

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SPECIAL COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA

VOTE SHEET

DATE: January 17, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 961173-TP - Petition by Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for arbitration with GTE Florida Incorporated concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Issue 2: What should the rates be for each of the following:

- Network Interface Device;
- Local Loop;
- Local Switching;
- Interoffice Transmission Facilities;
- Tandem Switching;
- Signaling and Call Related Databases?

Recommendation: The Commission should set rates for unbundled elements as outlined in the analysis portion of staff's January 13, 1997 memorandum.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: KS GR

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in majority column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33(5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00595 JAN 17 97

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Issue 3: Should GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL) be prohibited from placing any limitations on Sprint's ability to combine unbundled network elements with one another, or with resold services, or with Sprint's, or a third party's facilities to provide telecommunications services to consumers in any manner Sprint chooses?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should require GTEFL to allow Sprint the ability to combine unbundled network elements in any manner it chooses, including recreating existing GTEFL services, as provided in Section 251(c)(3) of the Act and the FCC's order.

APPROVED

Issue 4: What services provided by GTEFL, if any, should be excluded from resale?

Recommendation: GTEFL should be required to offer for resale any services it provides at retail to end user customers who are not telecommunications carriers. These services include all grandfathered services (both current and future), promotions that exceed 90 days, AIN services (both current and future), Public Pay Telephone lines, Semi-Public Pay Telephone lines, non-LEC coin and coinless lines, Lifeline and LinkUp services, 911/E911 and N11 services, operator services, directory assistance, nonrecurring charges, contract service arrangements (both current and future), special access, private line services tariffed under the special access tariff, and COCOT coin and coinless lines.

APPROVED

Issue 5: What are the appropriate wholesale recurring and non-recurring charges, terms and conditions for GTEFL to charge when Sprint purchases GTEFL's retail services for resale?

Recommendation: GTEFL should be required to offer retail services at a wholesale discount rate of 13.04%.

MODIFIED

Approved with staff's modification.

Issue 9: Is it appropriate for GTEFL to provide customer service records to Sprint for pre-ordering purposes? If so, under what conditions?

Recommendation: Yes. It is appropriate for GTEFL to provide customer service records to Sprint for pre-ordering purposes. Sprint should issue a blanket letter of authorization to GTEFL which states that it will obtain the customer's permission before accessing customer service records. GTEFL should not require Sprint to obtain prior written authorization from each customer before providing customer service records. The customer records must contain, at a minimum, information on the customer's current level of service. GTEFL and Sprint should not be required to make available additional information. The availability of customer service records should be reciprocal.

APPROVED

Issue 10: What rates are appropriate for the transport and termination of local traffic between Sprint and GTEFL?

Recommendation: A reciprocal rate of \$.00125 per minute for tandem switching and \$.0025 per minute for end office termination should be approved.

APPROVED

Issue 23: Should GTEFL make available any price, term and/or condition offered to any carrier by GTEFL to Sprint on a Most-Favored Nation's (MFN) basis? If so, what restrictions, if any, would apply?

Recommendation: It is not necessary for the Commission to vote on this issue. The Commission is not required to interpret 47 U.S.C. § 252(i) to fulfill its arbitration responsibilities. Further, since the Commission is not required to interpret Section 252(i) at this time, the Commission should likewise not impose restrictions on the application of Section 252(i).

APPROVED

Issue 24: Should the agreement be approved pursuant to Section 252(e)?
Recommendation: Yes. The arbitrated agreement should be approved pursuant to Section 252(e). Since the agreement between GTEFL and Sprint will result from an arbitration pursuant to Section 252(b), the agreement should be approved under the standards in Section 252(e)(2)(B).

APPROVED

Issue 25: What are the appropriate post-hearing procedures for submission and approval of the final arbitrated agreement?

Recommendation: The parties should submit a written agreement memorializing and implementing the Commission's decision within 30 days of issuance of the Commission's arbitration order. Staff should take a recommendation to agenda so that the Commission can review the submitted agreements pursuant to the standards in Section 252(e)(2)(B) within 30 days after they are submitted.

If the parties cannot agree to the language of the agreement, each party should submit its version of the agreement within 30 days after issuance of the Commission's arbitration order, and the Commission should decide on the language that best incorporates the substance of the Commission's arbitration decisions.

APPROVED

Issue 26: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. In Issue 25 staff has requested that the parties submit a written agreement memorializing and implementing the Commission's decision. Therefore, this docket should remain open.

APPROVED