LAW OFFICES

CHANDLER, LANG & HASWELL, P.A.

POST OFFICE BOX 23879

GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32602-3879

JAMES F. LANG JOHN H. HASWELL C. WHARTON COLE TELEPHONE 352/376-5226
TELECOPIER 352/372-8858
211 N.E. FIRST STREET
GAINESVILLE, FL 32601-5367

WILLIAM H. CHANDLER 1920-1992

January 13, 1997



Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oaks Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32301

RE: Petition to Resolve Territorial Dispute with

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

and Gulf Power Company

FPSC Docket Number: 93-0885-EU

Dear Ms. Bayo:

I am enclosing herewith the original and fifteen (15) copies of a Motion to Strike Direct Testimony of Gulf Power Witnesses, Spangenberg, Holland, Weintritt and Klepper for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. for filing.

Very truly yours,

Please call me if you have any questions.

ACK AFA AFP		John M. Haswell	
CAF	JH H/lez		•
	<u>Enclosures</u>		:
CMU	cc: J. Patrick Floyd, Esquir Roy Barnes	re	
EAC .	1		
LIN .	3		
OPC .			
RCH _ SEC _	C: WP60 GCEC TERRITOR WASHINGT BA	M 3MOODE A	F NUMBER-DATE
WAS _	-	004	72 JAN 145
_ нтс			DROS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Petition to resolve territorial dispute with Gulf Coast Electrical Cooperative,

Inc. by Gulf Power Company

Docket No. 930885-EU

ORIGINAL FILE COPY

GULF COAST'S MOTION TO STRIKE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GULF POWER WITNESSES, SPANGENBERG, HOLLAND, WEINTRITT AND KLEPPER

Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. (GCEC) by and through its undersigned attorneys, respectfully requests that the Commission enter an order striking all or a portion of the direct testimony the witnesses of Gulf Power Company as more fully described hereinafter:

- 1. The direct testimony of Theodore S. Spangenberg, Jr.: page 9, line 2-6, specifically the sentence that reads: "The wholesale tariff provisions that were in effect between GULF and GCEC for many years accomplished this with a single distance specification accompanied by a load size criteria". This testimony is totally irrelevant to the issues in this case.
 - 2. The direct testimony of G. Edison Holland, Jr., as follows:
 - a. Page 4, lines 23-25, strike as irrelevant and immaterial;
- b. Page 5, lines 1-25, strike as irrelevant and immaterial. The testimony is not addressed to any matter in issue in this case;
- c. Page 6, lines 1-7, strike as irrelevant and immaterial. The testimony does not address any matter in issue in this case.
 - d. Page 10, lines 7-18, strike as irrelevant and immaterial. The testimony

DOCUMENT MUMBER-DATE

does not address any matter in issue in this case.

- e. Page 11, lines 13-25, continuing to page 12, lines 1-2 and lines 4-21, strike all as irrelevant and immaterial. The testimony does not address any matter in issue in this case.
- f. Page 14, beginning with line 23 and continuing to page 15, line 1-8, strike as irrelevant and immaterial. Whatever prior agreement that may or may not have been in effect in the past is irrelevant to the issues in this case.
- g. Page 19, lines 7-10, lines 15-25, strike as irrelevant and immaterial. The Commission has already decided that there is no merit to Gulf Power's claim of a \$15,000.00 threshold on costs to serve a particular customer.
- h. Strike Exhibits GEH-1, GEH-2, and GEH-5. GEH-1 is entirely irrelevant and immaterial to the issues in this case as is GEH-2. GEH-2 is apparently an article written by two members of the PSC Staff and is neither a public record, a statute, or a recorded decision of a Florida court, and neither are the authors witnesses in this case. Finally, the article will not tend to prove or disprove a material fact or issue in this case. GEH-5 is the opinion of the Florida Supreme Court entered in an appeal of this very case and is already part of the official record.
 - 3. The direct testimony of William Weintritt as follows:
- a. Page 7, lines 12-25, page 8, lines 1-25, and page 9, lines 1-5 and lines 14-21. Strike all as irrelevant and immaterial. The testimony does not address any matter in issue in this case nor does it tend to prove or disprove any material fact.
- b. Exhibits WCW-3, WCW-4, and WCW-5. All three of these exhibits are irrelevant and immaterial and refer to prior agreements that have expired, FERC tariffs that

do not apply and correspondence between the companies that documents the irrelevancy of these three exhibits.

4. The direct testimony of Russell L. Klepper. Strike all of the direct testimony of Russell L. Klepper as irrelevant and immaterial. The Commission has already determined the seven issues to be addressed by the parties at the hearing, none of which Mr. Klepper addresses in his testimony. Indeed, he states that the purpose of his testimony is "to examine, assess and address certain public policy issues and the associated economic implications that should be considered by this Commission in deciding the question of whether and to what degree the benefits of customer preference will be enjoyed by new electric service customers locating in the areas of Northwest Florida now served by both Gulf Power and Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.".

Respectfully submitted

John M. Haswell, Esquire Florida Bar No.: 162536

Chandler, Lang & Haswell, P.A.

Post Office Box 23879 Gainesville, Florida 32602 (352) 376-5226

J. Patrick Floyd, Esquire 408 Long Avenue Port St. Joe, Florida 32456 (904) 227-7413

Attorneys for Gulf Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by regular U.S. mail to the following:

> Russell Badders, Esquire Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire

Beggs & Lane

3 West Garden Street, Suite 700 Florida Public Service Commission

Post Office Box 12950

David E. Smith, Esquire Mary Ann Helton, Esquire

Division of Appeals

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Vicki Johnson, Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

this $\frac{15}{100}$ day of January, 1997.