



FILE COPY

August 18, 1997

Blanca S. Bayó, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2140 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 970281-TL

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing in the above-styled docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc.'s Post-Hearing Brief. Also enclosed is a copy of this filing on diskette in Word 7.0 format. The title of the document on the diskette is brief.doc.

An extra copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed. Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely,

Angela B. Green
General Counsel

ACK _____

AFA 2

AFP _____

CAF _____

CMU Shelfer

CTR _____ Enclosures

EAG _____

LEG 2

LRI 5 cc: All Parties of Record

OFI _____

ROJ _____

SCS 1

WFS _____

OTH _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08359 AUG 19 97

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE COPY

In re: Petition by MCI)
Telecommunications Corporation)
for an order requiring BellSouth)
Telecommunications, Inc. to)
remove its deregulated payphone)
investment and associated)
expenses from its intrastate)
operations and reduce the Carrier)
Common Line rate element of its)
intrastate switched access charges)
by approximately \$36.5 million)
as required by the Federal)
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

Docket No. 970172-TP

In re: Petition by MCI)
Telecommunications Corporation)
for an order requiring GTE Florida)
Incorporated to remove its)
deregulated payphone investment)
and associated expenses from its)
intrastate operations and reduce)
the Carrier Common Line rate)
element of its intrastate switched)
access charges by approximately)
\$9.6 million as required by the)
Federal Telecommunications Act)
of 1996.)

Docket No. 970173-TP

In re: Establishment of)
intrastate implementation)
requirements governing federally)
mandated deregulation of local)
exchange company payphones)

Docket No. 970281-TL

Filed: August 18, 1997

FLORIDA PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC.'S
POST-HEARING BRIEF

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.056, Florida Administrative Code, and Order No. PSC-97-0721-PCO-TP, issued June 19, 1997, the Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc. ("FPTA"), through its

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
08359 AUG 1996
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

undersigned counsel, hereby submits its Post-Hearing Brief in the above-referenced dockets.

FPTA'S BASIC POSITION

The FCC Orders require each LEC to remove all pay telephone equipment from its regulated operations and eliminate all subsidies or cost recovery for its pay telephone operations from regulated local exchange and exchange access services by April 15, 1997. The Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC" or "the Commission") must determine whether this has in fact occurred and then determine what rate reductions are appropriate to reflect the removal of payphone subsidy burdens from regulated services.

It logically follows that this review should be undertaken in conjunction with the review of the rates that payphone service providers (PSPs) are charged by the LECs so that cost savings for regulated services from the removal of subsidies for LEC payphones are used to reduce LEC charges to PSPs to cost-based levels. However, since the Commission has not yet begun its review of the rates that PSPs are charged by the LECs, this offset process cannot take place and the Commission will have to reduce some other rate(s) to reflect removal of payphone subsidies from intrastate rates. This in no way negates the requirement that all LECs must reduce the rates they charge PSPs to cost-based levels.

ISSUES

ISSUE 1: What is the amount of intrastate payphone subsidy, if any, that needs to be eliminated by each local exchange company pursuant to Section 276(B)(1)(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996?

****SUMMARY OF FPTA'S POSITION:** The Commission has approved stipulations resolving this issue for all companies except BellSouth. For BellSouth, the amount of the subsidy is \$7,502,000.**

DISCUSSION: The Commission has approved stipulations that address this issue for all local exchange companies except BellSouth. For BellSouth, the amount of the subsidy is \$7,502,000, when BellSouth's subsidy calculation is corrected to make the methodology consistent throughout the entire study. (Lohman, Tr. 74-77)

ISSUE 2: If an intrastate payphone subsidy is identified in Issue 1, do the FCC's Payphone Reclassification Orders require the Florida Public Service Commission to specify which rate element(s) should be reduced to eliminate such subsidy?

****SUMMARY OF FPTA'S POSITION:** Yes, the Commission is required to specify which rate element(s) must be reduced to eliminate any subsidies identified in Issue 1.**

DISCUSSION: The FCC's Payphone Reclassification Orders require the Commission to specify which rate element(s) must be reduced

to eliminate any subsidies identified in Issue 1. There is no disagreement between the parties on this issue. See Prehearing Order No. PSC-97-0914-PHO-TP, issued August 4, 1997, Pages 12-13. All of the witnesses testifying in this proceeding agree with FPTA's position. (Lohman, Tr. 36; Guedel, Tr. 110-111; Reid, Tr. 149)

ISSUE 3: If an intrastate payphone subsidy is identified in Issue 1, what is the appropriate rate element(s) to be reduced to eliminate such subsidy:

****SUMMARY OF FPTA'S POSITION:** The Commission should reduce the rates that LECs charge PSPs to cost-based levels **

DISCUSSION: The Commission should first reduce the rates that LECs charge PSPs to cost-based levels since these reductions will have to be made by the Commission in any event. (Lohman, Tr. 58) Any benefits from subsidy removal should flow primarily to the pay telephone industry, as Congress intended when it passed Section 276 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. (Lohman, Tr. 53-54)

However, since the Commission has not yet begun its review of the rates that PSPs are charged by the LECs, this offset process cannot take place and the Commission will have to reduce some other rate(s) to reflect removal of payphone subsidies from intrastate rates. This in no way negates the requirement that

all LECs must reduce the rates they charge PSPs to cost-based levels. (Lohman, Tr. 59)

ISSUE 4: If necessary, by what date should revised intrastate tariffs that eliminate any identified intrastate payphone subsidy be filed?

****SUMMARY OF FPTA'S POSITION:** The Commission has approved a stipulation resolving this issue.**

DISCUSSION: The Commission has approved a stipulation resolving this issue.

ISSUE 5: Is April 15, 1997, the appropriate effective date for revised intrastate tariffs that eliminate any identified intrastate payphone subsidy?

****SUMMARY OF FPTA'S POSITION:** The Commission has approved a stipulation resolving this issue.**

DISCUSSION: The Commission has approved a stipulation resolving this issue.

ISSUE 6: Should these dockets be closed?


****SUMMARY OF FPTA'S POSITION:** The Commission has approved a stipulation resolving this issue.**

DISCUSSION: The Commission has approved a stipulation resolving this issue.

CONCLUSION

The FCC Orders require all LECs to remove all pay telephone equipment from their regulated operations and eliminate all subsidies for their pay telephone operations from local exchange and exchange access services by April 15, 1997. The benefits of this subsidy removal should flow primarily to the pay telephone industry, as Congress intended. However, since the Commission has not yet begun its review of the rates charged by LECs to PSPs, this offset process cannot take place and the Commission will have to reduce some other rate(s) to reflect removal of payphone subsidies from intrastate rates. This in no way negates the requirement that all LECs must reduce the rates they charge PSPs to cost-based levels.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of August, 1997.



ANGELA B. GREEN
GENERAL COUNSEL

Florida Public Telecommunications
Association, Inc.
125 South Gadsden Street
Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 222-5050 (telephone)
(850) 222-1355 (facsimile)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc.'s Post-Hearing Brief was furnished by U.S. Mail this 18th day of August, 1997, to the following parties of record:

Richard D. Melson, Esquire
Hopping Firm
P.O. Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314

Tracy Hatch, Esquire
AT&T Communications
101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Will Cox, Esquire
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy White, Esquire
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 S. Monroe St., Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556

Anthony Gillman, Esquire
GTE Florida Incorporated
106 East College Avenue, Suite 1440
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Charles Rehwinkel, Esquire
Sprint-Florida, Inc.
P.O. Box 2214, FLTLH00107
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

David Erwin, Esquire
Young Firm
P.O. Box 1833
Tallahassee, FL 32302

J. Jeffry Wahlen, Esquire
Ausley Firm
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Ms. Harriet Eudy
ALLTEL Florida, Inc.
P.O. Box 550
Live Oak, FL 32060-3343

Ms. Laurie A. Maffett
Frontier Communications of the South, Inc.
180 S. Clinton Ave.
Rochester, NY 14646-0400

Mr. Robert M. Post, Jr.
Indiantown Telephone System, Inc.
P.O. Box 277
Indiantown, FL 34956-0277

Ms. Lynne G. Brewer
Northeast Florida Telephone Company, Inc.
P.O. 485
Macclenny, FL 32063-0485

Mr. Thomas M. McCabe
Quincy Telephone Company
P.O. Box 189
Quincy, FL 32353-0189

Mr. Bill Thomas
The Florida Telephone Company, Inc.
Gulf Telephone Company
GTC, Inc.
St. Joseph T&T Company
P.O. Box 1007
Port St. Joe, FL 32457-1007

Ms. Lynn B. Hall
Vista-United Telecommunications
P.O. Box 10180
Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830-0180

BY:



ANGELA B. GREEN