by GTE in Florida were identified and a sample was used to developed a weighted system percentage. The results of the TSLRIC study are shown on page 2.

The rates developed for Entrance Facilities in the Local Transport tariffs were based on cost studies that focused on a different mixture of appropriate fiber optics systems. As such, the results of those cost studies would not be an appropriate measurement of costs for an unbundled DS-1 loop that would use a small FO systems.

ANALYSIS OF DS-1 SPECIAL ACCESS CHANNEL

Based on a review of the GTE Florida CNAS data base, there are two predominate systems used to provide service for DS-1 Network Access Channel.

To develop an estimated TSLRIC costs for the access channel, a DS1 cost was developed based on the weightings of DS-1s used for network access.

% of total distribution

percent of DS-1 provided by small system percent of DS-1 provided by large system

TSLRIC based on small Fiber Optic System Size

Vol Sensitiv

Average Volume Insensitive Costs





Network Access Channel DS-1 Level Network Access Channel Connection

TSLRIC based on larger Fiber Optic System Size

Vol Sensitiv

Average Volume Insensitive Costs





Network Access Channel DS-1 Level Network Access Channel Connection

TSLRIC based on weighted FO System Sizes

Vol Sensitiv LRIC Costs Average Volume Insensitive Costs











Network Access Channel DS-1 Level Network Access Channel Connection

REDACTED

GIE Florida Incorporated

Present and Proposed Rates and Revenues - IntraLAIA

Page 9 of 80

Docket No. 920188-TL Test Tear 1991 Historic | or Projected | X | Average | X | or Year End | Period: | 1993

FPSC MFR Schedule E-la 2 Witness: E.W. Klassen D.M. Trimble

Ln Tariff	Tariff Code	Service Description	Revenue R'quent If Known	of	Average Billing Units	Present Rate	Proposed Rate	Inc (Dec)	inc (Dec)	Annual Present Revenue	Annual Proposed Revenue	Annual Revenue Change
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
A18		Long Distance Message Telecommunications Serv	ice - REC	URRING RATES								
A18.5		Operator Assisted IntraLATA Toll Calls										
1 2 3 4 5		Station-to-Station Customer Dialed Calling Card Customer Dialed Calling Card All Other All Other Person-to-Person Person-to-Person		fully Alloc fully Alloc fully Alloc fully Alloc fully Alloc fully Alloc	18.849 12.621 108.035 61.755 11.023 6.873	0 75 0 00 1 00 0 00 2 50 0 00	0 00 0 75 0 00 1 50 0 00 3 00	0.75 (1.00) 1.50) -100x) -100x	169.641 0 1.296.420 0 330.690	113,589 0 1,111,590 0 247,428	(169,641) 113,589 (1,296,420) 1,111,590 (330,690) 247,428
7		Total Operator Assisted IntraLATA Toll Calls								1,796,751	1,472,607	(324,144)
A18.8		Directory Assistance Service										
9		Each Call Each Call		fully Alloc	706,144 618,167	0.25 0.00	0.00	0.40	-100%	2.118.432	2,957,60 2	(2.118.432) 2,957.602
10 A18.8		Total Directory Assistance Service								2,118,432	2,957,602	839,170
A18.9		Verification and Emergency Interrupt Service										
11 12 13 14		Verification Verification Emergency Interrupt Emergency Interrupt	}	Fully Alloc Fully Alloc Fully Alloc Fully Alloc	3,692 2,423 1,406 904	0.95 0.00 0.45 0.00	0.00 1.00 0.00 0.50	(0.95) 1.00 (0.45) 0.50	2	42,089 0 7,592 0	29,07 6 0 5,424	(42,089) 29,076 (7,592) 5,424
15 A18.9		Total Verification and Emergency Interrupt Se	rvice							49,681	34,500	(15,181)

REDACTED

Docket No. 920188-IL Test Year 1991 Historic [] or Projected [X] Average [X] or Year End [] Period: 1993 FPSC MFR Schedule E-1s.1 Witness: E. W. Klassen D. B. Trimble

Ln Teriff Be Section		Service Description	Revenue Type R'quant of If Known Cost	Average Billing Units	Present Proposed Rate Rate	s X Inc Inc (Dec) (Dec)	Annual Present Revenue	Annuel Proposed Revenue	Annual Revenue Change
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) (5)	(6)	(7) (8)	(9) (10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
A3		Basic Local Exchange Service - NONRECURRING	RATES						
A3.10 1 A3/11 2 A3/11 3 A3/11 4 A3/11		Directory Assistance Service DA Service Charges - Direct Dial DA Service Charges - Operator Assisted DA Service Charges - Direct Dial DA Service Charges - Operator Assisted	Not Known Lot Known Not Known	2,078,789 18,851 2,013,503 18,566	0.25 0.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.40 0.00 0.20	(0.25) -100X (0.20) -100X 0.40 0.20	6,236,367 45,242 0	9,664,814 44,558	(6,236,367) (45,242) 9,664,814 44,558
5 A3.10		Total Directory Assistance Service		4,129,709			6,281,609	9,709,372	3,427,763
A3.11 6 A3/12 7 A3/12 8 A3/12 9 A3/12 10 A3/12		Operator Assisted Local Calls Station-to-Station Customer Dialed Calling Card All Other All Other Person-to-Person Person-to-Person	fully Alloc Fully Alloc Fully Alloc Fully Alloc Jully Alloc	64,133 367,576 336,671 37,488 37,459	0.75 0.75 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.50 2.50 0.00 0.00 3.00	0.00 0x (1.00) -100% 1.50 (2.50) -100x 3.00	577, 197 4,410,912 0 1,124,640	577, 197 6,060,078 0 1,348,524	(4,410,912) 6,060,878 (1,124,648) 1,348,524
11 A3.11		Total Operator Assisted Local Calls		843,327			6,112,749	7,985,799	1,873,050
A3.12 12 A3/13 13 A3/13 14 A3/13 15 A3/13		Verification and Emergency Interrupt Service Verification Verification Emergency Interrupt Emergency Interrupt	rully Alloc Pully Alloc Fully Alloc Fully Alloc	12,561 13,209 4,784 4,925	0.95 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.45 0.00 0.00 0.50	(0.95) -100% 1.00 (0.45) -100% 0.50	143,195 0 25,834 0	158,508 0 29,550	(143,195) 158,508 (25,834) 29,550
16 A3.12		Total Verification and Emergency Interrupt Se	ervice	35,479			169,029	188,058	19,029
17 A3		NONRECURRING RATES	SUBTOTAL				12,563,387	17,883,229	5,319,842

REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Attachment

(aff) Set of Interrogazories (FPSC)
Interrogatory No. _________
GTE Florida Incorporated
Docket No. 920188-TL
Page ____ of _______

Summary of Costs - Directory Assistance

Plorida

Capital Costs

Item

Annual Capital Expense*

- Host Switch

Service

- Remote Switches
- Operator Office (OSC)
- Access Tandems
- Operator Reference Data Base
- Directory Assistance Data Base

- Sales Collateral - Circuit Equipment

REDACTED

TOTAL

Service

- Host Switch
- Remote Switches
- Operator Office (OSC)
- Access Tandems
- Operator Reference Data Base
- Directory Assistance Data Base
- Sales/Promotion
- Operator Wages
- Misc Office Expenses
- Sales Training
- Leased Facilities

TOTAL

Total Costs**

Total D.A. Calls

Total D.A. Cost/Call

Percent Local Costs Total Local Costs

- # Billable Local D.A. Calls-Present Cost/Billable Local Call-Present
- # Billable Local D.A. Calls-Proposed Cost/Billable Local Call-Proposed

* Capital Costs times Annual Charge factors. ** Total of Annual Capital Expenses, Initial and Incremental Expenses.

0004488

CONFIDENTIAL

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Attachment

Belline

Belline

Attachment

Belline

Summary of Costs - Directory Assistance

Plorida .

Capital Service Costs

Item

Annual Capital Expense:

- Host Switch

- Remote Switches

- Operator Office (OSC)

- Access Tandens

= Operator Reference Data Base = Directory Assistance Data Base - Sales Collateral

- Circuit Equipment

REDACTED

TOTAL S

Service

- Host Switch \$1

- Remote Switches

- Operator Office (OSC)

- Access Tandens

- Operator Reference Data Base

- Directory Assistance Data Base

- Sales/Promotion

- Operator Wages

- Sales Training

- Leased Facilities

TOTAL

Total Costs** \$

Total D.A. Calls

Total D.A. Cost/Call

Percent Intrastate/IntraLATA Costs toTAL Intrastate/IntraLATA Costs

- # Billable Intra/Intra D.A. Calls-Present Cost/Billable Intra/Intra Call-Present
- # Billable Intra/Intra D.A. Calls-Proposed Cost/Billable Intra/Intra Call-Proposed
 - * Capital Costs times Annual Charge factors. ** Total of Annual Capital Expenses, Initial and Incremental Expenses.

CONFIDENTIAL

TERRETY of Costs - Directory Assistance

Florida

Anmal CHDICAL Expenses

Bervice

capital Costs

Item

- Host Switch - Remote Switches - Operator Office (OSC) - Access Tandens - Operator Reference Data Base - Directory Assistance Data Base - Sales Collateral

- Circuit Equipment

TOTAL

REDACTED

Sarvica

- Host Switch - Remote Switches - Operator Office (OSC) - Access Tandens - Operator Reference Data Base - Directory Assistance Data Base - Sales/Promotion - Operator Wages - Sales Training - Leased Facilities

TOTAL

Total Costses

Total D.A. Calls

Total D.A. Cost/Call

Percent Intrastate/IntraLATA Costs Total Intrastate/IntraLATA Costs

- # Billable Intra/Intra D.A. Calls-Present Cost/Billable Intra/Intra Call-Present:
- # Billable Intra/Intra D.A. Calls-Proposed
 - . Capital Costs times Annual Charge facti ** Total of Annual Capital Expanses, Init:

(c) Not applicable.

Response Submitted By: Edward W. Klassen

235. Has the Company proposed to derive as much revenue as is feasible from discretionary services and only to recover the residual revenue increase from local rates?

GTE Florida Response: Yes. The Company is proposing rate increases to several non-basic local exchange services that will satisfy a portion of GTEFL's overall revenue requirement. The guiding principles considered by the Company in pricing these services are the Company's cost of service and customer demand, balanced by concerns for customer acceptance of changes in service rates.

Response Submitted By: Edward W. Klassen

236. What percent of subscribing customers -- residential, business, and combined residential and business -- are listed in GTEFL's directory? Please provide responses for each year 1988 through 1992.

GTE Florida Response:

The Company is in the process of developing the information requested. A response will be provided at a later date.

Operator Services

237. What analyses has GTEFL performed to arrive at its proposed charges for operator services?

GTE Florida Response:

GTEFL has performed three analyses to arrive at its proposed charges for operator services. First, a direct embedded cost study was conducted. Please refer to Staff's Interrogatory No. 63, Attachment A, Page 2 of 2. Second, a competitive analysis was conducted to compare GTEFL's rates to the rates of other Florida telephone companies. Lastly, GTEFL considered the discretionary aspect of operator services, and any contribution it would make to basic local services.

Response Submitted By: Edward W. Klassen

238. Based on what criteria does GTEFL consider its proposed operator service charges to be appropriate? Please explain.

GTE Florida Response:

GTEFL based its proposed operator service charges on the criteria of the customer value of operator services, and the contribution it can provide to basic local rates.

Response Submitted By: Edward W.Klassen

239. Does GTEFL believe that any cross elasticities exist among its operator services? Explain.

GTE Florida Response: No. The Company believes there are no or negligible cross elasticities among its operator services. Unlike customer-direct dialed services, operator services tend to be attractive to certain segments of customers who tend to have distinctive habits, tastes or preferences. This

GTE FLORIDA. INC. DIRECTORY CONNECT PLUS (DCP) COST INPUT

1 Insta	illation:	
2	Data Base Administration Hrs.	
3	DBA Labor Rate/Hr.	
4		
5	FL Data Base Administration (DBA) Costs	DEDACTED
6		REDACTED
7		
8 Eng	insering:	
9	- Total GTE Billing Engineering Costs	
10	FL Percentage	
11	. a. r or our mayor	
12	FL Engineering Costs	
13		
14		
15 Ven	dor inst:	
16	Software & RTU Costs/Site	
17	# FL Stee	
18		
19	FL Vendor installation Costs	
20		
21		
21 22 23		
23		
24 .		
25 Incr	remental Monthly Cost Per Unit - 0% markup (LAPP)	
26		
27		
28		
29 FL	Proposed Directory Connect Plus Charge per Call	
30		

LEVELIZED ANNUITY PRICING PROGRAM

The Levelized Annuity Pricing Program (LAPP) is a Lotus 123 based model used in pricing support for new or enhanced services and individual case basis studies.

Model Inputs include:

- Asset, engineering, installation, and other investment costs.

 (Central Office Equipment, Outside Plant, Circuit Equipment and Other)
- Financial and operational factors including capital structure, tax rates, labor rates, and inflation factors, plant specific, administration, material loading and supply.

LAPP calculates the present value of investments which may occur over several time periods and also levelizes the forecasted units over each revenue period.

Using the financial and operational factors for each jurisdiction, LAPP calculates a monthly cost for depreciation, return, and income taxes. In addition, monthly costs are calculated for plant specific expenses, direct administration expenses, gross receipts taxes, and the indirect administration expenses for each period.

The investment and expense costs are summed for a total monthly cost for each revenue period. An optional Non-Recurring Charge (NRC) can be applied to recover up-front costs and/or to reduce the required Monthly Recurring Charge (MRC). Optional amounts for pre-tax contribution, termination liability, and lump sum payments can also be calculated.

LAPP output represents the minimum price necessary to exactly recover investment and expenses as defined by the user in the study. Analysts combine LAPP output with other marketing, regulatory, Company, and social objectives to make sound pricing decisions.

PRICING

State: FLORIDA

Service: DIRECTORY CONNECT PLUS

Period: 60 Nameths File: L:\LAPP31FL.WK1

1 Total Monthly Asset Cost 2 Total Monthly Engineering/Installation Cost 3 Total Monthly Expenses 5 Total Incremental Monthly Cost (1)+(2)+(3) 6 Levelized Forecasted Units 8 Incremental Monthly Cost Per Unit (5)/(6) 10 11 PROPOSED NOM-RECURRING CHARGE (NRC) PER UNIT 13 14 15 16 17 Monthly Credit for MRC Per Unit (11) 18 Amertized at 9.89% 19 20 MONTHLY RECURRING CHARGE (NRC) PER UNIT (8)-(18)

REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

#2212.00

TOTAL

Service: DIRECTORY COMMECT PLUS 1 ASSET: Equipment Investment (Loaded) Net Salvage X Het Salvage (2)x(3) Net Investment (2)-(4) Capital Recovery Months MONTHLY S.L. DEPRECIATION (5)/(6) Monthly Capital Recovery at 9.89% HONTHLY RETURN (9)-(7) 11 12 Tax factor at 38:58% Comp. Tax Rate 13 HONTHLY INCOME TAX (2)x(12) 14 15 TOT HONTHLY ASSET COST (7)+(10)+(13) 17 ENGINEERING & INSTALLATION: 18 Engineering & Installation Investment 19 Net Salvage X 20 Net Salvage (18)x(19) 21 Net Investment (18)-(20) 22 Capital Recovery Nonths 23 MONTHLY S.L. DEPRECIATION (21)/(22) 24 25 Monthly Capital Recovery at 9.89% 26 MONTHLY RETURN (25)-(23) 27 28 Tax factor at 38.58% Comp. Tax Rate 29 MONTHLY INCOME TAX (18)x(28) 30 31 TOT MONTHLY E&I COST (23)+(26)+(29) 32 33 MONTHLY EXPENSES: PV Total Investment (2)+(18) 34 35 Plant Specific (M/R) Festors: Plant Specific (34)*(35) /12 36 Adj. for 2.9% Labor Rate Inflation 38 Plant Specific (Adjusted) (36)*(37) 39 Customer Oper. 3.745% *(34) /12 40 Property Tax 1.320% *(34) /12 41 Plant Non-Spec. 0.000% *(34) /12 42 Corporate Oper. 0.000% *(34) /12 43 Misc. Loadings 0.000% *(34) /12 44 Other Taxes 0.000% *(34) /12 45 46 TOTAL MONTHLY EXPENSES (38)..(44) 47 48 SUBTOTAL NO. COST (15)+(31)+(46)

S: FLORIDA

INCREMENTAL MONTHLY COSTS

COM

\$2423.20

Period: 60 Honths

File: L:\LAPP31FL.UK1

#2232.21 #2220.30 #

Circuit Eq. Other Acet 1 Other Acet 2

REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit I Page 2 of 4 0004496

50 Non Cap Exp \$436061.00 (60 No 89.89%)
51 Eff. Gross Rec. Tax 2.564% * (48+50)

52 TOTAL MONTHLY COST (48)+(50)+(51)

State: FLORIDA

STUDY ID: DIRECTORY CORNECT PLUS

Hon-Capitalized Expenses

Files L: LAPPSIFL.MC1

1

YR 1

YR 2

YR 3

YR

YR 5

1. Database

2. Software/RTU

3. Training

4. Advertising

5. Engineering

6. Total

7. PV Factor at 9.89%

5. PV Expenses (6)x(7)

9. Cumulative PV Expenses

REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit I Page 4 of 4

Staté: FLORIDA

Financial & Operational Parameters

Study ID: DIRECTORY CONNECT PLUS

Filos LILLAPPSIFL.MCT

- 1. Cost of Millby (Return on Rate Sase)
- 2. Return on Equity
- 3. Preferred Stock Rate
- 4. Long form Oabt Rate
- 5. Short Term Debt Rate
- 6. Statutory Federal Income Tax Rate
- 7. State Income fax Rate
- 8. Composite income Tax Rate
- 9. Statutory Gross Receipts Tax Rate
- 10. Labor Rate Inflation Percentage

REDACTED

- 11. Direct Admin. Customer Operations Annual Cha
- 12. Property Tax Expense Armusi Cha
- 13. Indirect Admin. Plant Hon-Specific Arrusi Che
- 14. Corporate Operations Annual C
- 15. Misc. Expense Annual Charge f
- 16. Other Fax Expense Avrums Char
- 17. Central Office Equipment Book Life
- 18. Central Office Equipment MACRS Tax Factor
- 19. Central Office Equipment Plant Specific Expense Factor
- 20. Central Office Equipment Net Salvage Percentage
- 21. Outside Plant Equipment Sook Life
- 22. Outside Plant Equipment MACRS Tax Fector
- 23. Outside Plant Equipment Plant Specific Expense fector
- 24. Outside Plant Equipment Het Salvage Percentage
- 25. Circuit Equipment Book Life
- 26. Circuit Equipment MACRS Tax Factor
- 27. Circuit Equipment Plant Specific Expense Fector
- 28. Circuit Equipment Net Salvage Percentage
- 29. Other Acet 1 Beak Life
- 30. Other Acet 1 Plant Specific Expense fector
- 31. Other Acct 1 Net Salvage Percentage
- 32. Other Acct 2 Book Life
- 33. Other Acet 2 Plant Specific Expense factor
- 34. Other Acct 2 Net Salvage Percentage
- 35. COE Minor Materials Load Factor
- 36. COE Supply factor
- 37. OSP Minor Materials Load Factor
- 38. OSP Supply Fector
- 39. Circuit Equipment Minor Materials Load Factor
- 40. Circuit Equipment Supply Factor
- 41. Other Acet 1 Minor Materials Load Factor
- 42. Other Acet 1 Supply Factor
- 43. Other Acct 2 Minor Materials Load Factor
- 44. Other Acet 2 Supply Fector
- 45. Central Office Equipment Installation Direct Labor Rate
- 46. Central Office Equipment Engineering Direct Labor Rate
- 47. Outside Plant Installation Direct Labor Rate
- 48. Outside Plant Engineering Direct Labor Rate
- 49. Circuit Equipment Installation Direct Labor Rate
- 50. Circuit Equipment Engineering Direct Labor Rate
- 51. Database Ofrect Labor Rate

CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit II Page 1 of 1

RATE DEVELOPMENT

A cost analysis indicates that chosen market based prices cover associated incremental costs. Incremental costs are defined as those costs which would be specifically incurred in the provision of this service.

Incremental costs include SMARTCALL software and hardware, Signaling System Seven software and hardware, and associated engineering, testing, maintenance and administration. All incremental costs are allocated to each feature according to usage.

FEATURE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

SMARTCALL PAK 4400 SMARTCALL PAK 4900 PER LINE MONTHLY COST

All SMARTCALL investments are in the Digital Switching Category of investment.

Approved average service life Maintenance annual charge factor Administrative annual charge fac

Cost of money
Percent equity
Percent debt
Targeted Return on equity
Debt rate

Federal Income Tax Rate State Income Tax Rate Ad Valorem Tax Rate Gross Receipts Tax Rate REDACTED

12 wasre

CONFIDENTIAL

FEATURE ALLOCATION OF EXPENSES

BASED UPON USAGE OF THE NETWORK

FEATURE

PERCENT ATTEMPTS

CALL TRACING SERVICE

CALL BLOCK

SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE

SPECIAL CALL WAITING

REDACTED

SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING

VIP ALERT

AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN

AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

Percent Attempts = (Forecasted feature lines multiplied by feature busy hour attempts per line) divided by all features' attempts

SMARTCALL STP CAPITAL EXPENSES

SMARTCALL STP CAPITAL:

MONTHLY COST:

COST

MONTHLY COST/ LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

SMARTCALL STP RTU FEES

.

SMARTCALL STP RTU:

REDACTED

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SMARTCALL SIGNAL LINK EXPENSES

SMARTCALL LINK COSTS:

PRESENT VALUE OF COSTS:

SMARTCALL
PRESENT VALUE
LINK COSTS

MONTHLY COST/ LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

REDACTED

SMARTCALL SIGNAL POINT CAPITAL EXPENSES

GTD5 PER 5ESS PER DMS PER WEIGHTED LINE LINE MONTHLY INVESTMENT INVESTMENT COST/LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

REDACTED

SMARTCALL SIGNAL POINT RTU:

SMARTCALL SIGNAL POINT RTU MONTHLY COST/ LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SMARTCALL EXPANDED ANNOUNCEMENT SYSTEM CAPITAL EXPENSES

SMARTCALL E.A.S. CAPITAL:

MONTHLY COST:

SMARTCALL ANNOUNCEMENT MONTHLY COSTS

MONTHLY COST/ LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

REDACTED

GTD5 PER 5ESS PER DMS PER WEIGHTED
LINE LINE LINE MONTHLY
INVESTMENT INVESTMENT COST/LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



SMARTCALL FEATURE RTU FEES

MONTHLY COST PER LINE PER YEAR

:ALL TRACING SERVICE
:ALL BLOCK
:PECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
:PECIAL CALL WAITING
:PECIAL CALL FORWARDING
:IP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

SMARTCALL TRUNK CONVERSION LABOR EXPENSE

YR 1 YR 2 YR 3 YR 4 YR 5

. . . .

SMARTCALL S.P. LABOR COSTS:

PRESENT VALUE OF COSTS:

REDACTED

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

SMARTCALL ENGINEERING AND TESTING

SMARTCALL E. & T. CAPITAL:

MONTHLY COST:

SMARTCALL ENG. & TEST MONTHLY COSTS

MONTHLY COST/ LINE

CALL TRACING SERVICE
CALL BLOCK
SPECIAL CALL ACCEPTANCE
SPECIAL CALL WAITING
SPECIAL CALL FORWARDING
VIP ALERT
AUTOMATIC CALL RETURN
AUTOMATIC BUSY REDIAL

CALL TRACING SERVICE COST SUMMARY

STP CAPITAL

STP RTU

SIGNAL LINK

SIGNAL POINT CAPITAL

SIGNAL POINT RTU

EXPANDED ANNOUNCEMENT

PROCESSOR/MEMORY

FEATURE RTU

ENGINEERING AND TESTING

TRUNK CONVERSION LABOR

USER GUIDE EXPENSE

INCREMENTAL LABOR EXPENSI

REDACTED

MONTHLY RATE: RESIDENCE BUSINESS

CONFIDENTIAL

USAGE BASED CALL TRACING SERVICE CONTRIBUTION

COST

TRANS RATE

CONTRIBUTION

PER TRANSACTION

REDACTED

GTE TELEPHONE OPERATIONS Florida Operations SmartCall Usage Based Call Tracing

NUISANCE CENTER COSTS

The GTE South - Florida Nuisance Center labor rate is \$ per hour. Following Company procedures and verified with Florida actuals, the information below indicates the average time spent per Call Tracing transaction.

Call 1:

5 Minutes to discuss

2 Minutes to access database

2 Minutes to create file

9 Minutes for initial trans

Call 2:

2 Minutes to discuss

2 Minutes to access database

2 Minutes to create file

15 Minutes second trans/initia

Call 3:

2 Minutes to discuss

2 Minutes to access database

2 Minutes to create file

21 Minutes third trans/previou

Police Documentation or Deterrent Letter:

TOTAL TIME

2 Minutes to retrieve file

8 Minutes to process letter

31 Minutes Complete Call Trace Case Closure

Cost Per Customer for Closure Cost per Minute of Labor per hour

CONFIDENTIAL

REDACTED

GTE TELEPHONE OPERATIONS CALL TRACE ACTUAL STATISTICS

September 92

C1 C2 C3 C4
Report CLASS Hin/Work Hinutes
Call Irc Level Required

- 1 Total complaints received
- 2 CTS CLASS cases
- 3 Total cases successful traces (1)
- 4 Deterrent Letters sent (2)
- 5 Referred to LEA (2)
- 6 Total Month CLASS Activity

REDACTED

Total CLASS required Hampower Himutes Coat per Hampower Himute Coat per Hamth - CLASS Call Trace Act Coat per CTS CLASS Transaction

- (1) 85% successful call trace rate t
- (2) CTS CLASS cases (L2) divided by

August 92

- 1 Total complaints received
- 2 CTS CLASS cases
- 3 Total cases successful traces (1)
- 4 Deterrent Letters sent (2)
- 5 Referred to LEA (2)
- 6 Total Honen CLASS Activity

Total CLASS required Marpower Minute Cost per Marpower Minute Cost per Marth - CLASS Call Trace Ac Cost per CTS CLASS Transaction REDACTED

CONFIDENTIAL

July 92

C1 C2 C3 C4
Report CLASS Hin/Work Hinutes
Call Trc Level Required

- 1 Total complaints received
- 2 CTS CLASS cases
- 3 Total cases successful traces (1)
- 4 Deterrent Letters sent (2)
- 5 Referred to LEA (2)
- 6 Total Month CLASS Activity

Total CLASS required Manpower Minutes Cost per Manpower Minute Cost per Month - CLASS Call Trace Activ Cost per CTS CLASS Transaction

June 92

C Rep

REDACTED

- 1 Total complaints received
- 2 CTS CLASS cases
- 3 Total cases successful traces (1)
- 4 Deterrent Letters sent (2)
- 5 Referred to LEA (2)
- 6 Total Honth CLASS Activity

Total CLASS required Manpower Minutes
Cost per Manpower Minute
Cost per Month - CLASS Call Trace Activi
Cost per CTS CLASS Transaction

May 92

C1 C2 C3 C6

Report CLASS Min/Work Minutes
Call Irc Level Required

- 1 Total complaints received
- 2 CTS CLASS cases
- 3 Total cases successful traces (1'
- 4 Deterrent Letters sent (2)
- 5 Referred to LEA (2)
- 6 Total Month CLASS Activity

REDACTED

Total CLASS required Manpower Minus Cost per Manpower Minute Cost per Month - CLASS Call Trace / Cost per CTS CLASS Transaction

April 92

Call Trc Levet Required

- 1 Total complaints received
- 2 CTS CLASS cases
- 3 Total cases successful traces (1)
- 4 Deterrent Letters sent (2)
- 5 Referred to LEA (2)
- 6 Total Honen CLASS Activity

Total CLASS required Manpower Minutes Cost per Manpower Minute Cost per Month - CLASS Call Trace Acti Cost per CTS CLASS Transaction

COST PER TRANSACTION

SEPT	\$2.46
AUG	82.44
JULY	\$2.30
JUNE	\$2.49
MAY	\$2.59
APRIL	\$2.51

SIX AVG \$2.46

USAGE BASED CALL TRACING SERVICE COST SUMMARY

Digital Switch Cost (1)

Per Transaction (Labor Ex.)

TOTAL COST PER TRANSACTION

REDACTED

PER TRANSACTION:

(1) The \$ pigital Switch Cost Element represents the cost level submitted in the cost support for CLASS service.

Exhibit C

Pages 4484-85; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain cost data concerning GTE's DS1 special access channel. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of special access service equivalents. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Page 4486-87; column 4. This column lists the revenue requirement for various intraLATA and local services provided by GTE, all of which can now be provided by GTE's competitors. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of these services. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Pages 4488-90; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain cost data concerning GTE's Directory Assistance Service. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of their own local exchange services. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Pages 4493, 4495-996; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain detailed cost data concerning GTE's Directory Connect Plus, a service which may be offered by competing local exchange carriers in the provision of their local service offerings. Features are broken down by discrete components, which would give competitors detailed information with which to design their own networks or devise entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competition with GTE. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in the marketplace for local services.

<u>Pages 4500-13; all lines and columns containing figures</u>
detailed cost data concerning GTE's SmartCall Services. GTE's competitors will likely offer services equivalent to GTE's SmartCall Services as part of their local exchange

service package. Features are broken down by discrete components, which would give competitors detailed information with which to design their own networks or devise entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competition with GTE. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in the marketplace for local exchange services.

Exhibit C

Pages 4484-85, all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain cost data concerning GTE's DS1 special access channel. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of special access service equivalents. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE

Page 4486-87; column 4. This column lists the revenue requirement for various intraLATA and local services provided by GTE, all of which can now be provided by GTE's competitors. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of these services. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Pages 4488-90; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain cost data concerning GTE's Directory Assistance Service. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of their own local exchange services. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Pages 4493, 4495-996; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain detailed cost data concerning GTE's Directory Connect Plus, a service which may be offered by competing local exchange carriers in the provision of their local service offerings. Features are broken down by discrete components, which would give competitors detailed information with which to design their own networks or devise entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competition with GTE. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in the marketplace for local services.

<u>Pages 4500-13; all lines and columns containing figures</u>
detailed cost data concerning GTE's SmartCall Services
GTE's competitors will likely
offer services equivalent to GTE's SmartCall Services as part of their local exchange

service package. Features are broken down by discrete components, which would give competitors detailed information with which to design their own networks or devise entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competition with GTE. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in the marketplace for local exchange services.

Exhibit C

Pages 4484-85, all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain cost data concerning GTE's DS1 special access channel. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of special access service equivalents. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Page 4486-87; column 4. This column lists the revenue requirement for various intraLATA and local services provided by GTE, all of which can now be provided by GTE's competitors. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of these services. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Pages 4488-90; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain cost data concerning GTE's Directory Assistance Service. Competitors could use this information to discern how to most effectively compete with GTE in the provision of their own local exchange services. With this information, such competitors could devise successful entry and pricing strategies. The fact that GTE cannot obtain this sort of information from its competitors through the regulatory process exacerbates the unfairness of allowing its public disclosure. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in structuring their operations and designing entry and market strategies to ensure their success in competing with GTE.

Pages 4493, 4495-996; all lines and columns containing figures. These pages contain detailed cost data concerning GTE's Directory Connect Plus, a service which may be offered by competing local exchange carriers in the provision of their local service offerings. Features are broken down by discrete components, which would give competitors detailed information with which to design their own networks or devise entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competition with GTE. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in the marketplace for local services.

<u>Pages 4500-13, all lines and columns containing figures</u>
detailed cost data concerning GTE's SmartCall Services
offer services equivalent to GTE's SmartCall Services as part of their local exchange

service package. Features are broken down by discrete components, which would give competitors detailed information with which to design their own networks or devise entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competition with GTE. Thus, these costs cannot be revealed to competitors without giving them an unfair advantage in the marketplace for local exchange services.