1		
1	IN ATTEN	DANCE:
2		DIAMA CALDWELL, FPSC Division of Appeals.
3		CHARLIE BECK, Office of Public Counsel.
4		MICHAEL GROSS, Office of the Attorney General.
5	2,00	RICK MOSES, FPSC Division of Communications.
6		DICK DURBIN, FPSC Division of Consumer Affairs
7		THELMA CRUMP, FPSC Division of Consumer Affairs
8		JENNIFER ERDMAN-BRIDGES, FPSC Division of Consumer
9	Affairs	
10		SANDY SIMMONS, FPSC Division of Records & Reporting
11		NANCY SIMS, BellSouth
12		

1	INDEX	
2	WITHESES	
3	NAME	PAGE NO.
4	ROBERT W. WHITAKER	
5	Direct Statement	14
6		
7	PATRICK ATCHELLS	22
8	Direct Statement	21
9	HOWARD LEDBETTER	
LO	Direct Statement	31
11	CHOLAMANSO SOLEIMANI	
12	Direct Statement	41
L3	WINDY EADS	
L4	Direct Statement	50
L5	BRANDON PETERS	
16	Direct Statement	54
17	DOUGLAS POLKOSKY	
18	Direct Statement HARRIET FORT	68
19	Direct Statement	77
20	BOB CAVALLERI	
21	Direct Statement	85
22	SCOTT THOMAS	
23	Direct Statement	94
24	MIKE MONTGOMERY	
25	Direct Statement	97
	Direct Statement	97

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 6:35 p.m.)

charman Johnson: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'm going to call the hearing to order at this time. Counsel, could you please read the notice.

MS. CALDWELL: Pursuant to the notice under Section 120.54 Florida Statutes, the Florida Public Service Commission will hold a rule development workshop at this time and place to consider amendments to rules relating to customer preference for his local, local toll and toll provider.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Take appearances of the parties.

MS. CALDWELL: Diana Caldwell, Florida

Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,

Tallahassee, Florida.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. BECK: Charlie Beck, Office of the Public Counsel. Our office is separate from the Public Service Commission, and represents the interest of consumers before the Commission. Our address is the Claude Pepper Building.

MR. GROSS: Michael Gross. I'm an Assistant
Attorney in Tallahassee with the Florida Attorney
General's Office. We also jointly are interested in

protecting the consumers, along with the Public Service Commission and the Office of Public Counsel. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good evening. My name is Julia Johnson. I'm the Chairperson for the Florida Public Service Commission, and seated to my right is Commissioner Joe Garcia. We will be the panel that will hear you tonight. Let me make one brief announcement.

Your testimony in this proceeding will be transmitted over the Internet. One of the things that we're doing in order to ensure that customers, not just here in Orlando but across our state, can participate, or at least listen in to these hearings as we have set up our Web Page. And if you have the blue sheet it will give you the site, if you'd like to listen in on any of the other hearings, for our home page. And from there you can, of course, if you have the necessary equipment on the computer, listen in to our other hearings.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Or listen to yourself.

Because all of the hearings that have been recorded thus far are still available for you to listen into; the kind of complaints, the kind of issues, the kind

of suggestions the citizens have made across the state how we should and can deal with this issue.

Additionally, there's quite a bit of information out front, if you didn't get an opportunity when you were signing in to get those brochures and literature. It is available for you and your use.

The main purpose of this hearing is to hear from you, your concern, your complaints, your suggestions as to how we can improve upon our process.

At the appropriate time, Mr. Moses of the Commission Staff will give you an overview of the rule that has been proposed thus far.

The entire Commission will sit and review after we've received additional technical testimony from the companies, we will review the proposals and come up with a final rule.

At the end of this proceeding Ms. Caldwell will go over that schedule and let you know when, in fact, that will occur.

Also, before you testify I'll have all of the customers that would like to testify stand up and we'll have to swear you in. The reason we do that is because your comments will be made a part of our official record and we can rely upon those comments when we have our final deliberations and make our final decision in this case.

Some of the information that we have out front will help you in the interim. I'm certain that a lot of customers will have complaints and concerns and issues they'd like to address tonight. And we provided some material that may be helpful for you in the interim, even before we get those rules passed or even after we get those rules passed; there are several consumer-oriented issues and documents that are available for you.

For instance, one avenue that is available

if you don't want to -- or if you want to help prevent

being slammed is the PIC freeze. That is a service

that's offered by the local exchange companies that

will allow you to basically freeze your current

provider and not -- others won't be allowed to so

easily change your service, fraudulently change your

service as they appear to be doing now.

Other customers have complained about they just don't like the telemarketers. Don't like coming home at 6 o'clock and receiving telephone calls when they sit down for dinner. We have several applications from the Department of Agriculture in their No Solicitation Program. There's a small fee, a

\$10 fee to sign up for that program and I think there's a \$5 continuing fee. But for that particular fee, the Department of Agriculture will put your name on a list and a computer bank that will prevent telemarketers even from calling your home.

Those are just a few of the suggestions that we have, and some of the documents that are available for you. If you have questions or concerns, feel free to address those to the Commission tonight, or if you don't feel the need to testify, there is -- if you picked up the blue materials, there's a section where you can provide written comments, and if you'd like to give us those tonight, that's fine. If you'd like to mail them in, that's fine also.

We at the Commission are trying -- as we prepare ourselves for competition and help prepare the customers for competition, we're trying our best to open our process as much as we can and provide as much consumer information and consumer access as possible.

One of the other things available through our web site is electronic filings. If you'd like to file a complaint, you can either use our 1-800 number or use our Internet site for actually filing our complaint.

Also on our Web Page you can find out all

numbers, their contact people, their tariff rates, that sort of information is available for you. If you don't have a computer then you can call our 1-800 number and we will try to provide that for you, also.

I guess at this time it would be appropriate for Mr. Moses to go ahead and read the summary of the rule.

MR. MOSES: Thank you, Chairman Johnson.

The proposed amendments will do the following: They'll apply to all companies providing local telephone service, local toll service, which is also known as intraLATA service, and to your traditional long distance service.

It will require those companies to be certificated by the Public Service Commission. In addition, it will require information to be printed on the bill you have not seen in the past. It will require that the name of the company, the type of service that is being provided by that company and a toll free service number for each provider for those three types of services. It will require the consumer's authorization and limit the ways in which a preferred company may be changed. The only way a change may be made is if one of the following happens:

The company has a signed letter of agency that contains sufficient information to verify that the customer is authorizing the change, or the company has received a customer initiated call, has obtained the consumer's consent to have the conversation recorded, has recorded the consent and has recorded the telephone number that is to be changed. Or that a independent unaffiliated firm has verified the consumer's request, or that the company has received the consumer's request and responds by mailing an information package to the customer, which explains the changes, verifies the information and requires a signed statement acknowledging the change. A company may not combine the Letter of Agency with any type of inducement on the same document.

Some of you have seen sweepstakes entries that you end up signing that ends up with your long distance service being changed. That will be eliminated. Also, the checks that you receive for \$100 or \$60, you sign the back of them, that results in a change. Those will be eliminated.

When a company is soliciting a consumer in writing or by telephone, the inducement may not be misleading or deceptive. If a person is slammed, charges for the change and all charges billed on

behalf of the unauthorized provider for the first 90 days must be credited to the consumer.

Upon notification by the consumer, the consumer must be switched back to his or her original provider or the provider of his or her choice.

That concludes a summary of the rules.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. There are also several Commission Staff members here that are available to assist you.

Mr. Dick Durbin, the gentlemen here on this computer, actually has access to our Staff up in Tallahassee so that if you've already filed a complaint, we can access that complaint and give you any information as to the status of that complaint, or we can go ahead and file that complaint for you if you've not done so.

of course, Mr. Moses just provided the summary for you. Diane introduced herself as our lead attorney on this particular case. Braulio Baez is the aide to Commissioner Garcia. He might have stepped out for a moment. Carmen Pena is with our consumer division. She's seated over here to the far left. Joy Kelly is the court reporter. She will be making sure that your comments are, indeed, made a part of our official record. Thelma Crump was the young lady

1	
1	that greeted you out front. And Sandy Simmons is
2	making sure that our Internet process and all of the
3	technical information is available and working on
4	line.
5	I don't think I left out any names. There
6	are several company officials that are here to assist
7	if you have any questions that you'd like directly
8	like to address directly to them, if you all could
9	stand at this time.
10	(Witnesses collectively sworn.)
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do me a favor, so we
12	can reflect it on the record I'll start this way. You
13	know, what just come up to the mike and say which
14	company you are with so that we can have it on the
15	record you were here.
16	MS. WAY: Liz Way, AT&T.
17	MR. CRAVEN: Brian Craven with Sprint local
18	telephone.
19	MS. THOMPSON: Gretchen Thompson, MCI.
20	MR. WATERS: Ray Waters, BCI.
21	MR. CAVALLERI: Bob Cavalleri, Applied
22	Technology.
23	MR. LUCEY: Daniel Lucey, Dan's Appliance
24	Service.

MR. ALLINGTON: Gary Allington representing

BellSouth.

MS. KUHNOW: Carol Kuhnow with LCI International.

who are coming today, if you have a specific problem with any of these companies, obviously it might be helpful after you to speak to them -- clearly we're going to speak to them at the Commission when you testify. But if you have a problem that mentions any of these companies, this is as good a time as any to actually see one of them in person and to file your complaints. PHA no PHA in a, as it would be, it may help the process along.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. And with that, if you'd like to provide us with comments today, if you could stand and raise your right hand, I'll go ahead and swear you in at this time.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Just to identify,

Mr. Earl Poucher, who is standing back there, is with

the Office of Public Counsel, and he's obviously here

to help also, and he's recording a lots of these cases

specifically for Public Counsel's preparation of the

rule hearing that we'll be having in Tallahassee.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Public Counsel. If you could call your first witness. MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Johnson. Robert Whitaker. WITHESS WHITAKER: Thanks for calling me.

ROBERT W. WHITAKER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir. I apologize --WITNESS WHITAKER: That's all right.

CHAIRMAN JCHNSON: I forgot to state that
you need to state your name and address for the record
before you begin your testimony.

WITNESS WHITAKER: My name is Robert
Whitaker. I'm at 315 West Grant Street, Orlando.

And thanks for calling me first because I have been slammed four times. The fourth time I did just what I was supposed to do, and thanks to the Public Service Commission, just what he said happened -- would happen, happened. I got it in 30 days. It continued for 60 more, and I got every penny back.

Would always get this call, "We are representing AT&T." I said, "Wait a minute. Are you AT&T?"

"Oh, yes, we're AT&T." It turned out it was some little holding company up here who leased some lines from AT&T. And they got me to switch. Well,

every time I would get the bill it would say mail to Joe Blow's place up to Minnesota, or New York, and I would immediately call AT&T, and say how did this happen? We even got to the point where I called BellSouth locally and -- oh, no, it can never happen again. Well, the fourth time it did it happened again because they were representing themselves as AT&T and so consequently it happened.

Well, when I got the bill, the first one, I contacted you folks, or the Public Service Commission. I got the information in the mail. I filled it out as directed. I sent it back to you. I got a hone call from Podunk Junction, with a CEO head of this corporation, apologizing and asking me to back off.

And I told him where he could back off to.

To make a short story long, which I've already done, thank you folks -- they gave me back -- took three payments. It was like about \$146, \$148 total. So to you out there in the audience, these people did a good job for me. Af1; top drawer. I've not had another problem. It's been about a year and a half --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you do a PIC freeze with your line?

WITNESS WHITAKER: I'm sorry.

1 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you have a PIC 2 freeze installed on your line? WITNESS WHITAKER: No. 3 4 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You might want to do that. And you can speak with Mr. Durbin, but let me 5 6 go ahead and explain. 7 You can call your local phone company, and 8 if you're happy with the service you're getting from your present company you ask -- who is your local 9 10 provider? 11 WITHESS WHITAKER: My local provider? AT&T. 12 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And who is -- is it 13 Southern Bell? 14 WITNESS WHITAKER: Southern Bell. 15 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Southern Bell. 16 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: In fact, you can 17 probably tell Southern Bell right here. And give them your number and tell them that you want a PIC freeze 18 on your line, and then that will never happen again. 19 20 They can't change your line unless you --21 WITNESS WHITAKER: I thought I did that 22 before the fourth one happened. I thought I had done 23 that. But, see, again, if I'm with AT&T and these 24 people say they are AT&T -- do you understand? They

can lie all they want to.

reasons we have the Attorney General's office here.

They are here because they wanted to be here, but one of the things that the Chairman Johnson has been trying to do is see if we could get some criminal sanctions involved here. Because the Commission can fine them, and can pull their certificate so they can't do husiness, but people who aren't reputable aren't going to respond to us all the time, so that's one of the reasons that we're very happy to have the Attorney General here, because we hope, when people lie there should be some kind of criminal penalty also involved.

withess weithker: Absolutely. But, again to all of you people, I followed rules and it worked. I got back the 90 days and that's all I was with them, was the 90 days. I got every penny back.

Thank you very much. And I haven't been slammed then. Evidently I'm on a list now. Now, they have called me, I have been called a couple of times but I have not been slammed since.

MR. BECK: Mr. Whitaker, what is the name of the company that slammed you?

WITHESS WHITAKER: That slammed me? I don't know. It was a little -- something like I say, one of

them was in Minnesota and one was in New York and one, 2 I think, was in Louisiana. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Durbin, could you 3 pull up his file so that we could give it to --MR. DURBIN: Would that be under your name? 5 WITNESS WHITAKER: I believe it's under 6 7 Whitaker Enterprises; that's the way my phone is listed, is either Robert W. Whitaker Construction or 8 9 Whitaker Enterprises. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: He'll get it to the 10 Public Counsel's Office so they can have that 11 information. 12 13 MR. MOSES: Commissioner Garcia, let me 14 may not be aware of. On resellers of AT&T, they sell 15 what they call a software defined network, which is 17

explain one other thing on this PIC freeze that people like separate accounts in the AT&T billing system, and those are never submitted to the local exchange company, and that will result in a slam even though you have a PIC freeze on your line.

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITHESS WHITAKER: That's exactly how I got slammed four times. It was always somebody eaying they were a subsidiary.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And how are we going to deal with that, Mr. Moses? How can we deal with

that?

MR. MOSES: We're changing the billing system to where AT&T no longer bills in their name, and they have already implemented their procedure that they are billing strictly in the name of the company that is responsible for the customers, so it will be reflected on the bill.

charmam Johnson: Mr. Whitaker, I'm very pleased that we were able to assist you. But you did raise a concern that several customers have addressed, even when they sign up for what we call the PIC freeze, because of a situation similar to yours and they thought they were safe and it happens to them again. We are definitely trying to address that. We would glad we could assist you, but we're try to help others so you don't even have to bothered with that occurring.

withess whitaker: I like the idea. I'm going to investigate that about putting my name on a list where they can't even call me. I'll pay the \$5, \$10, \$15.

commissioner GARCIA: BellSouth has something whereby you give them -- BellSouth as well as United, two major companies in this areas, Sprint under -- Sprint-United.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sprint-Florida.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: They can give you a code to file and it's a code that they'll keep and you'll have.

number -- sometimes they'll say no, he agreed, and they have a document. You can go further and say unless they've got this password, they're not allowed to change and they won't change you. So you can go a little bit farther without having to spend money.

WITHESS WHITAKER: If I can eliminate all the calls, though, did I understand that correctly?
All solicitations? It's worth it.

ms. CALDWELL: Sir, that will be solicited for nonprofit organizations. All these phone calls saying "buy this," they cannot call you.

WITNESS WHITNER: You guys need to meet on that so quote/unquote "nonprofit" also.

commissioner GARCIA: Mr. Whitaker, I want to thank you for coming. Rarely does someone take the opportunity to come or the time to come and thank us. They usually come in here to complain, and that's what we're here for, but we appreciate you're taking the time and coming in here and letting us know we did the

job. We're very proud of our consumer affairs stuff and they are tigers when they get it right and I'm 2 glad they got it right in your case. 3 WITNESS WHITAKER: I was very pleasantly 4 5 surprised. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. 6 MR. BECK: Let me apologize for 7 mispronouncing the next person's name, because I'm 8 9 sure I'm going to. Patrick Atchells on Edgewater Drive. 10 11 12 PATRICK ATCHELLS was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 13 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 DIRECT STATEMENT 16 WITHESS ATCHELLS: Good evening. Please 17 forgive me. I've never spoken in an American building 18 before in my life and I've only been here five years. 19 My name is Patrick Atchells. My address is 20 3545 Edgewater Drive. Good evening, Madam Chairman, 21 and Commissioner. 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, could you spell your 23 last name for us? 24

WITNESS ATCHELLS: A-T-C-H-E-L-L-S.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you and welcome.

witness atchells: Thank you. I don't know how to start this really, but we set up business five years ago in Orlando, and we were approached by the phone company. We chose AT&T. And business went on very well. And then a year ago we decided to switch our phone long distance service to MCI, for which we received excellent service.

And then we were called a few months later
by MCI and said "Where have two of your phone lines
gone to?" It wasn't two lines that went; it was four
lines that went. I said, "I haven't got a clue.
Where do you think they've gone?" They told us -- can
I mention the name of the other company?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Please.

withess atchells: They said you might like to dial 0-0, and I dialed it and I got Sprint. I said after a lot of phone calls -- excuse me, what are you people doing to our phone lines? These have been agreed rates and everything else, and what rates are you charging us per minute to Europe? They said 24 cents or whatever it was. And we had agreed and negotiated 12 cents --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: With MCI.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: With AT&T. WITHESS ATCHELLS: With MCI. 2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Got MCI after AT&T. 3 WITNESS ATCHELLS: That's correct. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That switch was under 5 an agreement. In other words, you had AT&T, you were 6 7 pleased with the service. 8 WITNESS ATCHELLS: Then we changed. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: MCI offered you the 9 better -- (Simultaneous conversation) 10 WITHESS ATCHELLS: Then we found out that 11 four of our phone lines have been taken away and we 12 were rebilled by Sprint. 13 As far as I'm concerned, what are we doing 14 in here? This is not -- this is a matter for a Court 16 of Law. This is what I call, where I come from, theft. If this belonged to somebody else and I 17 negotiated to buy it for 12 cents and somebody else --I stole it from somebody, that is an act of theft. 19 And what Sprint did is nothing more than theft and 20 21 follows in the line of who we call Dick Turpin, who you might call Billy the Kid. They are thieves. And that's exactly what Sprint has done, they have stolen phone lines from our company. 24

short, and this has not been rectified. We were sent the bill by BellSouth and we said we're not paying it. 2 They said, okay, we'll cut your phones off. 3 Well, I really don't understand it. You know, we negotiated with a company. Some two lines 5 from the business were taken -- extra lines were taken 7 and that's it. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, did BellSouth tell 8 you that you had options? Did they tell you about the Public Service Commission or filing a complaint? 10 11 WITHESS ATCHELLS: We've done that and we called the Public Service Commission. Once again, as 12 Mr. Whitaker said, the excellent treatment we received 13 from the Public Service Commission in Tallahassee, and for which I wish to thank you very much, indeed, for the way it was dealt with, and in a serious fashion, and we thank you for that. 17 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: But they still haven't refunded you everything that was due? 19 WITNESS ATCHELLS: That is correct. 20 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Is your complaint 21 ongoing? 22 WITHESS ATCHELLS: Our complaint is ongoing. 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Oh, it is. 24

WITNESS ATCHELLS: Yes. It still hasn't

been cleared up. We're not paying the bill, we're paying the local charges or whatever it is. But it is 2 a bit of a concern. We've now instructed our staff 3 when someone calls up and asks who supplies your telephone service or whatever, the word is (Witness 5 demonstrates not talking.) 6 7 Well, I'll say it where I come from, just stick your proposal where the sun don't shine. Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Now, you have been --9 you said you were rerated, but you're still about \$300 10 short. You said the negotiation is ongoing. By that 11 you mean with us at the Commission, right? We're still dealing with you or --13 WITNESS ATCHELLS: That is the case. 14 MR. BECK: Did Sprint ever tell you on what 15 basis they switched your lines? 16 WITHESS ATCHELLS: No, they didn't. They 17 made some -- saying that we authorized the change. 18 19 Well, nobody in our office authorized the change at all to Sprint. 20 MR. BECK: Did they say whether they had a 21 recording or something -- (Simultaneous conversation) 22 WITNESS ATCHELLS: Yes, they did. 23 MR. BECK: Did they ever produce that for 24

you?

WITNESS ATCHELLS: No. They did not produce that at all.

MR. BECK: When did it occur?

withess atchells: I don't know, about four months ago, something like that. But that's it. I was rather surprised by it, and that a company that calls themselves whatever could actually go out and do this.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You raised a good point with respect to the criminality of the activities that are occurring.

The Public Service Commission, as I'm sure the Staff explained to you, we have authority, an economic regulatory kind of authority, we can impose fines and in the most severe cases we revoke the certificates. But the Attorney General's office has been very interested in working with us through these issues to determine how we can provide further deterrents.

Currently it does not appear as if the Attorney General -- that they have the authority to actually impose criminal sanctions. They are working through those issues and they are working in conjunction with us to determine and find better ways of enforcement. We have had some customers share the

same concerns that you've shared, even when they got your letter that was allegedly the authorization. It was a fraudulent signature. We have had customers testify that their service was changed. And they'd get a letter back and it would be a signature of a husband who was dead for ten years.

So there are some real horror stories. And we're all here, Public Counsel, the Attorney General and the Public Service Commission trying to find better ways to stop these offenses.

withess atchells: As far as I'm concerned it's still an act of theft. There's nothing fashionable in theft, and I really don't know what we're doing sitting here besides finding out about the act of theft.

Someone stole something from us which we negotiated. Whoever runs the lines I don't care. But we negotiated with our time and everything else, and it is as far as I'm concerned a criminal act of theft.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you ever speak directly with Sprint on this?

withess AtcheLLs: Yes, I did. I called them thieves. The girl on the phone, I said, "You know you're being employed by thieves?" I'm sorry, but I speak straight as I find it. If I've upset

anybody, I do apologize. Commissioner, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's find. You may want to meet with Mr. Gross of the Attorney General's Office because they do deal with the criminality and the criminal issues.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: How much money was it overall that they overbilled you? I guess it was twice what you were used to pay?

withess atchells: It was twice what we used to pay. And it was quite -- I'm sorry, but I have been here five years and I've only dealt with one phone company in my life. We had no choice in England.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think they do now, though.

WITNESS ATCHELLS: Well, they do, but it's a rather poor second choice.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Now we won't say the names of the companies.

But part of the rule that we're working on is going to be that when that happens in your case -- and you bring up the perfect case, it happened four months ago and I think we are going out to 90 days, if I'm not mistaken -- not only would you -- right now what we presently do is we simply rerate. If you were

paying 12 cents with the old company, they'd have to go and charge you the max that you would have paid under that system.

What we're considering in the rule is not only would you not have to pay what is over but you would have to pay nothing of those costs. In other words, you would be fully credited for the all of calls you made during that time.

So it's sort of an incentive to make sure they get it right the first time.

MR. GROSS: Mr. Atchells, I'm Michael Gross from the Attorney General's Office. I just want you to know that I've listened with a lot of interest to your concerns. And I just wanted to reaffirm what Chairman Johnson has already stated, that the Attorney General is evaluating several claims, and we will take a look the your claim in particular, with a view towards taking some severe enforcement action.

WITHESS ATCHELLS: Thank you.

Public Service Commission has authority to fine a company for each slamming event \$25,000.

Unfortunately, the consumer affairs can't do it. It has to come from them to the full Commission to do that. And we're taking a number of steps both

independently to make sure more of those cases go to the Commission. And we're also going to have some proposals to make sure more of those cases go to the 3 Commission itself so sanctions can be imposed. 4 WITHESS ATCHELLS: What is your -- you're 5 6 telling me this. 7 MR. BECK: Yes. Just so you know there is 8 some power right now under existing law for the 9 Commission to compose sanctions. They can fine them up to \$25 thousand for that. 10 WITHESS ATCHELLS: That's fine. I'm very 11 sorry if I've upset anybody with my comments, but I 12 just look at it completely different --13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: The criminal aspects of 14 15 it. 16 WITHESS ATCHELLS: I just felt there was a 17 criminal aspect. I'm sorry if that's not the way. I 18 really do apologize. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: No, that's fine. 19 WITNESS ATCHELLS: I had two lines. 20 Turpin did that years ago, but there we go. All 21 22 right. 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. 24 WITNESS ATCHELLS: Thank you.

MR. BECK: Howard Ledbetter.

HOWARD LEDBETTER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

withess LEDBETTER: Good evening. I'm here on behalf of my company. Do you want my own personal address or the company address?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Whichever you prefer.

WITHESS LEDBETTER: Okay. It'- Howard

Ledbetter, 1313 44th Street, Orlando 32839.

And I'm here to share my experience and what I've done and what I've investigated on ourselves being slammed.

we're a networking company in Orlando, 21 phone lines, and satellite offices throughout the southeast. And about a year ago, through a billing system, we found out that -- I've got all of the names -- it was DNS out of Detroit Michigan had taken over some of our lines. And I personally called and they told me that it was authorized. And I said by who? And they said, "Well, we'll play the recording for you." They played the recording and it was one of our receptionists. The voice was exactly hers. The laugh was not. She's from New York and she has this

distinct laugh.

So we went ahead and called back again -- I

did -- and we recorded their recording, plain old tape
recorder. We sat and played it over and over and
timed it, and the person who was talking to her on the
phone and her answers back and forth were perfectly
spaced. Nobody can talk like that.

And then I realized, being an engineering company, six months prior we were familiar with voice programs where you can use them, Microsoft sells them, and there's different types and you can actually take a voice print and duplicate it.

So I called up a friend and we talked to him and said, "Hey, how has that changed today?" And he said "Howard, it's really changed." He said "Some of the stuff out on the market today now, once you get your voice print, you talk in the microphone and you can change it." I haven't seen that, okay. But we ascertained that's how it was done.

And we went to the receptionist and talked to her and she did remember this person from DNS calling. She goes, "Yeah, four, five times over a period of two or three days." And we only speculated that getting information, getting her to say words. Can we prove it? No.

1 Three months after that, the vice president of the company received a phone call from a marketing group, and this person said, "Did you receive the 800 telephone listing we sent you?" He said, "I did but I didn't order it." He said, "Yes you did. Do you want to hear your tape? Do you want to hear a recording of 6 7 your voice?" And that's when it all snapped in place. And he said, "No thank you." And just hung up the phone and we never heard of them since. 9 10 Now, that's my experience with the recording 11 side. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me get name, DNS? 12 WITNESS LEDBETTER: DNS. 13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: They are from where? 14 WITNESS LEDBETTER: Detroit, Michigan. I'm 15 sure you have them on your list somewhere. MR. MOSES: The full name of the company is 17 Discount Network Services. 18 19 WITNESS LEDBETTER: Exactly. At least it brought to light what was going on. 20 21 About three months ago we ran into

About three months ago we ran into something -- before I get out of that, we have a -- we're with AT&T, but it's because we have a great AT&T rep. Every three months she's in our building, works with us, works with our plans; saves us bucks. It

works very well. This was over a year ago. She really helped us out. She wrote a letter stating if we were still with AT&T, this is what we would have saved. Of course, they told us "Well, we'll take you to court." And my investigation showed that normally when you do that in a court, the judge will say, "Hey, you had to have long distance service anyway." So we didn't want to get caught in that.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So when you called them up to get yourself switched off, they said "We're not switching you off because we have a tape."

witness LEDBETTER: Oh, no, they didn't say that. I said who authorized you to do this?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Once that was done you changed back to AT&T, correct?

withess Ledbetter: Yes, but it was still on our -- the next month we still had them. Their reasoning behind that was as a follow-through because you have been making calls prior to you calling us up.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You weren't refunded for the difference --

what I was getting at. The AT&T rep -- you weren't around then -- the AT&T rep stating what the difference in pricing was and what we lost. We sent

1	that with the difference of the bill ourselves	
2	directly to them and we've never heard from them since	
3	so they accepted it. Basically we broke out even that	
4	way.	
5	Anyway, the last three months that have come	
6	by there's something new going on.	
7	Are you familiar with Business Discount	
8	Plan? Not slamming us, but changing our billing to a	
9	different company. And this has happened twice to us	
10	already. And I don't know what to do about it. You	
11	can't put PIC freezes on that. I did put a PIC freeze	
12	on my line.	
13	So again we got ahold of the AT&T rep and	
4	basically we worked out a system where our bills are	
1.5	tightly scrutinized now, but I don't know an answer.	
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: What did Business	
17	Discount Plan do to you?	
18	WITNESS LEDBETTER: Switched our billing.	
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: They became your billing	
20	company?	
21	WITNESS LEDBETTER: They were the billing	
22	company.	
23	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Moses, how does that	
24	work?	
	WE WORKS The only way I know is they did	

1	actually take over as being his carrier and they are
2	billing through like United Billing or Zero Plus
3	Dialing or something like that.
4	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you still get a
5	bill from United?
6	WITHESS LEDBETTER: AT&T. The bill still
7	stated AT&T and Southern Bell was our local carrier.
8	But the actual billing person, the billing company
9	were these people.
10	MR. MOSES: But the reason that you're still
11	seeing AT&T is AT&T is submitting it on behalf of this
12	other company and they are a reseller of AT&T. They
13	are a reseller of AT&T.
14	WITHESS LEDBETTER: I never thought of that.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Could you meet with our
16	Staff
17	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Got the bills?
18	WITNESS LEDBETTER: Oh, bills, the
19	comptroller has them.
20	CONMISSIONER GARCIA: Maybe we'll call your
21	office and you could fax them. We have a toll free
22	fax line and you could fax some of that to us.
23	WITNESS LEDBETTER: Sure.
24	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think what might
25	have happened, Mr. Moses, is they have become his

ALEC -- they've got Southern Bell in the bill also, 1 2 right? WITNESS LEDBETTER: Yes. 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So in essence they've become your local provider; they are billing for 5 Southern Bell as well as for --6 7 WITNESS LEDBETTER: Well, I've talked to AT&T on this thing --8 9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This is on your business, right? 10 11 WITNESS LEDBETTER: Yeah. I've talked to 12 ATET --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Because I was going to 13 14 say it might have been BellSouth's first achieved --15 (Simultaneous conversation.) WITHESS LEDBETTER: I talked to AT&T about 16 17 the same thing and it's a billing service. We're taking over your billing service but not the long 18 19 distance service. 20 How that really works I don't know. But 21 we've corrected that. And with all of the 22 investigations we've put together, basically how we corrected problems is anybody that calls in has to do 23 a solicitation on the phone system, whatsoever, comes 24

straight to me. I'm used to the tariffs now. I know

25

aggregation and everything else and I handle it well.

commissioner GARCIA: You really need to talk to Mr. Moses because that seems like something on another level of complexity. We haven't seen that many of these, so we can get a good idea of that, because that does seem like a whole new.

withess Ledbetter: As a matter of fact, the strange thing about it is it was Business Discount Plan, but on top of it it said "USBI billing." And we still don't know what it means.

MR. MOSES: I can explain it for you.

The Business Discount Plan is the name of the company that is a reseller and they are using the Zero Plus Dialing as their billing agent. Business Discount Plan is not a rebiller. They are just taking and aggregating multiple customers to get a higher and higher discount buying service from AT&T and then reselling that service.

witness Ledbetter: In other words, they are an aggregator.

MR. MOSES: Exactly. And then they turn around and go through a different billing system to produce the bill.

WITNESS LEDBETTER: That one was confusing for us. We had a hard time putting --

MR. MOSES: It gets very convoluted sometimes.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That was last month?

WITHESS LEDBETTER: Two, three months ago.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you deal with us
on that, or you dealt directly with the company and
it's been resolved?

WITNESS LEDBETTER: We resolved it. I think
I made the first complaint with you. And I don't
think I did the second one, because we resolved it
right away.

obviously, you've done a very good job of protecting yourself with the assistance of the representative from AT&T. But you've raised some very interesting and sophisticated schemes that there appear to be developing. If you could get with our Staff -- you've resolved your problem, there may still be some sanctions we may want to impose against the companies that have apparently fraudulently provided you with taking over your service, and even, perhaps, your billing or some other parts of your service. So if you could meet with our staff people it may help us.

withess LEDBETTER: There was a engineer that worked with us, and he's also an attorney, he's

no longer with the company, but he talked to him, and 2 he said the same thing, he said "Hey, you've proven it to yourself but you can't prove it." It's a tough 3 call. 4 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: With us it's usually 5 the standard is not as high and we can usually --6 7 since they would rather avoid getting fined, they try to resolve your problem quickly and that's why --8 9 WITNESS LEDBETTER: I'm glad we're a small company. Otherwise, the receptionist, her voice was 10 clear, "fire" -- would you do that for -- I can see a 11 big company like that, drop people off. 12 13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You should go speak 14 with your local provider since you do have a big 15 company and make sure you get a password from them and a PIC freeze. 16 17 WITNESS LEDBETTER: We have PIC freezes. have all kinds of things. Nothing is perfect. 18 19 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's right. WITNESS LEDBETTER: We're staying on top of 20 2it. 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? 23 Thank you. Again, if you could meet with one of our

Staff members, we'd appreciate that.

24

25

WITHESS LEDBETTER: Excuse me, but when you

talk -- I hear the speaker there. (Indicating opposite 2 direction.) CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Oh. I appreciate your 3 4 testimony. WITHESS LEDBETTER: Thank you. 5 MR. BECK: Mr. Solimeni. 6 7 CHOLAMANSO SOLEIMANI 8 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 9 10 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 11 12 DIRECT STATEMENT WITNESS SOLEIMANI: Good evening. Excuse me 13 for my language barrier, but I'm going to give you 14 15 some of my experience and then I'm going to pass out a document. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That would be fine. 17 you could bring that forward, and when you go back to 18 19 the microphone, if you could spell your name for us and state your address, that would be very helpful. 20 2 . WITNESS SOLEIMANI: It is G-H-O-L-A-M-A-N-S-O. S-O-L-E-I-M-A-N-I. 22 23 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Try that again. You 24 don't have a language barrier on speed. What was

25

that?

WITHESS SOLEINAMI: My last name is S-O-L-E-I-M-A-N-I.

P. O. Box 151251, Altamonte Springs, Florida 32715.
CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

about under two years ago, since my attorney told me for fraud, that is about four years, he brought it to -- presented it to the Court, so that's why it still is open in my case.

I'm from Iran. Each year, about 20 -- 20th of March or 21st, the New Years has started in Iran, and most long distance companies, they know that any foreigner, Japanese, Chinese, Iranian, they make a lot of phone calls as you do on your New Years or Mother's Day and so and so. They know all of this from the foreigners. And they have -- there's usually about 2 million Iranian here. So about March they start to doing -- changing their phones, so and so. And if they found out -- most of them, they don't do any actions, okay, or they don't verify it. They don't know because they don't speak English. If they do, simply they send them a \$5 bill to make them happy. Okay?

trying to get in contact with my relatives, with my family back home. I couldn't do -- get contact for about two days. I was trying. The line was busy, busy, busy. And all of a sudden I went to my -- United Telephone, local, and he said that "Well, dial zero zero to see who is your carrier." And I said is LCI. They went through there and said, "No, it is ATET." I said, "How did it happen? LCI for more than a year is my carrier. And they said, "Well, no, it is ATET."

so I go talk to the AT&T. And I tell AT&T and find out they were changing my account twice in March without my notice. And for two, three days I couldn't get them. Finally, they said, "Okay, we do it for you." It took another week for me to get back to the LCI. Because I was so upset I didn't want to make a long distance with AT&T. At that time I had an 800 number. I couldn't use it either.

So after ten days I was finally -- be able to make contact for my New Years to my family. It was devastating for me because I found out my dear aunt was dying. In those days I couldn't say goodbye to her.

So anyway -- then I had problems with AT&T

1	several times for billing. They cut me with United
2	Telephone four times.
3	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: They cut off your
4	local service?
5	WITHESS SOLEIMANI: Yeah, four times. Okay.
6	I got involved with the Public Service Commission and
7	they gave me 50% discount. But four times the United
8	Telephone supporting AT&T and cut my phone. They
9	knew they are in fraud. They knew they are stealing
0	my account.
1	As you see I mentioned, it's like somebody
2	change your bank account. I couldn't believe it. Not
3	in this day and this happen to me. It was
4	devastating. So this is my experience. Thank you.
.5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Why did United cut
.6	your phone service? Because you hadn't paid the long
7	distance?
8	WITNESS SOLEINANI: Because I didn't want to
9	pay long distance, and they said this is an one
0	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This was after
1	speaking to the Commission.
2	WITHESS SOLEINANI: Yes. The Commission
3	I was with United Telephone in the lobby. From there

I was talking to the Public Service Commissioner. And

25 because the collector for AT&T was United Telephone.

commissioner GARCIA: In theory what should happen is that when you speak to the Commission, when you speak to us and there is an ongoing dispute United should not turn your phone off. You should only be required to pay your local service, which in United's case for a local, with a few options, you're probably at about \$30 to \$35; no more than that for your local service.

withess soleinami: I did pay that. They caught it, caught me. And they want to pick up because they said we are a collector for AT&T. I have all documents for that.

other questions, but before you leave, could you speak with Ms. Pena in the back of the room so she could get details. We may have dropped the ball there also. I mean, there's no reason they should have cut your service, and we should have at least informed them. I think that's something we're going to try to do now, as a policy, that we're going to try to inform people when you contact us, we're going to immediately contact the company and make sure —

withess soleimani: You're contacting the telephone -- they knew that you were involved, okay.

I was calling --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This was in April of

withess solemann: Yeah. I was talking to you from their office. They knew they are on a fraud. They knew that they are not supposed to help the people that have stolen my case. They did it.

Because I'm a poor -- I'm a victim. I'm the guy on the other side.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We're going to find out about that.

CHAIRNAM JOHNSON: I'm sorry, you stated that you had -- you did receive a 50% --

withess soleimami: They gave me 50 -- after you got involved, after three times they called. Finally when the Commissioner wasn't lying, they said, okay, they get a discount. They get involved in the United Telephone and AT&T, the party line, they said okay. They agree to give me 50% discount.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We still probably need to review that and make sure that was even sufficient.

Because they still -- I don't know how much they were overcharging you, so we need to make sure you got the full refund you actually deserved. If we could look at that issue, we may be able to help you little more on that.

withess soleinami: Ms. Chairman, it's not a money problem. The hassle they gave to me, okay. And the problem they gave to me, I can't explain it.

Okay. I cannot explain what they had done, okay, because --

You raised another issue that we need to try to explore and investigate, and perhaps with the help of the Attorney General's office and Public Counsel, and that is that they target people that, perhaps, don't speak fluent English, or those that they know will be communicating to other countries, that they target those groups. That may be something that we need to pay close attention to.

withess soleimant: They make billions of dollars for each New Years or for each event. So if they give \$10,000 away -- after I've complained, they send me \$5 bill. And that was another insult.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Certainly.

MR. BECK: Mr. Soleimani -- over here. Did AT&T ever tell you the basis for their taking your service? Was it a forgery of your name?

mistake. They told me they send me a check. They send a check and I signed it. I said I never signed

it. Show me -- I put it like this, show me even as who signed, who it was. They said, well, somebody signed it.

MR. BECK: Did they ever produce a copy of the check?

WITHESS SOLEIMANI: No, they didn't give it to me.

commissioner GARCIA: Can you give me the letter so we can return it, because I think it's an original. Carmen could get this and maybe we could just fax it to our office so we'll have a copy at our office but you can keep your original.

WITHESS SOLEIMANI: Thank you.

testifying. You brought some new issues to our attention and that is how perhaps they handle customers and how they target customers. That's something we'll try to -- I don't know how we'll address it directly, but with the help of the Attorney General's Office and Public Counsel, that's an issue we need to address.

recommendation. I think the public deserves some more training in the media with TV, radio. They need more training, the public, to know about these things.

trying to include a billing insert for the holidays, and we may be a little bit late on it, but we're going to get Staff trying to work on having all of the local companies put out something about explaining how you can put on a PIC freeze. We're seeing if we could put out a public service announcement while we're in the rulemaking process that will at least inform people they can do a PIC freeze. And maybe the Attorney General's Office and Public Counsel will help us along with that.

chairman Johnson: One of the things that we are doing -- because you're absolutely right, with all of the competition for long distance and local service, one of the things that the Commission is attempting to do is to do more consumer outreach and consumer information. But consumers just don't know. Oftentimes, consumers are accustomed to dealing with a monopoly, with one company. And now they have ten, 20 companies, different companies calling them offering them different services.

So it is our duty and our obligation to do more consumer outreach, and to do -- I know we do a lot in South Florida, and Commissioner Garcia speaks Spanish fluently, so we have been using him. But

we've got to reach out with more groups and communicate with as many people as we can to help them help themselves. Thank you very much for your testimony.

MR. BECK: Windy Eads.

WINDY EADS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

withess made: I'd like to thank you first for coming down and listening to us. My name is Windy Eads. Yes, that's me. I'm not a woman.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Made you tough, though, a name like that.

WITNESS EADS: Last year, about the first of the year, I got slammed by Joe's Tin Can and Twine

Phone Service. When I got the first bill I called the people, and I got a lady on the telephone and she said that a Mrs. Windy Eads authorized the phone change.

Dead giveaway. Not hard to figure out.

I informed her I would not pay the bill.

She said I'll be getting a second bill because it's the middle of the billing cycle. I called the Public

1	Service Commission. You did do an excellent job. I
2	did inform them, too, I will not pay the bill. I feel
3	like the second gentlemen up here, it is theft.
4	I'm in the automobile repair business. I
5	can not do anything to an automobile without the
6	customer's approval, preferably in writing or get a
7	verbal like a partial driver's license, Social
8	Security number.
9	I did get a final call from the company that
10	they did credit my account.
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What was the name of
12	the company?
13	WITHESS HADS: Integrated Teleservices,
14	Presno, California.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: They credited your
16	account for the total bill?
17	WITHESS EADS: For the total bill. And they
18	were also informed on my call that I would not pay the
19	bill.
20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSOM: Very good.
21	MR. BECK: What did they tell you as the
22	reason for switching your lines?
23	WITHESS EADS: They had called the
24	telemarketer and that a Mrs. Windy Eads had approved
25	it.

MR. BECK: Did they have a recording or 1 anything like that? 2 3 WITNESS EADS: No. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Durbin, have you 5 found his file? 6 MR. DURBIN: Was it under your name or --7 WITHESS EADS: It's under my business name. 8 MR. DURBIN: What's that name? 9 WITHESS EADS: Automatic Exchange. 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Just so we can find 11 out. This is a company -- we haven't -- in the last 12 two we haven't, but the ones before we had a lot of 13 complaints. And I think they are listed as one of the 14 bigger violators. 15 I appreciate your coming in. And then maybe 16 17 that's the advantage to having a name like that? WITHESS EADS: I'm an one-man shop. I 18 really don't have time to deal with these hassles 20 sometimes. 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Have you had --WITHESS EADS: I had a PIC freeze put on it 22 per the Public Service Commission. They told me on 23 24 the telephone when I called them on the initial

complaint.

25

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Fantastic. I'm glad we were able to help you out and sorry that it happened.

WITNESS HADS: Thank you.

2 .

know you probably -- although you and the Public
Service Commission was able to help you resolve this
issue, we're still -- I know the Public Counsel and
the Commission and AG's office is still very
interested in going after these individuals, whether
it's a criminal or just sanctions or revoking
certificates. That's going to be one of the ways that
we get to the bottom of this, even though you have had
a great resolution, you still have to go through that
hassle. And we don't want to see customers having to
expend that effort in order to see what is right or
just occur.

WITNESS EADS: I would say it was resolved within 90 days from the initial bill I received.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: How much of your time was used?

withess EADS: Several hours, anyway, on the telephone. Try to call them on their 800 number; it's busy, busy, busy. When you do get through you're put on hold. Like I say, as far as I'm concerned it is

theft. 2 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir. 3 WITNESS EADS: It should be treated as theft. 4 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you. Appreciate 6 7 it. Brandon Peters. 8 MR. BECK: 9 10 BRANDON PETERS was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 12 13 testified as follows: DIRECT STATEMENT 14 WITNESS PETERS: My name is Brandon Peters, 15 916 Laurel Avenue, Orlando 32803. 16 Madam Chairman, Mr. Commissioner, members of 17 the Staff, staff from and the Attorney General's 18 Office, thank you for coming to Orlando and listening 19 to our situation because I really think that it is one 20 which needs to be focused under a microscope. 21 My particular situation arose at the 22 23 beginning of June 1997 when at that time I was a happy customer of AT&T. I received a telephone call at my 24

place of employment from a individual representing a

25

company known as Minimum Rate Pricing, Inc. This company claimed to build a better mouse trap and I believed them, so I signed up for their service and abandoned AT&T.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What was the name of the company again?

WITHESS PETERS: Minimum Rate Pricing, Inc.

AT&T contacted me two weeks later and said,
"Why did you leave us?" I told them about the better
mouse trap and they said, "We have an even better
mouse trap than that." So they signed me up for their
very best rate plan. And I agreed. And through an
independent verifier gave them approval to switch me
back to AT&T.

When I got my next phone bill I learned I had, indeed, been switched back to AT&T. But two weeks following my telephone conversation with representatives of AT&T, and the independent verifier, Minimum Rate Pricing had, without my knowledge or consent, switched my residential phone service back to Minimum Rate Pricing, Inc.

I called BellSouth; found the date of the slam. Notified AT&T that they were once again authorized, through the independent verifier to sign me up for AT&T service at their very best rate plan,

which they did. Two weeks following Minimum Rate

Pricing, Inc. again, without my knowledge or consent,

switched my residential phone service to their company

and it appeared on my next telephone bill.

The aggregate of my losses were approximately \$100 in extra charges above those which I would have been charged had I been with AT&T at their very best rate plan. Included in that \$100 approximate figure are the multiple switching fees, which my wife and I paid, to have our service reconnected with AT&T and connected without our knowledge or consent to Minimum Rate Pricing, Inc. twice.

I retained counsel to get to the bottom of the problem. And after \$7,000 worth of attorneys fees sent a demand letter to Minimum Rate Pricing, Inc. --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You hired an attorney for \$7,000 to take care of the \$100 problem?

withess peters: Yes, sir. They kicked the wrong dog. And sent a demand letter three days ago to the gentlemen on file with the Public Service Commission as being the person responsible for addressing consumer complaints, asking them to pay not only my \$100 difference in fees. But my \$7,000 attorney's bill. And I did so under the authority of

Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 which says that consumers shall have a private cause of action against long distance carriers who switched them without their authorization. In addition to which you're entitled to recover your attorneys fees and your costs.

And I told them that the attorneys involved in this case are going to, on November 18th, should they fail to cut me a check for \$7,000, file a suit in Federal District Court in Orlando, not only under Section 258 of the Federal Communications Act, but under the RICO statute, because by undertaking to slam me not once, but twice, they committed act of wire and/or mail fraud putting themselves squarely within the jurisdiction of the Federal Land State RICO statutes.

Our extensive review of public documents received pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and Public Records Acts requests indicates that this particular company has slammed not only me but hundreds of individuals across the country; people who were so irritated that they took the time and trouble to contact the FCC, to contact your agency; took the time to fill out a formal complaint and file that formal complaint. And I have, or I should say my

attorneys have, literally hundreds of complaints, formal filed complaints from the federal and state enforcement agencies charged with addressing this issue from people all across the country with just this one company.

And we have every confidence that we will get a class certified in federal district court when we file this suit on November 18th should they fail to come forward with the funds.

I would like to focus your attention this evening on a very specific aspect of the lamming problem that has come to light during the course of my attorney's evaluation of this situation in order to do so, I've got an exhibit I'd like to just start at one end and allow you to pass down.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Please.

withess peters: What I'm handing you is an one-page excerpt from the tariff presently on file for Minimum Rate Pricing with the Florida Public Service Commission. If you address yourself to the last subsection of the page I've given you, Subsection 2.2.1, Termination of Service by a Customer, it states that, "The customer may cancel his service by providing written or verbal notice to Minimum Rate Pricing. The carrier requires such notification in

account transfer or slamming. If the carrier is not notified accordingly, the carrier may reinstate the customer's account by implementation of its automatic provisioning system. The company will confirm all cancellations, either verbal or written in writing within five business days of any cancellation.

Additionally, in the event that the company has found, through its automatic polling system that the customer is no longer receiving service, the customer may be reinstated as above and written notice of same will be sent to the customer within five business days of such action."

In reviewing reams of correspondence between officials of this company and officials of, not only your agency, but the Federal Communications Commission and similarly placed utility commissions from states across the country, it has come to our attention that this Company and other companies are claiming an agency approval for their actions by virtue of the language that appears in these tariffs.

Your agency's internal operating rules state that these tariffs shall go into effect and shall be initially approved by the agency within one day of their filing. The phone companies, including Minimum

Rate Pricing, Inc., are claiming agency approval for their action by virtue of the language which appears in this tariff. This is, by the way, the identical language that appears in the FCC tariff on file for Minimum Rate Pricing.

If you read the language carefully it becomes readily clear that it is a clever attempt to make an end run around the verification procedures set forth in both federal and state law. Those are statutes and regulations. The Company cannot require customers to undertake particular procedures that are at odds with federal or state law, yet they attempt by including this kind of language within their tariffs to do so, and they claim to have agency approval for their actions.

so my purpose in coming here tonight is really to bring your attention to this one issue, to encourage you at a minimum to review the tariffs carefully as they come in and weed out any kind of language like this, but also in addition to that, I think a more appropriate response or an additional appropriate response would be to either ask the Legislature to enact statutory amendments or yourselves pass a regulation which makes clear that any language in the tariffs that is at odds with

either your regulations or the Legislature's statutes is clearly unenforceable.

Further, I would encourage you to ask the
Legislature to pass legislation equivalent to Section
258 which was enacted in the 1996 Telecommunications
Act. I think consumers need a private cause of action
to address these kinds of problems. Many people are
very likely similarly situated, their bill
differential is probably only a hundred dollars and is
probably not worth it to them to come to meetings like
this or to find out how to file formal complaints or,
in fact, file formal complaints. That's why we have a
class action mechanism, and November 18th will be the
proving ground for my attorney's theories on that
particular score.

But, thank you. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to entertain them.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I have a few. But maybe, Mr. Moses, you can point out, I don't know. What is our rule on -- clearly he's right about what this tries to do, if I read it correctly.

MR. MOSES: It violates the Commission's rules. And recently my section has taken responsibility of new application tariffs coming in. And we've noticed this same language in five or six

different new applications. We have had the companies take it out, because we realized it did violate it.

And I wasn't aware there was a company that still had one on file. And we will take this up with the company. And, if necessary, we'll take it before the Commission to get it removed from the tariff because it does not belong in there.

rule like this, or it has already violated -
NR. NOSES: It's already violating the

rules.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So this wouldn't be enforceable anyway?

MR. MOSES: No, it would not, because the Commission's rules trump the tariffs. I mean, the tariffs are kind of like a business plan that the companies submit to the Commission, but the rules supersede that, as far as my knowledge.

your attorneys call up Ms. Caldwell, because I know we've had several cases like this before the Commission where companies -- not on this specific 'ssue -- where companies say, "Well, I filed this tariff years ago with you guys, and because I filed the tariff it's presumptively valid; therefore, I can

enforce -- it's your fault that you didn't find it in the tariff." But if it goes against our rules, then the tariff is invalid.

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

So, we'll have -- you know, Ms. Caldwell may have some precedent in terms of how we've dealt with this in the past, not on this specific issue, but on others like this where the company has filed something.

withess peters: I'd be curious if you happen to have cite to a particular rule. I don't know if you'd have it offhand, but if you do I could let my attorneys know exactly how this violates --

MR. MOSES: As far as the rule it violates, 25-4.118 of the Florida Administrative Code.

WITHESS PETERS: Okay. Yeah, I'm aware of that one.

MR. MOSES: Okay.

WITNESS PETERS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MOSES: Because it's not in compliance with any of the verification procedures. Because, essentially, what they are doing is switching you back. And if they do that, they have to follow the procedures outlined in that rule.

WITHESS PETERS: Right. Okay. You know, a hundred bucks really isn't much, but -- I mean, I've

got a two year-old-son who has had surgery once in the last year, and he's having surgery again next week. 3 And a hundred dollars to 30-year-old and his wife with a two-year-old in bad health doesn't come easily. I can imagine how much more difficult it is for an 5 elderly person on fixed income to come up with a 7 hundred dollars just because some unscrupulous telecommunication carrier is using illegal practices 8 to eke out market share. It needs to stop. 9 10 MR. GROSS: Mr. Peters, I'm Michael Gross with the attorney General's office. I believe that we 11 have had previous communication? 12 13 WITHESS PETERS: Yes, sir.

MR. GROSS: Have you been in touch with Jack
Norris or Monica Felder of the Attorney General's Fort
Lauderdale office by any chance?

withess peters: Ms. Felder and I have spoken. I believe she works for Jack Norris -MR. GROSS: That's correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, essentially, her response to my inquiries was that because the Attorney General's office is in the process of actively investigating this and other companies for the practice I'm complaining of, they are unable to help me at this time.

WITHESS WHITAKER: Under confidentiality 1 provisions. But did they take the information about your particular experience, because I mean I'd be 3 interested in --WITNESS PETERS: I, frankly, cannot recall. 5 MR. GROSS: Okay. I mean, I'd like to 6 7 acquire your file on this. I mean, not necessarily anything that's, you know, work product or would 8 prejudice your trial preparation. 9 WITNESS PETERS: Right. 10 MR. GROSS: But anything that is available 11 to us, we'd like to get ahold of it because Florida is 12 part of a multistate group that is investigating 13 Minimum Rate Pricing. 14 WITHESS PETERS: I understand that. 15 MR. GROSS: And I know that several other 16 states are, also, planning action. 17 WITHESS PETERS: I'd be happy to share that 18 information. 19

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

20

21

22

23

25

commissioner GARCIA: I think our Staff would probably like that, too, because we certainly would not like this company to be representing that because there is a tariff before this Commission that that makes that tariff presumptively valid and,

1	therefore so it might behoove your attorneys to
2	give Ms. Caldwell a call so she can give you at least
3	the background there.
4	MR. BECK: Mr. Peters, just one thought:
5	The Commission can issue a declaratory statement if
6	you file a petition with the Commission Charlie
7	Beck. And just one thought is perhaps if their
8	defense was raising a problem, you could ask the
9	Commission to issue a declaratory statement.
0	WITNESS PETERS: To whom do I address such a
1	request?
2	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: To either Chairman
3	Johnson
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Why don't you coordinate
.5	that with our legal Staff, Ms. Caldwell, here. She
6	will be able to assist you in how we can help you
.7	procedurally on the legal aspects.
8	WITNESS PETERS: I know the federal judges
9	would appreciate that clarification on November 18th.
0	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sure. Mr. Moses, did you
1	have a point?
2	MR. MOSES: I was just going to say I may
3	save everybody a lot of time. I'll go ahead and

24 commit to opening a docket on this and bring it before

25 the Commission to get the language removed if the

1 Company refuses to do so.
2 COMMISSIONER GA

much.

makes a good point, because we don't want the authority of this Commission misrepresented. And that's why I think if you speak to Ms. Caldwell, I think that our legal office can probably provide you with that information. I don't know if we can get it before the Commission quick enough, but certainly we can give you the background in that.

WITHESS PETERS: Super. Thank you very

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. I appreciate all of your hard work.

WITNESS PETERS: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, I know you have a point. You'll have to make that -- you're excused.

I know you have a point. You'll have to make that particular point at one of the microphones because this is being transmitted over the Internet. Were you sworn in a little earlier?

WITNESS POLKOSKY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: How many more?

MR. BECK: Seven or eight.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And your name?

WITHESS POLKOCKY: Douglas Polkosky.

question, then? I'm not certain as to where you are on the list. And I apologize. We just have to do this in a pretty formal, orderly manner.

MR. BECK: Douglas Polkosky.

DOUGLAS POLKOSKY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITHESS POLKOSKY: Good evening. I'm Doug Polkosky with Thomas Computer. We're located at 7101 President's Drive here in Orlando. The zip code is 32809. And I have two issues I'd like to share, one for my business and another that is more personal.

We were slammed approximately two years ago. We filed a complaint -- I believe it's on file -- and we were taken care of. Basically, all the fees were dropped.

My concern with the Commission today is that, essentially, I agree with several of the other people here tonight; that this slamming practice is a theft not only of dollars and cents as a result of the bills that are issued, but of the time it takes away from running a business.

We are a telemarketing company. We have been in business for 33 years, and at least 18 of those we have used AT&T.

We were slammed through what I suspect was a procedure, somewhat innocently, calling in, speaking to a receptionist, asking who uses our -- what telephone service we use and who is in charge of that. I handle all of the telephone acquisitions and accounts for our company.

We were switched over. The bill that came in to us was on AT&T printed form. I strongly object to a company using -- having the ability to use AT&T for their billing services, using AT&T forms, and only when you look at the fine print to do you find it is not an AT&T bill.

Picture yourself as our business getting a bill in every month from AT&T for many, many years.

The bill still comes in AT&T but is considerably larger than we would have ordinarily expected.

Not only that, but it's a subterfuge. You pay a check to another company and the billing is done through a third company. So there are multiple levels of involvement in this slamming.

The Attorney General's Office, the Public

Commission, and AT&T themselves were all extremely helpful in helping us resolve this.

We estimated that it took us approximately 50 hours of time to resolve it. In a business like ours, candidly, 50 hours that is not devoted to business but rather into curing a theft of assets is significant. We did fix the problem, so I do appreciate that.

I am concerned of another issue. We deal -throughout the United States -- we sell a portion of
our business via credit cards. And when I take an
order for a credit card without having the ability to
actually swipe that credit card, I'm at risk for
getting paid.

Act or the statutes seem to enforce is that the company who is using the service is the victim without -- with very little rights. The slammers do not have to get a signature; they do not have to get an order. Most of my other vendors require that we have on file with them either a blanket order or some form of hard copy order in order to make a major purchase.

At our telemarketing company, we have some 30-odd telephone lines. The transfer of our lines to an unauthorized company is a significant event.

I also would like to have the Commission look into -- it is obvious to us by the lines that were switched -- the lines that were switched in our company were those that were in a hunt group. They did not switch the lines that were independent lines. It seems fairly clear to us that AT&T or someone provided them the phone numbers that were in our hunt groups.

The second issue I have is more personal, and it relates to my mother-in-law, some 75 years old who was slammed. And the result of the slamming for her was not extremely financial, but racher emotional. As a result of the slamming, and apparently some confusion between the service providers, she was unable to make intrastate calls. Her family is spread out throughout Florida. Here is a 75-year-old woman --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you -- over here -- was she a Southern Bell customer?

WITHESS POLKOSKY: Yes, she was.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.

witness POLEOSKY: She was unable to contact her family. Obviously, that is an emotional drain on an elderly person living alone, in addition to the finances.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe that's also been taken care of.

But I'd like to perhaps suggest that the Commission

look at some form of allowing, at the very minimum,

the elders to very easily put a freeze on their lines.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This was intrastate? WITNESS POLKOSKY: This was intrastate.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. I guess I've spoken about this, but I believe I spoke to Staff about this the other day at the Commission, but I think we have to -- that was a rule that was passed by the Commission in terms of creating -- I guess it wasn't a rule; it was an order by the Commission when we tried to open up the intrastate market. And as you may well imagine or know, Southern Bell controlled within its area all of that. And in the Commission's hope to create more competition we put certain restrictions on BellSouth when people were switching off their system. And it was a close vote at the time. And our thinking at the time -- it wasn't meant to be a permanent decision, but our thinking at the time was to create -- to create a more competitive atmosphere not to allow BellSouth to remarket you. if -- the same way that when AT&T, you switch from them, they send you a letter or you call them to

switch and they try to resell you, and they tell you
they've got a cheaper plan, we don't allow BellSouth
to do that. And I think you are probabl, the tenth
person to tell us about this particular issue. And
it's something that I think we may have to address in
the order that this Commission put out.

MR. MOSES: Commissioner Garcia, what he's

MR. MOSES: Commissioner Garcia, what he's talking about is the entire state of Florida. I think What you're describing is intraLATA traffic, if I'm understanding what he's saying. Did you not state the entire state of Florida you were blocked?

within the state of Florida, primarily on the west coast in the Leesburg/Tampa --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That would be the intrastate.

MR. MOSES: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That would be the intraLATA.

WITNESS POLKOSKY: Excuse me if I used the wrong time.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, no, you used the right term. Mr. Moses, though, realizes that I use the wrong terms quite often, so he was trying to make sure I got it right.

And what that -- what it shows us is that, in particular, in areas where that happens a lot, in other words, for example, in the area of the state that I'm from, Dade/Broward and Monroe and Palm Beach Counties, we have got -- due to the Public Counsel's office, very specifically -- and suits that they filed against BellSouth, we've been able to get expanded calling areas for those areas. And so people are used to making 25-cent calls between these huge areas suddenly get switched. BellSouth can't remarket that client, and so someone who is paying 25 cents for a call suddenly is paying a lot more, because, you know, since it was 25 cent -- 25 cents a call they would call and speak 30 minutes to their daughter who lived the next county over, and when they got the bill it was quite a shocker. And that's something that is relatively new because that has existed only within the last year or so.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

So it's something that we're about to look at very seriously because you need to be able to get basic information on that. And because of the way the system is -- and I speak only of BellSouth, they're not able to say, "Hey, wait a minute. This may not be the best situation for you." So.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, one of the issues

that you raised, it's one that I think we should take special note to and that is how to we inform people, particularly the elderly, of ways to protect themselves, like the PIC freeze and other mechanisms; perhaps the Department of Agriculture's "No Solicitation Program" because we need to try to avoid these situations in the first instance. Oftentimes when something like this occurs, the customer doesn't know what to do. They don't know the choices. They don't know how to protect themselves, and they have to reason to know. In the past we had the monopolies; they didn't have to worry about those kinds of things.

so we are -- and I'm taking special note of that point -- to try to find ways in which we can do our part to help inform and educate customers.

withess Polkosky: I appreciate that. And, again, I think there are just -- it appears with the success of the mail-in ballots on the recent sales tax issue, with the amount of paperwork that comes to almost anybody, income tax forms, telephone bills anything, there should be a better way for these elderly people.

Remember, one of my concerns, again, about this slamming is the questions are quite innocent. A phone call comes in, "Would you like to save money on

your phone bill?" Well, what person on a limited income wouldn't? The answer is yes, and that becomes 2 3 the issue for a slamming. Again, thank you. I appreciate your time 5 and efforts. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And did you say we were 6 7 able to assist -- I know you said in your case we were able to assist you. What about in your 8 9 mother-in-law's case, did we resolve that? Or do we 10 have a complaint? 11 WITHESS POLKOSKY: Yeah, most of that was resolved, also. 12 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Could you still 14 meet with our Staff member so we can make sure and see if there are any other ways that we might be able to 15 assist you or make sure that the appropriate refunds 16 17 were taken care of and that we have documentation on those companies that basically perpetrated the fraud? 18 WITHESS POLKOSKY: Yes, I will. Thank you 19 20 very much. 21

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. BECK: Harriet Fort.

23

22

24

HARRIET FORT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS FORT: My name is Harriet Fort, 1104 St. Tropez Circle in Orlando.

phone number because I have had nonlisted,
nonpublished numbers for years, but somehow they
manage to fine it. And I did get a call one morning
with somebody representing themselves as I believe it
was AT&T Network Services. I think that's the term
they used. And I was, of course, with AT&T Long
Distance, so I was receptive -- somewhat receptive to
the phone call and it was just a matter of, "We have a
new billing program. We're going to be billing you in
increments, and we'd like to, you know, see if you
were interested in the new pilling plan."

Assuming it was AT&T, I did agree to it and didn't realize until I got a strange bill in a strange looking envelope from Integrated Teleservices that my phone service had been switched. And it took probably close to six or eight hours on the telephone with the different -- with BellSouth and with AT&T, it took two

tries until I finally got the telephone lines back to where they were supposed to be.

I'm a building contractor. And just like these other people, if I'm not productive -- if my time is not productive, it's costing cost me a lot of money. I was so angry. Normally, like other friends I've spoken with this about, you get angry about something, you take care of it and you just cast it aside. But I was angry enough to write letters to the Public Service Commission and to the Attorney General's office, and I was very pleased with the response and the promptness and the follow up.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We were able to take care of your problem.

withess FORT: Yeah. I did get -- I did pay
them. Unfortunately, I to not pay them what they
billed me, but I finally agreed on -- I can't -- the
bills were a total of about \$125, and I ended up
paying them about 50 or 75 just but they were
harassing me. And I didn't -- I just wanted to be
done with it.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I know it's on the record, I'm sorry, but I missed the name of the -- what was the name of the --

WITHESS FORT: Integrated Teleservices.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Yeah.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITHESS FORT: And, again, something that I would like to address at this time, and it is away from slamming. I'm also affiliated with the Orange County Sheriff's Office. And I happened to be helping out at the communications center about a month ago and took a call from a elderly woman who was concerned because she had a collect call from the sheriffs office. That was the way the call was received. And she was concerned that there was an emergency, and she said, "Would somebody have called me" -- she did not accept the collect call. It was one of these, and I had heard prior to that, so I knew what to tell her. Apparently there's something -- a new trick is calling, placing a call saying you have a collect call, you have a minute to decide after you accept the call whether you're going to -- once you hear the voice -- whether you accept it or not. And then I understand there's a large charge.

Fortunately, she did not accept the call, but she was concerned that, you know, like most of us we have elderly relatives. We have children. We have people that could be --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Would you happen to have -- I mean, you don't have to put it on the

record, but would you happen to have the information on that woman so that we could maybe check through her -- whoever her local provider is. No?

WITNESS FORT: No, I don't. I'm sorry, I didn't get it from her.

But she did the right thing, obviously, in not accepting the call. And I did explain to her and, you know, reassure her that the sheriff's office would never place a collect call to her; any sheriff's office from any county in the state.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

withess fort: But, apparently, that's a new problem that's rearing its ugly head, and I don't know how you can deal with it, but it's something we need to be aware of. But I appreciate the Commission's pursuing this.

months ago, and they asked me if I would be interviewed -- agree to be interviewed. Normally, I won't speak to the media because I have had some bad experience in the past. But they were very interested in sharing this to try to help protect the public out there. So I think they probably would be receptive to working with you on whatever kind of information you decide would help the public, protect the rest of us

out there.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Any questions?

I know that the AG's office is following up, particularly in Central Florida, where a lot of the residents were receiving those. First it was the lottery calling you and saying accept this -- well, allegedly some group called Lottery, Inc. And like you said, it looks as if they have another twist on it now. But even those people that weren't interested in lottery, now they're getting them by saying it's the sheriff's office.

withess FORT: That's the latest and that is scary. I mean, like I say, you never know when there is a true emergency. You try to tell people that law enforcement agencies are not going to call you collect.

chairman Johnson: Sure. And we sent out some public announcements to try to help consumers; some educational awareness documents on that particular issue. But the AG's office was looking at going directly after those companies for that kind of — it wasn't necessarily the telecommunications issue, but it was the fraud that was being perpetrated by doing that kind of action.

witness FORT: Well, I asked her if possibly she had caller ID, and she said, no, she didn't. It was an elderly woman.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sure.

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

withess fort: But most of the calls, when I get strange calls like that, they are generally ones that are nontraceable that are from services that don't show up on a caller ID.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That reminds me, because it is something that's -- I do have caller ID at my house. And my wife hates taking calls that are not from my mother-in-law, so everyone else gets on the voice mail. But it does strike me that maybe we should require that the companies are sort of identifying themselves, because I have noticed that none of the marketers identify themselves. They always have sort of a blank coming in. Now, I do know that Southern Bell offers the service and I'm sure United does, too, whereby you can speak with your local company not to let calls through that do not have a identifier. Because my mother-in-law has that one, and I call from unidentifiable phones and I don't get through. It literally blocks your call if you're not identified.

I don't know if we could somehow do

something that requires them to acknowledge that they are with a company or something, or that requires an ID when they are reaching customers. Because it is —it is interesting that none of the marketers — and I have a running count because I look at it when I'm gone for a week — none of them identify themselves, and they all hang up. Obviously, they are not going to leave something on your voice mail.

withess fort: They all come through as unknown caller. The only thing that the phone company is able to block at this point are calls that come from blocked -- there are specific -- law enforcement is entitled to --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, no, but there are --

withess FORT: -- block or you can dial -- I
mean, per call, you can dial out, dial so that, you
know, it's --

commissioner GARCIA: I'm pretty sure of this, though, because I did it once. And what happens is if your phone does not have an ID -- and maybe the BellSouth people that are here could clarify that. I think that you can your program your phone with the local company not to take calls if they are not identified.

MS. SIMS: It's block the blocker.

the mike and say that real quick. I'm sorry, But it -- I know it works, because I can't get through to my mother-in-law, which is not bad sometimes.

withess form: I have had one call that came through, and I don't remember now whether it was an unknown caller or a private caller, which is like law enforcement. And you can return a call to that number, but it will not give you the number that you're returning the call to.

MS. SIMS: Nancy Sims with BellSouth.

We do have a service called "block the blocker," where if someone is calling you and they put a privacy -- they block the call, block the number before they call so the number will not display on the caller ID; it will just show private. Then this service will actually block any of those calls from coming into your line. So it will just come up with a recording saying the caller does not wish to receive a call that's been blocked -- or I forgot the exact language, but it's called block the blocker.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, ma'am, for your testimony.

MR. BECK: Bob Cavalleri.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sprint-United says they also have the same service.

BOB CAVALLERI

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

7 8

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITHESS CAVALLERI: My name is Bob

Cavalleri, and I have my own company. It's Applied

Technology Associates, P. O. Box 149434 Orlando,

Florida 32814, and obviously the company phone was

slammed.

The company that slammed us is Vista Group
International, and they use USPI as their billing
service. And we finally got all of our money back
that we were overcharged of. It took about, I would
say two, two or three days' worth of time to do this.
And to me it seems very simple that if they have
independent billing on this. So if someone sends me a
bill for something I didn't apply, I just throw it
away and don't pay it. And I don't understand why
they can't have independent billing between your local
phone company and long distance phone company. Is
that possible?

commissioner GARCIA: It was a rule that was proposed last year, if I'm not mistaken by our Staff. In other words, to separate that billing. And at the time, I think the Commission sent it back for further study with a strong recommendation to study for -- we voted it down.

I know -- I remember voting against it, and the reason was that we figured that there was some benefit to the customer, at least in my thinking, I can't speak for the other Commissioners, that there were some benefit to the local company because -- since they had an access to you, that you had a general -- generally you would bay and it would be more direct. But I do believe that it is one of the things we may be considering in this hearing.

WITNESS CAVALLERI: Because the problem is if you say, "Oh, I'm not paying this bill, any part of this bill," the threat comes back that, "We're going to take your phones out," and then you're out of business. Whereas, if it's independent billing you no longer have that threat to hold over you.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Although, I will tell you that, believe it or not, that hasn't stopped them. It hasn't happened here, but there's a group that uses their own billing and collection system where they

send you -- maybe Staff can help me out. What was the name of the company that sent out some name -- some financial institution name where they basically said, "We're going to report you to your credit if you don't pay this bill." What was the name of that?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Dun & Bradstreet.

where they would send it across the top, and then they would say, "We're going to affect your credit," which -- to be quite honest, when you're dealing with the phone company, you usually have a little bit of negotiating, because they -- believe it on not the last thing on earth they want to do is get into a fight. They want to keep billing you; they want to keep making money.

withess CAVALLERI: Money off of you somehow.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Oh, yeah, they definitely are. They are making several points off -- they buy the bill from the merchandise company.

WITNESS CAVALLERI: That's correct.

when you take that portion away, they send you -they threaten your credit. And some people are more
mortified of that because many times these credit

collection agencies are just not accessible. You know, they won't answer, and you don't know how far and how bad your credit has been affected.

withess cavalleri: Did someone say before that if you have a dispute concerning a slamming occurrence, that BellSouth cannot disconnect your service?

all you have to do is tell them the bill is in dispute, and they shouldn't have that. And I think we're trying to modify our internal procedures whereby if you call us first — right now the procedure is if you call us — if you're a BellSouth customer, and you call us to tell us you have been slammed, we say have you called BellSouth. If you haven't, we flip you right through to BellSouth. But all you have to do is to say that your bill is in dispute before the Public Service Commission. And then all you have to pay is your local service. The same thing is true of United.

withess CAVALLERI: Someone made the suggestion, also, that if you are slammed that not only should you get the difference back, but the company that slams you should get nothing.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

WITHESS CAVALLERI: When it starts to come

out of their pocket, that's more leverage to make them honest.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

WITHESS CAVALLERI: And the question I had before --

what happens. In other words, the punisher in the relationship between the local company and the long distance company, clearly, because they want to keep a relationship with the local company, the local company isn't paying that long distance company. You know, They get their money back, too. So when you don't pay in the case of our rule, I doubt that it would be -- the local phone company that would take the hit. It always is the long distance.

withess cavallers: Right. The question I had before about the class action suit, if that goes to class action status, isn't it possible that the company that has the suit against them just closes down, declares bankruptcy and then moves down the street?

commissioner GARCIA: We have found, for example -- what was the company? Integrated? We can't find them now.

MR. MOSES: That was Phone Calls,

Incorporated.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Phone Calls,

Incorporated, which we put a healthy fine or proposed
a healthy fine. They are gone.

withess cavalleri: Yeah, that's what I was thinking. This class action suit, it will go to class action, but you're not going to recover anything.

commissioner Garcia: I think that's the risk that those attorneys take. And that is one of the reasons why we want to look at criminal sanctions you can close a company down but you as an individual, directors and officers of the company you can --

withess cavaller: You can possibly fine them.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That would be our hope. That would be our hope.

withess cavaller: When they do this, who owns the phone lines? Who is selling these companies the phone lines? Is it BellSouth, AT&T, MCI?

commissioner Garcia: I think -- Mr. Moses, correct me if I'm wrong. They are owned by several major long distance carriers and they buy, if I'm not mistaken, time on those networks, and so -- am I wrong on that?

MR. MOSES: No, you're correct. The

facility-based carriers actually provide the service and they resell that service.

WITHESS CAVALLERI: Can they be held responsible for selling to a company that is questionable?

MR. MOSES: Well, they are required in their tariff to have language in there that if you purchase service out of that tariff, that you must have the certificate by the Commission prior to providing service, which then those that responsibility to that company that is purchasing the service.

been using for long distance service is business toll, and I have had no probe with them. I found out that after I was slammed there's a test number you can call. You can call this number, and it tells you who your long distance carrier is. Providing this information on telephone bills I think would be beneficial so you don't find out after the fact; you find out before the fact. So if you get a call, you don't wonder who was this about. You can call the test number right away and find out if something happened.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Presently the phone companies are supposed to show the long distance

company. And one of the things that we have been discussing is having some type of prominent showing 3 whenever you are switched on your bill. And since we're being listened to on the Internet, why don't we give out that number. 5 Mr. Moses, could you give out the number? 6 7 MR. MOSES: It's 1-700-555-4141. 8 WITHESS CAVALLERI: Okay. I have a 9 different number than that. 10 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's a toll free number for anyone who is listening to that, and that is a way 11 to verify who your long distance provider is. Could 12 you given its one more time? 13 14 It's 1-700-555-4141. 15 WITNESS CAVALLERI: I have that number. also have another number. It's 1-700-555-1111. 16 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That's an easier one to 18 remember. MR. MOSES: I wasn't even aware of that one. 19 20 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll write that one 21 down. 22 WITHESS CAVALLERI: That's all I have. 23 Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We appreciate your testimony. Sir, have you filed a complaint with the

Commission?

with the Public Service Commission. The Letter is dated September 4th. And I don't know if they contacted or got back with Vista Group International.

We did get our money back. But it turned out that if we contacted the billing agency, they would only refund us some of it. We had to go to Vista to get all of it back. Eventually, we did get all of it back.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Speak with

Ms. Pena before you leave, just so we can check up on
that file when we get back to Tallahassee.

What basis did they give you for changing your phone service?

withess cavallers: I received a phone call from one individual, and they told me about some offer they made. And then another person got on the phone, and when I realize what it was, I hung up on them. I did not approve, you know, of getting switched. And I think these are telemarketers, and they just get paid by the number of people they sign up and they put my name down in the yes column.

MR. BECK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Appreciate you testifying.

Are there other witnesses?

MR. BECK: Yes. Two more witnesses signed up. Scott Thomas.

.

SCOTT THOMAS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS THOMAS: Good evening. Scott

Thomas, 2400 Lakeshore Drive, Orlando 32803. Thank
you for coming tonight.

Mine is pretty trifle. We were on vacation, out of town. My wife and I tried to use our phone card. It wouldn't work. It was a hassle. My wife is crying. She wants to talk to her friend. One of those situations. We had been slammed. We were happy with our current carrier. We were slammed by AT&T.

I reported to the service -- Public Service Commission, and within 48 hours I got a wonderful apology, I got a gentleman that was very professional. He was excellent on the phone, and he made everything right. And I was happy and everything was fine. But

I was still scratching my head and upset that I had to deal with the situation with my wife crying and having a problem being out of town and being switched without any authorization.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What was the name of the company?

withese thomas: AT&T. AT&T is the one that slammed me. It was the corporate. I talked to Overland Park, Kansas, or somewhere like that.

Anyway, this guy said he was the number one dog in the country. And, of course, he made me feel really good. He was great on the phone, and I had a good conversation with him for like 30 minutes. So everything is fine. Everything has been rectified. I have been made whole, and he's corrected everything.

I wanted to come tonight primarily to tell
you that I think there should be in writing any
changes that occur. Somebody wants to change their
phone bill, I think it ought to be in writing. I
think it ought to just be required that every single
company have that done and that it's a written record.

MR. BECK: Mr. Thomas, how did they do your change? What did they use as a basis for it?

WITHESS THOMAS: The basis of the AT&T

change -- oh, by the way, I never answered any of

these telephone calls. My wife and I, we just slam
the phone down. We never talk to anybody. We don't
sign checks. We don't look at it. We tear everything
up. We're very careful about fooling around with any
of those things. They said it was a mistake. They
gave me the name of a lady here in town. And they had
transposed a number and it wasn't even close, but I
went ahead and called her. She said, well, I was
getting my phone changed at this time, and they tell
me that they accidentally switched it from your phone.
And so I called the lady and she was very nice and she
says, "I don't know what is going on, but I think you
just had an accident."
CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You have had the PIC

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You have had the PIC freeze put on, right?

WITHESS THOMAS: I had a PIC freeze put on.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: How did they rectify your problem?

withess thomas: They sent me a credit to my phone company, and also sent me a check. I didn't sign the check, I tore it up. I didn't want to take that chance. I don't care. I don't want the check.

CHAIRNAM JOHNSON: Thank you. And we appreciate your testifying.

MS. CALDWELL: Mr. Thomas, I just want to

mention you might want to get the sales solicitation form, so you cannot get the calls and you don't have to slam it down.

WITNESS THOMAS: I've got it. I'm ready.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: He's got that, too.

Thank you.

MR. BECK: Mike Montgomery.

MIKE MONTGOMERY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITHESS MONTGOMERY: Hi, I'm Mike

Montgomery. I got slammed, I guess -- my address is

P. O. Box 950040, Lake Mary. I actually live in

Altamonte Springs and am a customer of United

Telephone.

I got slammed about a year ago. I'm kind of like the gentlemen before me, I never take any phone calls. I always hang up on them. I do vaguely remember a phone call with somebody starti g, and I hung up on them.

About two weeks later for some reason my long distance call didn't work. And when I dialed the

operator to make the call go through, I ended up with AT&T. I was a customer of MCI before that, and I was rather surprised. I immediately called United Telephone and indicated to them I felt I had be slammed. They researched it, and told me that they had a signed authorization from me authorizing the change be made. I said there's no such authorization that was ever originated by me.

It's interesting that I use the name Mike Montgomery. My middle is David. I had my phone in David. I usually have all of my bills normally in Mike. The authorization came through on David. Had a signature of David, which I don't sign my name like that. It was a complete forgery.

I did call the Public Service Commission, but because my phone had been changed only a couple of days before I had caught it. There was really no big issue on bills or anything like that. They did credit me for what -- the calls I had made. They needed \$70 to transfer me back to MCI. And I was a happy customer.

I guess my main point in coming down here tonight is to go through your rules. It looks like you have addressed some of it. When I went back to MCI. They had an independent person call me and say,

"Mr. Montgomery, did you authorize this change?" If that had happened the first time, I would never have gotten slammed. It's very important to me that you have -- I noticed in your rules tonight you have something like that, that that be done.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Voice independent verification is what that is -- because it's done automatically. When you switched over they called you almost immediately.

withess montgomery: I went to MCI. I had somebody call me almost within a few hours saying, "Did you authorize this change?" And I said, "Yes, I authorized this change." To me it was common sense. In listening tonight I think I went to AT&T. I honestly don't know. From they way people were talking tonight, it may have been somebody else. I think that AT&T researched my file, who the company was, but I really don't know.

MR. BECK: Did you ever get an explanation of how the forgery came about?

withess momrgowery: No, I did not. I often wondered that. And I didn't have a copy. I didn't bring it tonight, but it was a complete forgery. And I indicated that when I called the Public Service Commission, that it was a complete forgery.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? 1 2 Thank you, Mr. Montgomery. 3 WITHESS MONTGOMERY: Thank you. MR. BECK: Chairman Johnson, that's the last person who signed up ahead of time. 5 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any customers 6 7 who did not sign up to testify but would like to testify tonight? Seeing none, I'd like to thank all of you for coming out and providing your testimony and particularly those of you who stayed to hear the 10 testimony of others. Again our 1-800 number is 11 12 available in the brochures that we have. Staff counselor will provide you with a schedule of when we 13 think we'll have a final resolution of the issue, but 15 I'd like to personally thank each and every one of you 16 for coming out and participating in the process. 17 Ms. Caldwell, could you please go over the 18 schedule quickly? 19 MS. CALDWELL: Yes. The last of these workshops : 111 conclude in Jacksonville on November 20 the 20th. We anticipate a rule going to the 21

Commission December 16th. On January the 23rd interested parties can file comments on that proposed rule. A hearing before the full Commission is

Commission by Staff. Staff will take the rule to the

22

23

scheduled for February 6th of 1998. Their witnesses can be cross examined. We'll have sworn testimony and evidence. We anticipate a final rule to be adopted at the Commission agenda conference on April the 7th, and then the affected date of the rule will be sometime in May of 1998. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Again, thank you for coming out. This hearing is adjourned. (Thereupon, the workshop concluded at 8:25 p.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA) 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of 3 Reporting Official Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Rule Development Workshop in Docket No. 970882-TL was heard by the 5 Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further 6 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 102 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings 9 10 11 DATED this 19th day of November, 1997. 12 13 JOY KELLY / CER, /BPR Chief, Bureau of Report 14 (904) 413-6732 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24