GATLIN, SCHIEFELBEIN & COWDERY, P.A.

Attorneys at Law

The Mahan Station 1709-D Mahan Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32308

B. KENNETH GATLIN WAYNE L. SCHIEFELBEIN KATHRYN G.W. COWDERY

OF COUNSEL THOMAS F. WOODS TELEPHONE (850) 877-5609 TELECOPIER (850) 877-9031 E-MAIL: bkgatlin@nettally.com

HAND DELIVERY

November 18, 1997

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 960725-GU Unbundling of Natural Gas Services

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are an original and 15 copies of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's Comments on FPSC's Model Tariff for Firm Transportation Service, along with our Certificate of Service.

Please acknowledge receipt of the foregoing by stamping the enclosed extra copy of this letter and returning same to my attention. Thank you for your assistance.

		Sincerely,
ACK	a in the other sector in the sector is a sector is a sector in the sector is a secto	NE GERGER
AFA	1991 - S. L. ANTHAN (1997)	Moune & Jensfelthein
APP	· ·	Wayne L. Schiefelbein
CAN	nn 11 - Linenage	
ywys Cyrynys y	WLS/cas	
18 A. 19	Enclosures	
\bigcirc	Dult cc; Anne Wood (with cover letter & certificate of service only)	
an e Na saint		
¦	5 Marc Schneidermann (with end Florida Public Utilities Com	
$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_{i,i}^{i} = \frac{1}{2}$		
c:\cuc.725\chcos.3		
St.	m franciska se	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
WAS		1 1 8 0 3 NOV 18 5
ЛН	Maker or the compact is 100 °	and a second
		생활했는 사람이에 걸린 이것 / 문법에 가운 것을 했다.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Unbundling of Natural) Gas Services)

-

Docket No. 960725-GU

Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Filed: November 18, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Comments on FPSC's Model Tariff for Firm Transportation Service has been furnished by hand delivery (*) or by U.S. Mail to the following individuals, on this 18th day of November, 1997: Patrick K. Wiggins, Esq. Beth Culpepper, Esq.* Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Gunter Bldg., Room 370 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 David Rogers, Esq. Stuart L. Shoaf P.O. Box 11026 St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. Tallahassee, FL 32302 P.O. Box 549 Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549 Norman H. Horton, Jr. Sebring Gas System, Inc. 3515 Highway 27 South Messer, Caparello, Metz, Maida & Self, P.A. Sebring, FL 33870-5452 P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Barnett G. Johnson, Esq. Colette M. Powers Johnson and Associates, P.A. Indiantown Gas Company P.O. Box 8 P. O. Box 1308 Indiantown, FL 34956-0008 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. Ansley Watson, Jr., Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Macfarlane, Ferguson & McMullen Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. P.O. Box 1531 Tampa, FL 33601-1531 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. Michael A. Palecki, Esq. City Gas Company of Florida McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, 955 East 25th Street Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. Hialeah, Florida 33013-3498 P. O. Box 3350

Robert Cooper U.S. Gypsum Company 125 South Franklin Ave. Chicago, IL 60606-4678

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq. Landers & Parsons, P.A. P.O. Box 271 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Stephen S. Mathues, Esq. O. Earl Black, Jr., Esq. Office of General Counsel Department of Management Services 4050 Esplanade Way, Suite 260 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950

Peter G. Esposito, Esq. Gregory K. Lawrence, Esq. John, Hengerer & Esposito 1200 17th St., N. W. Suite 600 Washington, D. C. 20036 Terry Callender Natural Gas Clearinghouse 13430 Northwest Freeway Suite 1200 Houston, TX 77040

CH2M Hill c/o Langer Energy Consulting Jack Langer 4995 Ponce de Leon Blvd. Coral Gables, FL 33146

Peter J. Thompson, Esq. Andrews & Kurth, L.L.P. 1701 Pennsylvania Ave.,N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20006-5805

Wayne L. Schiefelbein Gatlin, Schiefelbein & Cowdery 1709-D Mahan Drive Tallahassee, FL 32310 (850) 877-5609

Attorneys for Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

c:\cuc.725\cuc.cos

<u>CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION</u> <u>COMMENTS ON FPSC'S MODEL TARIFF FOR FIRM</u> <u>TRANSPORTATION SERVICE</u>

General Comments

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (CUC), as a member of the Associated Gas Distributors of Florida (AGDF), supports the comments made and filed on behalf of the AGDF. In general, the AGDF comments state that the Commission should offer flexibility to the State LDC's who wish to offer Transportation services to their customers. The comments urge the Commission and its Staff to move forward cautiously with the Unbundling process, without mandates and without a "one size fits all" tariff. These themes have been consistently put forward by the AGDF and its members throughout the Docket. CUC continues to believe that this approach is appropriate for Florida.

Company Specific Comments

CUC wishes to commend the staff of the FPSC for the time and resources which have been invested in the development of the Model Tariff for Firm Transportation Service ("Model Tariff"). CUC believes that the results will prove to be helpful in guiding LDC's in the State of Florida through the "open access" process which is occurring throughout the country. As the Staff has noted on several occasions, the Florida market is unique. In addition, each LDC in Florida is also unique. For example, CUC primarily serves industrial load, while other LDC's are focused on the residential market (including most municipal systems and gas districts).

Transportation services should not be mandated by the FPSC, rather, market forces should determine when each system offers Transportation services. Some of the LDC's in Florida already offer Transportation services and have tariffs and associated support systems in place that meet the customers needs. If the Commission mandates that each utility must follow the Model Tariff, it would require changes that may not be beneficial to the customers on the system. As such, CUC believes that the most appropriate use of the Model Tariff is as a Guideline or Guiding Principle for those entities who are looking for assistance in developing the appropriate procedures for Transportation services. A "one size fits all" document will not work in this State. Allowing each system the needed flexibility to offer Transportation services which work effectively and efficiently for them is imperative to successfully achieve the objective of open access and competition.

CUC has spent considerable time reviewing and analyzing the Model Tariff. While CUC believes that the general direction of the Model Tariff is appropriate, there are many issues which need clarification and/or modification. For CUC to provide a line by line description of our concerns

and questions within these comments is not feasible or productive. Many potential comments are technical in nature and difficult to adequately describe when stripped from the total context of the Model Tariff. Therefore, CUC believes that the next step in the process should be to convene an additional round table workshop (or more than one if necessary), to review the proposed Model Tariff in detail. CUC is certain that there are many different opinions on how various provisions of the document should be modified to provide a basis for each of the utilities to work from in developing their unique tariffs for transportation. Areas which need to be reviewed include the Provision for Stand-by Service, Aggregation Services and several other sections of the document.

Summary

In summary, the FPSC staff has made a tremendous effort to develop a document on Transportation Services and how it might operate. In whole, it provides significant insights and potential methods which an LDC might use to assist it in developing its own, unique tariff. This is not to say that modifications are not necessary, hence CUC's suggestion of one or more round table workshops to discuss the details of the document.

Finally, CUC believes that the goal of this docket should be to develop generic guidelines for the implementation of unbundling of natural gas services in Florida. The LDCs in Florida differ widely with respect to the number of customers, customer mix and throughput, and, as a result, will require different solutions to accomplish further unbundling. The Model Tariff should be used to provide each utility with the flexibility needed to structure services and tariffs which best fit its distribution system and therefore successfully achieve the objective of competition in the natural gas industry. As such, CUC believes that the further unbundling of LDC's in Florida should proceed on a voluntary basis, not a mandate, in accordance with market forces.

c:\modelres.doc