

Steel Hector & Davis
215 South Monroe Traite 191
Tallahassee Florida 3230 Jes GINAL
904 222 2300
904 222 8410 Fax

Charles A Guyten

May 6, 1997

By Hand Delivery

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

970541-EG

Re: Residential Building Envelope Program

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Petition For Modification of Florida Power & Light Company's Residential Building Envelope Program.

If you or your Staff have any questions regarding this filing, please contact me

Very truly yours,

Charles A. Guyton

CAG/ld encs. TAL/19760-1

> Mian 305 000 305 001 fax

West Palm Beach 561 650 7200 561 655 1509 Fax Key West 305 292 7272 305 292 7271 fan DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

COL 480 MAY-65

582 951 4105

FPSC RESORDS/REPORTING

URIGINAT

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for Modification of)	Docket No.
Florida Power & Light Company's)	
Residential Building Envelope Program)	Filed: May 6, 1997

PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S RESIDENTIAL BUILDING ENVELOPE PROGRAM

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), pursuant to Section 366.82(2), Florida Statutes (1995), hereby petitions the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") to (1) approve the modifications to FPL's Residential Building Envelope Program set forth in this petition and attachments, (2) allow FPL to recover reasonable and prudent expenditures for the modified Residential Building Envelope Program, and (3) include FPL's modified Residential Building Envelope Program as part of FPL's approved DSM Plan. The grounds for this petition are

FPL's address is 9250 West Flagler Street, Miami Florida, 33174. Correspondence, notices, orders and other documents concerning this petition should be sent to:

Matthew M. Childs, P.A. Charles A. Guyton Steel Hector & Davis LLP Suite 601, 215 S. Monroe St. Tallahassee, Florida 32301 William G. Walker Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Florida Power & Light Company 9250 West Flagler Street Miami, Florida 33174

2. FPL is an investor-owned electric utility regulated by the Commission pursuant to Chapter 366, Florida Statutes. FPL is subject to the Florida Energy Efficiency Conservation Act ("FEECA"), Section 366.80-85, 413.519, Florida Statutes (1995), and its Energy Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") clause is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. FPL has

D4480 MAY-65

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Commission approved conservation goals. See, Order No. PSC-94-1313-FOF-EG issued on October 25, 1994. The Commission has previously approved a FPL DSM Plan to meet the goals approved for FPL. See, Order Nos. 95-1343-S-EG, 95-1343A-S-EG. As part of that DSM Plan the Commission approved FPL's Residential Building Envelope Program. FPL has a substantial interest in whether this program is modified as requested by FPL in this petition, approved as part of FPL's DSM Plan, and authorized for cost recovery.

- 3. The objective of the Residential Building Envelope Program is to encourage qualified customers to install an energy-efficient building envelope measure that will cost-effectively reduce FPL's coincident peak air conditioning load and customer energy consumption. Under this program FPL provides incentives to customers to facilitate the installation of efficient building envelope measures. The Residential Building Envelope Program, as FPL proposes to modify it, is more fully described in Appendix A attached to this petition.
- 4. The Residential Building Envelope Program, as modified, will help advance the policy objectives set forth in Rule 25-17.001, Florida Administrative Code and the FEECA. As shown in Appendix A, the Residential Building Envelope Program will reduce an average participant's summer and winter peak demand and energy consumption by .266 kW Summer demand, .520 kW Winter demand, and 537 annual kWh, respectively.
- 5. The Residential Building Envelope Program, as modified, is projected to be costeffective. Appendix B, attached hereto, shows the results of the cost-effectiveness analyses of the program using the Commission's methodology prescribed in Rule 25-17.008, Florida

Administrative Code and supply option cost and performance assumptions from FPL's most recent resource planning study. FPL seeks to modify the Residential Building Envelope Program to make it cost-effective under current planning assumptions. To make the Residential Building Envelope Program cost-effective, FPL has (a) dropped attic / ceiling / roof insulation between R19 and R30 as an eligible measure, and (b) dropped window film, shade screens and high performance windows as eligible measures, and (c) restructured the incentives for the remaining eligible measure, attic/ceiling/roof insulation up to R19, from the current range of \$346 to \$348 per summer kW demand reduction to a level not to exceed \$614 per summer kW demand reduction. None of the measures being dropped are cost-effective; the effect of dropping these measures is to achieve, for the Program, as modified, a benefit/cost ratio greater than 1.0 under the RIM and Participants tests, and to allow the incentive for the remaining measure to increase.

- 6. The Residential Building Envelope Program, as modified, is directly monitorable and will yield measurable results. FPL's monitoring plan is described in Section VI of Appendix A. This is the same monitoring plan which FPL has been following in the existing program, and it has yielded measurable results.
 - 7. FPL is not aware of any disputed issues of material fact.
- FPL respectfully requests that this petition be processed with the Commission's Proposed Agency Action procedure, which is recognized in Section 120.80(13)(b), Florida Statutes.

WHEREFORE, FPL respectfully petitions the Commission to (1) approve the Residential Building Envelope Program, as modified, (2) allow FPL to recover reasonable and prudent expenditures for the Residential Building Envelope Program, as modified, through FPL's ECCR clause, and (3) approve the Residential Building Envelope Program, as modified, as part of FPL's approved DSM Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS LLP Suite 601, 215 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804

Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company

By:			
	Charles A	Guyton	

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for Modification of)	Docket No.
Florida Power & Light Company's)	
Residential Building Envelope Program)	Filed: May 6, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 6th day of May, 1997, a copy of the foregoing Petition for Modification of Florida Power & Light Company's Residential Building Envelope Program was served by hand delivery* or First Class United States Mail on the following:

Robert V. Elias, Esquire*
Chief of Electric & Gas
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Jack Shreve, Esquire
Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
Room 812
111 West Madison Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

By			
Cha	rles A	Guvton	

APPENDIX A RESIDENTIAL BUILDING ENVELOPE PROGRAM

I. Program Description

The Residential Building Envelope Program is designed to encourage qualified customers to install energy-efficient building envelope measures that will cost-effectively reduce FPL's coincident peak air conditioning load and customer energy consumption. The objective is accomplished by providing an incentive to customers to facilitate the installation of efficient building envelope measures

FPL plans to make residential customers aware of this program through contractors, retail outlets and other trade allies, appropriate advertising and promotion activities and direct contact with potential participants by FPL personnel. FPL will continue to facilitate the application of this program to potential low income participants. This will be accomplished by targeting public agencies and governmental housing authorities for program education and implementation. For example, the qualification of a public agency or housing authority as a participating contractor will assist in lowering the installation costs of measures for low income participants. FPL also will assist agencies in the selection of qualified contractors for the installation of the qualified measure if requested to do so.

II. Summary of Program Changes

FPL's existing Residential Building Envelope Program includes attic / ceiling / roof insulation up to R-30, window film, shade screens and high performance windows. Based on an analysis of each of these technologies, only attic / ceiling / roof insulation up to R-19 was found to be cost-effective using the Commission approved cost-effectiveness methodologies. The revised program will only include this cost-effective technology. There will also be a restructuring of the program incentives from the current range of \$346 to \$348 per summer kw demand reduction to no more than \$614 per summer kw demand reduction. This change is possible due to the elimination of non-cost effective measures.

III. Description of Program Administration

The revised Residential Building Envelope Program will be available to all existing residential customers served by FPL who have whole-house electric air conditioning. Whole-house electric air conditioning is defined as a central system(s) or sufficient window/wall units to provide cooling to the majority of the living spaces of the house. Installations required by federal, state, or local building or energy codes when additions and/or renovations are made to existing buildings are not eligible for incentives offered by this program.

An energy audit must be performed under FPL's Residential Conservation Service Program prior to FPL issuing an incentive (Watt-Saver Certificate) for the building envelope measure. Incentive amounts will be based upon the measure's kw reduction and contribution to FPL's coincident peak air conditioning load. An incentive table will be included in FPL's program standards using an

effectiveness analyses included in Appendix B. Watt-Saver Certificates must be given to customers by an FPL representative prior to the installation of the qualifying envelope measure. When the installation is completed, the customer signs and gives the Watt-Saver to the contractor as partial payment for the installation. The contractor then completes the Watt-Saver for payment by FPL

To be eligible for an incentive, the qualifying building envelope measure must be installed according to the manufacturer's recommendations and specifications by contractors who are certified, licensed and insured as deemed necessary by applicable state or local governmental agencies and FPL. All performance claims must be supported by testing procedures and documentation which are acceptable to FPL. All installations must be accessible for verification by FPL.

All incentive requests will be tracked by a computer system, which will record a history of the incentive payments made to customers/contractors.

FPL will file Program Standards for this program. The Program Standards will be subject to periodic review and may change over time based on factors such as, but not limited to, technological advances, operational needs, program results, application assumptions, and incentive amounts.

IV. Projected Participation and Savings

The projected demand and energy savings for a typical installation are .266 kw (summer) and 520 kw (winter), 537 annual kwh. The energy consumption and demand reduction projections are based on evaluation results.

V. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

FPL has used the Commission approved cost-effectiveness methodologies required by Rule 25-17.008 to determine the cost-effectiveness of this program. These cost-effectiveness analyses can be found in Appendix B. These analyses show the following benefit-cost ratios for the Residential Building Envelope Program: 2.65 Participants, 1.02 RIM, 1.79 TRC.

VI. Program Monitoring and Evaluation:

The impact of this program on demand and energy consumption will be evaluated over time by FPL Baseline data will be developed from non-participants, and participants' data will be compared against non-participants' data to establish usage patterns and demand impacts and to validate engineering assumptions.

FPL will utilize any or all three major impact evaluation analysis methods in a manner that most costeffectively meets the overall impact evaluation objectives -- engineering analysis, statistical billing
analysis, and on-site metering research. As these evaluations proceed, the components to be analyzed
and the periods for which data is available will increase, resulting in continual enhancements in the
scope and accuracy of reported evaluation results.

Appendix B

Cost-effectiveness Run

INPUT DATA - PART 1 CONTINUED PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residential Building Envelope

1	PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES	
	(1) CUSTOMER NW REDUCTION AT METER	0.30 kW
	(2) GENERATOR NW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	0.38 FAA
	(3) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	8.32 %
	(4) GENERATOR INVA REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	575.9 kWh
	(5) MAIN LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	6.75 %
	(6) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER	1 0000
	(7) CUSTOMER KWIN INCREASE AT METER	OO MAN
	ECONOMIC LIFE & K FACTORS	
	(1) STUDY PERSOD FOR THE CONSERVATION PROGRAM	25 YEARS
	(2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE	30 YEARS
	(3) TAD ECCNOMIC LIFE	35 YEARS
	(4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION	1 61229
	(B) K FACTOR FOR T & D.	1 44767
	UTILITY & CUSTOMER COSTS	
	(1) UTILITY NON RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	- scust
	(2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER	··· SICUST
	(3) LITILITY COST ESCALATION RATE	4-
	(4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST	- SCUST
	(5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE	8
	(6) CUSTOMER O & M COST	- SICUSTIVE
	(7) CUSTOMER O & M COST ESCALATION RATE	4
	(8) INCREAGED SUPPLY COSTS	- SICUSTIVE
	(9) SUPPLY COSTS ESCALATION RATES.	8
	(10) UTILITY DISCOUNT RATE	9.22 %
	(11) UTILITY APUDC RATE	10.70 %
	(12) UTILITY NON RECURRING REBATE/INCENTIVE	··· SICUST
	(13) UTILITY RECURRING RESATE/INCENTIVE	*** SCUST
	(14) UTBLTY REPATE/INCENTIVE ESCALATION RATE	000 05

 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORKBOOK 	•	SUPPLEMENTAL	INFORMATION NOT	SPECFED	IN WORKBOOK	ζ
--	---	--------------	-----------------	---------	-------------	---

[&]quot; VALUE SHOWN IS FOR FIRST YEAR OILLY (VALUE VARIES OVER TIME)
"PROGRAM COST CALCULATION VALUES ARE SHOWN ON PAGE 2

 AVOIDED GENERATOR AND TAD COS	-

(1) BASE YEAR	1996
(2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT	2001
(3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED TAD	1999-2001
(4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING COST	285 SAW
(5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST	70 SAW
(6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST	50 BANY
(7) GEN, TRAN & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE	255 %**
(6) GENERATOR FRED O & M COST	6 BACHNYR
(9) GENERATOR FIXED OSM ESCALATION RATE	334 %"
(10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O & M COST	2.73 SAW
(11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O & M COST	13 01 SAW
(12) TRD FRED ORM ESCALATION RATE	3.34 %"
(13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS	0.030 CENTSAWN
(14) GENERATOR VARIABLE OMM COST ESCALATION RATE	247 %"
(15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR	30% " (In-earvice year)
(16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST	1 88 CENTS PER KNN" (In-service year)
(17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL COST ESCALATION RATE	500 %"
NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES	
(1) NON FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL	- CENTSAWA
(2) NON-FUEL COST ESCALATION RATE	%

page 2

* MIPU * CATA PART 1 CONTINUED PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residential Building Envelops

	UTILITY	(2)	(3)	(4) TOTAL	(5) ENERGY	(6) DEMAND	(7)	(5)	(9)	(10)
	PROGRAM COSTS		OTHER	UTILITY	CHARGE	CHARGE	PARTICIPANT	PARTICIPANT	OTHER	TOTAL
	WITHOUT	UTILITY	UTILITY	PROGLAM	REVENUE	REVENUE	EQUIPMENT	OLM	PARTICIPANT	PARTICIPANT
	INCENTIVES	INCENTIVES	COSTS	COSTS	LOSSES	LOSSES	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS	COSTS
YEAR	8(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	8(000)	8(000)	8(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)
1995	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1987	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1998	276	2 148	0	2 424	257	0	4,184	0	0	4,184
1988	224	1,706	0	1,929	736	0	2,386	0	0	3,306
2000	170	1,282	0	1,431	1,087	0	2,500	0	0	2,500
2001	0	0	0	0	1,237	0	0	0	0	0
2002	0	0	0	0	1,240	0	0	0	0	0
2003	•	0	0	0	1,250	0	0	0	0	0
2004	. 0	0	0	0	1,270	0	0	0	0	0
2005	0	0	0	0	1,288	0	0	0	0	0
2008		0	0	0	1,306	0	0	0	0	0
2007		0	0	0	1.330	0	0	0	0	0
2000		0	0	0	1,385	0	0	0	0	0
2008	0	0	0	0	1,388	0	0	0	0	0
2010		0	0	0	1,511	0	0	0	0	0
2011	•	0	0	0	1,827	0	0	0	0	0
2012	0	0	0	0	1,860	0	0	0	0	0
2013	0	0	U	0	1,580	0	0	0	0	0
2014	0	0	0	0	1,633	0	0	0	0	0
2015	0	0	0	•	1,631	0	0	0	0	0
3016		0	0	0	1,054	0	0	0	0	0
2017	0	0	0	0	1,663	0	0	0	0	0
2016		0	0	0	1,712	0	0	0	0	0
2010	•	0	•	•	1,741	0	0	0	0	
3030	0	•	0	0	1,772	0	0	0	0	0

MOM	670	5,114	0	5,784	31,749	0	10,149	0	0	10,148
MPV	823	3,995	0	4518	10,368	0	7,918	. 0	0	7,918

^{*} SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORKBOOK

[&]quot;NEGATIVE COSTS WILL BE CALCULATED AS POSITIVE BENEFITS FOR TRC AND RIM TESTS

CALCULATION OF GEN'S FACTOR PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residential Building Envelope

	:	, 3,	(4)	15	6.	•,	(6)	.9	13;	11.	-12/
										PRESENT	
						OTHER			TOTAL	WORTH	CUMULATIVE
	MID-YEAR		PREFERRED	COMMON	INCOME	TAXES &		DEFERRED	FUED	FIXED	PW FUED
	RATE BASE	DEBT	STOCK	EQUITY	TAKES	INSURANCE	DEPREC	TAXES	CHARGES	CHARGES	CHARGES
YEAR	\$(000	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$,000)	\$(000)	8(000)
2001	4 152	150	0	285	176	58	139	9	826	826	626
2002	3 977	152	0	273	113	58	139	63	799	732	1 557
2003	3 779	145	0	260	113	56	139	55	770	645	2,203
2004	3 580	137	0	247	113	58	139	47	741	580	2,771
2005	1 408	130	0	234	112	58	130	40	714	502	3,273
2008	3.233	124	0	222	112	58	130	33	686	442	3,715
2007	3.084	117	0	211	111	58	130	27	862	380	4.105
2008	2,902	111	0	169	109	50	139	21	638	344	4 449
2000	2.742	105	0	100	103	50	130	20	614	303	4,752
2010	2,984	60	0	170	97	58	138	20	560	267	5.019
2011	2.425	83	0	167	80	58	138	20	566	234	5,253
2012	2,367	87	0	158	63	58	130	20	542	205	5.450
2013	2,108	81	0	145	76	58	130	20	519	190	5,638
2014	1,949	75	0	134	66	50	139	20	495	157	5 798
2015	1,791	•	0	123	65	58	139	20	471	137	5.932
2018	1,632	62	0	112	96	60	130	20	447	119	6,051
2017	1,473	56	0	101	49	58	139	20	423	103	6,155
2018	1,316	50	0	80	42	50	130	20	300		6,244
2018	1,198	44	0	78	36	58	130	20	376	77	6,320
2020	997	30	0	•	28	58	138	20	352	•	6,365
3021	695	33	0	50	57	50	130	(15)	331	97	6,443
2022	790	29	0	52	66	69	130	(51)	315	49	0,462
2023	665	25	0	46	84	60	139	(51)	301	43	6,536
2024	574	22	0	30	80	50	130	(51)	200	30	6,673
2026	405	19	0	33	76	99	139	(51)	275	33	6,608
2036	367	15	0	27	73	99	130	(51)	262	20	0,035
2027	309	12	0	21	69	58	130	(51)	248	25	6.660
2029	221		0	15	65	96	130	(51)	235	22	6,662
2029	132	5	0	•	61	98	130	(51)	222	19	6,701
2090	44	2	0	3	57	58	138	(51)	200	16	6,717

IN SERVICE COST (SISSE)	4,100
IN BERVACE YEAR	2001
BOOK LIFE (VRS)	30
EFFEC TAX RATE	38.575
DISCOUNT RATE	9 22%
OTAX & BIS RATE	1 40%

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

SOURCE	WEIGHT	COST	1
DEBT	45%	8 50	1%
P/S	0%	0 00	1
C/8	55%	6 50 0 00 12 50	×

K-FACTOR = CPWFC / IN-SVC COST =

1 61229

0.00%

DEFERRED TAIL AND MID YEAR RATE BASE CALCILLATION PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM MANER Revidence Building Envelope

16. ,131 (11) .3 (14) (15) 1) ACCUMULATED DEFERRED ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION ACCUMULATED **BOOK DEPR** TAK TOTAL ANDUAL ACCUMBILATED TAK TAK TAK BOOK BOOK FOR FOR DUE TO EQUITY **BOOK DEPR** (10)711) SAL VACE DEFERRED TAX DEFERRED DEPRECIATION DEPRECIATION DEPRECIATION DEPRECIATION DEPRECIATION DEFERRED TAX DEFERRED TAX DEPRECIATION AFUDC RATE TAK RATE TAX RATE (9)-(12)-(13) TAX YEAR SCHEDULE 2(000) \$(000) 8(000) \$(000) \$40001 \$10000 \$(000) \$(000) MINUS TAIFE \$(000) 8r000) 8(000) 8(0000) 373% (51) 7 22% 6 00% 6 18% 5 71% 1.208 1,424 5.29% 4 88% 1.624 n 4 52% 1,809 1 111 1 048 4 46% 1.982 1,250 1,180 4 40% 2.174 1.300 1 312 2.356 1 538 1 443 2.530 1.00 1.574 4 49% 1,705 2,721 1,805 4 48% 2 804 1.964 1.836 1.000 2.083 1,967 3.200 2 222 2.000 2.381 2 230 1,634 2,500 2.301 3,816 2.630 2,462 2,623 2,930 2 23% 4,080 2,916 2,754 (15) (15) 0.00% 4.080 2.005 (51) (51) 4.000 3 194 3.017 0.00% (51) (51) 0.00% 4,080 (51) (51) 4.000 3,279 (51) 0.00% (51) 3,410 0.00% 4.080 (51) (51) 0.00% 4,080 3,750 3,541 (51) (51) 4 000 (51) 0.00% (51) 0.00% 4,080 4.027 1.804 (51) (51)

3 935

(51)

(51)

BALWAGE / REMOVING COST	0.00
YEAR SALVAGE / COST OF REMOVAL	2029
DEFERRED TAXES OURSING CONSTRUCTION (SEE PAGE 5)	(80)
TOTAL EQUITY APUDC CAPITALIZED (SEE PAGE 5)	231
BOOK DEPR RATE - WUSEFUL LIFE	3 33%

4,080

4,166

DEFERRED TAX AND MID YEAR RATE BASE CALCULATION PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REY_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residented Building Envelope

	ď.	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) END OF YEAR	(5e)*	(56)*	(6)	(7)	(8)
		TAX DEPRECIATION	TAX DEPRECIATION	DEFERRED TAX	NET PLANT IN SERVICE	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	ACCUMULATED DEF TAXES	BEGINNING YEAR RATE BASE	ENDING OF YEAR RATE BASE	MID-YEAR RATE BASE
	YEAR	SCHEDULE	8(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	8(000)	8(000)	\$(000)
1,22	2001	3 75%		•	4.027	139	(51)	4,226	4,078	4,152
	2002	7 22%	286	63	3,000	278	12	4,078	3,676	3,977
	2003	6 69%	273	55	3,790	417	67	3,676	3,663	3,779
	2004	6 18%	253	47	3,611	565	114	3,663	3,467	3,580
	2008	8 71%	234	40	3,472	694	153	3,467	3,318	3,408
	2006	\$ 29%	216	33	3,333	633	186	3,316	3,147	3.233
	2007	4 00%	200	27	3,194	972	213	3,147	2,981	3,064
	2000	4 52%	185	21	3,056	1,111	233	2,981	2,622	2,902
	2008	4 40%	162	20	2,916	1,250	253	2,622	2,663	2,742
	2010	4 40%	162	20	2,777	1,389	273	2,683	2,505	2,584
	2011	4 49%	162	20	2,630	1,528	293	2,905	2,346	2,425
	2012	440%	162	20	2,900	1,088	312	2,346	2,187	2,267
	2013	4.40%	162	20	2,381	1,808	332	2,187	2,029	2,108
	3014	4.40%	162	20	2,222	1,944	362	2,029	1,870	1,949
	2015	4.40%	162	20	2,083	2,083	372	1,870	1,711	1,791
	2016	4 40%	162	20	1,844	2,222	362	1,711	1,563	1,632
	2017	4 40%	162	20	1,606	2,381	411	1,563	1,394	1,473
	2018	4.49%	162	30	1,006	2,800	431	1,394	1,236	1,315
	2019	4.49%	162	20	1,630	2,630	451	1,236	1,077	1,185
	2020	4 40%	182	20	1,389	2,777	. 471	1,077	918	997
	3021	2.23%	91	(15)	1,250	2,916	405	918	794	806
	2022	0.60%	0	(\$1)	1,111	3,066	405	794	708	750
	2023	0.00%	0	(81)	872	3,194	364	708	618	662
	2004	0.00%	0	(51)	833	3,333	304	618	530	574
	2005	0.00%	0	(51)	694	1.472	253	530	441	405
	2006	0.00%	0	(51)	566	3,611	202	441	363	397
	2027	0.00%	ō	(51)	417	3,780	162	353	205	309
	2026	0.00%	0	(61)	278	3,000	101	285	177	221
	2029	0.00%		(51)	130	4,027	51	177	90	132
	2026	0.00%		(51)	0	4,106	Ö	86	-	44

^{*} Column not specified in worldrook

	m	(2) (3) NO YEARS PLANT BEFORE ESCALATI		(4) CUMULATIVE ESCALATION	(5) YEARLY EXPENDITURE	(6) ANNUAL SPENDING	(7) CUMULATIVE AVERAGE SPENDING
_	YEAR	IN-SERVICE	RATE	FACTOR	(%)	(SAW)	(SAW)
	1996		0.00%	1 000	0 00%	0 00	0.00
	1987	4	2 55%	1 026	0 00%	0 00	0.00
	1990	3	2 55%	1 062	0 00%	0 00	0.00
	1999	-2	2 67%	1 080	36 77%	113 15	58 57
	2000	-1	2 89%	1 111	63 23%	200 20	213 25

100.00% 313.34

YEAR	HO.YEARS BEFORE BI-SERVICE	(8) CUMULATIVE SPENDING WITH AFUDC (\$8,000)	(Ba)* DEST AFUDC (SAM)	CLARLATIVE DEST AFLIDC (BRAN)	YEARLY TOTAL AFUDC (\$AM)	(Ba)* CUMRRATINE TOTAL AFUDC (BAM)	(%)* CONSTRUCTION PERIOD INTEREST (\$AVV)	(9c)* CUMULATIVE CPI (8AW)	(9d)* DEFERRED TAXES (SAW)	(90)* CUMULATIVE DEFERRED TAXES (SAW)	(10) INCREMENTAL YEAR-END BOOK VALUE (\$6W)	(11) CUMULATIVE YEAR-END BOOK VALUE (SAW)
1906	4	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00	0 00
1987	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1986	-3	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00
1986	-2	56 57	2 16	2 16	6.05	6.05	4.81	481	(1 02)	(1 02)	119.20	119 20
2000	-1	219.30	843	10 89	23.57	29 63	18.53	23 34	(3 90)	(4 92)	223.77	342 97

10.59 28.63 23.34 (4.92) 342.97

IN SERVICE YEAR 2001
PLANT COSTS 285
AFUDC RATE 10 70%

	BOOK BASIS	BOOK BASIS FOR DEF TAX	TAX BASIS
CONSTRUCTION CASH	3,808	3,806	3,806
EQUITY AFUDC	231		
DEBT AFUDC	129	129	
CPI	75555	.3800	284
TOTAL	4,168	3,935	4,090

^{*} Column not specified in workbook

INPUT DATA -- PART 2 PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residential Building Envelope

11	:	,3,	(4) UTILITY	151	.6,*	17,	(8)	(9,
	CUMULATIVE	ADJUSTED	AVERAGE	AVOIDED	INCREASED			
	TOTAL	CUMULATIVE	SYSTEM	MARGINAL	MARGINAL	REPLACEMENT	PROGRAM KW	PROGRAM AWN
	PARTICIPATING	PARTICIPATING	FUEL COST	FUEL COST	FUEL COST	FUEL COST	EFFECTIVENESS	EFFECTIVENESS
YEAR	CUSTOMERS	CUSTOMERS	(CAWh)	(CAWh)	(CAMA)	(CAWA)	FACTOR	FACTOR
1995	0	0	0.00	2 63	2 41	0.00	1 00	1 00
1997	0	0	0 00	263	2 43	0 00	1 00	1 00
1996	13 146	13 146	0 00	2 82	2 54	0 00	1 00	1 00
1999	23 579	23.579	0 00	2 94	2 58	0 00	1 00	1 00
2000	31 300	31,300	0 00	3 18	2 69	0.00	1 00	1 00
2001	31 300	31,300	0 00	3 50	2 91	2 26	100	1 00
2002	31 300	31,300	0.00	3 48	2 80	2 50	1 00	1 00
2003	31 300	31,300	0 00	3 47	2 08	2.49	1 00	1 00
2004	31 300	31,300	0.00	3 76	3 09	2 98	1 00	1 00
2005	31,300	31,300	0.00	3 91	3 21	3 22	1 00	1 00
2008	31,300	31,300	0 00	4 14	3 41	3 45	1 00	1 00
2007	31,300	31,300	0 00	4 40	3 62	3.76	1 00	1 00
2008	31,300	31,200	0.00	4 55	3 77	3 67	1 00	100
2008	31,300	31,300	0.00	4.81	3.97	3 83	1 00	1 00
2010	31,300	31,300	0.00	5 13	4 08	4.36	1 00	1 00
2011	31,300	31,300	0.00	5 41	442	476	100	1 00
2012	31,300	31,300	0.00	5.70	463	4.74	1 00	1 00
2013	31,300	31,300	0.00	5.00	4.72	5.02	100	1 00
2014	31,300	31,300	0.00	6.11	4.00	5.00	1 00	1 00
2015	31,300	31,300	0.00	6.58	5.30	5.67	1 00	1 00
2016	31,300	31,300	000	6.62	5.43	5.80	1 00	100
2017	31,300	31,300	0.00	7 03	5.96	5 95	1 00	1 00
2018	31,300	31,300	0.00	7.38	5.81	6.33	100	1 00
3019	31,300	31,300	0.00	7 63	5.96	6.44	100	1 00
2020	31,300	31 300	0.00	782	6.14	6.53	1.00	100

^{*} THIS COLUMN IS USED ONLY FOR LOAD SHIFTING PROGRAMS WHICH SHIFT CONSUMPTION TO OFF-PEAK PERIODS. THE VALUES REPRESENT THE OFF PEAK SYSTEM FUEL COSTS.

AVOIDED GENERATING BENEFITS PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residential Building Envelope

YEAR	(2) AVOIDED GEN UNIT CAPACITY COST 8(000)	AVOIDED GEN UNIT FIXED OLM \$(000)	(4) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE OEM 8(000)	(5) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL COST 8(000)	(6) REPLACEMENT FUEL COST \$(000)	(7) AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS 8(000)
1995	0	0	0	0	0	0
1997	0	0	0	0	0	0
1980	0	0	0	0	0	0
1988	0	0	0	0	0	0
2000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2001	826	116	11	590	717	834
2002	790	121		471	586	804
2003	770	126	6	395	438	859
2004	741	132	7	435	530	775
2006	714	137	7	516	608	765
2006	600	143	8	630	880	778
2007	662	149		707	798	771
2009	636	156	8	742	736	808
2000	614	163	7	627	906	745
2010	980	170	4	361	442	662
2011	986	177	4	377	474	651
2012	542	185		711	836	608
2013	519	163		715	836	906
2014	405	202		671	786	905
2015	471	211		819	836	572
2018	447	221	7	671	799	550
2017	423	230		540	864	545
2018	360	240	7	857	775	\$26
2019	376	260	7	661	763	520
2020	362	281		611	728	804

		CALCULATION OF THE PARTY OF THE	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN		The District of the Control of the C	
NOM	11,631	3,562	143	11,804	13,775	13,484
NPV	4,108	1,000	47	3,580	4,148	4,987

AVOIDED TAD AND PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residence Building Envelope

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) TOTAL	(5)	(6)	(7) TOTAL	(0)	(8e)*
	AVOIDED TRANSMISSION	AVOIDED TRANSMISSION	AVOIDED TRANSMISSION	AVOIDED	AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION	AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION	PROGRAM	PROGRAM OFF-PEAK
	CAP COST	OSM COST	COST	CAP COST	OMM COST	COST	FUEL SAVINGS	PAYBACK
YEAR	8(000)	\$(000)	\$(000)	8(000)	8(000)	8(000)	8(000)	\$(000)
1990	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1987	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1988	•	0	0	0	0	0	117	0
1990	65	15	62	43	67	111	347	0
2000	117	29	146	77	125	202	574	0
3001	153	40	163	100	173	273	755	0
3003	147	41	189	86	160	277	725	0
2008	142	43	105	83	188	201	725	0
2004	136	46	101	00	186	205	791	0
2006	131	47	178	86	204	290	821	0
2006	126	40	175	83	213	295	872	0
2007	121	61	172	79	222	302	926	0
2809	116	53	170	76	232	308	957	0
3600	112	66	167	73	342	315	1,014	0
3010	107	50	105	70	262	323	1,088	0
2011	102	60	163	67	264	331	1,145	0
3012	99	63	181	64	275	339	1,210	0
2013	63	65	199	61	298	349	1,257	0
2014			157	90	301	300	1,305	0
2015	84	72	186	55	314	300	1,404	0
2016	79	76	154	52	329	360	1,481	0
2017	74	78	153	40	343	201	1,510	0
2010	70	82	151	46	367	403	1,580	0
2019	66	85	150	43	372	415	1,848	0
2020	61	60	180	40	386	428	1,885	0

						the state of the s		
NOM. NPV	2,289	1,295	3,554	1,489	5,526	7,036	23,934	0
MPV	883	373	1,298	578	1,629	2,208	7,080	0

^{*} THESE VALUES REPRESENT THE COST OF THE INCREASED FUEL CONSUMPTION DUE TO GREATER OFF-PEAK ENERGY USAGE USED FOR LOAD SHIFTING PROGRAMS ONLY

TOTAL RESOURCE COST TEST PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residential Building Envelope

11,	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
YEAR	SUPPLY COSTS S(000)	PROGRAM COSTS S(000)	PARTICIPANT PROGRAM COSTS S(000)	OTHER COSTS 9(000)	TOTAL COSTS S(000)	AVOIDED GEN UNIT BENEFITS S(000)	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS \$(000)	PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS 8(000)	OTHER BENEFITS \$(000)	TOTAL BENEFITS 8(000)	MET BEMEFITS S(000)	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED MET SEMEFITS \$(000)
1996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1987	0	0	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
1886	0	276	4,184	0	4,460	0	0	117	0	117	(4.343)	(3,640)
1980	0	224	3,366	0	3,630	0	192	347	0	530	(3,080)	(6,004)
2000	0	170	2.560	0	2,730	0	349	574	0	922	(1,817)	(7,261)
2001	0	0	0	0	•	834	405	755	0	2.056	2,066	(3.990)
2002	0	0	0	0	0	804	465	725	0	1,984	1,884	(4,784)
2003	0	0	0	•	0	050	405	725	0	2,049	2,049	(3.000)
2004	0	0	0	0	•	775	400	791	0	2,032	2,032	(2,677)
2005	0	0	0	0	0	765	400	821	0	2,064	2,064	(1,748)
2006	0	0	0	•	•	778	470	672	0	2,120	2,120	(871)
2007	0	0	•	•	•	771	474	926	0	2,171	2,171	(40)
2009	0	0	0	•	0	808	478	957	0	2,243	2,243	729
2000	0	0	0		•	746	402	1,014	0	2,841	2,241	1,441
2010	0	0	0		0	665	487	1,089	0	2,300	2,300	2,100
2011	0	0	0	•	•	661	463	1,145	0	2,300	2,260	
3012	0	0	0	•	•	608	500	1,210	0	2,318	2,316	3,278
3013	0	0	0	•	0	000	907	1,257	0	2,363	2,363	3,002
3014	0	0	0	•	0	060	516	1,305	0	2,408	2,409	4,294
2015	0	0	0	•	•	572	525	1,404	0	2,900	2,500	4,702
2016	0	0	0	•	0	860	534	1,481	0	2,546	2,546	8,189
2017	0	0	0		0	546	544	1,510	0	2,500	2,500	
2018	•	0	0	0	0	829	864	1,500	0	2,670	2,670	1 277530
2019		0	0	•	•	\$20	505	1,048	0	2,733	2,733	
9090		0	0		0	504	678	1 005		2 767	2 707	

NOM	0	670	10,149		10,818	13,494	10,580	23,834	0	47,860	37,180
NPV		623	7,818	0	8.441	4,587	3,494	7,080		15,121	6,000

Discourt Rate Sensit/Cost Ratio (Col(11) / Col(6)) 922 %

PARTICIPANT COSTS AND BENEFITS PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residences Building Envelops

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
YEAR	SAVINGS BI PARTICIPANTS BILLS S(000)	TAX CREDITS 8(000)	UTILITY REBATES 8(000)	OTHER BENEFITS 8(000)	TOTAL SEMEFITS S(000)	CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COSTS 8(000)	CUSTOMER OBM COSTS 8(000)	OTHER COSTS 8(000)	TOTAL COSTS S(000)	MET BENEFITS S(000)	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED MET BENEFITS 8(000)
1996	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1987	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1986	(22	0	2,148	0	2,570	4.184	0	0	4 184	(1,614)	(1,353)
1986		0	1,705	0	2.911	3,386	0	0	3,386	(485)	(1,725)
2000	1,762	0	1,282	0	3,043	2,989	0	0	2,580	474	(1,382)
2001	2,029	0	0	0	2,020	0	0	0	0	2,029	(87)
2002	2,662	0	0	0	2,092	0	0	0	0	2,032	1,110
2000	2,049	0	0	0	2,049	0	0	0	0	2,049	2,215
2004		0	0	0	2,082	0	0	0	0	2,082	3,243
2006	2,670	0	0	0	2,079	0	0	0	0	2,079	4,182
2006	2,141	0	0	0	2,141	0	0	0	0	2,141	5,088
2007		0	0	0	2,180	0	0	0	0	2,180	5,684
2000	2,237	0	0	0	2,237	0	0	0	0	2,237	6,670
2000		0	0	0	2.292	0	0	0	0	2,292	7,388
2010		0	0	0	2,478	0	0	0	0	2,478	6,119
2011		0	0	0	2,904	0	0	0	0	2,504	8,785
3012		0	0	0	2,986	0	0	0	0	2,586	9,408
2013		0	0	0	2,880	0	0	0	0	2,880	9,996
2014		0	0	0	2,677	0	•	0	0	2,677	10,833
2019		0	0	0	2,674	•	•	•	0	2,674	11,033
2010		0	0	0	2,712	0	0	0	0	2,712	11,487
2017		0	0	0	2,798	0	0	•	0	2,758	11,830
2014		0	0	0	2,808	0	0	0	0	2,806	12,332
2019		0	0	0	2,895	0	0	0	0	2,895	12,708
2020	2 486		0	0	2 906		0	0	0	2 905	13.057

				The second district of				The state of the s		
MOM	52,045	0	5,114	0	57,189	10,149	0	0	10,140	47,011
MPV	16,980	0	3,995	0	20,975	7,918	0	0	7.918	13,067

In Service of Gen Unit.
Discount Rate
Benefit/Cost Ratio (Col(6) / Col(10))

2001 9.22 % 2.65

RATE IMPACT TEST PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV_REQ PROGRAM NAME Residented Building Envelope

(3)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(4)	(7)	(8)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
YEAR	SUPPLY COSTS S(000)	UTILITY PROGRAM COSTS S(000)	BICENTIVES 8(000)	REVENUE LOSSES S(000)	OTHER COSTS 8(000)	TOTAL COSTS S(000)	AVOIDED GEN UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS 8(000)	AVOIDED T&D BENEFITS 8(000)	REVENUE GAINS \$(000)	OTHER BENEFITS \$(000)	TOTAL BENEFITS \$(000)	NET BENEFITS 8(000)	CUMULATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFITS 8(000)
1986	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1987	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1986	0	276	2,148	257	0	2,681	117	0	0	0	117	(2,586)	(2,180)
1900	0	224	1,705	736	0	2,864	347	192	0	0	530	(2,125)	(3,781)
2000	0	170	1,262	1,087	0	2,518	574	340	0	0	922	(1,506)	(4,802)
2001	0	0	0	1,237	0	1,237	1,589	406	0	0	2,056	818	(4,376)
2002	0	0	0	1,240	0	1,240	1,529	405	0	0	1,994	756	(3,881)
2003	0	0	0	1,290	0	1,250	1,583	495	0	0	2,049	798	(3,601)
2004	0	0	0	1,270	0	1,270	1,585	488	0	0	2,032	762	(3,125)
2005	0	0	0	1,200	0	1,388	1,586	408	0	0	2,064	796	(2,770)
2006	0	0	0	1,308	0	1,308	1,090	470	0	0	2,120	814	(2.433)
2007	0	0	0	1,330	0	1,330	1,007	474	0	0	2,171	841	2114
2000	0	0	0	1,396	0	1,386	1,765	478	0	0	2,243	678	(1,810)
2008	0	0	0	1,388	0	1,388	1,790	492	0	0	2,241	943	(1,542)
2010	0	0	0	1,511		1,511	1,781	497	0	0	2,200	757	(1,322)
2011	0	0	0	1,527	0	1,827	1,796	483	0	0	2,260	761	(1,118)
2012	0	0	0	1,550	0	1,589	1,818	500	0	0	2,318	789	(834)
2013	0	0	0	1,680	0	1,580	1,898	907	0	0	2,363	763	(799)
2014	0	0	0	1,633	0	1,633	1,884	516	0	0	2,400	776	(601)
2015	0	0	0	1,631	0	1,631	1,875	525	0	0	2,900	900	(4000)
2016	0	0	0	1,654	0	1,854	2,011	534	0	0	2,545	891	(200)
2017	0	0	0	1,003	0	1,683	2,055	544	0	0	2,589	917	(142)
2018	0	0	0	1,712	0	1,712	2,116	564	0	0	2,670	956	(5)
2019	0	0	•	1,741	0	1,741	2,168	585	0	0	2,733	991	128
2020	0	0	0	1,772	0	1,772	2,189	578	0	0	2,767	995	245

NOM	0	670	5,114	31,748	0	37,532	37,419	10,580	0	0	47,999	10,467
NPV	0	523	3,985	10,358	0	14,876	11,657	3,484	0	0	15,121	245

Discourt Rate Benefit/Cost Ratio (Col(12) / Cot(7)) 9.22 %