BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Consideration of) Docket No. 960786-TL BellSouth Telecommunications,)
Inc.'s entry into interLATA) services pursuant to Section 271) of the Federal)
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

THIRD DAY - AFTERNOON SESSION

VOLUME 13

Pages 1380 through 1475

PROCEEDINGS: HEARING

BEFORE: JULIA L. JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN

SUSAN F. CLARK, COMMISSIONER
J. TERRY DEASON, COMMISSIONER
DIANE K. KIESLING, COMMISSIONER

JOE GARCIA, COMMISSIONER

DATE: Thursday, September 4, 1997

TIME: Commenced at 9:00 a.m.

PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center

Room 148

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: Lisa Girod Jones, RPR, RMR

APPEARANCES:

(As heretofore noted.)

RECEIVED 9-4-9-7

1	INDEX		
2	WITNESSES		
3	NAME	E	NO.
4	GLORIA CALHOUN (Continuing)		
5	·		83
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Boyd	14	88 00
7	Cross Examination by Ms. Barone	14	25 33
8	-		52 62
9	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Ellenberg	14	64
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	EXHIBITS - VOLUME 13		
2	NUMBER	ID.	ADMTD.
3	41		1465
4	42		1465
5	43		1465
6	44		1465
7	45		1465
8	46		1465
9	47		1465
10	48		1465
11	49		1465
12	50 Nelson August 21 Letter	1388	1465
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Transcript continues in sequence from
3	Volume 12.)
4	(After brief recess, hearing resumed at
5	3:10 p.m.)
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on
7	the record. Ms. Rule.
8	GLORIA CALHOUN
9	continues her testimony under oath from Volume 12.
LO	CONTINUED CROSS
.1	BY MS. RULE:
L2	Q Ms. Calhoun, what is a service order reject?
L3	A A service order reject can be for a number of
L4	reasons. A reject
L5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Is the question what is
L6	a server reject?
L7	MS. RULE: Service order reject.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: He heard social reject, I
19	think.
20	WITNESS CALHOUN: It's an order that's
21	rejected by a system for one reason or another.
22	Q (By Ms. Rule) Would you turn in Attachment
23	15 to Page 4, please.
24	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I'm sorry, would you
25	repeat the page number?

MS. RULE: Page 4 of Attachment 15. 1 (By Ms. Rule) Do you have that? 2 Q Α Yes. 3 And could you read, please, aloud, 4 5 paragraph 5.1.5. "Both parties agree to complete the defined 6 7 translations, establish a query response cycle time commitment, including but not limited to order rejection 8 and firm order confirmation, and proceed to systems readiness testing, as more fully described in 10 Section VII, that will result in a fully operational 11 12 interface for resale of local service by March 31st, 1997." 13 The reference to a translation including a 14 Q response cycle time -- or including, but not limited to 15 order rejection, that would include the service order 16 reject; would it not? 17 Yes. 18 Α 19 Has BellSouth established or provided AT&T 0 20 with this capability yet? 21 Α Yes. When? 22 Q I don't recall the exact date, but my 23 recollection was that it was prior to March 31st, 1997. 24

I'm going to pass out a letter. Do you have

25

Q

1 | that?

A Yes.

Q Have you seen this before?

A Yes. I also saw this in Kentucky last week.

Q And this letter discusses -- it's a letter from AT&T to BellSouth discussing BellSouth's obligations to implement electronic reject capability; is it not?

A Yes.

Q The Georgia interconnection agreement mimics -- or actually the Florida interconnection agreement mimics the Georgia agreement in that it provides the same requirement as you just read in paragraph 5.1.5. Could you read the second paragraph of this letter, please?

A I'll accept what you said about the Georgia agreement subject to check. What I'm reading from here is the Florida agreement.

Q Yes.

A Okay. And you wanted me to read?

Q The second paragraph of the letter.

A "Our Georgia interconnection agreement requires BellSouth to provide AT&T with the electronic capability to receive service order rejects by no later than March 31st, 1997. BellSouth has failed to meet

that date and subsequent commitments to provide such 1 capability by April 21st, 1997, July 31st, 1997 and then 2 September 8th, 1997." 3 Could you read the next sentence? 4 "Marsha Moss of BellSouth now states without 5 6 explanation that BellSouth will not be providing such 7 capability until November, eight months from that negotiated date set forth in our interconnection 8 agreement." 9 Is it your testimony that BellSouth has 10 provided this capability in Florida? 11 It's my testimony that BellSouth has provided 12 Α the order rejection that is called for under the 13 14 interconnection agreement as described in paragraph 15 1.1.3 of the interconnection agreement, and that this letter relates to an additional capability that's been 16 discussed among the parties, or between the parties. 17 Could you tell me whether DSO, DS-1 and DS-3 18 Q private lines are examples of complex services? 19 Excuse me, give me the list again, please. 20 Α

DSO, DS-1 and DS-3 private lines.

Are IXCs able to order these services from

How about Basic Rate ISDN?

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q

Yes.

Yes.

Interexchange carriers? 2 Yes. Q 3 I don't know about ISDN. There are DSO, DS-1 4 and DS-3 level access services. 5 And do they do that by sending an access 6 7 service request over the exact interface? I don't know if they do. I believe that in Α 8 the DS-3 ordering environment there are account teams 9 involved in that process, and I'm not certain about the 10 other two. 11 So your answer is you don't know? 12 13 I don't know for DSO and DS-1. And for DS-3, is it your testimony that they 14 do not order it over the exact interface? 15 It's my testimony that -- I better say I don't 16 know on that one too. 17 Pardon me? 18 Q 19 Α I'll say that I don't know on that one too. Thank you. Have you submitted the results of 20 any carrier-to-carrier testing in this docket? 21 22 Α I personally have not. Have you submitted the results of any 23 Q independent third party testing of BellSouth's OSS 24 25 systems?

1

BellSouth today?

1	A I have not.
2	Q So your opinion that BellSouth's interfaces
3	and operational support systems comply with Section 271
4	requirements are not based on the results of that type
5	of carrier-to-carrier or independent third party
6	testing?
7	A That's right. They're based on the results of
8	systems testing and internal testing by BellSouth's
9	systems experts.
10	MS. RULE: No further questions.
11	MR. SELF: No questions.
12	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I have one question
13	before you go any further. Did you want this letter as
14	an exhibit?
15	MS. RULE: Thank you very much. Yes, I would.
16	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: You're welcome.
17	MS. RULE: And that would be I believe
18	identified as No. 50?
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Be identified as 50 and
20	short title, Nelson August 21 Letter.
21	(Exhibit No. 50 marked for identification.)
22	CROSS EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. WIGGINS:
24	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Calhoun. Pat Wiggins for
25	Intermedia.

You've covered a wide range of topics today, 1 so I would just like to take a moment and make sure I 2 understand the focus of your testimony. My 3 understanding is that it's -- that you essentially focus 4 on the OSS functions of preordering and ordering for 5 ALECs and how those functions compare to the similar 6 7 functions for Bell's retail operations; is that a fair statement of the focus of your testimony? 8 It's not limited to preordering and ordering. 9 Α 10 preordering, ordering and provisioning, maintenance and 11

It's the required OSS functions required by the FCC were repair and billing information.

Q Thank you. If I ask you any questions that are beyond the scope of your testimony, please feel free to say so, and we'll make everyone happier and move on.

Is BellSouth currently providing CABS billing to any ALEC?

- Providing? Α
- C-A-B -- is it CABS? Q
- 20 CABS billing? Α
- 21 Q Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- Α Going back to the discussion we had earlier, we have provided test files to both AT&T and MCI.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 When you say CABS billing, let me back up. Α

CABS billing for resold services? 1 2 Q Yes. Yes. We began in July to provide test data to 3 AT&T and MCI. 4 Is that still in the testing phase? 5 0 Α Yes. 6 Okay. Do you know whether Intermedia has 7 8 requested CABS? I don't know. 9 Α Do you know what kind of billing Intermedia 10 receives from BellSouth? 11 12 I don't know. You've testified there are certain services 13 0 that an ALEC can order using EDI, correct? 14 15 Α Yes. Do you know of any ALEC who uses paper LSRs 16 currently today to order services it could order using 17 18 EDI? I don't know that I could name one 19 20 specifically. I know that some ALECs do send paper LSRs and that EDI is available to any CLEC. 21 22 I guess my question is pretty straightforward. Do those paper LSRs that some ALECs 23 24 are continuing to send, could they have ordered those services through EDI?

1 Do you have any idea why they continue to use 2 Q 3 paper LSRs? No. Α 4 Have you ever inquired? 5 Α No. 6 If Intermedia wished to order a DS-1, would 7 Q they need to do that through their account team? 8 9 Α Yes. Could you explain briefly how that -- how that 10 11 would work? Complex services that are -- excuse me, 12 Α Yes. a CLEC account team from interconnection services would 13 provide a systems designer to work with the CLEC to 14 15 obtain any necessary preordering or ordering information. 16 And ultimately the -- I don't know, would it 17 be an LSR for that as well? The LSR for that would be 18 sent to the LCSC? 19 I don't know if technically that's an LSR, and 20 21 I don't believe it would be sent to the LCSC. There's another ordering center that handles complex services 22 that would physically input the final order. 23 Would that be the same service center that a 24 Q

Bell retail DS-1 would be sent to?

25

Yes.

Α

A No, I don't believe so. The name of the center escapes me, but as I recall it's the center -- I think it might be called the vendor service center.

It's one that a -- a vendor of PBX equipment, for example, might work -- would handle orders for a vendor of, say, PBX equipment.

Q So there are -- so CLECs have one center that handle their order, such as a DS-1, and BellSouth retail services has another center that handles similar orders for retail; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q I'm a little puzzled at this point. I was under the impression that the LCSC actually is the one that handles the -- would handle the DS-1 order. But your testimony is that it's someone else? It's another center?

A Yes. That's my recollection. There are a number of complex services, and I -- my recollection of the DS-1 is that it's handled by that other center.

Q But your testimony is that the -- that the OSS support for both sales, both the retail sale on BellSouth's side and the provisioning of the ALEC DS-1, are sufficiently comparable for their to be parity?

A Yes.

Q So when you give that testimony, are you

presupposing that the center for the CLECs operate at generally the same level of efficiency and proficiency as the retail center does?

A Yes.

Q Are you familiar with the quality of service provided by BellSouth's LCSCs, or is that beyond the scope of your testimony?

A That's beyond the scope of my testimony.

Q Are there any mechanized systems for ordering subloop -- unbundled subloop elements?

A I was asked that question earlier and I am just not familiar with the subloop element itself to recall -- and I believe the documentation is contained in Mr. Milner's testimony.

Q I'm sorry I asked you a redundant question.

I do want to revisit an area Mr. Melson spoke with you about, and I'll try to do it without being redundant. As I understand it, there are about 30 resale services that can be ordered through EDI; is that correct?

A No. The 30 -- the list of 30 resold services are those that can be ordered via EDI and that also have mechanized order generation on BellSouth's side of the interface. There are additional services that also can be ordered via EDI.

Thank you for that clarification. 1 understand the process correctly, Intermedia, for 2 example, if it wishes to place an order using an LSR 3 through EDI, will submit that order through EDI, and if 4 there is a problem with the way the EDI -- I want to 5 call it a paperless LSR -- the way the paperless LSR is 6 7 filled out, the EDI system has a filter in it that will send back a message saying, no, this is invalid; is 8 that --9 10 Α Yes. That error message -- do they have a number 11 Q 12

- Is it 97 -for that?
 - Α 997 transaction set.
- Is it true that that checks for only the EDI protocol, that as long as the EDI protocol is correct, and letters are filling the fields where they need alpha characters and numbers are filling the fields where it needs numerical characters, it will go through?
 - Α Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So could put "War and Peace" through there and it would still go through as long as the fields were filled?
- I'm having trouble visualizing putting "War Α and Peace" through the EDI interface.
 - That would be data compression. I'll strike Q

that silly question before someone else does.

So it is possible, I think -- let me strike that. So there are situations where a paperless LSR through EDI can clear the EDI validity check and make it to the next step only to be rejected again, to be rejected at that point; is that correct?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And at that point it is again sent to the LCSC?
 - A Yes.

- Q So from the ALEC's perspective, they can send an EDI order, get confirmation back that it's valid and yet still have it rejected in a subsequent step?
- A Well, they get confirmation back that it's been received and accepted by the system for further processing. Technically that's not the same thing as saying -- as confirmation that it's valid.
- Q Okay. Thank you. Is one thing that might result in a clari -- is it clarification -- let me back up.
- What is it that the LCSC sends the ALEC when they find out that for whatever reason the paperless EDI LSR did not make it through the second filter? What is that called?
 - A The order goes for clarification.

2 "clarification notice"; is that okay with you? Okay, that's fine. 3 Α Is one thing that might trigger a 4 5 clarification notice a misspelling or some sort of grammatical problem in one of the fields, a typo in the 6 7 fields? It would depend on which field and what the 8 Α nature of the problem was. 10 What might cause -- once it made it through 11 the first filter, only to not make it through the second filter, so a clarification notice was faxed to the ALEC, 12 what might be a problem that would cause that to happen? 13 14 I think the example we used earlier was if an Α 15 apartment number were missing, for example. Suppose there was call forwarding in the 16 17 account. Would your system need to know what that call forwarding number was, if it was resident in the central 18 office? 19 I'm not sure I understand your question. 20 21 mean, that sounds like a context specific question, and 22 I don't know what your context is. Let me ask it more generically. Are there 23 Q 24 certain -- in a switch "as is" situation, are there 25 certain functionalities that a customer may have that

All right. I would like to call that

A Yes.

would not be registered in the paper LSR so that when it hit the second filter it could not be processed without having it sent to the LCSC for clarification?

A I'm still having trouble with your question.

Q Let me -- no problem. Let me ask it another way. Is it possible for the ALEC to have entered everything perfectly in the EDI LSR, have the EDI LSR codes be perfect, there's no problem with what's written on the page, have it clear the first filter, hit the second filter, and be sent to the LCSC for clarification because there was something about the service that the customer was receiving that required further information?

A I can't, off the top of my head, envision a situation in which that would occur. But to go back to a disclaimer I think I've given a couple of times now, I'm not a service representative and I don't know the ins and outs of every service that BellSouth offers, so I don't think I can categorically say that that would never happen.

Q So from your perspective, with the exposure you have, it would be your view that if there was a clarification needed, it would be due to some mistake in the LSR?

Okay. You may have already been asked this, and if you have, I'm sorry. Do you have any data -- do you have any knowledge of what percentage of LSRs overall that make it through the first filter to be -only to not make it to the second filter and to be sent to the LCSC for clarification, what that percentage is? I don't. Α Do you have any knowledge of what percentage of Intermedia's LSRs submitted through EDI end up needing clarification? Α I don't know. And I think I only have a couple more Q questions. And you may have already given this 14 testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When Intermedia sends a paperless LSR through EDI, how long should it be before they receive a firm order confirmation?

Α I think it depends on the type of service. I understand it, firm order confirmations, in some cases the time frames are contractually defined. I don't know that you can categorically make a statement that applies to all services.

Switch "as is" R1, how long would it be? Q 48 hours? 24?

Switch "as is"? Α

WITNESS CALHOUN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Who decides whether to

24

batch it or send it individually? 1 WITNESS CALHOUN: In this case it's my 2 understanding that Intermedia would be using the EDI PC 3 package and they would determine -- I think I showed on 4 the screen yesterday, they have the option of queuing it 5 to be sent as a group or of sending it immediately. 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Why wouldn't they send it 7 immediately? Why would they batch it? 8 9 WITNESS CALHOUN: EDI traditionally has exchanged data in batches at predetermined intervals. 10 Those can be set for every couple of minutes, just take 11 everything you have, send it, send those across, or I 12 mean just depending on the volume of transactions -- and 13 again, I can't speculate. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You don't know why? 15 16 WITNESS CALHOUN: No, I can't speculate why an ALEC would choose to do it one way versus the other. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: But it's their choice? 18 19 WITNESS CALHOUN: Yes. MR. WIGGINS: Thank you. I have no further 20 21 questions. CROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. BOYD: 23 Hello, Ms. Calhoun. I'm Everett Boyd for 24 Q 25 Sprint/SMNI. Let me follow up on one thing that

Mr. Wiggins asked. He asked you about the paper LSRs 1 being sent. Is the LCSC the correct way --2 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Mr. Boyd, can you talk 3 into the mike? When you turn to face her your mouth 4 goes way beyond the mike and then I can't hear you. 5 if I can't, I don't think the other end can. Thank 6 7 you. 8 MR. BOYD: Thank you, Commissioner. 9 Q (By Mr. Boyd) Is the LCSC the correct place for a paper LSR to be sent? 10 Α Yes. 11 12 Q Or Fed Ex'd by the people who chose to do it that way? 13 14 Α (Indicating) 15 Now I believe Ms. Rule asked you about the LENS system and some enhancements or modifications that 16 17 are going to be made in the future. Are there planned 18 enhancements later this year? 19 Α Yes. 20 At the present time, can a loop, unbundled 21 loop, be ordered through the LENS system? Yes, it can. That's one of the things we 22 showed earlier at the request of one of the parties. 23 can't quite remember who. 24

That was where it was typed in under the

remarks section? 1 2 Α Yes. And is that functionally the equivalent of 3 sending a fax? 4 I don't know how to respond to that. 5 say -- excuse me. No, it's not the functional 6 equivalent of sending a fax. When an order is sent in 7 via the LENS interface, it comes into the LEO database. 8 9 It will be routed electronically to a screen -- it will appear to the BellSouth service representative already 10 in their system. 11 12 That's for an unbundled loop? Q Yes. 13 Α And what part in your diagram does -- is it 14 15 routed out by LEO? To the local carrier service center, to the --16 technically it's shown as the LCSC work list. That's an 17 18 electronic screen. And the LCSC then has to perform further work 19 so that that order can be processed? 20 21 Α Yes. And are the enhancements planned for the LENS 22 ordering system for later this year, do they include a 23

change that would make the ordering of unbundled loop

25

entirely mechanized?

Yes, that is one of the changes. 1 2 And is that scheduled to be implemented in the Q fourth quarter of this year? 3 I'm not sure of the exact date. Α 4 Is the -- is "flow through" a correct 5 terminology for a mechanized order? 6 "Flow through" can have different meanings for 7 Α different people. I think the safest term is 8 "mechanized order generation." 9 And does that mean it goes on into the systems 10 that you've described without the manual intervention? 11 12 Yes. I believe in the -- your answers to some of 13 the questions by Mr. Melson or Ms. Rule, when you were talking about selection of the telephone numbers and 15 there's an entry on the screen for "keep" the number; is 16 17 that the right terminology? 18 Α Yes. And I think you cautioned us that that was not 19 20 a final assignment of the number and that -- so that the 21 ALEC should not guarantee that number to the customer at that point; is that right? 22 Yes, just as it's BellSouth's practice for its 23 Α

Q And at what point in the process does that

retail customers.

When the service is installed. Α 2 Does the FOC, that is returned in the system, 3 Q reflect the telephone number assigned? 4 5 Α Yes. And can the ALEC rely upon the number referred 6 Q 7 to in the FOC as being a final selection? 8 Α No. Again, this is the same thing we've always done for our retail customers, which is to say, 9 10 this is the number, chances are you'll have it, don't take it to the printers until it's installed. 11 12 Q Now, as Mr. Wiggins asked you, and you reexplained, that the focus of your testimony is the 271 13 parity requirements of the access to OSS; is that right? 14 Yes. 15 16 And obviously we've been talking about the preordering and the ordering functions there in large 17 part today; haven't we? 18 19 Α Yes. And in order to determine whether there is 20 parity with the BellSouth's own systems, you have to 21 compare it to -- for residential the RNS, and business 22 23 the DOE; is that right? 24 Α Yes.

And Mr. Melson, I think, at one point

selection become final and that you can rely upon it?

1

25

Q

mentioned the demonstration in Jacksonville. That was last week; is that right?

A I think it was the week before. I guess I'd have to say I've lost track of time. I don't know. I wasn't there.

Q I was not there either. Within the past two weeks?

A Yes.

Q And was the purpose of that demonstration, at least in part, to allow the Staff and parties to get a chance to observe the RNS and the DOE systems in actual operation?

A I would assume so. I wasn't involved in the -- I just wasn't involved in that demonstration.

Q Do you know whether that was the first time that the parties here have been able to observe the RNS and the DOE systems?

A I don't know. I recall AT&T's Mr. Bradbury testifying in another state or -- testifying in another state that he had -- he had observed those systems at some point.

Q And as part the demonstration that -- with your setup here, have you made it possible for the Commission to observe the RNS system and the DOE systems in operation, to check for the parity requirement?

- A No, I don't have any way of reaching the RNS or the DOE systems from here.
- Q Now I know that -- and understand that

 BellSouth is relying upon the EDI interface for the

 parity requirement for the ordering function; that's

 correct?
 - A The combination of the EDI and the --
 - Q And the EXACT?

- A And the EXACT system, yes.
- Q And you've explained about the ordering capabilities in the LENS system. Would you agree that without regard to the EXACT and the EDI, that the ordering capabilities of the LENS system by itself do not meet the 271 parity requirements?
- A First of all, let me say that you've been using the word "parity," and I've been kind of going along with that. But when you talk about the Act, I don't recall the word parity in the Act. What I am speaking of is in terms of the non-discrimination obligation, or non-discriminatory access.

And I believe that the LENS ordering function does provide non-discriminatory access for the functions it supports, but it does not yet support the full range of functions that the industry standard, EDI ordering interface, does.

So for the full range of services that are 1 available for ALECs to purchase and order, the LENS 2 system does not meet the non-discrimination standards of 3 ordering, does it? 4 No, it does not yet support all of those 5 functions, but it provides those functions in a 6 7 non-discriminatory manner for the functions it supports. 8 Q Exhibit 46 was the June 16th memo to the ALECs about the provisioning intervals? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Do you recall that? Is there an interval on this list for the ordering of an unbundled loop? If you look at the cover letter, this 13 Α No. 14 is -- the first sentence reads, "BellSouth is pleased to provide you initial target intervals for the 15 provisioning of retail/resale products." 16 Is there a similar memo or other documentation 17 0 of an expected interval for ordering UNEs? 18 19 I recall that those have been published, 20 but I don't happen to have one. Was that part of the plethora of exhibits that 21 22 were part of your testimony? 23 A No. The BellSouth customer service 24 representatives, when they're using the RNS system and 25

the DOE systems to interact with customers, they use those opportunities for sales or marketing efforts, do they not?

A Yes.

Q And somewhere -- I think it was in your testimony, I saw something paraphrased like "each contact is an opportunity for marketing." Does that sound -- is that an accurate statement in terms of the BellSouth retail operations?

A I don't recall that statement in my testimony and I'm not here to talk about BellSouth's retail marketing practice.

Q At Page 19 of your direct testimony, I think it's 16 through 18, you refer to the limited need for preordering information in the instance of a switch "as is" customer. Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q And were you suggesting that an ALEC, when in contact with the customer who indicates initially that they want to switch as is, that the ALEC would have no need for the preordering customer service record information?

A No. The term I use is a "limited need," and what I'm pointing out here is that there's a good reason that the industry prioritized ordering, the exchange of

ordering information, a year or so ahead of its efforts on prioritizing preordering information, because there's a huge base of installed customers who already have telephone numbers assigned, who already have addresses that are valid in the system, who already have services installed and don't need the date that an installer can come to their premises, and that there is less of a need for preordering information for those customers who just want to switch service providers. But saying that there's a limited need is not the same thing as saying that there's no need for it.

Q But you would agree that it's reasonable for an ALEC to want to take each opportunity to market additional services that it offers; do you not?

A Yes, and by providing real-time interactive access to the same database that BellSouth uses to get that product and service information, BellSouth has made that information available to the ALECs.

Q Can an unbundled loop be ordered in -- through the EDI interface?

A Yes.

Q And does it -- is it a mechanized order process?

A It's mechanized in terms of the receipt of the order and the flow of the information back. It involves

some manual handling in the local carrier service 1 center. 2 So is it handled in essentially the same way 3 as an order in the LENS system? 4 Α Yes. 5 In your last answer you refer to information 6 7 going back. Do you mean back to the ALEC? Yes, in the sense of firm order confirmations, 8 or completion notifications for example. The 9 provisioning aspect of the ordering and provisioning 10 interface. 11 And the -- I quess the principal interface 12 that you're relying upon for the ordering of loops is 13 the EXACT system; is that correct? 14 The industry is recommending the use of 15 Α No. the EDI interface. 16 17 The EXACT is the interface that you're relying Q upon for the bulk of the unbundled network elements? For the -- what I would call the 19 Α infrastructure unbundled network elements. 20 And can loops -- unbundled loops be ordered 21 through the EXACT interface? 22 23 Α Technically they can, but the industry recommends EDI for the use of unbundled loops, and 24 25 BellSouth's interface supports ordering of unbundled

loops in the industry recommended method. 1 So your answer was that, yes, unbundled loops 2 can be ordered through the EXACT interface; is that 3 right? 4 My answer was that technically, yes, but the 5 industry does not recommend it. 6 Are you aware of ALECs that order unbundled 7 Q loops using the EXACT interface? 8 I'm aware that some ALECs have done that. I'm 9 not sure if anyone is currently doing that. 10 And when an unbundled loop is ordered through 11 the EXACT interface, does it require the human -- the 12 manual intervention that we talked about with the other 13 two interfaces? 14 Yes, in effect it's being ordered as a special 15 16

A Yes, in effect it's being ordered as a special access circuit and it's handled the same way that special access circuits have been. They come to a screen in front of a service representative and the service representative will review the information on the screen, add information that may be needed and send the order on its way.

Q And is that service representative located in the LCSC?

A I don't know.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Does the -- does an order for an unbundled

loop sent through the EXACT interface, is it routed to 1 the LCSC at some point? 2 I don't know. 3 If an order is placed for an unbundled loop 4 using the EXACT interface, the EXACT -- there is a 5 provision for an inquiry mode for that order through 6 that -- through the EXACT system; is there not? 7 I'm not sure what you're describing, I don't Α 8 think I understand your question. 9 I'll rephrase that. If an order is placed for 10 an unbundled loop via the EXACT system, after it's sent, 11 can the ALEC perform an inquiry to determine the status 12 of that order? 13 I don't know. 14 Α If an unbundled loop is ordered through the 15 LENS interface, can the ALEC use the inquiry mode to 16 determine the status of that order for the loop? 17 18 The inquiry mode of LENS? Α Yes, ma'am. 19 Q No, the inquiry mode of LENS is the way that 20 preordering information is obtained. 21 Well, does the ordering phase of LENS have an 22 order inquiry function? 23 There's a status section. There's a way to 24 Α inquire on the status of an order. 25

So if an unbundled loop is ordered through the 1 LENS system, can the ALEC determine the status of that 2 order through the LENS system? 3 Yes. Is there -- in an EXACT -- excuse me. 5 order placed for an unbundled loop using the EXACT 6 interface, is there a space on the screen or order form 7 to indicate the customer desired due date? 8 I have a print of some of the EXACT screens 9 Α here. I could look. I don't recall the field exactly. 10 (Pause) 11 Yes. When an order is placed for an unbundled loop 12 Q through the EXACT interface, at some point in the 13 process is an FOC returned for the ALEC? 14 Yes. 15 Α And is that returned electronically? 16 Q 17 Α Yes. If we assume that an order for an unbundled 18 Q loop submitted through the EXACT system is error free, 19 can you describe for me, either using your chart or 20 otherwise, where that order ends up in the BellSouth 21 system? Does it go to LEO? 22 23 Α Through the EXACT system? Yes, ma'am. 24 Q

25

No.

Α

Q 1 No. Α 2 Does it go to the SOCS? 3 Q Yes. 4 Α Does SOCS then generate the FOC? 5 Q 6 Α Yes. And does that FOC, is it electronically sent 7 Q back so it can be activated and seen by the -- via the 8 EXACT terminal at the ALEC's premises? 9 Α Yes. 10 How long -- again, assuming an error free 11 order -- should it take for an FOC, for an unbundled 12 loop, to be sent in the EXACT format? 13 I don't know. As I said earlier, I think that 14 FOCs have -- tend to be a matter of -- have been 15 negotiated and are contractually set. 16 17 Are you aware that BellSouth has committed to Q SMNI to providing FOCs within 48 hours? 18 No, I wasn't aware of that. 19 Α Exhibit 48 was the letter from Mr. Baker, the 20 21 September 2nd, about the problem with the due date that Mr. Melson asked you about. 22 I'm buried in paper here. Α Excuse me. 23 That's the problem with the LENS firm order 24 mode due date glitch. 25

Does it go to LESOG?

- 1	
2	Q And tell me who Mr. Baker is with respect to
3	the BellSouth ALEC relationship.
4	A He is the vice president-sales for
5	interconnection services.
6	Q Is he an appropriate person for BellSouth to
7	make commitments for time periods for FOCs?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q While I've got this out, Exhibit 48, do you
10	know whether BellSouth has determined whether the FOCs
11	that are generated with orders placed in this manner
12	produce a due date that's consistent is correct or
13	incorrect?
14	A No. As I said, we just became aware of this
15	problem late Friday afternoon and the investigation is
16	ongoing.
17	Q When were you personally made aware of this
18	problem?
19	A At about 4:30 last Friday afternoon.
20	Q So prior to your making your presentation
21	yesterday afternoon here?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Let me go back to the FOC on the loop order
24	through the EXACT system. Again, assuming an error free
25	order, once it's into the gets to SOCS, what can

delay the issuance of a FOC once it hits SOCS? 1 I don't know. 2 Once it hits SOCS, is that an entirely 3 mechanized process? 4 Well, SOCS is a mechanized system. 5 I'm not sure exactly what you're asking me there. 6 Is the -- once the order hits SOCS, is the FOC 7 Q produced without the intervention of any human? I quess I better say I don't know for sure. 9 Α There's been reference to the term ALEC 10 account teams, in one form or another. Can you tell us 11 what an account team is? 12 It's a group of BellSouth employees who 13 Α Yes. are assigned to provide support to an interconnection 14 service's customer and to make sure that customer's 15 needs are met. 16 Is there an official BellSouth term that gets 17 assigned an acronym for an account team, or is that the best we have? 19 An account team. 20 And is each ALEC assigned their own account 21 22 team? I think it depends on the size of the ALEC. Ι 23 would say that in all cases there's not a separate 25 account team for each ALEC.

But the larger ALECs have each been assigned 1 their own account teams; have they not? 2 As far as I know. And again, we're kind of Α 3 getting outside the scope of my testimony on the systems 4 in terms of exactly how those account teams are 5 assigned. 6 Well, your Exhibit 45, which was your chart 7 0 about the system that was on this screen yesterday, the 8 last one that I think Commissioner Kiesling asked you about, the busy one. The account team that's shown on 10 here, is that the ALEC account team? 11 Yes. 12 Α Is the account team part of the LCSC? 13 Q Α No. 14 15 Q They're independent? Α Yes. 16 Is one of the purposes of the account team for 17 Q 18 the ALEC to have a point of contact in dealing with BellSouth for these ordering and provisioning issues? 19 Yes. 20 And is the ALEC -- it would -- let me rephrase 21 It is reasonable for the ALEC to rely upon the 22 information that's given it by the account team; is it 23 24 not?

25

Α

Yes.

And that's an appropriate source for an ALEC 1 to refer to for information on the systems that we've 2 been talking about? 3 It's an appropriate point of contact. wouldn't say that the account teams are necessarily 5 systems experts themselves, but they're the appropriate 6 people to put the ALEC in contact with the systems 7 experts if that's necessary. 8 And do the updates in the manuals come through 9 the LCSC? 10 11 Α No. And I notice on Mr. -- Exhibit 48, Mr. Baker's 12 Q September 2nd letter, his last sentence said if you've 13 got any questions to contact your account manager about 14 15 this due date issue. 16 Α Yes. Do you know how many BellSouth personnel are 17 Q on the Sprint/SMNI account team? 18 I don't know. 19 Α Do you know how many are on the AT&T or MCI 20 Q teams? 21 I don't know. 22 Α Do you know the director of the Sprint account 23 Q team? 24

Carol Jarman.

25

Α

The LCSC audit letters that -- did you hear 1 Q Mr. Scheye talking about them? 2 Yes. Α 3 I think they referred to LCSCs being located 4 in Birmingham and Atlanta. Is Florida -- are the 5 account teams assigned to Florida ALECs located in 6 7 Atlanta rather than Birmingham? There are account team personnel in both 8 Α Birmingham and Atlanta. 9 Have you visited the Atlanta or Birmingham 10 locations of the LCSC to observe their operations? 11 I have visited the Atlanta LCSC. I would say 12 Α "to observe their operations" is kind of a strong term, 13 14 or expression. For an ALEC to implement the -- one of these 15 systems, say the LENS interface, what I want to ask is: 16 What does an ALEC have to do to implement that system? 17 Do they have to buy -- do they have to have computers 18 available for the operations? 19 They would need computers, ordinary 20 Yes. personal computer running a software such as a Netscape 21 Navigator, the browser software, as it's generically 22 called, and they would need to establish a connection to 23 the LENS system and obtain user IDs. 24

And BellSouth through the LCSC makes available

25

Q

training programs for ALEC personnel to learn how to use the -- for instance the LENS system; is that correct?

A Not through the LCSC, but BellSouth does operate a training lab.

Q You're aware, are you not, that several of the intervenor witnesses have raised issue with whether the BellSouth interfaces are in a fully tested or fully deployed mode?

A Yes.

Q And again, with reference to Exhibit 48, the September 2nd letter from Mr. Baker about the due date issue, would you agree that -- I'll call it an issue, problem, whatever we want to call it, that that perhaps is an indication of the types of things that come into play and you discover when these systems are put into actual operation and the pressure of continued use?

A No, not necessarily. You can get unexpected results with a system, even if you've been using it for years.

Q Let me go back again quickly. The RNS system that BellSouth uses for residential -- its residential system is an integrated ordering and preordering system; is it not?

A Yes. It supports both capabilities, although BellSouth does use more than one system simultaneously

to accomplish some of those activities. It is typical practice for a BellSouth service rep to be obtaining customer service record information through BOCRIS, simultaneously running RNS, for example, and there are some functions for which a rep who would normally use RNS has to go to DOE. So you can't categorically say that all the functions are integrated all the time.

- Q Have you observed the -- let me rephrase that. Have you personally observed orders being placed utilizing the LENS system?
- A Yes.

- Q And were those test orders?
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q Have you personally observed orders made in the LENS system at an ALEC premises?
- 16 || A No.
 - Q Or any order where a customer's service was actually converted?
- 19 | A No.
 - Q Mr. Melson did with you a demonstration, I think it was the Norcross, Georgia location, where there was a valid address shown but no living unit. Do you recall that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q If you take the hypothetical perhaps one step

further. There's a new subdivision and houses are being built, and a customer calls an ALEC requesting new service and an inquiry is made. Does an ALEC have a way of determining whether there are any facilities -- excuse me, inquiry made through the LENS mode -- is there any way for the ALEC to determine whether there are facilities of BellSouth actually running to that residence?

A No, not in the inquiry mode of LENS. That information is shown in the -- in the firm order mode of LENS.

- Q So if an ALEC switches over to the order mode, at what point in that process would that information be displayed?
 - A On the due date screen.
- 16 Q Is that the final screen?
- 17 | A Yes.

- Q With respect to all these systems we've been talking about, would you agree that each time there is manual handling or intervention in the processing of orders, that the likelihood of an error is increased?
- A I don't have any personal knowledge to base that on. It would depend on -- it would depend on the person.
- Q Well, let me put it this way. Would you agree

```
that your odds increase that an error can occur
1
    somewhere in the process when you got people working on
2
    it?
3
              In general, yes.
4
         Α
              In the LENS inquiry mode, I believe there's --
5
   was there a box there or line for the tax exempt
6
             Is that in the inquiry mode?
7
   status?
              No, that's in ordering entry.
         Α
8
9
              Does the inquiry mode show the -- for an
         Q
   existing service, the tax exempt status of the
10
   customer?
11
12
              The purpose of -- no. And the purpose of that
13
    is not to show the tax exempt status of the end user.
14
    It's to show the tax exempt status of the CLEC.
              In the BellSouth system, the RNS system, does
15
16
    it -- or the DOE system in the inquiry mode, show
    whether the customer -- the customer's tax exempt
17
    status?
18
19
              No, not that I know of.
         Α
20
         Q
              I'm sorry?
              Not that I know of.
21
         Α
              Where there is an order been placed in the
22
23
   LENS system, is it correct that the only change that can
   be made in a mechanized form is the change of the due
24
```

25

date?

For LENS ordering? 1 Α Yes, ma'am. 2 Q Α Yes. 3 And any other changes, additions, whatever 4 that need to be made, you would have to cancel and make 5 a new order? 6 Yes -- no. No, the changes could also be made 7 Α by the local carrier service center. 8 Can an ALEC call the LCSC the next day if its 9 customer calls back and wants to add call waiting and 10 add it to the order made the day before? 11 Yes, assuming the order hasn't completed yet. 12 Α Yes, ma'am. We've had various references to 13 Q the traveling shows going to all the different states. 14 BellSouth is in the process of these 271 proceedings in 15 Georgia, Louisiana, Kentucky, North Carolina, 16 Tennessee? Is that correct? 17 I'm not sure what the status is, but in many 18 states in the BellSouth region. 19 Most if not all of the states? 20 Α Right. 21 And you've made it clear on several occasions 22 23 that -- in your disclaimer, that you're not a customer service representative. In any of the proceedings has 24

BellSouth brought as a witness a customer service

representative who could answer questions about the 1 actual day-to-day operations and use of the BellSouth 2 3 systems? BellSouth has not brought a customer service 4 Α representative. I have testified about the day-to-day 5 use of the systems. And when I say I'm not a customer 6 service representative, what I am saying is I'm not 7 familiar with each and every ordering code associated 8 with each and every service that BellSouth uses. 9 So I think the answer was no? 10 Well, there were two parts to your question. 11 And the answer was no to the first part and yes to the 12 13 second. Okay. Thank you. That's all I MR. BOYD: 14 Thank you, Chairman. 15 have. CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. HORTON: 17 Ms. Calhoun, I'm Doc Horton on behalf of 18 Q ACSI. You are familiar with ACSI from other states? 19 20 Yes. Α Mr. -- just to follow up on a couple of things 21 that Mr. Boyd was asking you with regard to the account 22

teams, does ACSI have an account team?

So they would have the same contact regardless

23

24

25

Α

Q

Yes.

of which state they were operating in? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Those account teams are not at the LCSC you 4 say? 5 A Right. Is there a point of contact at the LCSC for 6 7 ALECs? Let me back up. ACSI operates in several different BellSouth 8 states, right? 9 10 Α Yes. Would they -- regardless of the state, would 11 they have the same contact, point of contact, at the 12 LCSC, regardless of what state they're operating in? 13 14 Α In general, yes. 15 Okay. In general, yes. What --There are -- as we discussed earlier, there 16 17 are two physical LCSCs, and the staff in each are dedicated primarily to different carriers. But in order 18 to achieve 24-hour seven-day-a-week coverage, sometimes 19 for a portion of the day, or the night more 20 21 specifically, they take turns. Okay. Do you have a copy of -- it's your 22 response to Item No. 10, AT&T's First Set of 23 24 Interrogatories, and it is part of what has been

identified as Exhibit No. 10, Mr. Varner?

I don't believe I have a copy of that. Α 1 2 (Pause) Do you have a copy of that now? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Oh, I'm sorry, look at Page 3. You're shown 5 as providing that response to the interrogatories, 6 right, on Page 2? 7 I am. I think technically they should have 8 said "information sponsored by." I was not the originator of this information. 10 Okay, well, you can probably answer my 11 questions, though. I just want to understand, on Pages 12 3 and 4, there appear to be 67 -- 67 lines, and I would 13 assume that there were 67 carriers listed there. 14 that's been whited out. I suspect that's the 15 proprietary part, agreed? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 There's a series of columns there that says "potential" up at the top, and it's got LENS, EDI, 19 EDI PC, EC-Lite and TAFI. And at the top it says 20 21 "potential." Α 22 Yes. What does potential mean? 23 Q That these are possible users of the various 24 Α systems, CLECs who have indicated an interest in one of 25

those systems. 1 They've expressed an interest, or is this 2 Q something that you mark is that they're potential users 3 of those systems? 4 They've expressed an interest. 5 And then the next series is headed by "system 6 7 turn-up." Α 8 Yes. 9 Okay. And that's coded down at the bottom to Q indicate various stages of the turn-up activity, with E 10 meaning that the carrier is actively using the system? 11 Yes. 12 Α 13 I'm reading this right so far? 14 Α Yes. So under the LENS column, for example, where I 15 Q 16 see an E, that indicates that that carrier is actively 17 using the system? Yes. 18 A Go over to the -- well, EDI column shows one 19 ALEC currently using EDI? 20 21 Α Yes. And EDI PC, there's four currently using it? 22 Q Yes, as of the date of this report. 23 Α Are all four of those facilities-based, or do 24 Q

25

you know?

I don't know. Α 1 Earlier --2 Q I was going to amend my answer. I know that 3 Α at least some of them are not. 4 At least some of them are not? Are not 5 facilities-based? 6 Yes. 7 Α Those others would be resellers? 8 9 Α Yes. Maybe I misunderstood the question from 10 Mrs. Rule. Earlier she -- I thought you said that only 11 AT&T was using EDI PC. Did I misunderstand her? 12 That's when we got into the discussion 13 Α about -- she was using the term EDI mainframe. 14 Okay. Look at the -- go on over on that same 15 16 response, there's a column called -- says, "in use"? 17 Yes. Go down -- well, never mind. I misread it. 18 19 "In use," where it says "now" would indicate that the system is turned up for all systems that they may be 20 utilizing, right? 21 Yes. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. Could you 22 Α give me that again? 23 All right, well go back a page. There it is. 24 Q

I was looking at the wrong page. Look at Page 3.

```
Yes.
         Α
1
              Line 8.
2
3
         Α
              Yes.
              And that carrier it's got an E for LENS, which
4
         Q
   means they're actively using it?
5
              Yes.
6
         Α
              An E for EDI, which means they're actively
7
         Q
8
    using it?
9
         Α
              Yes.
              And a C for TAFI?
10
11
         Α
              Yes.
              And when it says "in use" it says "now."
12
         Q
    what does the "now" refer to?
13
              It means that there's a system in use now.
14
         Α
              I'm sorry?
15
         Q
              It means that there is a system in use now.
16
         Α
17
              A system?
         Q
18
         Α
              Yes.
              Not necessarily all the systems that they may
19
         Q
20
    be using?
21
         Α
              Right.
              May want to use?
22
         Q
              Right.
23
         Α
              That's all on that exhibit. Oh, excuse me.
24
         Q
    Excuse me. How many -- do you know how many, of the 67
25
```

entries there, how many of those are facilities-based 1 providers in Florida? 2 I do not. 3 Α You've indicated several times that Mr. Stacey 4 is more appropriate to ask questions of with respect to 5 the operations of the LCSC; is that right? 6 7 No. Mr. Stacey is the performance Α measurements witness. 8 All right. I, as an ALEC, place an order. 9 It Q goes to the LCSC, correct? 10 Not necessarily. 11 A 12 0 Where else would it go? 13 Well, for the services we discussed that are 14 orderable via EDI with mechanized order generation, the order would just flow into the service order control 15 system. It wouldn't go to a human on BellSouth's side 16 of the interface at all. 17 Okay. A reseller placing an order for an R1, 18 Q that goes to the LCSC? 19 20 No, that would go through the local carrier --21 excuse me, through the EDI interface through the 22 mechanized order generator and right on into the 23 systems. Is that the same process that an order through 24

a BellSouth representative would take?

A Yes.

_

Q Just for clarification, you said yesterday during your presentation that, as I recall -- and don't let me misquote you -- but you indicated that the period right after Labor Day was a particularly busy period; there were a lot of closed out dates right after Labor Day?

A Yes.

Q Does BellSouth ever preprogram -- other than holidays, do they ever preprogram closed dates for a work center or central office?

A Yes. There may be a central office switch conversion going on, for example, in ordering activity, and that location would -- there would be a freeze, it's called.

Q All right. For -- let's take for other examples, though, when you know that in a particular area -- let's take Gainesville with the return of students. That would be a particularly busy day for Gainesville, Florida as a BellSouth territory; do you agree?

A Yes.

Q The return of students to Gainesville would be a particularly busy time, I would imagine, for installs of service. Would you agree with that?

several questions that might touch on things that you've

24

25

answered before.

Excuse me. I really don't mean to slow this 1 2 process down, but if I could have a two-minute break, I would be much obliged. 3 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay, we'll -- how long do 4 5 you need? 6 WITNESS CALHOUN: Two minutes. 7 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll go ahead and take our 8 break for 15 minutes. WITNESS CALHOUN: 9 Thank you. 10 (Recess from 4:37 p.m. until 5:02 p.m.) CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on 11 12 the record. Are there any preliminary matters? MR. HATCH: Madam Chairman, I just wanted to 13 advise that we've agreed to stipulate Dr. Kaserman's 15 testimony and we can take care of the entry and everything later. 16 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much for 18 putting us on notice. 19 We were -- where were we? Staff. 20 MS. BARONE: Thank you. 21 Q (By Ms. Barone) Ms. Calhoun, would you identify the different functions that are available in 22 the LENS preordering mode, please? 23 24 Α Yes. Address validation, telephone number selection, product and service information, due date 25

information and customer service record information.

- Q Which of these functions are available in the firm order mode?
 - A All of them.

- Q And which of them are available in the EDI ordering mode?
 - A None. EDI is an ordering interface.
- Q So is the information accessed in the LENS preordering mode -- let me rephrase that. So the information accessed in the LENS preordering mode cannot automatically populate the appropriate fields in the LENS firm order mode; is that correct?
- A No. The firm order mode has all of the preordering functions contained in the firm order mode, and those populate the firm order in LENS.
- Q I understand, but if an ALEC wants to enter -they want to enter items in the preordering mode -- say,
 for example, they just want to put an address and
 telephone selection, et cetera, that information has to
 be cut and paste in order to be put in the ordering
 mode; is that correct? It can't automatically flow from
 the preordering mode into the ordering mode, correct?
- A That's right. But there's no need to do it the way you've described, because all that preordering functionality is also in the firm order mode. So you

can just start in the firm order mode and do those same 1 things. 2 If you put that information into the LENS firm 3 4 order mode, you cannot save that information and come 5 back to it later that day, can you? Α No. 6 7 Now, RNS has the ability to combine the Q capabilities of preordering and ordering; isn't that 8 correct? 9 Α 10 Yes. Ms. Calhoun, would you please turn to Exhibit 11 Q No. 45 that was identified earlier and look at Page 2, 12 13 please? Is that the one that's marked ID No. GC-33? 14 No, that is the -- the front page of that says 15 Demonstration of Electronic Interfaces that was 16 identified as Exhibit No. 45. 17 Α Oh, okay. 18 If you'll look at Page 2. Q 19 20 Α Yes. It helps me to be able to visualize things. 21 Q

22 So what I would like you to do for me is imagine you're
23 putting a template over the CLEC OSS access section that
24 you have there, where you have the CLEC and then the
25 EDI, and it shows the process that an order goes

through.

What I would like to do is for you to explain to me the BellSouth RNS ordering process. And if you'll just point out to me where the databases are different and show me the steps that an order goes through.

A Okay.

Q Or explain to me the steps that an order goes through through RNS. So, for example, you have on the left, CLEC, that's BellSouth, and it goes into RNS. What happens after that?

A There's -- it goes to a navigator, and where you see LEO, there's a system called FUEL. Where you see LESOG, there's a system called SOLAR. And the LCSC is not involved.

MR. ELLENBERG: For the sake of the record, could you spell those two acronyms for the court reporter, please.

WITNESS CALHOUN: Yes. FUEL, F-U-E-L. SOLAR, S-O-L-A-R.

Q (By Ms. Barone) And for the record, would you state what those acronyms stand for, please?

A Yes, FUEL is what I would call a nesting acronym. It stands for FID, USOC and Edit Library. And FID is field identifier, and USOC, of course, is uniform service order code. And SOLAR stands for service order

layout assembly routine.

Q All right, now will you walk me through the process for those changes?

A Yes. The BellSouth service rep would put the order in through RNS, and RNS would communicate through the navigator contracts with FUEL and SOLAR, and the ultimate order would be generated to SOCS, or the service order control system.

Q So the navigator communicates with FUEL and SOLAR at the same time?

A Yes.

Q And LESOG -- what does LESOG do and why is that not necessary in this situation, in RNS?

A LESOG is the local exchange service order generator, and its function is analogous to the SOLAR system. They're both mechanized order formatters. And FUEL is analogous to LEO.

Q And why -- I'll strike that.

Now would you go through the same process explaining DOE for me?

A Yes. The BellSouth service representative would input a service order into DOE. DOE would communicate with a system called SOER, S-O-E-R, service order edit routine, that performs functions analogous to LEO and to FUEL, and then the order would ultimately be

put into socs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

Q BellSouth customer service representatives have the ability to access pending orders in the RNS system; isn't that correct?

- A Yes.
- Q Is this also true in the DOE system?
- A Yes -- well, yes, yes, it's true in both.
- Q After accessing a pending order, a BellSouth representative can make modifications to the original order by accessing an order update screen; isn't that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
 - Q When moving from one screen to the next in RNS, the customer information initially validated in the system automatically populates the same fields in later screens; isn't that correct?
 - A I'm sorry, could you repeat the first part?
- Q When you move from one screen to the next in RNS?
- 20 || A Yes.
 - Q The customer information initially validated in the system automatically populates the same fields in later screens; doesn't it?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q RNS and DOE have on-line credit capability;

isn't that correct? 2 RNS does. RNS has a link to Equifax. I don't A 3 4 5 has that. 6 capability? 7 Α 8 9 0

believe DOE has that. RNS has a link that -- where you can launch a query to Equifax, I don't believe that DOE

- But you're not sure if DOE has that
 - I'm not sure. I'm not totally sure.
- And RNS and DOE have on-line edit capability; don't they?
 - Yes. Α

10

11

12

13

15

17

20

21

22

23

24

- If an error is made by a BellSouth customer service associate, a rejection notice is typically sent back to the customer service associate within 30 minutes by BellSouth's downstream representative; isn't that correct?
- It's not necessarily sent back to the originator of the order. There's an error handling group that gets error notifications.
- Is that notification typically within 30 minutes?
- I would have to check on that. That sounds Α like a reasonable time frame, but I can't verify it for sure.
 - In the products and services section of RNS,

an options button appears beside the product or service; doesn't it? 2 Α Yes. 3 The option button allows the BellSouth 4 5 customer service representative to know if the promotions exist for the service being selected; isn't 6 that correct? 7 Yes, that's correct, but promotion information 8 Α is considered marketing information. It wasn't identified as preordering information by the FCC or in 10 the arbitrations, or in any place where preordering 11 information has been defined. In RNS the BellSouth customer service 13 representative can access an order's summary screen which shows what the customer has ordered so the 15 representative can confirm the entire order with a 17 customer: isn't that correct? 18 Α Yes. RNS has a hold order button that allows orders 19 to be held for up to 30 days; isn't that correct? 20 21 Α Yes. BellSouth customer service representatives can 22 move to and from the DOE system from the RNS system with no time-out delays; isn't that correct? 24

Yes. They can run both systems

simultaneously.

Q How does RNS distinguish which fields must be filled in? How would the service representative know that they must fill in particular field codes in fields?

A There's a way of indicating it. I can't recall whether it's highlighting or color, particular color.

Q Would you agree, subject to check, that those fields that must be filled in are separated by a line between those that do not have to be filled in?

A Yes.

Q In DOE the products and services that can be selected are highlighted; isn't that correct?

A No. There's a message that appears at the bottom of the DOE screen that indicates that just because a product is highlighted doesn't mean it's necessarily available.

And the other part of your question was: Can a product be selected? And in DOE, if by selection you mean can you point and click at it? No, you can't do that at all in DOE. You have to enter a code.

Q No. What I mean by that is that in DOE, for those products that can actually be not selected with a pointer, per se, but they can actually be ordered or highlighted or shadowed.

1	A	Again, I typically see a message on the screen
2	that says	just because it's highlighted doesn't mean
3	it's availa	able. You have to check.
4	Q I	RNS uses the information from existing
5	customer's	CSR to populate the correct fields in RNS
6	when a Beli	lSouth customer is making a change to his or
7	her existing	ng service; isn't that correct?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q :	In DOE the USOC code automatically appears
10	next to the	e corresponding product or service being
11	selected;	isn't that correct?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do ALECs have the ability to access pending
14	orders in	the LENS or EDI systems?
15	A	No, not in the LENS system. In the EDI system
16	they can c	hange pending orders.
17	Q.	And what do you mean they can change pending
18	orders?	
19	A	They can issue an order to make changes to a
20	pending or	der.
21	Q	Do they have the ability to access those
22	orders thr	ough EDI?
23	A	Are you asking can they pull them up in
24	BellSouth'	s system via EDI?

25

Yes.

Q

A No.

.

Q Can ALECs customize the LENS and EDI systems for their own specific need? So for example, can an ALEC add an options button next to each product or service in the LENS or EDI system which would allow an ALEC customer service representative to click on the options button?

A Yes. As I described yesterday, the purpose of EDI is to define how information -- the formats in which information should be exchanged. But the ALEC is totally free to design its system on its side of the interface in any way that makes the most sense to them.

Q I think you've already stated this, but LENS or EDI don't have the capability to hold orders for up to 30 days; isn't that correct?

A LENS does not. EDI, you know, as we saw earlier, the CLEC has the choice -- it's up to them when they submit the order to us, and they can create the order in their system and send it to us when they choose.

And that same question really kind of applies to your earlier question about pending orders. They don't have the ability to pull up and view the order as it exists in our system. They have the ability pull it up and view it as it exists in their system, as they've

sent it to us. 1 2 Are all of the fields that must be populated in LENS and EDI distinguished in some way so that the 3 ALEC customer service representative knows that it must 5 populate the field before the order is processed? 6 Α They are in the EDI. 7 Q But not in LENS? 8 Α Yes. 9 Q And how are they distinguished in EDI? 10 Α With an asterisk. 11 Q Earlier when you were referring to Exhibit 12 No. 45, you discussed FUEL. Now FUEL is the database that BellSouth uses for its retail operations; isn't 13 that correct? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 Q And LEO is the database that ALECs use for 17 ordering, correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Are there any differences between the FUEL and 20 LEO databases? 21 Α I don't know. I would not be able to identify 22 them. 23 Do they serve the same functionality, or are Q there different functionalities involved?

Generally they serve the same functionality.

- Q What do you mean generally?

- •

- A I mean in general they are intended to provide the same functions, but I can't give you a side-by-side listing of exactly how things are accomplished in each. But in general they serve the purpose of checking business rules, checking that USOCs are valid, that a USOC that belongs with a particular -- that a USOC belongs with a particular class of service and they're doing content checking.
 - Q What's the difference between LESOG and SOLAR?
- A Well, again, technically they're different. Functionally they're equivalent. They are -- both of them perform the task of manually converting the inputs from the presentation system into codes and formats that are recognized by SOCS.
- Q What other databases were created to serve ALECs that BellSouth does not use?
 - A I can't think of any offhand.
- Q How does an ALEC know what its customers will pay for the services ordered? Does LENS or EDI have this capability?
- A Well, no, pricing information is something that's at the discretion of each ALEC. So the EDI and LENS system are going to offer the same capabilities to all ALECs, and then it's up to them to determine how

they price, package, promote, market their services. 1 2 RNS displays billing record information, correct? 3 4 Α Billing record information? 5 Q Yes. б Α Yes. 7 And RNS displays the rate for the service and Q calculates the taxes for that service; isn't that also 8 correct? 9 10 Α That's correct. 11 Now, this functionality isn't currently available for ALECs today; is it? 12 13 Α It is not part of -- it's not part of the EDI ordering interface. Again, pricing, promotion, 14 15 packaging, how a CLEC offers its services to its customers is not something over which BellSouth has any 16 17 control. It's at the discretion of the CLEC. And a CLEC can choose, using the industry standard ordering method, to organize information on its side of the 19 20 interface in whatever way suits its pricing or marketing 21 objectives. 22 Ms. Calhoun, in RNS can a BellSouth service 23 representative see all the NXXs associated with valid addresses? 24

I found that answer at lunchtime, and it

25

Α

Yes.

is possible for them to do that, and they would access that information through the telephone number selection screen.

Q I don't think you need to refer to this, but if you do I'll stop after I ask the question. In Late-filed Exhibit No. 2 you provide average talk times for BellSouth service representatives.

Are these average intervals based on service representatives using RNS? That's going to be your Late-filed Exhibit No. 2 and that's part of what has been identified as Exhibit No. 43. And you can find that on Page 381.

A This includes business and residential. So it will include both RNS and DOE.

Q One last question. Why did BellSouth create separate databases for ALECs instead of allowing ALECs direct access to the same databases that BellSouth uses for its retail services, retail operations?

A Well, there are a couple different reasons for that. One is, of course, as I've talked about at length, the industry recommends EDI for ordering, and so BellSouth made available an EDI ordering interface, but another reason is that BellSouth has an RNS system for residence customers, and a DOE system for business customers in four states, and a SONGS system for

indications from the CLECs that they wanted a single interface that would support both business and residence throughout the region. And in fact, there's language in the MCI interconnection agreement that -- in which we specifically agreed that we would not have separate processes for business and residence customers. And so we needed to provide a single, unified interface.

Q I just have a follow-up to that then. Why did BellSouth create LEO and why did BellSouth create LESOG?

A FUEL and SOLAR are databases that are only associated with residence customers -- with RNS, which only supports residence customers. And so again, because both CLECs, as our customers, told us they wanted a single interface, we needed to have databases performing those functions that would support both business and residence customers, and because we're contractually obligated to provide a single interface for business and residence.

MS. BARONE: Thank you. That's all I have.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Madam Chairman, I would
just like to ask one question.

With respect to your direct testimony -- and I probably should have asked Mr. Varner the same thing, but hopefully you can answer it. You take issue with

the fact that DOJ has apparently commented on your 1 OSS -- your operational support systems; is that correct? 3 WITNESS CALHOUN: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm on Page 5 of your 5 But the purpose of the OSS systems is to ensure 6 7 that competing carriers are able to perform the functions of preordering, ordering, provisioning, maintenance and repair in substantially the same time in order for them to fairly compete; is that correct? 10 WITNESS CALHOUN: In order to give them a 11 meaningful opportunity to compete, yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Fair competition; is that 13 14 right? WITNESS CALHOUN: 15 Yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's what the order 16 17 says? WITNESS CALHOUN: 18 Yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: What do you understand 19 20 antitrust issues to be? WITNESS CALHOUN: First of all, let me say 21 that I was primarily referring to Mr. Varner's testimony 22 23 here, but just let me try to answer that as best I can. My understanding of antitrust issues -- and again I'm not a lawyer -- are things related to -- primarily to 25

economics, market dominance and cost, and that sort of 1 thing. And, you know, what I was trying to say here is that in my layperson's understanding of the Telecommunications Act, there was no particular role given to the Department of Justice in terms of evaluating operational support systems. But as --6

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: There wasn't a role?

WITNESS CALHOUN: No, not directly, but that as I understand it, Congress did intend for the FCC to consult with the state commissions about that type of issue. And all I was trying to say here -- and again, I think Mr. Varner probably spent more time on this -- was that the DOJ's opinion shouldn't preclude a state commission from evaluating those issues and reaching its own conclusion.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Shouldn't preclude us from reaching a different view than what they did? WITNESS CALHOUN: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Maybe I should ask Ms. White or any of the other attorneys. I would like to know if you can cite me in the Act where it outlines what it is the FCC is supposed to do -- I'm sorry, DOJ. I would like to know what you're relying on in the Act. Thanks.

> MS. WHITE: We can do that.

1 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions, Commissioners? Redirect? 2 3 MR. ELLENBERG: Just a few questions, thank you, Chairman Johnson. 4 I'm sorry, I did have COMMISSIONER DEASON: 5 one question, if you'll give me that opportunity. 6 there anything within the systems to prevent slamming of 7 local service, other than someone just clicking yes that 8 they're authorized to access the system and make the 9 10 entry that they're making? 11 WITNESS CALHOUN: No. That was part of the issue that we discussed during the arbitrations, and I 12 think what we had settled on was the blanket letter of 13 authorization. And so the system doesn't prevent anyone from accessing records or from switching a customer, but 15 16 the system does keep, at the system level, what we call a footprint in the customer's record so that should 17 information become -- should it become necessary to do 18 some kind of audit or investigation, that information 19 could be extracted and made available to a commission. 20 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Redirect? 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. ELLENBERG: 23 Ms. Calhoun, would you look at your Exhibit 24 Q

GC-19 to your direct testimony, please?

A Yes.

_

Q Mr. Melson asked you a number of questions about what the 30 services on this exhibit represent. Do you recall those questions?

A Yes.

Q And I recall as part of your answer you indicated that the revenues from these 30 services represented 90 plus percent of BellSouth's retail revenues; is that correct?

A Retail revenue for residence and small business, yes.

Q Why is that important?

A Well, I think it gets to the question about ALECs having a meaningful opportunity to compete. It shows that we have mechanized the ordering process, as well mechanized the processes on BellSouth's side of the interface for most of the services that retail customers are interested in and order often.

Q And I believe you indicated that of the remaining percentage, approximately 10 percent of the revenues, a large portion of that would be complex services; is that correct?

A The complex services wouldn't necessarily apply to the small business or the residence market. We had talked about upwards of 80 percent of the total

market, and a big chunk of that is the complex services.

Q Okay. Now later in the morning, Mr. Melson, during the course of the demonstration, I believe while we were selecting a telephone number, asked you if your proxy service representative had just made a note of a telephone number. Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q Now over the past several months have you had a chance to observe BellSouth's retail customer service reps interacting with customers?

A Yes.

Q Have you had a chance to see BellSouth's retail reps making notes of telephone numbers and other information?

A Yes. As a matter of fact, that's the first thing they routinely do when a customer calls in, they write down on a note pad the customer's name and telephone number and sometimes the address so they have that information to refer to in case they have to move from one system to another.

Q And Mr. Melson had asked you about the ability of a BellSouth retail rep to access the NXX codes available in a particular office. And I believe in response to a Staff question you've answered that in part. I believe your answer was that it is possible for

a retail rep to access that information; is that 1 correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 Can you tell us how that is done? 4 They go to the telephone number 5 selection screen and press a button next to exchange, or 6 click on something that asks for those. And then a 7 window appears with those provided. 8 Now would you refer to your Exhibit GC-6, 9 please? 10 11 Α Yes. Can you tell us what that is? 12 Q It's a print of a telephone number 13 selection screen from LENS. 14 In the middle of the page, do you see the 15 caption "Special Number Pattern: 16 17 Α Yes. And what is that? O 18 That's a place where you can put in a special 19 number that you might be looking for, and if you're only 20 interested in a particular NXX, you can put just that 21 22 in. So using this field you could determine very 23 quickly whether a particular NXX code was available in 24 the office serving the customer? 25

Right. I think in the example Mr. Melson 1 used, what was shown was 447, and I think he wanted to 2 know what if the customer said 441. He could just put 3 441 in here. Now, Mr. Melson also handed you a document 5 which was marked as Exhibit 47. Do you still have a 6 copy of that? 7 I quess I'm kind of slow on the exhibit 8 marking system here. So if you could just tell me what 9 it's called. 10 This had a number at the top, 974518, and this 11 was I think represented to be a LENS order. 12 Α Yes. 13 Do you have a copy of that now? 14 Q 15 Α Yes. Would you care to mark it Exhibit 47? 16 Q 17 Α I will. Thank you. Now, as I recall, Mr. Melson asked you 18 Q questions from this document. And the thrust of those 19 questions was that this is an order for a conversion of 20 a customer from BellSouth to an ALEC, and also an 21 addition of a feature to that customer's account. Do 22

24 A Yes.

23

25

you recall that?

Q And I believe the suggestion was that the due

date should have been the same date the order was 1 passed, but it was indeed later. Do you recall that? 2 3 Α Yes. And at that point you made reference to a 4 letter that described some unexpected results that 5 BellSouth had observed in the due date calculation in 6 LENS. Do you recall that? 7 Α Yes. 8 Now, if you did not number the exhibits, I may 9 Q have to help you again. Do you have Exhibit 46 in front 10 of you? 11 Is that the due date calculation letter? 12 Α Dated June 16th, 1997 from Joe Baker. 13 Q Yes, I have that. Α 14 Would you look at the first page of that 15 Q exhibit, please? 16 17 Α Yes. And just to recall what this document 18 transmits, it is the standard business rules intervals 19 that ALECs can expect with different types of orders; is 20 that correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Now, look at the middle paragraph on Page 1 of 23 Q 24 this exhibit. 25 Yes. Α

Beginning with the word -- second -- the third 1 sentence actually, beginning with the word "as." Could 2 you read that please? 3 "As with all service provisioning requests, 4 these target intervals assume normal working conditions, 5 including safety, load, weather and availability of 6 equipment and facilities." 7 In that sentence, what does "load" refer to? 8 Means the volume of activity occurring in a 9 Α particular location. 10 Now refer back to Exhibit 47, the order. 11 0 was the day that this order was developed? That -- the date showing at the bottom of this 13 Α print is September 2nd. That's not a LENS date, but 14 that's the date on this page. 15 16 If you would, look to the next to the last page of the Exhibit 47. 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you see the desired due date? Q 20 Α Yes. That is also September the 2nd? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. When was September the 2nd? 23 Q It was the day after Labor Day. Α 24 25 Q And for BellSouth purposes, what is the day

after Labor Day?

A It is

A It is our busiest day of the year.

Q And what relationship would that have to particular load that BellSouth might be experiencing?

A Well, it would certainly have an impact on load.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What do you do to gear up for that, so that you don't -- so that you don't -- well, let me put it a different way.

What effort do you make so that you can accommodate as many people who want to have their phone hooked up on that day as can be done? Is there just no way to do that?

WITNESS CALHOUN: Well, I know from a systems perspective that change activity to the systems is minimized, and unless there's something that's an absolute emergency, I mean it -- everything is done to try to minimize any non-essential activity occurring on peak days like that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: How do you get to have your service done on that day? Do you have to call months in advance?

WITNESS CALHOUN: Well, I don't know.

Q (By Mr. Ellenberg) Now Mr. Melson also asked you to conduct a demonstration of certain functions in

the LENS system. Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q And at one point, I believe, while you were validating an address in order to select a -- excuse me, in order to view the installation calendar in LENS, we saw a message that said, "Application has generated an exception." Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q Now if you would, refer to Exhibit 45. And those are the prints from your demonstration yesterday afternoon.

A Yes.

Q Looking at Page 1 at the bottom of the page, there is a slide caption CLEC OSS Access?

A Yes.

Q And to the far right there is a block with R-S-A-G, RSAG. We've had a number of discussions about that, but could you just remind us what RSAG is?

A It's the Regional Street Address Guide. It's the database used to perform address validations, like we were trying to do this morning.

Q I believe Mr. Willingham asked you this question, but just in case, is RSAG used by BellSouth, or accessed by BellSouth's retail reps, as well as CLECs?

A Yes.

Q Now if RSAG, that database was off line, would that cause LENS to generate the message that it did during the morning session?

A Yes.

Q And if RSAG were off line, would that affect BellSouth's retail operations as well as ALEC operations?

A Yes.

Q And do you know whether RSAG was off line for any portion of this morning?

A Yes. As a matter of fact, the mainframe system that serves Georgia was in fact down for a couple of hours this morning, affecting not only RSAG but some other major BellSouth databases as well, and made those databases unaccessible by our retail systems as well as the ALEC systems.

e ALEC systems.

Q Now, Mr. Boyd asked you questions about whether BellSouth had offered the testimony of any customer service rep in any other state or here in Florida to talk about BellSouth's retail systems.

22 | you recall those questions?

A Yes.

Q There are a number of exhibits attached to your prefiled direct testimony and a number of those

1	exhibits are screens from the RNS and DOE systems; isn't
2	that right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And what is the purpose of having those
5	exhibits to your prefiled testimony?
6	A The purpose of those is to show that BellSouth
7	has made available the information and functions in its
8	databases to CLECs or to ALECs in substantially the same
9	time and manner that BellSouth has those functions
10	available to itself for its retail customers.
11	MR. ELLENBERG: Thank you, Ms. Calhoun.
12	Commissioners, that's all I have.
13	MR. MELSON: Commissioner Johnson, I've got,
14	if I could, three recross on things that came out during
15	redirect.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Go ahead.
17	RECROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. MELSON:
19	Q Ms. Calhoun, turn back, if you would, to your
20	Exhibit GC-6, and I believe you were directed to the
21	special number pattern block in the middle of the page.
22	Do you see that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q If the customer had not requested a specific
25	NXX, but instead had said, "What other NXXs are

available in this office?" would you have to try 998 different numbers in there to find out which ones were available?

A I'm not sure what the basis of the 990 numbers is, but this system would not display every NXX that's available.

- Q You would have to check one at a time?
- A Yes.

Q All right. With regard to Exhibit 46 and 47, which were the order placed through LENS on September 2nd and the intervals, does load in a particular office affect the in-by-3/out-same-day rule for functions that are only switch translations, or is that process entirely mechanized?

A The process is entirely mechanized, but load in the office could have a potential impact. There could be a switch conversion going on. I don't know what the circumstances -- I don't know what the circumstances around this particular order are.

- Q Is it just as likely that it is symptomatic of the problem that the CLECs were advised of by a letter on September 2nd?
 - A They're both possibilities.
- Q Finally, I understand RSAG was down for a while this morning in Georgia?

|| A

Q Is that a reason that an ALEC might want to obtain from BellSouth its own download of the RSAG database so that it could run that database on its own computer system?

A I don't know.

Yes.

MR. MELSON: That's all I had. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Re-re?

MR. ELLENBERG: Very briefly, thank you.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. ELLENBERG:

Q Back to GC-6, Ms. Calhoun, and the reference to the special number pattern NXX. Is it possible for an ALEC to simply build a table, either physical table or electronic table, of NXX codes available in given central offices?

A Yes. That would be possible. And I think another thing to consider here is that I am not aware of any great demand for customers to tell me all the possible combinations of three numbers that my telephone number could start with. If they're asking for a specific NXX, it's usually because they're interested in a particular one, which was the example that Mr. Melson gave this morning.

MR. ELLENBERG: That's all I have. Thank

```
you.
1
             CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Exhibits?
2
             MR. ELLENBERG: Move 41 and 42.
3
             MS. BARONE: Staff moves 43 and 44.
             MR. MELSON: MCI moves 45, 46, 47 and 48.
5
             MS. RULE: AT&T moves 49 and 50.
6
              (Exhibit Nos. 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48,
7
   49 and 50 received into evidence.)
8
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other matters for this
9
   particular witness?
10
              You're excused. Long day.
11
              (Witness Calhoun excused.)
12
13
              MR. ELLENBERG: Commissioner Clark, if I may,
14
   this is William Ellenberg for BST.
15
16
              The statutory authority for BellSouth's
   position on the DOJ's role is on Section 271,
17
18
    271(d)(2)(A).
19
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: What's that say? Is it
    long?
20
              MR. ELLENBERG: Pardon me?
21
              COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is it long?
22
              MR. ELLENBERG: I can read it.
23
24
              COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                   Okay.
              MR. ELLENBERG: "Consultation with the
25
```

Attorney General. The commission shall notify the Attorney General promptly of any application under paragraph 1. Before making any determination under this section, the commission shall consult with the Attorney General. And if the Attorney General submits any comments in writing, such comments shall be included in the record of the commission's decision. In consulting with and submitting comments to the commission under this paragraph, the Attorney General shall provide to the commission an evaluation of the application using any standard the Attorney General considers appropriate. The commission shall give substantial weight to the Attorney General's evaluation, but such evaluation shall not have any preclusive effect on any commission decision under paragraph 3."

That paragraph, in conjunction with the legislative history, is the foundation for the position.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.

MS. WHITE: Madam Chairman, I do have one housekeeping matter before we move on to the next witness. BellSouth has its responses to late-filed hearing Exhibits 29, 30 and 31 that it will hand out to the parties now.

No. 29 is proprietary because it does include

information about all the ALECs with regard to physical 1 collocation. But they will be given to the attorneys 2 for the parties under the protective order that you 3 signed. 4 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. 5 MS. KAUFMAN: Chairman Johnson, I have two 6 matters I would like to bring up at this time if I 7 might. 8 The first is I would like to ask the 9 Commission to take official recognition of an FCC order 10 that was entered on August 18th, 1997. It's generally 11 referred to as the Shared Transport Order, and I have 12 copies available for the parties if anybody needs one. 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It's referred to as the 14 15 Shared --? MS. KAUFMAN: Shared Transport Order. 16 The second matter I have --17 18 MS. WHITE: Excuse me. Before we move on, could we ask what the relevance of the Shared Transport 19 Order is? 20 It's referred to in the 21 MS. KAUFMAN: Yes. Ameritech order and relied on in that order. 22 MS. WHITE: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any objections to us taking 24 official recognition of that? Seeing none, we'll --25

MS. BARONE: Madam Chairman, I think we might 1 have that on our list. We have nine pages. If you can 2 give me the --3 MS. KAUFMAN: I apologize, Ms. Barone, if it's 4 already on there. I didn't see it. 5 That's fine. Do you have the MS. BARONE: 6 order number so I can check? Actually, if you'll look 7 on Page 8 of our Official Recognition List we'll see if 8 we have the same one. MS. KAUFMAN: My quick review, I don't see 10 It's 96-98 -- I'm sorry it is, it's No. 3. As long 11 as that's the one that was issued on August 18th, 1997. 12 MS. BARONE: If it's the same order number it 13 should be. 14 All right. 15 MS. KAUFMAN: Sorry. The second matter that I wanted to bring up, I 16 almost hesitate to do it given what I said this morning, 17 18 but I'm going to assume that Mr. Gillan is going to take the stand tomorrow. And what I wanted to do is I want 19 distribute an exhibit that he's going to be using when 20 21 he takes the stand. And just by way of brief explanation, what it 22 is is it takes his testimony and it essentially annotates it to the Ameritech order. And you may 24

recall, Chairman Johnson, in one of the status

conferences that we had, we requested permission that the parties be able to refer to the Ameritech order to the extent that it was relevant to the testimony that they had already filed.

so what we've done, to facilitate his summary and to move us along, is he has taken the Ameritech order, which has already been officially recognized, in his testimony and he has annotated the two. The reason I want to pass it out today is to give the parties, to the extent they want to review it and prepare for cross-examination, the opportunity to do that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay.

MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: On tomorrow's list, we have -- the only witness that stated that they had to -- that tomorrow was the only day available was Wood?

MR. MELSON: Mr. Wood, yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: But I'm sure we're going to get to Gillan also, but --

MS. BARONE: Madam Chairman, while they're doing that, I would also like to bring to the parties' attention that I intend on moving Exhibits 29, 30 and 31 into the record at some point, and I wanted to be able to give the parties an opportunity to lodge any

objections. But I understand that Mr. Scheye will need to come back on the stand to address these. So if you want to review those before I move them into the record, or if you can -- that will be -- I just wanted to let you know that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Madam Chairman, could you for my benefit tell me what the order of witnesses is likely to be tomorrow?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: If we finish Stacy tonight, then I think we'll have the opportunity to stay in order with Gillan and then Wood. And if we don't finish Stacy tonight, we'll start with Stacy. And the only ones that may get flipped is Gillan and Wood, just to make sure we get Mr. Wood in tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Have we gotten any expression from the parties on how long they think Mr. Stacy is going to take?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Just informally, but any indications on the cross of -- well, the direct and the cross of Mr. Stacy? If we could go quickly, how much time you think it will take?

MR. ELLENBERG: I think the direct is rather brief. You can give us a better idea of the summary, Lee.

MS. WILSON: I have about 15 or 20 minutes.

MR. WILLINGHAM: I'll have about 15 or 20 1 2 minutes. MR. MELSON: I've probably got an hour. 3 MS. RULE: Since I'm downstream of Mr. Melson, 4 I'm hoping to have a half hour. If I were upstream of 5 him it might be an hour and a half. So somewhere in 6 between those two times. 7 MR. SELF: I'm not planning on any at the 8 moment. I would like to stick to that. 9 MS. CANZANO: Perhaps about 20 minutes, 10 depending on the responses. 11 MR. BOYD: Probably none. 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff? 13 MS. BARONE: And we're downhill all the way, 14 so maybe 15 minutes, max. 15 MR. HORTON: Chairman Johnson, I may have ten, 16 17 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 18 MR. ELLENBERG: Chairman Johnson, there's an 19 20 open issue of whether Mr. Scheye is supposed to return tomorrow. I'm not sure if it related to exhibits or to 21 the argument on the dismissal of the SGAT and whether he 22 23 would have to come back for questions once the motion had been resolved, but it would help us to know soon if

Mr. Scheye needs to be here.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I believe that Staff needed Mr. Scheye to answer questions on the exhibits?

MS. BARONE: And I've got an indication from the parties that they will need to ask questions on the exhibits, and if they ask the questions, then I won't need to, but I know that he will need to come back for the exhibits.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: There will be a need there. On the SGAT I know Mr. Melson indicated that he wouldn't have any additional questions.

Do the parties anticipate any questions of Mr. Scheye on the SGAT? They're nodding that they will not, but we will still need to deal with him on the exhibits. And also we're trying to get the air turned back on. It was inadvertently turned back off and it's 80 degrees and climbing. I called them at 75 degrees and it's taken them a while.

Are we ready then for Mr. Stacey? Ms. Rule?

MS. RULE: Commissioners, while were on the

subject of some of the exhibits and preliminary matters,

I would like to schedule a time to hear argument on the

motion to strike. There is also AT&T's still

outstanding motion to compel, and I haven't had the

chance to talk to Ms. White today to find out when they

anticipate providing the responses they agreed to

provide. 1 MS. WHITE: Yes, I was hoping to do it today. 2 Unfortunately, Mr. Stacy thought he was going to be on 3 sooner than he actually was, so he tore himself away 4 from that to come here. So I would hope that by 5 tomorrow. By tomorrow, hopefully as early as possible 6 7 tomorrow. MS. RULE: I sure hate to do this, but I would 8 like the right to recall him to talk to him about the 9 responses that I will receive tomorrow, if that's 10 necessary. 11 MS. WHITE: Okay. Can I go ask him 12 something? 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Excuse me? 14 MS. WHITE: I guess I needed to ask my 15 witness, are you available tomorrow, Mr. Stacy? 16 WITNESS STACY: Yes. 17 18 MS. WHITE: And if he has to be another day, then we will have to talk about that. 19 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And Ms. Rule, we do expect 20 to entertain the argument first thing in the morning. 21 However, we won't --22 MS. RULE: I would sure like to talk about 23 that. I only got a chance to look at it for five 24

minutes or so.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You were the one that told 1 me you were going to be ready on Friday. You set 2 yourself up for that one. 3 MS. RULE: Well, so I was wrong. A rare 4 event, but it does happen. 5 No, I can argue it tomorrow. If you want to 6 7 hear that tomorrow, we'll argue it. If you want to argue it tonight, we can do it right now. On the other 8 hand, it might be briefer were we to do this, perhaps, 9 say Monday morning. 10 11 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We were going to defer 12 ruling until Monday. Why don't we -- Staff? 13 MS. BARONE: Then I would like the opportunity to be able to chew on your arguments and formulate --14 15 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I know you wanted that time. 16 17 MS. RULE: At this point everybody's had a 18 chance to cross-examine all the witnesses but one, who is right here and ready. In that it won't determine 19 20 what witnesses go on, I don't know that we need a ruling 21 bright and early Monday morning. But whatever is your 22 pleasure. 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We can give you the time 24 that you need to review the pleadings. Monday, we'll

deal with the issue Monday morning.

MS. RULE: Actually, I was thinking more of a few hours of sleep instead of reviewing the pleadings. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll entertain the argument on Monday morning and then decide when we'll make an actual ruling on that. MS. RULE: Thank you. (Transcript continues in sequence in Volume 14.)