

RECEIVED

97 AUG TI PH 3: 12

JAMES A. MCGEF

July 31, 1997

Via RedEx

DIS Florida

8 **Facilities** of Early Florida

8 Pago Cogen, ition for Expe dited Approval of Ltd., by Florida

5 lapedited Approval of en, Lad., by Florida

CAF AFP Dear Ms. Moore:

AFA ACK

CTR CMU EAG ly 23, 1997 in the subject dockets he conflict of interest that may and an employee of

filed its Notice of Conflict ral District Court staff attorney k MacRac

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

08356 AUG 185

3201 Th

and the Florida Power employee. Judge Lacey was also asked to provide his views on whether the relationship had any impact on proceedings before the Commission to which Florida Power was or is a party. The Judge's report of his investigation was completed on July 29, 1997.

To assist in the preparation of your recommendation to the Commission on this matter, I have enclosed three copies of Judge Lacey's report. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

James A. McGee

JAM/kp Enclosures

cc: Division of Records and Reporting (with 3 copies of enclosure)
Parties of record (with enclosure)

RECEIVED

97 AUG 1 PH - 1

REPORT ON SPECIAL INVESTIGATION

LEBOEUF, LAMB, GREENE & MACRAE

125 WEST SSTH STREET New York, NY 10019-5389

July 29, 1997

TO:

Florida Power Corporation

FROM:

LeBoouf, Lomb, Greens & MacRee, L.L.P.

RE:

Dolan/Magner Special Investigation

You have retained us to conduct an investigation to determine when a social or rementic relationship began between Lorna Wagner, a fermer staff atterney of the Florida Public Service Commission (the "PSC" or the "Commission"), and Robert .

Dolan, Manager of Cogeneration Centracts and Administration at Florida Power Corporation ("Florida Power"), and to develop the chronology of that relationship up to the engagement of Wagner and Dolan on June 28, 1997. You have also requested that we provide you with our views on whether the relationship between Wagner and Dolan had any impact upon regulatory proceedings to which Florida Power is or was a party, recognizing that the PSC is ultimately the entity in the best position to determine this issue because the PSC has the most complete access to individuals and documents involved in its decision making process. Our conclusions are set forth below.

Our conclusions at this time are based upon a review of relevant documents, together with interviews we conducted on

July 13-14, 1997 and July 17, 1997 of the following officers and employees of Florida Power:

- . Joseph H. Richardson, President and Chief Executive Officer;
- · James P. Fame, Deputy General Counsel;
- . Michael B. Foley, Senior Vice President of Energy Delivery;
- · James McGee, Senior Counsel;
- James Stanfield, Public Service Commission Lieison and Senior Councel;
- . Samuel F. Mimen, Jr., Director of Purchased Power Resources;
- · Lee C. Schuster, Hanager of Purchased Power;
- . David Gammon, Manager of Cogen Purchased Power;
- . R. James Rocks, Hanager of Cogen Purchased Pover;
- · Richard Breyer, Project Manager;
- . John Pierpent, Staff Market Specialist, Power Marketing;
- · Edward Lynch, Manager of Load Porecasting;
- Margaret Griffith, Department Support Specialist;
- · Dele S. Williams, Principal Buyer;
 - . Byron Covey, Crystal River Plant Manager; and
 - · Mr. Dolan.

We also interviewed three individuals with knowledge of relevant facts who are not Florida Power employees or officers:

- Chris S. Coutroulis, a partner in the Florida law firm of Carlton, Fields, Ward, Emmanuel, Smith & Cutler, P.A. ("Carlton Fields");
- Philip S. Henry, retired Senior Vice President of Energy Delivery at Florida Power; and
- . Michael R. Waller, contract counsel to Florida Power.

Rodney Gaddy, Senior Counsel, and Belisa Oliveira, contract counsel, conducted preliminary interviews with many of the individuals we later interviewed, and participated and aided us in the fact gathering process. The facts and conclusions set forth in this report, however, were compiled and reached through our own investigation.

I. RINCERIVE AMERICA

Our investigation at this time indicates that a social or romantic relationship between Wegner and Dolan began with their meeting on or about May 5, 1997, although there is some tenuous, hearsay evidence that the relationship may have begun prior to Narch 17, 1997. Wegner and Dolan saw each other socially at least twice more during May, and several times during June, leading to their engagement on June 28, the day after Wegner left the employ of the PSC.

Mone of the interviews we have conducted, or the documents we have reviewed, indicated that the relationship between Wagner and Dolan had any impact upon regulatory proceedings to which Florida Power is or was a party, for at least two reasons.

First, it is our understanding that Wagner, who worked for the PSC as a staff attorney for approximately 2½ years, would have her legal work reviewed and revised by two supervisors. As a result, it is dubious that Wagner possessed the ability or status to influence PSC decisions. Moreover, it is our understanding that staff attorneys at the PSC generally do not

make the policy recommendations that serve as the basis for PSC staff recommendations to the PSC Commissioners.

Escand, even if Wegner had the ability or status to influence PSC decisions, we have seen no indication, based upon the evidence we have reviewed to date, that any PSC decision regarding Florida Power was influenced by bias or improper conduct.

II. PACES

Dolan began working at Florida Power in November 1983.

Wagner began working at the PSC in November 1994. In 1997, as

Wanager of Cogeneration Contracts and Administration at Florida

Power, Dolan's responsibilities included negotiating cogeneration

contracts and preparing arguments and PSC testimony relating to

those contracts. As a staff atterney at the PSC, Wagner's

responsibilities included drafting PSC orders and crafting

arguments based upon policy decisions made by others at the PSC.

Because Wagner was a relatively junior member of the PSC staff,

her work was reviewed by two superiors: Robert Elias and Noreen

Davis.

According to Dolan, he and Wagner first met "a couple of years ago," when Wagner was working on a case in which Dolan was involved. A list of the Florida Power matters assigned to Wagner since January 1, 1996 is annexed as Exhibit 1. None of the facts we have gathered to date indicates that the relationship between Dolan and Wagner was a social or romantic one prior to 1997. Indeed, with a few limited exceptions, the

individuals we interviewed identify May 5, 1997 as the first social encounter between Dolan and Wagner.

A. Initial Communications

Several of the individuals we have interviewed indicated that Dolan and Wagner took occasional smoking breaks together prior to May 5, 1997, during PSC meetings and/or hearings, often in the company of others. None of these individuals inferred from these smoking breaks that anything other than a professional working relationship existed between Wagner and Dolan.

During one of such enoking breaks, and on or before

April 7, 1997, Wegner advised Delen that she was thinking of

leaving the PSC and was interested in emploring other job

opportunities. James Pama, who spoke with Wegner regularly on

Plorida Power/PSC business matters, recalls that Wegner also told

him she was interested in moving to another area.

On April 7, while in Tallahassee for meetings to attempt to settle a dispute relating to the Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Expedited Approval of Agreement with Tiger

Dolan flatly desies that he and Wagner over met socially prior to May 5. Novever, Michael Waller recalle Dolan mentioning a "Lorne" prior to March 17, 1997, and Waller thinks he may have heard prior to March 17 that "Lorne" had invited Dolan to go to the Florida Keys. Home of the other individuals we have interviewed share Waller's recollection, although we have been advised that Jeanne Benedetti of Destech, Inc. recalled Dolan relating to her in Mouston, Texas on April 29, 1997 that he was dating someone at the PSC and that his supervisor was concerned about it. Benedetti has refused our request for an interview, and no other person we have speken with can confirm her recollection.

Bay Limited Partnership to Purchase Tiger Bay Cogeneration

Pacility and Terminate Related Purchaser Power Contracts -- PSC

Docket No. 970096 - BQ (the "Tiger Bay Natter"), Dolan and his supervisor, Samuel Nimon, mentioned to Chris Coutroulis, a partner in the Florida law firm of Carlton Fields, that Wagner was interested in leaving the PSC. Coutroulis was also in Tallahassee for the Tiger Bay negotiations.

Coutroulis indicated that Carlton Pields was looking for litigation attorneys with 3 to 5 years experience, and asked Dolan and Mixon to have Wagner send Coutroulis her resume. They agreed. As discussed below, Wagner did not contact Coutroulis until June 5.

B. Social Recounters

•

1. The Cinco De Mayo Calabration

Dolan, Nimon and several others point to the Cinco De Mayo celebration on May 5, 1997 in Tallahassee as the first time Dolan and Wager met socially. Dolan, by pre-arrangement, knew in advance that Wagner was coming to his motel in Tallahassee, the Cabot Lodge, on May 5 to pick him up to go to the celebration.

Magner arrived at the motel with two friends and her daughter. In addition to Dolan, the group met Mixon and James Rocha, who were in Tallahassee with Dolan to attend a meeting on May 6 relating to the Petition of Florida Power Corporation for Expedited Approval of Settlement Agreement with Lake Cogen, Ltd.

The Tiger Bay Natter was subsequently settled by stipulation of all parties, which was approved unanimously by the Commission at the May 19 Agenda Conference.

-- PSC Docket No. 961477-BQ (the "Lake Cogen Natter"). John Pierpont, who was in Tallahasses on unrelated Florida Power business, was also present.

. ..

The Lake Cogen meeting on May 6 apparently concerned financing matters and withdrawal of a third-party petition.

Florida Power did not take a position on either issue. Wagner attended the meeting together with several other Commission staffers.

According to Dolan, he and Wegner left the motel with her daughter and, after leaving her daughter with a babysitter at a friend's house, attended the Cinco de Mayo celebration for two or three hours.

2. Madeira Reach

3

Between May 5 and the end of May, Dolan and Wagner saw each other socially at least once more. On or about Friday, May 16, Wagner drove to St. Petersburg from Tallahassee for the weekend. According to Dolan, Wagner had wanted to come to St. Petersburg to see a friend and her friend's husband, whom Wagner

were country that Black and the

Also on May 6, the PSC held an Agenda Conference relating to, among other things, the Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Approval of Revised Program Participation Standards for Residential Home Energy Improvement and Residential New Construction Programs -- PSC Docket No. 970056 - EQ (the 'Residential Nome Energy Natter'). No legal issues were raised by the recommendation of PSC staff with respect to the Residential Name Energy Natter. At the Agenda Conference, the PSC unanimously approved tariff revisions for residential home energy and new construction improvements by Florida Power. Dolan had no involvement in the Residential Name Energy Natter.

They also spoke by telephone several times about matters unrelated to work, according to Dolan.

knew from law school. Wagner stayed at Dolan's house for the weekend. Dolan and Wagner spent a portion of the day on Saturday, May 17, at the Madeira Beach "Taste of the Beaches" festival, where they briefly met three Florida Power employees: Edward Lynch, Richard Breyer and Marc Aarstead. According to Dolan, Lynch and Breyer, no Florida Power matters were discussed in Wagner's presence. Indeed, Dolan denies that he and Wagner ever discussed Florida Power or PSC business after their relationship became a social or rementic one.

3. The Marathen Mackand

Dolan and Wegner next saw each other on Thursday,
Nay 29, when they flow together with Wegner's daughter to
Harathon, Florida, where Wegner's mother has a home. Wegner
obtained the flight tickets by using frequent flyer miles. Dolan
and Wegner spent Thursday might, Friday and Sunday at her
mother's home; they stayed Saturday might, Nay 31, at the Pier
House.

Our interviews and document review indicate that upon his return to the office during the week of June 2, Dolan reported to Nixon, Michael Waller, Rocha, Lee Schuster and perhaps others that he had a "wonderful" weekend with Wagner. Waller reported to Fame that Dolan and Wagner were in Marathon together. Fame, in turn, spoke with James Stanfield on June 3 or shortly thereafter. Fame also discussed the weekend trip with

It is unclear whether Dolan and Wagner stayed at the Pier House in Marathon, or at the Pier House in Key West, Florida.

Mixon, and spoke briefly with Dolan. Because Fama and Stanfield were, at that time, aware of few details relating to the weekend, it was unclear to them whether Dolan and Wagner traveled together to Marathon, went there as part of a group, or simply ran into each other during the weekend.

4. The Old Town Sathering

...

Dolan and Wegner next saw each other on Friday, June 6, 1997. On that date, Wegner drove to meet bolan at a weekend gathering he was attending with approximately one dozen former and current Florida Power employees in Old Town, Florida on the Suwannee River. Although Dolan was invited to attend by a Florida Power employee whose Old Town home served as the site for the gathering, Wegner was not invited. Moreover, according to several of the Florida Power employees who attended, Wagner's presence for a few hours on Friday and Saturday night was viewed as inappropriate and a surprise, because (1) Wagner introduced herself as a PSC staff attorney who worked on cogeneration matters; and (2) there was an understanding among the guests that the gathering was intended to be just for males.

Shortly after Magner's arrival on Friday, June 6, the group agreed (outside the presence of Magner and Dolan) not to have any discussion of Florida Power in Magner's presence. In addition, the unessiness created by Magner's presence prompted at least two Florida Power employees to leave the gathering early. All of the individuals we have interviewed who were present at the Old Town gathering have confirmed that he Florida Power matters were discussed in Magner's presence.

5. The Orlando Comen Agenda Conference

Dolan traveled to Tallahassee on Tuesday, June 10 to attend the Agenda Conference relating to the Petition for Approval of Early Termination Amendment to Negotiated Qualifying Facility Contract with Orlando Cogen Limited -- PSC Docket No. 071194-EQ (the "Orlando Cogen Matter"). At the Agenda Conference, the PSC denied a motion to dismiss of Public Counsel relating to the Orlando Cogen Matter. Wegner played no role in the Conference with respect to that issue. Earlier in the year, on January 27, 1997, the PSC issued an Order denying Florida Power's petition on the Orlando Cogen Matter.

While in Tallahassee on June 10, Dolan played racquetball with Wagner. Dolan returned to St. Petersburg on Wednesday morning, June 11.

C. Dolan's Renoval from Commercation Matters

Also on June 10, Fame and Stanfield met for lunch in Tallahassee. Fame and Modes were in Tallahassee to attend the Agenda Conference and another meeting. During the lunch, Fame and Stanfield discussed, among other things, the weekend trip of Dolan and Wagner to Marathon. Fame and Stanfield had now come to understand that Dolan and Wagner had traveled to Marathon together, but neither Fame nor Stanfield knew of Wagner's trip to the Old Town gathering, or of any other social contacts between Dolan and Wagner. Because of their concern about the Marathon trip, however, Stanfield brought the trip to the attention of Florida Power's president and chief executive officer, Joseph Richardson.

Sales Sales

On June 11, Richardson directed that Nichael Foley discuss the issue with Dolan, and remove Dolan from all work on cogeneration contracts. That same day, Foley confronted Dolan with the facts as understood by Fana, Stanfield and Richardson. Dolan would not, at first, admit to dating Wagner. Dolan conceded that he had been seeing Wagner socially, but Dolan indicated that the relationship was not a serious one, because he continued to date other women, and that the trip to Marathon was not a "date," but was only a visit to Wagner's mother. Dolan added that Wagner was no longer working on cogeneration matters at the PSC, but was now working on industry restructuring.

Poley told Dolan that his behavior was inappropriate, because Wagner was a PSC attorney working on cogeneration natters. Poley advised Dolan that he was removed from work on cogeneration matters, effective immediately, and reassigned to fleet truck work. When Poley returned to Dolan to discuss these issues further, Poley advises that Dolan mentioned that he had telephoned Wagner during the interim and that Wagner planned to resign from the PSC. Dolan denies that Wagner advised him on June 11 that she planned to resign.

D. Magner's Regignation

On Friday, June 13, 1997, Wagner submitted her letter of resignation to the PSC, effective June 27, 1997. Eight days earlier, on June 5, 1997, Wagner had contacted Coutroulis and

water of a contra

Mixon, Dolan's supervisor, was on vacation between June 9th and June 13th and did not return to the office until Monday, June 16th.

asked, among other things, about employment opportunities at Carlton Fields. Controulis advised Wagner that she could send him her resume. Controulis found Wagner's telephone call somewhat odd, particularly because he had heard nothing further regarding Wagner's search for employment since his conversation with Dolan and Mixon on April 7.

As a result, Coutroulis telephoned Fama, who advised Coutroulis of the weekend trip to Marathon, and suggested that Coutroulis call Wagner back and instruct her not to send Coutroulis a resume. Coutroulis did call Wagner and, when he reached her on Thursday June 12, asked that she not forward a resume. Wagner advised Coutroulis that she would be leaving the PSC shortly after the PSC staff recommendation was submitted in connection with the Lake Copen matter.

After submitting her resignation on June 13, Wagner flew to St. Petersburg to spend the weekend with Dolan.

According to Dolan, June 13 was the first time Wagner advised him of her resignation. The two apparently passed part of the evening of June 13 at Harry's Beach Bar in St. Pete Beach.

Wagner also visited Dolan in St. Petersburg during the weekend of June 20-June 22. Dolan's parents and sister were in St. Petersburg to see Dolan, and Dolan, Wagner and Dolan's sister went to Harry's Beach Bar, where they met Mixen, Lynch, Breyer and perhaps a few other Florida Power employees.

E. The Lake Cogen Agenda Conference

On Tuesday, June 24, a PSC Agenda Conference was held on matters relating to, among other things, the Lake Cogen

Matter. Florida Power's petition was approved at the June 24 Conference by a 3-2 vote. Wagner participated in discussion of only one jurisdictional issue, and the Commission declined to vote on the issue. Dolan was not present at the Conference.

The issues raised by the Lake Copen petition were virtually identical to those raised in the Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Expedited Approval of Settlement Agreement Regarding Negotiated Contract for Purchase of Firm Capacity and Energy from a Qualifying Pacility with Pasco Copen, Ltd. -- PSC Docket No. 961407-EQ (the "Pasco Copen Natter"). The Pasco Copen petition was approved by the Commission after such discussion on April 1, 1997.

F. The Engagement

It was reported to us that, on or about June 20, during a lunchtime conversation, Dolan was "teased" about "losing his freedom." Dolan also examined a diamond and a setting brought in by a fellow employee during the week of June 23, and purchased an engagement ring on June 24 or June 25 in Tampa. Wagner visited Dolan again during the weekend of June 27, and they became engaged on Saturday evening June 28, the day after Wagner's last working day at the PSC. Dolan knew that Wagner's resignation had become effective prior to June 28.

Word of the engagement reached Fama at Florida Power on Monday, June 30. He reported the engagement to Elies at the PSC on Tuesday, July 1. Our interviews have indicated that until Fama's meeting with Elies on July 1, Florida Power management and

employees did not know that Wagner had not reported her relationship with Dolan to the PSC.

Both Dolan and Nixon have been placed on administrative leave from Florida Power pending completion of Florida Power's investigation and evaluation of the results of that investigation. Dolan was placed on administrative leave on July 7; Nixon was placed on administrative leave on July 11.

G. Events Subsequent To the Engagement

1. The PSC and Florida Power Investigations

Upon learning of the engagement between Dolan and Wagner, the PSC announced that it was undertaking an internal investigation to determine if the relationship between Dolan and Wagner had any impact upon Plorida Power matters before the PSC. Hemoranda prepared by or at the direction of the PSC in connection with that investigation are annexed as Exhibit 2. On Tuesday, July 8, 1997, Plorida Power filed a Notice of Conflict of Interest with the PSC. A copy of that notice is annexed as Exhibit 3. As you know, Florida Power retained this firm as outside counsel to conduct its own investigation.

On Tuesday, July 15, 1997, the PSC determined at an Agenda Conference that (1) the Orlando Cogen and Pasco Cogen Natters would be reviewed to determine if any evidence of bias existed; and (2) the Lake Cogen Natter would be reopened and rebriefed because one of the Commissioners who had voted to approve the petition indicated that she had voted incorrectly. Because the Lake Cogen Natter has been reopened, any issues

relating to alleged bias in connection with that matter may also be considered.

2. Our Communications With the PSC

In addition to the interviews and document review we have conducted, we have also discussed our investigation with the PSC. Specifically, we arranged through Stanfield to meet with PSC General Counsel Robert Vandiver on Thursday, July 17. We indicated to Vandiver that Florida Power wanted to cooperate completely with the PSC's investigation and that Florida Power was conducting its own investigation. Vandiver was pleased to learn of Florida Power's cooperation and stated that the PSC would make readily available all facts uncovered during its investigation. Vandiver also arranged for us to communicate directly with Elias and Davis, both of whom are involved in the PSC's investigation.

On Friday, July 18, 1997, we spoke by telephone with Elias and conveyed to him the facts, as we understood them, regarding the relationship between Wagner and Dolan. Elias expressed appreciation for our cooperation and advised us that Davis would be interviewing Wagner on Monday, July 21, and that Davis would telephone us after the interview to provide us with any new information she had learned. We spoke with Davis on Monday afternoon, July 21.

Our conversations with Elias and Davis added some detail to our factual account, and revealed some minor factual discrepancies. For example, Elias related that a PSC employee, Tarik Noriega, recalled Dolan asking whether "Lorna" would be

present for a PSC employee plant tour conducted in early March, 1997. Overall, however, we do not regard the additional facts and minor discrepancies as significant, because they do not change our conclusion, discussed more fully below, that the relationship between Wagner and Dolan had no impact upon PSC proceedings to which Florida Power is or was a party.

III. DISCUSSION

The Facts Presented to Date Demonstrate No Evidence of Bias or Emproper Conduct Relating to Florida Power Matters Refere the PSC

The interviews we have conducted, together with the documents we have reviewed, revealed no affirmative evidence of bias or improper conduct regarding the Florida Power matters in . which Wagner was involved before the PSC. Our conclusion that no bias or improper conduct existed is based on two reasons.

First, it is our understanding that Wagner, who worked for the PSC as a staff attorney for approximately 2½ years, would have her legal work reviewed and revised by two supervisors. As a result, it is dubious that Wagner possessed the ability or status to influence PSC decisions.

In asserting that our investigation revealed no evidence of bias or improper conduct, we recognize, as stated above, that the PSC is ultimately the entity in the best position to make a final judgment on this issue, because the PSC has the most complete access to individuals and documents involved in the PSC decision making process.

As a threshold matter, it should also be noted that Wagner, as a staff attorney, could not act as a decision maker. The five PSC Commissioners are the (continued...)

Moreover, it is our understanding that staff attorneys at the PSC generally do not make the policy recommendations that serve as the basis for PSC staff recommendations to the PSC. Those policy recommendations are generally made by the PSC's technical advisors, with staff attorneys serving only to craft legal arguments or orders relating to the policy recommendations.

. . .

Record, even if Wegner potentially had the power to influence PSC decisions, none of the evidence we have reviewed to date indicates that any PSC decision regarding Florida Power was influenced by bias or improper conduct. Pive considerations may be relevant in determining whether an agency's decision making process is so irrevocably tainted that the decision must be voided. Those considerations are:

- 1. The gravity of the ex parte communications;
- Whether the contacts may have influenced the agency's ultimate decision;
- Whether the party making the improper contacts benefitted from the agency's ultimate decision;
- Whether the contents of the communications were unknown to opposing parties, who therefore had no opportunity to respond; and
- Whether vacation of the agency's decision would serve a useful purpose.

In the Matter of the Patition of Morthern States Power Company, 1986 Minn. PUC LEXIS 182 (Minn. Public Utilities Comm. 1986),

^{*(...}continued)
only individuals with the ability to make binding
decisions regarding regulated companies such as Florida
Power.

aff'd in part, rev'd in part on other grounds, 414 N.W.2d 383 (Minn. 1987).

In this instance, the facts as we currently understand them do not provide support for voiding any of the PSC's decisions regarding Florida Power under this five-prong test. There is no evidence that Wagner and Dolan discussed PSC business relating to Florida Power after their relationship became a social or romantic one. Indeed, Dolan denies such discussions ever occurred. Further, none of the facts we have reviewed indicate that the relationship between Dolan and Wagner influenced PSC decisions regarding Florida Power.

For example, in the Orlando Cogen Matter, the
Commissioners ruled egainst Ploride Power several months before,
the relationship apparently began, and Magner played no role in
the June 10 Agenda Conference with respect to Orlando Cogen. In
the Lake Cogen Matter; Florida Power did not take a position on
the issues raised at the May 6 meeting, and the Commissioners did
not even vote on the single issue on which Magner presented
argument at the June 24 Agenda Conference. In addition, the
substantive issues raised at that Conference regarding Lake Cogen
were virtually identical to those presented in the Pasco Cogen
Matter, which appears to have been decided by the Commissioners
before any social or romantic relationship between Dolan and
Wagner began. Similarly, the Tiger Bay Matter was resolved by a
stipulated settlement agreed to by all the parties, and no legal
issues were raised in the PSC staff recommendation or Agenda

with in

Conference regarding the Residential None Energy Matter, which was a docket in which Dolan had no involvement.

Moreover, there is no evidence that Florida Power (or Dolan) benefitted from any of the PSC's decisions concerning Florida Power or that the contents of the communications between Dolan and Wagner, if known to other parties to the various transactions involved, would have marited substantive responses by those parties.

Finally, our review does not indicate that vacating any of the PSC decisions involved on grounds of bias would serve a useful purpose. Each of the transactions involved was heavily negotiated at arms' length among the parties involved, and none of those parties has come forward seeking review on grounds of alleged bias. Vacating any of the decisions on grounds of alleged bias would only disrupt those painstakingly negotiated agreements, which appear to have been completely unaffected by the relationship between Dolan and Nagmer.

IV. CONCLUSION

The foregoing sets forth the results of our investigation as of the date of this submission. Subject to facts that may further emerge, such as these that may be developed by the PSC investigation, we regard our investigation as complete. We thank you for affecting us the opportunity to serve you in this matter.

EXHIBIT 1

PLORIDA POWER MATTERS ASSIGNED TO LONG MACHES STUCE JANUARY 1, 1996

:

- Docket No. 960253 Joint Petition for Approval of Territorial Agreement between Florida Power Corporation and the City of Newberry.
- Docket No. 960316 Petition for Approval of Real Time Pricing Demonstration Tariff.
- Docket No. 960325-EI Revision of Tariffs on Underground Distribution Differential Costs for Florida Power and Light Company, Florida Power Corporation, Gulf Power Company and Tampa Electric Company.
- 4. Docket No. 961255-EI Petition by Plorida Power Corporation for Approval of Proposed Changes to Sheet No. 6.121 of Retail Tariff Regarding Rate Schedule RS-1 Budget Billing Plan (Optional Rider).
- 5. Docket No. 970056-NG Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Approval of Nevised Program Participation Standards for Residential None Energy Improvement and Residential New Construction Programs.
- 6. Docket No. 970096-RQ Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Expedited Approval of Agreement with Tiger Bay Limited Pertnership to Purchase Tiger Bay Cogeneration Facility and Terminate Related Purchase Power Contracts.
- Docket No. 950110-BQ Standard Offer Contract for the Purchase of Firm Capacity and Energy from a Qualifying Facility between Pands-Eathleen, L.F. and FPC.
- 8. Docket Mos. 960001-BS and 970001-EI Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery.
- Docket No. 961184-BQ Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Approval of Early Termination Amendment to Negotiated Qualifying Facility Contract with Orlando Cogen, Ltd.
- 10. Docket Nos. 960002-BS and 970002-BG Energy Conservation Cost Recovery.
- 11. Docket No. 961407-BQ Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Expedited Approval of Settlement Agreement Regarding Negotiated Contract for Purchase of Firm Capacity and Energy from a Qualifying Facility with Pasco Cogen, Ltd.
- 12. Docket Mo. 961477-BQ Petition by Florida Power Corporation for Expedited Approval of Settlement Agreement with Lake Cogen, Ltd.

EXHIBIT 2



Bublic Service Commission

State of Florida -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

BATE: July 6, 1997

TO: Noscen S. Davis, Director, Division of Legal Services

FROM: Robert V. Elles, Chief of Electric & Gas, Division of Legal Services

RE: Lone Wagner

After learning less Tuesday of Lorus Wagner's apparent violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct, I initiated a seview of Lorus's once assignments to assess the possibility of any blue in the unformation presented to the Commission. My proliminary conclusion, based on the manufals reviewed so fir, is that up affirmative evidence of bias has been found.

In conducting the seriou, I looked at these types of cases:

- (1) · All cases assigned to Leave class January 1, 1996. (Attachment 1)
- (2) All come anigued to Leans since Jeanny 1, 1996, to which Florida Power Companion was a pour. (Attachment 2)
- (3) All cases pending at the time of her resignation, which have been received. (Attachment 3)

The best information that I have (which in houses) in that Lores and Mr. Delan have been during for a "tempte of question." I interpret that to mean that the relationship began some time after Manch, 1997. I perfected the entirements during beek to January 1, 1996, in an abundance of castion. I would note that of all the during entired to Lores, in only one increase was Legal to office of primary superchility.

What delieve is an analysis of all decises involving Floride Power Corporation assigned to Lerns Wegner class January 1, 1996.

Dealest Mo. 968065 - Jules Potition for Appeared of Turnitarial Agreement between Florida Power Corporation and the City of Newtony. This contential agreement was unanimously approved at the May 25, 1996 agreed conference. He provest was filed to the Outer issued June 10, 1996. If my information is convex, the Commission's action was final approximately 9 meetles before the relationship lages.

Nerson S. Davis, Director July S. 1997 Page 2

Decies No. 960316 - Publics for Approval of Real Time Pricing Demonstration Tariff. This wriff was summisseasty approved at the May 9, 1996 agends conference. No protest was filed to the Color, issued May 28, 1996. If my information is correct, the Commission's action was fleel approximately 9 months before the relationship began.

Declar No. 900325-EI - Revision of Taxiffs on Underground Distribution Differential Costs for Florida Fower and Light Company, Florida Fower Corporation. Gulf Fower Company, and Tampa Massix Company. This is an annual filling. FPC's taxiffs were approved unanimously at the May 9, 1996 agends confirmed. No protest was filed to the Order, issued May 28, 1996. If my influentation is convex, the Commission's decision was final 9 months before the relationship began.

Desiret No. 961259-E3 - Position for Approval of Proposed Changes to Short No. 6.121 of Read Toutf Republing Rate Schedule RS-1 Endget Silling Plan (Optional Rider) by Ploreia Power Copposite. Then tailf switches was unadimently approved at the November 26, 1996 agents confirms. No passes was filed to the Coder, instal December 16, 1996.- If my information is convex, the Commission's decision was final approximately 3 months before the relationship larges.

Desire No. 970006-05 - Publics for Appeared of Revised Program Participation Standards for Residential Moor Energy Improvement and Residential New Construction Programs by Plattic Percy Construction. The Construction unanimously approved these settly revisions at the May 1997 agands confirmed. I do not result velocity or not there was any discussion of this item at the agands confirmed. If my information is excreen, Construction entire on this item construction for paintingship had began. There were no legal issues in the recommendation.

Desire No. 9700015Q - Petition for Separation Approved of Aproximate Notation Psychology (Limited Personally to Parties There Say Constraints Profiley and Terminate Related Psychology Contract by Partie Prove Contract by Partie Prove Contract of the laming, the parties PPC, the Office of Public Council and the Parties Dates Strap, has and There Say Limited Personably presented a adjustment approving the product buy on. The algorithm was approved unminimisely at the May 19, 1997 aganda contract. Once that the parties by all the parties, the possibility of bias appears without. If my information is council, Commission and a side into covered after the relationship had begin.

Desire No. 900110-BQ - Standard Offic Comment for the Purchase of Piets Copacity and Energy from a Qualifying Facility between Pands-Keatlern, L.P. and FPC. Learn assisted Marks Street on the desire. It has been an appeal before the Supreme Court for over a year. If my information is correct, all Commission action produced the relationship by almost a year.

Nores & Davis, Director July &, 1997 Page 3

1

Dockets Nos. 960001-SO & 970001-EI - Pust and Purchased Power Cost Recovery.

Lorse assisted Visit Johnson in these dockets. If any information is correct, all Commission action predated the relationship by at least two meads.

Dealure paralles at the sime of Lemm's resignation:

Docket No. 961104-5Q - Pothics for approval of early termination amendment to apprished qualifying Smilly content with Colomb Cages Limited by Florids Power Corporation - The Commission voted to day FFC's position as the January 7, 1997 agends condenses. FFC presented for Commission's Order and a lambing has been set for Quester 30 & 31, 1997. If my information is course, the Commission asther produces the relationship by at least three months. OFC fled a Matter to Dismin FFC's general on February 26, 1997. Content Keeping proposed, filed and presented at the Jam 24, 1997 content, the recommission decycles OFC's Motion. The panel approval and a recommendation. If my information is correct, this action took place after the relationship langer. However, Lange was put involved in this issue.

Doctor No. 180005-80 and 170000-00 - Beauty Conservation Cost Resovery. Long supressed staff at the Polymany bushing in this dealer. If my information is correct, all Commission action assumed these data a greath bushes the relationship larger.

Declar No. 66(400-50) - Periods for Superfied Approval of Settlement Approval Reporting Hagasians (Comment for Fundame of Plan Capacity and Basery from a Qualifying Facility, with Fundament Capacity, and the larger work on this position, which was approved after much discussion by a 3-2 way. (Commissioner Decease and Kineting discussion) at the April 1, 1997 aparels conference. If my information is comment, this colors was other to the same approximate time force or the education by type. Record on my conference, and the deceases I have reviewed to fire, I am no affirmative oritions of him in the information presented to the Commission. Further seview is assessed, 3th particular to find and the Capacity approving the articlement was find on May 20, 1997. This qualifying facility was eround by Propine Cap System, Inc. When TBCO provinced Propine, it was required by PCREA to and the facility, I believe, within one year.

Darles No. 961477-50 - Printing for Separated Approval of September Approves with Lake Copes, List., by Florida Forcer Copposition. Long was the lead attenty and did most of the work on this decision. The publics was approved after much discussion by a 3-2 vote with Chairman feel Commissioner Darles discussion. The Proposed Appears Action Order is due to be based fully 14, 1997. Chairman Johnson and Commissioner Darles have indicated that they may work to water discussing opinions. The recommendation was virtually identical to the one died with respect to the force dealer, with one conspiler.

sast.

Norsea S. Davis, Director July 8, 1997 Page 4

After the Penne discussion at the April 1, 1997 agends, staff was concerned about the confusion researching the Commission's justification to (R&O and Legal staff) deay cost recovery of accounts found by a great to be dee pursuant to negotiated content. We test in April and decided that we versid include the issue in the Lake recommendation. I test the position that the Commission could day out recovery; Latte was going to take the elementry position that the Commission could not. After a distriction of the account, I was adversaring in the primary, Latter, on the filing day of the recommendation (flow 12), decided not to file as alternative recommendation. The guidant day must make a file and alternative or decided for the appeals and the surface. I do not see affermative oridance of bias in the information properted to the Commission. The Commission decided that no vote was seeded as the inter. If my information is correct, Commission consideration of this decided took shape after the relationship larges.

The question action is my mind whether there was a day of FPC to report their imprintings of the minimality to the Community. I have of no affirmative requirement in the Commissions rates or in Fluids Status that a safety report this type of alleged minorations to the Commission. Same information (again houses) regions that until the June 24, 1997, against conference, FFC did not know that (along had not reported this specific. I would reduce that good assessment practice would require that a cathly to immediately report this type of alleged minimals. In the Commission

CONCESSIONARIONE

- (1) Olven the chart time to service this matter, I can only reach a proliminary continuous. However, I have not found my affirmative oridence of bias in the information processed to the Commission by Lone Wagner in declars involving Florida Former Conferences.
- (2) Porter investigation is accountry to assertain just when this relationship desired and when and how FPC learned of it.
- (3) Portion evaluation of these declars where Commission series was taken.

 Taker the relationship started in measurery.
- (4) After notice to the parties, the Commission about consider whether to revide, at an agent's conference, each fitter antigened to Lorenz where action was taken offer the relationship larger. These issues about to presented to the Commission by Lagral and not proviously involved in these issues (probably, excesses out in SACC or a passent filteral of Loren's).
- (5) Semeste should seview are week in this matter.

Norsen S. Davis, Discour July S. 1997 Page 5

RVE:smj Attachement 0135.smj



Bublic Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: Jay 6, 1997

TO: Julie L. Johnson, Chairman

FROM: Norma S. Davie, Diseaser, Division of Legal Services

RE: Louis Weaver

Based on the information command in Bob Ellar' July 1, 1997 mone to me (see Attachment A) reporting the alloyed consequences of Loren Wagner and Robert Dolon of Florida Power Corporation, I have reviewed Commission procedure and the Florida Bar Rules of Professional Commission for possible conflict theoretic. (bits. Wagner resigned her seaff attactory position with the Commission, effective June 27, 1997. She began part-time employment with the Commission on November 14, 1994).

L. Complete Proplet

Wills there is no role questionity on point requeling remarks relationships between Commission and and employees of well-less equals by the Commission, it is only common state such relationships come a comflet of lateral.

The Commission has a formal Code of States which was affective on November 10, 1994. See Attachment B. It note forth constant to which Commission compleyees are committed to expire. Of particular importance are:

- II. Treat all parties and the public with honorry, objectivity, courtery and respect.
- III. Provide file and imparted analyses, recommendations, and decisions reporting all Commission matters.
- IV. Conduct outsilves so that any pursual relationships will seither benefit any house any purity appending before the Commission.

Alm, all Commission complayers sign, which 30 days of bles, a Standards of Conduct and Conflict of Interest Stan, conflicting that they have received and read the provisions of Chapter 5.04, Floride Politic Service Commission Administrative Procedures (APM). See Attentionen B. This provision addresses "tendifies existing flow compleyers of openess or other persons with whom the compleyers existed or farmeds to Stan, a household, or who the compleyers intends to manage." This provision requires such beforeasting to be reported to the compleyer's immediate reported to that followed in the compleyer's immediate reported to the compleyer's immediate reported to the compleyer's immediate reported to the first job reconfigurates can be arranged if measurery.

I stronged to come Ms. Wegar by telephone on July 7, 1997, to accertain her version of the finite related to Ms. Ellip on July 1, 1997 by a Florida Power Corporation anomaly. There was no carrier I left a remarked manner.

If Me. Wagner was duting Mr. Dates during her employ with the Commission, it is a very serious matter, pathenistly state the vest configured declarate regarding constructs contracts and Mr. Dates worked in that was the Plentin Power Corporation. At the very least, she violated APM Chapter 5.04 in that the did not inflow Mr. Elles, her immediate supervisor, when the relationship programed to the point of "immediag to form a household" or "intends to marry." Prior to that point, seamen stam, as well as the Code of Ethios, should have caused her to inflorm her supervisor that the way duting a willly compleyes. If she had so informed her supervisor, she would have been supervisor that the way duting a willty compleyes. If she had so informed her supervisor, she would have been supervised from those cases and recongrand to work in another burson in the Logal Services Division.

I note that Chapter 1.49 A.2, APM, provides in personne part that the Division of Logal Services expervies the procedural and legal experts of formal proceedings before the Commission, and on behalf of the Commission in civil court proceedings. "The division also represent the good before the Commission or the commission to the Commission or expected, and process Commission orders." The contained staff of the Commission on the class of the extraction of the Commission on the class of the extraction and the class of the extraction and the class of the extraction of the class of the extraction and the class of the extraction of the class of the class of the class of the extraction of the class of the class of the extraction of the class o

II. Pinide Der Beles of Contrat

Raio 4-6.3, Reporting Probational Missendest. Subservice (a) states:

A larger having immediate that earther tempor has committed a violation of the Rules of Tradestand Combut that rules a substantial question on to that larger's honory, transportations, or fitness as a larger in other aspects shall inform the appropriate productional authority.

The Comment parties following the Rule states in partieux part:

This rate limits the reporting eldigation to those effects that a relireprinting production man eigeneously endowers to provide. A measure of judgment in therefor, required in complying with the providings of this rate. The term 'exhausted' rather to the endowment of the possible officer and not the passence of ordinars of which the isosper is arrow.

From what information is known to one at this time, it appears that Mr. Wegner has violent State 4-1.7, Conflict of interest and State 4-6.4, Missendert.

Rule 4-1.7, Conflict of January, states in subsequently (b):

A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

A funger dail and represent a effect if the igneyer's exercise of independent productions fulgature in the representation of that others may be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another effect or to a third person or by the lawyer's own innerest, unless:

- (1) the larger extendity believes the representation will not be advancely affirmed; and
- (2) the effect consent ofter econstration. (Emphasis added).

The Comment needes differeing the rule states that "Layely is an essential element in the lawyer's relationship to a client." It further states that:

Loyalty to a cities is also impaired when a lawyer connect consider, recommend, or easy out an appropriate source of action for the cities; because of the lawyer's other responsibilities or interests.

Rate 4-8.4, Microsofter, states in subparagraph (e), that a lawyer shall not "copage in conduct involving differency, floud, death, or microprocessation." The Comment section states in particular part that:

... a imper should be professionally convenies only for officess that indicate lack of these elementalists relevant to low position. Officess involving ... disheasely, or break of tract ... on in that conquery.

Rule 4-2.1, Advisor, states that "In representing a client, a lowyer shall energies independent professional judgment and reader conside advice."

If the influencies existed to life. Who and reported to me in his messo of July 1, 1997, is common, life. Wagner's chilly to confluent to State 4-2.1 was severely impaired. By having a remarks existentially with a cally coplayer while do was the east attenty enigned to declare relating to the cally, her independent productional judgment is entirety in question. Her task of causin is not repossing the education to her offers (and experience) opposes to be a breash of the diprovined rates regarding conflict of inneres and misroches.

Shot figure are very entrue matters, before any entires in taken with the Bar, I would like to again try to make context with Mr. Magner to contem the information related to Mr. Elies by Plantike Former Companion's attenuate.

NGD:say Attachment 0133.cmi

1000 .

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

DATE: July 10, 1997

TO: Jule L. Johnson, Chalman

FROM: John M. Graycon, Importer Constru

RE: Wagner brooklanden (DI-SINS-01)

Peryour directive, I have investigated the firsts contracting the relationship between Mr. Larne Wegner, former PSC staff alternay, and Mr. Rebest Dalan, a Plateia Person Corporation complexes, to determine the following:

- When the PGC staff become assure of the relationship between Ma. Wagner and Mr. Delen.
- The course of earlier taken by PSC staff after becoming course of the relationship.
- Whether any Commission rule, pulley or presenting was visited as a result of the relationship.

I have performed interdence and these address between the Wayer and the Date. It was determined that the Contract of the Contr

Additionally, I have reviewed the following Commission Rates and Administrative Procedures to determine whether his. Wagney's relationship commission on integration of any of these providens.

- Chapter 68662 F.A.C., Balant Exempt Garden Employee Rainfanables with Regulated Emilion
- . Rds 25-21.000 FAC. Assumes of City
- Commission AFM Barton GAE Ondo of Conduct for Public Service Commission Employees
- Commission AFM Backet 6.64 Baylayment of Spacess and Others by Paguisted Utilise

It is important to note that I have neither intendered contains a distances from Mr. Wagner concerning her relationship with Mr. Dulan. Without each a statement, I am qualify to associate whether Commission rules or

presedures have been visited. Cities lasts each as how long Ms. Wayner dated Mr. Dates before their engagement and the extent date of the engagement, are unabstantiated. The absence of these lasts increases with the following executor.

 Commission APM S.E.D., A. Z. e., (2), provides that a Commission complayer about not inneringly accept anything of value... from a passess or cally eating on balled of a regulated only or party.

In the case, it is notices of orthonical like. We proved like Delien were delay belien for resignation from the Commission. A recommission many process that I like. We provided like Delien for any considerable length of little, that they are little compiled consisting of reduce from him design fact countility or years that recognises.

2. Constitutes AFM 6.04, provides that "The Constitution will not parent conflicts entirely from employment of graces or Constitution with whom the employee resides or intends to form a located it, or who the complete intends to complete the conflict of the Constitution and tell insher dear phone identified person to compact by an entity regulated by the Constitution and tell insher immediate experience of all parties that are that, if recessory, jet managements can be compact." -

is this case, the extent date in which life. We provide copyright to many life. Definition the contribution life. In californ, it is extincted whether life. We provide life. Definitional life to terms becaused, and if on, when this definition case.

This case represents a place complete the fire the between personal matters which check to resistance or interest and personal matters which results by disclosed to early complete. I believe that the Pales, and the Commission Administration Procedures, which relate to constitute the raw a case of persistance in the part of the "Regions and that Commission constitutes that the raw a case of persistance in the part of the "Regions and that Commission constitutes that the raw a case of persistance in the part of the "Regions and that Commission constitutes that the person. It is therefore a constitute of interest when they case. It is therefore recommended that the Commission constitutes and constitutes that the Commission constitutes and constitutes that the complete the resistance and constitutes to complete the valuable or constitutes that interests personally of constitutes the interests that interests constitute of personal constitutes and the interest that interests constitutes and personal constitutes and the interest that interests constitutes and personal constitutes and the constitutes affect an early constitute and the constitutes affect an early constitute and the constitutes affect an early constitute and the constitutes affect an early constitutes.

Œ

Convolutioner Clark
Convolutioner Decean
Convolutioner Gerale
Convolutioner Manthy
Ell Talbell, SID
"Rife Vandhez, GCL,
Marry A. Bona, DED/T
James A. Word, DED/A
Norman S. Denie, Director, Lagel Services
Rebest V. Eller, Chief, Sureau of E.S.G
Deceans Deblelle, Director, Consumer Allain

DOCKET NOS. 961184-EQ, 961497-EQ, 961477-EQ, 970056-EG, 970096-EQ DATE: JULY 11, 1997

DOCKET NO. 970056-85 - PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED PROGRAM PARTICIPATION STANDARDS FOR RESIDENTIAL HOME ENERGY INFROVEMENT AND RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS BY FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION.

CONSTRUCTION STAFF (MARLOW)

. . .

PARTIES (FLORIDA FORER CORPORATION)

DOCKET ND. 970096-NQ - PETITION FOR EXPEDITED APPROVAL OF ACMEMBER WITH TIGHT NAY LIMITED PARTHERSHIP TO PURCHASE TIGHT NAY COMMEMBERS FACILITY AND TERMINATE RELATED PURCHASED POWER CONTRACTS BY FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION.

CONSISSION STAFF (D. SKITK, CAUSGEAUK, D. DRAPER, P. LEE, MAUREY, MERTA, MORISGA, L. RONIG, SLEWERNICZ, STALLCUP, DUDLEY, REATING)

PARTIES (DESTEC BREATY, INC., FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POMER USERS GROUP, FLORIDA FORME CORFORATION, OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL, TIGER BAY LIMITED PARTHERSHIP)

AGENDA: 07/15/97 - REGULAR AGENDA - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY

CRITICAL DATES: MOSE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: S:\POC\LEG\WP\COGEN.RCH

CAST MANDOURD

On Tuesday July 1, 1997, staff learned that Lorna Wagner, a former staff attorney with the Legal Services Division's Bureau of Electric and Gas, had become engaged to Robert Dolan, a Florida Power Corporation (FPC) employee. It has been alleged that Mr. Dolan and Ms. Wagner were dating prior to her last date of employment, June 27, 1997. After learning of these allegations, staff initiated a review of Ms. Wagner's case assignments to assess the possibility of any bias in the information presented to the Commission. In conducting the review, staff examined three categories of cases:

(1) All cases assigned to Ms. Wagner since January 1, 1996.

DOCKET NOS. 961104-EQ, 961407-EQ, 961477-EQ, 970056-EG, 970096-EQ DATE: JULY 11, 1997

- (2) All cases assigned to Ms. Wagner since January 1, 1996, to which Florida Power Corporation was a party.
- (3) All cases pending at the time of her resignation.

The best information available at this time (which is hearsay) is that Ms. Magner and Mr. Dolan have been dating for a "couple of months." From that information, staff has presumed the relationship began some time after March, 1997. Staff reviewed the assignments dating back to January 1, 1996, in an abundance of caution. Staff would note that of all the dockets involving FPC assigned to Ms. Magner since January 1, 1996, in only one instance was Legal the office of primary responsibility. Staff's review indicates that Commission action has been taken in five of these cases since March 31, 1997. This recommendation addresses what action the Commission should take concerning the dockets involving Florida Power Corporation assigned to Ms. Magner in which Commission action was taken after March 31, 1997.

DISCUSSION OF INSURA

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission revisit, at an agenda conference, the decisions made in each of the dockets involving Florida Power Corporation assigned to Ms. Wagner in which Commission action was taken after March 31, 1997?

EXCOMPROATION: After notice to the parties, the Commission should revisit, at an agenda conference, the decisions made in Dockets Nos. 961407-20 (Pasco),961477-20 (Lake), and 961184-20 (OCL) to determine if there was any bias in the information presented to the Commission. Those items should be presented to the Commission by attorneys from the Division of Appeals, since that Division was not previously involved in those items. Based on the information known at this time, it does not appear that any further review is necessary with respect to the decisions made in Docket No. 970056-EG (Revision to Conservation Program Participation Standards) and Docket No. 970096-EQ (Tiger Bay).

STAFF ANALYSIS: Each of the five dockets is discussed separately:

Docket Mo. 970056-EG - Petition for Approval of Revised Program Participation Standards for Residential Home Energy Improvement and Residential New Construction Programs by Florida Power Corporation. The Commission unanimously approved those tariff revisions at the May 6, 1997 agenda conference. There was

PERMIT

DOCKET NOS. 96:184-EQ, 961407-EQ, 96:477-EQ, 970056-EG, 970096-EQ DATE: JULY 11, 1997

no discussion of this item at the agenda conference and no party to the docket other than FPC. The order was issued as Proposed Agency action on May 28, 1997. No protest was filed. If staff's information is correct, Commission action on this item occurred after the relationship had begun. There were no legal issues in the recommendation. EGG staff indicates that the recommendation was based solely on its analysis of the merits of the petition.

Docket No. 970096-NO - Petition for Expedited Approval of Agreement with Tiger Rey Limited Fartnership to Purchase Tiger Bay Cogeneration Facility and Terminate Related Purchase Power Contracts by Florida Power Corporation. A hearing was scheduled for April 17, 1997 on this petition. At the outset of the hearing, the parties (FPC, the Office of Public Counsel, the Florida Industrial Power Veers Group, Destec Energy, Inc. and Tiger Bay Limited Partnership) presented a stipulation approving the purchase buy out. The stipulation was approved unanimously at the Nay 19, 1997 agenda conference. Given that the case was stipulated by all the parties, the possibility of bias appears unlikely. If staff's information is correct, Commission action on this item occurred after the relationship had begun. E&G and AFAD staff indicates that the recommendation was based solely on its analysis of the merits of the petition.

Docket No. 961184-NO - Petition for approval of early termination amendment to negotiated qualifying facility contract with Orlando Cogen Limited by Florida Power Corporation. The Commission voted to deny FPC's petition at the January 7, 1997, agenda conference. FPC protested the Commission's Order and a hearing has been set for October 30 & 31, 1997. If staff's information is correct, this Commission action predates the relationship by at least three months. OPC filed a Motion to Dismiss FPC's protest on Pubruary 26, 1997. Staff attorney Cochran Keating prepared, filed, and presented the recommendation denying OPC's Motion at the June 24, 1997 agenda conference. The panel approved staff's recommendation. If staff's information is correct, this action took place after the relationship began. However, Ms. Magner was not involved in this issue. Mr. Keating has indicated that Ms. Megner provided no input and did not review the recommendation.

Docket No. 961407-NO - Petition for Expedited Approval of Settlement Agreement Regarding Negotiated Contract for Purchase of Firm Capacity and Emergy from a Qualifying Facility, with Pasco Cogen, Ltd. by Florida Pawer Corporation. Ms. Wagner was the lead attorney and did virtually all of the legal work on this petition, which was approved after much discussion by a 3-2 vote, with Commissioners Deason and Riceling discenting, at the April 1, 1997,

DOCKET NOS. 961104-EQ, 961407-EQ, 961477-EQ, 970056-EG, 970096-EQ DATE: JULY 11, 1997

agenda conference. If staff's information is correct, this action was taken in the same approximate time frame as the relationship began. No protest was filed and the Order approving the settlement was final on May 29, 1997. ESG and AFAD staff indicate that their recommendation was based solely on the analysis of the merits of the petition.

Docket No. 961477-NO - Petition for Empedited Approval of Settlement Agreement with Lake Cogen, Ltd., by Florida Power Corporation. No. Magner was the lead attorney and did most of the work on this docket. The petition was approved after much discussion by a 3-3 vote with Chairman Johnson and Commissioner Deason dissenting at the June 24, 1997 agenda conference. The Proposed Agency Action Order is scheduled to be issued July 14, 1997. Staff will delay the issuance of this Order until after the Commission's consideration of this recommendation. The recommendation was virtually identical to the one filed with respect to the Pasco docket, with one exception.

After the Pasco discussion at the April 1, 1997 agenda, staff (E&G and Legal staff) were concerned about the apparent confusion surrounding the Commission's jurisdiction to deny cost recovery of amounts found by a court to be due pursuant to a negotiated contract. We met in April and decided that we would include the issue in the Lake recommendation. Mr. Elias took the position that the Commission could deny cost recovery; Ms. Wagner was going to take the alternative position that the Commission could not. After a discussion of the areas Mr. Elias was advancing in the primary recommendation, Ms. Magner, on the filing date of the recommendation (June 12), decided not to file an alternative recommendation. Ms. Magner submitted her resignation the next morning. Ms. Magner did, however, participate in the discussion of the issue at the agenda conference. The Commission decided that no vote was needed on that issue. If staff's information is correct, Commission consideration of this docket took place after the relationship began. E&G and AFAD staff indicate that the recommendation was based solely on their analysis of the merits of the petition.

The Commission has the authority to reconsider its Final Orders if they are based on a mistake Peoples Gas System. Inc. v. Mason, 187 So.2d 335 (Fla. 1966). While the information revealed so far does not indicate any affirmative evidence of bias in the information presented to the Commission, this review is ongoing. Further, the parties have not had an opportunity to provide information on the issue of possible bias. As stated above, the Tiger Say docket was resolved by Commission approval of an armslength negotiated agreement between the parties. At this time,

DOCKET NOS. 961184-EQ, 961407-EQ, 961477-EQ, 970056-EG, 970096-EQ DATE: JULY 11, 1997

there does not appear to be a reason to revisit this decision. The revision to the Program Participation Standards is fairly categorized as a routine filing, whose purpose was to assure that only cost-effective conservation programs are approved for cost-recovery. There were no other parties to the docket, and no protest was filed. At this time, there does not appear to be a reason to revisit this decision.

The Lake, Pasco, and OCL decisions are different.

The Lake decision is not yet final. There was a significant amount of discussion of the item at the June 24, 1997, agenda conference. While No. Wagner's participation at the agenda conference was limited to an issue which was not voted and no affirmative evidence of bias in the information presented to the Commission has been found to date, this item was recently decided. While some information (hearsay) suggests that this relationship began very recently, it appears likely that the relationship began before the Commission's consideration of this docket. Staff recommends that in an abundance of caution, after notice to the parties, the Commission should revisit, at an agenda conference, the decision to determine if there was any bias in the information presented to the Commission. Absent a showing of bias in the information presented to the Commission, no review on the merits would be necessary. This item should be presented to the Commission by the attorneys of the Appeals Division, since that Division was not involved in this item.

While it is now a final decision, the Pasco settlement/buy out was extensively discussed at the April > 1997 agenda conterence. The relationship may not have started until after Commission action on this item. While no affirmative evidence of bias has been shown to date, staff recommends that in an abundance of caution, after notice to the parties, the Commission should revisit, at an agenda conference, the decision to determine if there was any bias in the information presented to the Commission. Absent a showing of bias in the information presented to the Commission, no review on the merits would be necessary. This item should be presented to the Commission by the attorneys of the Appeals Division, since that Division was not involved in this item.

Ms. Magner was the leed counsel on Docket No. 961184-EG (Orlando Cogen). The staff recommendation on OPC's Motion to Dismiss FPC's Protest of the Commission's Order Denying the Settlement Agreement was prepared and presented by another attorney. The recommendation was a straight-forward application of well-established law on the question of FPC's substantial interests. The recommendation was approved without discussion at

DATE: JULY 11, 1997 961104-EQ. 961407-EQ. 961477-EQ. 970056-EG. 970096 -EQ

Order recommendation, 10010 in agende confere 2 **D100** 10. mrorm. participate w the parties to provide participate in any way in Desta 10 20 ő abundance 010 7 200 Commission. Hae revieited at input on MOTAL indicated CAUCTON 47 : he BIG

As stated above, (
evidence of bias in
promptly advise the Co 1 the parties. to is discove pezed, 31 at any time.

conference, Scrutzacouco 96:477-50 270 renderin ä DE-901196 . in Docket n presented to the st a showing of bi to enable Š TOVISIE. Buttn 961407-EQ in the furt bies completely 5 Commission Commission (Pasco). further in the to the agenda

- A: Be-

EXHIBIT 3

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Position for Expedited Approval of Settlement Agreement with Lake Cogen, Ltd. by Plorida Power Corporation.

Docket No. 961477-EQ Submitted for filing: July 8, 1997

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION'S

Florida Power Corporation ("Florida Power") hereby formally notifies the Commission and the parties to this case of a conflict of interest in the abovereferenced declars.

A Florida Power employee involved in this case became engaged on June 29, 1997, to be married to a former Commission staff attorney. While employed by the Commission, the staff attorney participated in staff's recommendation to the Commission in this decist. Florida Power believes that this relationship began prior to June 12, 1997, the date of the filing of staff's written recommendation. Staff's written and eral recommendations were considered by the Commission when it approved Florida Power's potition as a proposed agency action at the Commission's June 24, 1997 Agenda Conference.

Florida Power cannot determine to what extent, if any, this conflict of interest may have influenced the Commission's June 24th decision. However.

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

based on the facts stated above, Florida Power believes that the Commission should revisit its decision-making process in this dockst.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL PLOSIDA POWER CORPORATION

James P. Farna Peat Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Telephone: (\$13) 866-5786 Passimile: (\$13) 866-4931