AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

FILE COPY

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
1904) 224-9115 FAX 19041 222-7560

July 9, 1997

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket Nos. 970172-TP, 970173-TP and 970281-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed are the original and fifteen (15) copies of ALLTEL Florida, Inc.'s Exhibit to the Direct Testimony of Harriet E. Eudy filed yesterday. This Exhibit was inadvertently omitted from the filing, but was served on the parties in accordance with the certificate of service.

Sincerely,

J. Jeffry Wahlen esu

Enclosures

| ACK | cc: | All p | parties | of re | cord | (w/o | encl.) |
|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|
| AFA 3 | all/970 | 281.byo | | | | | |
| APP | | | | | | | |
| CAF | A. | | | | | | |
| CMU Z | elyle. | | | | | | |
| CTR | | | | | | | |
| EAG | | | | | | | |
| LEG _ | 15 | | | | | | |
| LIN S | 1810 | | | | | | |
| OPC | | | | | 100 | | |
| RCH | - | | RECEIVED | & FILED | | 1.00 | |
| SEC | - | _/ | XX | BOOK OF THE | | The Co | |
| WAS | | / | | | AND PERSONS ASSESSED. | Alberto et | |

06883 JUL-95

APPENDED TO A PERSONAL PROPERTY.

DOCKET NO. 970281-TL
DOCKET NO. 970172-TP
DOCKET NO. 970173-TP
EXHIBIT (HEE-1)
H. E. EUDY
ALLTEL FLORIDA, INC.

FCC Letter

Access Tariffs à sparcementon Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 97-1228

June 11, 1997

The Honorable Thomas B. Getz Director and Executive Secretary New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 8 Old Suncook Road Concord, NH 03301-7319

> Re: Request for Clarification of Certain Payphone-Related Subsidies: CC Docket No. 96-128

Dear Mr. Getz:

o to space

17.24

TILL

In your letter of May 23, 1997, on behalf of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("NH PUC"), you request staff clarification of a statement in a recent order released by the Common Carrier Bureau ("the Bureau"). More specifically, you seek clarification of whether it is appropriate for NH PUC to remove a payphone subsidy from the intra-LATA toll service rates of a local exchange carrier ("LEC").

By way of background, Section 276 of the Communications Act' mandated that the Commission take all actions necessary to:

discontinue the intrastate and interstate carrier access charge payphone service elements and payments in effect on such date of enactment, and all intrastate and interstate payphone subsidies from basic exchange and exchange access revenues, in favor of a compensation plan...

Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-678 (Com. Car. Bur., rel. Apr. 4, 1997) at para. 30 ("subsidies be removed from the intrastate local exchange service and access service rates") ("Burney Waiver Order").

The Commission implemented this statutory mandate in the rules it enacted in the Payphone Reclassification Order.

In paragraph 186 of the <u>Pavphone Reclassification Order</u>, the Commission delegated to the states the authority to identify the subsidies that must be terminated pursuant to Section 276 and the Commission's orders:

States must determine the intrastate rate elements that must be removed to eliminate any intrastate subsidies within this time frame.

The Bureau clarifies here, pursuant to your specific request, that a state has the authority to determine the subsidies that exist for a LEC's payphone operations, regardless of where the subsidies may be located, including within intraLATA toll service rates. In accordance with Section 276 and the Commission's orders in the Payphone Reclassification Proceeding, these subsidies must then be terminated. The language from Bureau Waiver Order, as cited in your letter, does not contradict this directive by the Commission.

We trust that this clarification responds sufficiently to your letter request.

A 1

John BU Milets

Chief

Enforcement Division Common Carrier Bureau

Compensation provisions of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Report and Order, FCC 96-388 (rel. Sept. 20, 1996) ("Payahoma Beclassification Order"); Order on Reconsideration, FCC 96-439 (rel. Nov. 8, 1996) ("Order on Reconsideration"), appeal docketed sub nom. Illinois Public Telecommunications Assn. v. FCC and United States, Case No. 96-1394 (D.C. Cir., filed Oct. 17, 1996).

payphone Reclassification Order at para. 186.

Id.

Bureau Waiver Order at para. 30.