

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 1998

980173

RE: DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Resolution by Holmes County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service in Holmes County.
 DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Request by Gilchrist County Commissioners for extended area service throughout Gilchrist County.
 DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Resolution by the Orange County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between the Mount Dora exchange and the Apopka, Orlando, Winter Garden, Winter Park, East Orange, Reedy Creek, Windermere, and Lake Buena Vista exchanges.
 DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Resolution by Bradford County Commission requesting extended area service within Bradford County and between Bradford County, Union County and Gainesville.
 DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Request by Putnam County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between the Crescent City, Hawthorne, Orange Springs, and Melrose exchanges, and the Palatka exchange.
 DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Request by Pasco County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all Pasco County exchanges.
 DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Request for extended area service between all exchanges within Volusia County by Volusia County Council.
 DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Resolution by the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all exchanges in Palm Beach County.

DEFERRED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: DS CL GR

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Staff to advise

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

1234 | NOV -4 98

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 1998

DOCKETS NOS. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, 930173-TL, and 981361-TL

(Continued from previous page)

DOCKET NO. 930173-TL - Petition by the residents of Polo Park requesting extended area service (EAS) between the Haines City exchange and the Orlando, West Kissimmee, Lake Buena Vista, Windermere, Reedy Creek, Winter Park, Clermont, Winter Garden and St. Cloud exchanges.

DOCKET NO. 981361-TL - Tariff filing to eliminate the end user credit for each subscriber line charge for Digital Centrex Service by ALLTEL Florida, Inc. (T-98-1206 filed 8/21/98).

Issue 1: Is one-way ECS appropriate on the routes in question?

Recommendation: Staff believes that one-way ECS is appropriate for the routes for GTEFL, Sprint, and ALLTEL (see Attachments A and B of staff's memorandum dated October 22, 1998). These routes should be implemented as soon as possible, but not to exceed six months from the issuance date of the order. Also, because of federal prohibitions, staff does not believe that one-way ECS is feasible for the BellSouth to BellSouth routes listed in Attachment C of staff's memorandum.

Issue 2: If one-way ECS is appropriate, what rate, if any, should BellSouth charge to terminate ECS interLATA traffic for all carriers?

Recommendation: If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, staff believes that BellSouth's terminating switched access rate is appropriate.

Staff to submit

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 1998

DOCKETS NOS. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, 930173-TL, and 981361-TL

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: If one-way ECS is ordered on the routes in question and a termination charge is deemed appropriate, what economic impact will this have on the originating LEC?

Recommendation: Based on the evidence in the record, staff does not believe that one-way ECS will have a significant economic impact on GTEFL or Sprint. According to ALLTEL's revised exhibit, one-way ECS will cost ALLTEL \$525,185 annually.

Issue 4: If one-way ECS is appropriate, what rate structure and rate levels should the LECs charge?

Recommendation: Staff believes that a usage sensitive rate structure is appropriate for one-way ECS for GTEFL, Sprint, and ALLTEL. Staff recommends \$.10 for the first minute and \$.06 for each additional minute for residential and business customers.

Issue 5: If Issue 1 is approved, what dialing pattern should be implemented on the routes?

Recommendation: These routes should be implemented with 10- digit dialing, which is consistent with the Commission's decision in Order No. PSC-98-0597-FOF-TL in Docket No. 980048-TL (813 area code relief).

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 1998

DOCKETS NOS. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, 930173-TL, and 981361-TL

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 6: If Issue 1 is approved, how should the customers be informed that one-way ECS is available?

Recommendation: The companies should, at a minimum, inform their customers of one-way ECS by a detailed bill stuffer. The bill stuffer should include the rates, the routes, the NXXs involved, and the dialing pattern. A toll-free number should also be provided for customers desiring additional information or clarification.

Issue 7: Should ALLTEL's tariff filing to remove the end user credit for subscriber line charge (SLC) for digital Centrex lines be approved effective November 3, 1998?

Recommendation: Yes, ALLTEL's tariff filing to remove the end user credit for SLCs for digital Centrex lines should be approved effective November 3, 1998. The resulting increase in revenues of \$267,000 should be used to offset the revenue loss of \$275,404 associated with implementing interLATA one-way ECS on ALLTEL's routes, as outlined in Issue 3.

VOTE SHEET

NOVEMBER 3, 1998

DOCKETS NOS. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, 930173-TL, and 981361-TL

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 8: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: The Commission's decisions in Issues 1 - 4 for Docket Nos. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, and 930173-TL will be final decisions. Issues 5 and 6 are, however, proposed agency action. Therefore, if the Commission approves staff's recommendations in Issues 5-6, Dockets Nos. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 921193-TL, and 930173-TL should be closed unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of issuance of this order. In addition, Issue 7 is a tariff issue; thus, if the Commission approves Issue 7, in Docket No. 981361-TL, this tariff should become effective on November 3, 1998. If a protest of Issue 7 is filed within 21 days of issuance of the Commission's order, the tariff should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed.