

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

**DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE** 

04681 HAY-95

```
PROCESSING DATE: 95/05/17
                                                               NETWORK PROFILE SYSTEM
                                                                                                                                  PAG
                                                                   E A S STUDY
POINT TO POINT
            TIME: 15:27:00
      REPORT ID: NPS09030
                - ANNUAL CUSTOMER EAS TOLL STUDY - 04/95
STUDY TITLE
STUDY DATE
                           (GTE FLORIDA, INCORPORATED)
       COMPANY - (0328)
       STATE - (FL)
FROM EXCHANGE:
                            (FLORIDA
                            CHAINES CITY
         TO EXCHANGE:
                            CINDIAN LAKE
                                                      5
              ACCOUNTS
                                                                                                  MESSAGES
CALLS
                              MESSAGES
                                                ACCOUNTS
                                                                                  ACCOUNTS
                                                                                                                      REVENUE
  00
  01
```

MENN END OF REPORT MANN

TOTAL

REDACTED

David E. Robinson - GTE Florid: Late-Filed Exhibit No. 7

MANN END OF REPORT NAME

06 07 08

TOTAL

10

**REDACTED** 

Notice No. 930999-11.
Navid E. Robinson - GTE Florids
Arb-Filed Exhibit No. 7

## Economic Impact of EAS and ECS Under Old Assumptions

Staff has asked GTEFL to calculate the revenue impact on the Company of EAS and ECS on the routes at issue in this docket. Such financial impact calculations have been feasible to do in the past because they rested on the assumptions that if EAS is ordered, it will foreclose toll competition and that the local exchange company will have 100% of the local (as well as the intraLATA toll) market. These assumptions are no longer true. Under Chapter 364 and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, the local exchange has been opened to competition.

In this new environment, it is impossible to know her much market share and revenue GTEFL will lose to its local competitors, which include such formidable challengers as AT&T, MCI, and Sprint. As such, it is impossible to do reliable calculations of revenue impact of EAS and ECS. This exhibit is submitted under the understanding that GTEFL will use the "old" assumptions that held true when GTEFL had a local exchange monopoly. GTEFL emphasizes, however, that it should not and cannot be used as evidence of the revenue impact of mandatory EAS or ECS on GTEFL.

Docket No. 880699-TL Dayld E. Robinson - GTE Florida Late-Filed Exhibit No. 9 Page 1 of 1

## Economic Impact of EAS and ECS Under Old Assumptions

A. EAS	A	B	C	D
	Haines City to:	EAS Revenue	Toli Revenue	Difference
173456	Bartow Frostproof Indian Lake Lakeland Mulberry Polk City			
7	All			
B. ECS				
	Haines City to:			
8 9 10 11 12 13	Bartow Frostproof Indian Lake Lakeland Mulberry Polk City			
14	All			
ECS (Stimulated 50%)				
	Haines City to:			
17 18 19 20	Bartow Frostproof Indian Lake Lakeland Mulberry Polk City			
-2/	All			

C. Economic Impact of GTE Local Celling Plan

The Local Calling Plan put forth in this docket is designed to be revenue neutral. The rates for usage as well as the line rates are designed to generate approximately the same amount of revenue as was generated in a toll environment.

## REDACTED