



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD ~~OFF~~ BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0800

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

SEP 23 PM 3:15
RECORDING
30

DATE: SEPTEMBER 24, 1998

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYO)

FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (OTTINOT) *At MCB*
DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (MCCOY) *jm*

RE: DOCKET NO. 981000-TC - REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM REQUIREMENT OF RULE 25-24.515(8), F.A.C., THAT EACH PAY TELEPHONE STATION SHALL ALLOW INCOMING CALLS, BY MW CONNECTIONS, INC. d/b/a TAMPA PAYPHONES, INC.

AGENDA: OCTOBER 6, 1998 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: November 3, 1998 - 90 DAY STATUTORY DEADLINE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMU\WP\981000.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

MW Connections, Inc d/b/a Tampa Payphones, Inc. (Tampa Payphones) has submitted a request to block incoming calls at one of its pay telephones. The request was submitted on a properly completed Form PSC/CMU 2 (12/94).

1.) Docket #981000-TC - MW Connections, Inc d/b/a Tampa Payphones, Inc.- The Waiver Petition was filed on August 6, 1998. The Notice of Petition for Waiver was submitted to the Secretary of State for publication in the Florida Administrative Weekly August 11, 1998. The comment period ended September 11, 1998, and no comments were submitted. The Statutory Deadline for the Commission's decision regarding this petition is November 3, 1998.

Staff believes the following recommendation is appropriate.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

~~10531~~ SEP 23 88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant Tampa Payphones a waiver from the requirement that each telephone station shall allow incoming calls for the pay telephone number at the address listed on page 3?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. (McCoy)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Rule 25-24.515(8), Florida Administrative Code, provides in the pertinent part;

Each telephone station shall allow incoming calls to be received, with the exception of those located at penal institutions, hospitals and schools, and at locations specifically exempted by the Commission. There shall be no charge for receiving incoming calls. Requests for exemption from the requirement that each telephone station allow incoming calls shall be accompanied by a completed FORM PSC/CMU-2 (12/94), which is incorporated into this rule by reference.

Tampa Payphones has submitted a properly completed Request to Block Incoming Calls form for the instrument identified on page 3. Staff has reviewed the form and found it to have been signed by the owner or officer of the pay telephone company, the location owner, and the chief of the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction in which the pay telephone is located.

By signing FORM PSC/CMU-2 (12/94), the pay telephone company has agreed to provide central office-based intercept at no charge to the end-user and to prominently display a written notice directly above or below the telephone number which states "Incoming calls blocked at the request of law enforcement." Furthermore, there is language on the form above each of the three parties signatures which states "I am aware that pursuant to Section 837.06, Florida Statutes, whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree."

Staff recommends that the waiver requested in the docket should be granted. The waiver is being requested in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 120.542(2), Florida Statutes. The petitioners have demonstrated that granting the waiver will not impede the continued provision of pay telephone service to the

DOCKET NO. 981000-TC
SEPTEMBER 24, 1998

using public as intended by the underlying statute, Chapter 364.345, Florida Statutes.

In addition, the petitioner has demonstrated that granting the waiver will lift the "substantial hardship" that the rule imposes on law enforcement and the location provider.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, this docket should be closed unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action. (Ottinot)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a proposed agency action order. If no timely protest to the proposed agency action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DOCKET NO.	PROVIDER	PHONE NUMBER	ADDRESS	CITY
981000-TC	MW Connection, Inc. d/b/a Tampa Payphones, Inc.	813/241-8299	3608 34th Street	Tampa