

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 5, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 961230-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation for arbitration with United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant Sprint's Motion for Reconsideration? Recommendation: No. The Commission should deny Sprint's Motion for Reconsideration. The motion fails to present any point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider when it made its decision in the first instance.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of Joe Marcus, Susan Clark, and others under the MAJORITY column.

Four horizontal lines under the DISSENTING column, all of which are blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

001587 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07974 AUG-6 5

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

VOTE SHEET

AUGUST 5, 1997

DOCKET NO. 961230-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation for arbitration with United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant Sprint's Motion for Clarification regarding Sprint's obligation under the call-termination section of Order No. PSC-97-0294-FOF-TP to provide cost studies for every end office for which Sprint has not already provided studies and grant Sprint an extension of time to file those studies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should clarify Order No. PSC-97-0294-FOF-TP to explain that, under the call-termination section of Order No. PSC-97-0294-FOF-TP, Sprint is not required to provide studies for every end office for which Sprint has not already provided studies. Sprint should conduct studies to the extent necessary to identify the appropriate zone for each central office.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should the Commission grant Sprint an extension of time to file the cost studies required by Order No. PSC-97-0294?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should grant Sprint an extension of time of 120 days from the date that the order on reconsideration is issued to file the cost studies required by Order No. PSC-97-0294 as clarified.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open pending review of the cost studies Sprint is required to provide under the terms of Order No. PSC-97-0294-FOF-TP.

APPROVED

001588