

March - Tright War

Legal Department

J. PHILLIP CARVER General Attorney

22 JAN 20 P.1 4:43

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0710

REGULTS AND REFORTING

January 20, 1999

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 981250-TL

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed are an original and 15 copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s First Request for Production of Documents and Motion for Temporary Protective Order. Please file this document in the captioned matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me.

Sincerel ACK AFA APP J. Phillip Carver CAF Javors Enclosures CMU CTR cc: All parties of record EAG M. M. Criser, III LEG N. B. White William J. Ellenberg II (w/o enclosures) LIN OPC RECEIVE RCH 1 SEC AU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: BellSouth Telecommunications,) Docket No.: 981250-TL Inc.'s Petition for Waiver for the Lake Mary Main Central Office

) Dated: January 20, 1999

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., ("BellSouth" or "Company"), pursuant

to Rules 25-22.034 and 25-22.035. Florida Administrative Code, and Rules

1.340 and 1.280(b), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby submits the

following Responses, Objections and Motion for Temporary Protective Order to

Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s ("Supra") First

Request for Production of Documents.

GENERAL RESPONSES

BellSouth makes the following General Objections to Supra's First

Request for Production of Documents:

1. BellSouth has interpreted Supra's requests to apply to BellSouth's regulated intrastate operations in Florida and will limit its responses accordingly.

To the extent that any request is intended to apply to matters other than Florida intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, BellSouth objects to such request to produce as irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive.

> DOCUMENT RUMPER-DATE 00739 JAN 208 FRSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

2. BellSouth objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Florida Public Service Commission.

3. BellSouth is a large corporation with employees located in many different locations in Florida and in other states. In the course of its business, BellSouth creates countless documents that are not subject to Florida Public Service Commission or FCC retention of records requirements. These documents are kept in numerous locations that are frequently moved from site to site as employees change jobs or as the business is reorganized. Therefore, it is possible that not every document has been provided in response to these discovery requests. Rather, these responses provide all of the information obtained by BellSouth after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with this discovery request. BellSouth conducted a search of those files that are reasonably expected to contain the requested information. To the extent that the discovery request purports to require more, BellSouth objects on the grounds that compliance would impose an undue burden or expense.

MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER

4. BellSouth objects to each and every request to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets" which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that Supra requests proprietary confidential business information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, BellSouth will make such information available to be reviewed by counsel for Supra upon BellSouth's premises, pursuant to an appropriate

Protective Agreement and subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES

5. With respect to Request No. 1, BellSouth objects to the extent BellSouth objected to the discovery requested. To the extent, BellSouth did not so object, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody, and control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

6. With respect to Request No. 2, BellSouth objects to the extent BellSouth objects to a specific interrogatory. To the extent BellSouth does not object to a specific interrogatory, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

7. With respect to Request No. 3, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Without waiving its objection and in a spirit of cooperation, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

8. With respect to Request No. 4, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

9. With respect to Request No. 5, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objection, and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

10. With respect to Request No. 6, BellSouth has no responsive documents it has in its possession, custody or control.

11. With respect to Request No. 7, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Without waiving its objection and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

12. With respect to Request No. 8, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is not a request for documents and is unclear, vague and ambiguous. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving this objection, BellSouth refers Supra to the documents produced in response to Request No. 7.

13. With respect to Request No. 9, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Without waiving its objection, and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

14. With respect to Request No. 10, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

15. With respect to Request No. 11, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Without waiving its objection, and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Request No. 5.

16. With respect to Request No. 12, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

17. With respect to Request No. 13, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

18. With respect to Request No. 14, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

19. With respect to Request No. 15, BellSouth objects because the information requested is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. To the extent Supra seeks details of each virtual collocation in existence at the Lake Mary Central Office, this information is considered customer proprietary information by BellSouth and protected by Section 364.24(2), Florida Statutes.

20. With respect to Request No. 16, BellSouth objects to the extent that the physical collocation agreements to which BellSouth is a party are on public record with the Florida Public Service Commission. To the extent that Supra seeks details of each physical collocation in existence at the Lake Mary Central Office, this information is considered customer proprietary information by BellSouth and protected by Section 364.24(2), Florida Statutes.

21. With respect to Request No. 17, to the extent that Supra seeks details of each virtual and physical collocation at the Central Office in question, this information is considered customer proprietary information by BellSouth and protected by Section 364.24(2), Florida Statutes. Moreover, such information is not relevant to the issues in this proceeding. With respect to the equipment that is permitted to be placed in physical collocation scenarios, Supra is well aware of BellSouth's position.

22. With respect to Request No. 18, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

23. With respect to Request No. 19, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

24. With respect to Request No. 20, BellSouth objects because the information requested is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

25. With respect to Request No. 21, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objection and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

26. With respect to Request No. 22, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objection and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to its response to Request No.

3.

27. With respect to Request No. 23, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

28. With respect to Request No. 24, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

29. With respect to Request No. 25, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

30. With respect to Request No. 26, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. In addition, the request is vague and ambiguous. Without waiving its objection and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Request Nos. 3, 4, and 5.

31. With respect to Request No. 27, BellSouth objects to this request on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objection and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Request Nos. 3, 4, and 5.

32. With respect to Request No. 28, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

33. With respect to Request No. 29, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objection and in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Request Nos. 3, 4, and 5.

34. With respect to Request No. 30, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and requests customer proprietary information. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. In the spirit of cooperation, however, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Request Nos. 3, 4 and 5.

35. With respect to Request No. 31, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and requests customer proprietary information. Moreover, BellSouth objects on the grounds that the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objections and, in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Request No. 21.

36. With respect to Request No. 32, BellSouth will produce responsive

documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth.

37. With respect to Request No. 33, BellSouth objects to this request on the grounds that the request is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and requests customer proprietary information. Without waiving its objections and, in the spirit of cooperation, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's response to Request No. 2.

38. With respect to Request No. 34, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

39. With respect to Request No. 35, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

40. With respect to Request No. 36, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

41. With respect to Request No. 37, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

42. With respect to Request No. 38, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

43. With respect to Request No. 39, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Nos. 2 and 3.

44. With respect to Request No. 40, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's responses to Nos. 3 and 5.

45. With respect to Request No. 41, BellSouth refers Supra to BellSouth's response to No. 7.

46. With respect to Request No. 42, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

47. With respect to Request No. 43, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

48. With respect to Request No. 44, BellSouth has no responsive documents in its possession, custody or control.

49. With respect to Request No. 45, BellSouth refers Supra to its response to Request No. 5.

50. With respect to Request No. 46, BellSouth will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody or control at a mutually convenient time and place subject to the Motion for Temporary Order set forth above and subject to Supra entering into a Protective Agreement with BellSouth. Also, refer to BellSouth's response to Request No. 4.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of January, 1999.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

UL NANCY B. WHITE

c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, #400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347=5558

1er pag ant

WILLIAM J. ELLENBERG II J. PHILLIP CARVER 675 West Peachtree Street, #4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0710

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket Nos. 980946-TL, 980947-TL, 980948-TL, and 981250-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

U.S. Mail this 20th day of January, 1999 to the following:

Beth Keating, Esq. Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Donna L. Canzano Patrick Knight Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. 2145 Delta Boulevard Suite 200 P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tel. No. (850) 385-6007 Fax. No. (850) 385-6008

Steve Brown Intermedia Communications, Inc. 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309 Tel. No. (813) 829-0011 Fax. No. (813) 829-4923

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876 Tel. No. (850) 222-0720 Fax. No. (850) 224-4359

Mr. Brian Sulmonetti WorldCom Technologies, Inc. 1515 South Federal Highway Suite 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Tel. No. (561) 750-2940 Fax. No. (561) 750-2629

David V. Dimlich, Esq. Legal Counsel Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133 Tel. No. (305) 476-4235 Fax. No. (305) 443-1078

Amanda Grant BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Regulatory & External Affairs 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Room 38L64 Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Norman H. Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1876 Tel. No. (850) 222-0720 Fax. No. (850) 224-4359 Represents e.spire™

James C. Falvey, Esq. e.spire™ Communications, Inc. 133 National Business Parkway Suite 200 Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701 Tel. No. (301) 361-4298 Fax. No. (301) 361-4277

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.

John R. Ellis, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel. No. (850) 681-6788 Fax. No. (850) 681-6515

Charles A. Hudak, Esq. Jeremy D. Marcus, Esq. Gerry, Friend & Sapronov, LLP Three Ravinia Drive, Suite 1450 Atlanta, GA 30346-2131 Tel. No. (770) 399-9500 Fax. No. (770) 395-0000 Attys. for ACI Corp.

Jeffrey Blumenfeld, Esq. Elise P.W. Kiely, Esq. Blumenfeld & Cohen 1615 M Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 Tel. No. (202) 955-6300 Fax. No. (202) 955-6460 Attys. for ACI Corp.

J. PH