1	FLORID	BEFORE	THE VICE COMMISSION		
2					
3			-		
4	In the Matter Investigation in		DOCKET NO. 990250-EI		i
5	earnings and aut return on equity	horized a			
6	Power Company.	:			
7		:			
8	In the Matter Petition of Gulf		DOCKET NO. 990244-EI		
9	Company for appr proposed plan fo		and the states		
10	incentive revenu mechanism that a	e-sharing :	and the second the		
11	certain regulato including a redu	-		Ĕ	
12	the company's au return on equity	thorized			
			All and a second s		
13					
14	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFI ITEM NO. 10	ERENCE		
15					
16	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN JOH COMMISSIONER	E GARCIA R J. TERRY DEASON		
17			R SUSAN F. CLARK R JULIA L. JOHNSON		
18			R E. LEON JACOBS, JR.		
19	DATE:	April 20, 19	200		
20		-			
21	TIME:	Commenced at	: 9:30 a.m.	ATE	66
22	PLACE:	Betty Easley Room 148	Conference Center	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE	APR 22
23		4075 Esplana Tallahassee	-	IGM0	H H
24				ENT	
25	REPORTED BY:		RENS, CSR, RPR sion Reporter		
23		FEC COMMISS	TOU VEDOLCET	00	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

PARTICIPATING: DALE MAILHOT and PETE LESTER, FPSC Division of Auditing & Financial. BOB ELIAS, FPSC Division of Legal Services. JOE JENKINS, FPSC Division of Electric & Gas. JEFF STONE, representing Gulf Power Company. JOHN MCWHIRTER, representing Florida Industrial Power Users Group, (FIPUG).

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Mr. Mailhot, you want to
3	tee up Item 10?
4	MR. MAILHOT: Sure. At the March 16th
5	agenda, Staff brought a recommendation concerning a
6	petition by Gulf Power Company for an incentive plan,
7	and in addition, at that agenda, Staff had its own
8	incentive plan. The Commission directed the Staff and
9	the company and any interested persons to meet and try
10	to resolve any differences. We met a couple of times
11	and from those meetings, although we didn't resolve
12	all our differences, we have a revised proposal from
13	the company and a revised proposal from Staff. And
14	the company's proposal is considered in Issue 1 and
15	the Staff's proposal is in Issue 2. And I believe the
16	company would like to speak.
17	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Mr. Stone.
18	MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am
19	Jeff Stone of the law firm of Beggs and Lane. I'm
20	here today on behalf of Gulf Power Company. And I
21	would like to go through our proposal and the
22	revisions we made since we were here on March 16th.
23	In order to facilitate your review and our
24	discussion, I have some handouts that I think will be
25	helpful. One is a copy of our March 2nd filing that

3

has the consecutive number sequence in the lower right
 hand corner from Page 1 through Page 27. That's the
 same thing that was handed out on March 16th.

The other document that's being handed out 4 to you now is our April 6th filing. It consists of a 5 letter in the first two pages, and then the next 6 attachment to the letter is the red line strike out 7 version of our revised Attachment A to our petition. 8 9 It sets out our revised proposal. And I'd like to just walk you through that just briefly. You'll see 10 the shading indicates additions and they're strike out 11 12 to show the deletions from our original Attachment A which set out our proposal. 13

In Paragraph 2, you'll see that we have -we have lowered our proposed ROE even further. Our currently existing ROE -- approved ROE is 12% midpoint and we have proposed to lower that 40 basis points to 11.6. There is some discussion in laying out all of that in Paragraph 2 of our revised Attachment A.

Paragraph 3 talks about an incremental increase to the property insurance reserve of \$1 million dollars. And that would bring the total annual accrual to the property insurance reserve before sharing to \$4.5 million on an annual basis for the three years covered by this plan.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	In Paragraph new Paragraph 4, you'll see
2	in the handout that we've given you is a discussion of
3	a credit that we'd be providing to our retail
4	customers for calendar year 1999. And that credit is
5	a \$3.7 million credit to customers and it would be
6	issued under our proposal on a per kilowatt basis on
7	the July bill to our customers. If there was any
8	difference in the projections, that would be taken
9	care of in a true-up mechanism that is provided there.
10	Paragraph 4 goes on on page the
11	consecutive sequence there, Page 4, to talk about what
12	would be done in the calendar year 2000. Again it's a
13	\$3.7 million credit. And, in this instance, we're
14	talking about reducing the fuel and purchase power
15	cost recovery factor. Since we don't have that
16	information, we flow it into the determination of our
17	factor come November.
18	And then for 2001, we have a little bit of a
19	different twist on that \$3.7 million credit. We have

ł

different twist on that \$3.7 million credit. We have some concerns about the company's earnings in that last year of the three year plan and so what we're proposing is that we would recredit to customers in a fashion similar to what we proposed for the 1999 credit up to \$3.7 million and that would be the first \$3.7 million of revenues that contribute to earnings

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

above the 12.2% earnings set point that we've been 1 identified in Paragraph 2. 2 So basically the \$3.7 million we talked 3 about, it's automatic for years 1999 and 2000. But 4 it's subject to earnings being available to support it 5 6 for 2001. 7 The next area of change in our proposal is in Paragraph 6 and that's where we -- on our 8 9 merchandizing program, we've talked about using a proxy as an alternative for the determination of how 10 to remove our merchandizing operation for capital 11 structure. And I'll go more into that later in my 12 13 presentation. But essentially what we're trying to 14 15 identify is the type of operation that Gulf's merchandizing operation is, which is basically a 16 financing operation. 17 Paragraph 7 is the sharing mechanism itself. 18 19 Of course, it recognizes the reduction in our proposed The top of the range is where we start our 20 ROE. sharing under our proposal and since we've lowered it 21 more -- lowered it further than we had when we gave 22 23 you our original proposal on March 2nd, you'll see 24 that as a red line strike out there. And it makes reference to the incremental accrual to the property 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

insurance reserve down there in the text on Page 5 of
 this red line strike out version.

3 The other changes noted are on Page 6, 4 Paragraph 9, when we were trying to conform to what we 5 understood Staff's concerns were about the reporting 6 cycle. And then finally on Paragraph 10, which is on 7 Page 7 of the red line strike out version of the 8 April 6th filing, we talk about the company's going to commission an updated study to come up with the 9 10 wholesale retail separation factors for use and 11 surveillance report. And I just wanted to track you 12 through our revision that was filed on April 6th. 13 The company formulated its original sharing 14 proposal in order to address Staff's stated concerns 15 regarding the level -- the current level of Gulf's 16 authorized return on equity and the outstanding 17 balance of certain regulatory assets. And our revised 18 proposal continues to address the stated concerns in 19 what the company believes is a reasonable manner and

at the same time we have developed a mechanism that provides opportunities for significant benefits to customers and shareholders alike. I touched on it briefly, the significant change in our proposal where we talk about the \$3.7 million credit to customers. As I indicated, for 1999 that would be

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

passed to customers' bills in July. For Gulf's 1 2 residential customers, based on the projected average 3 residential energy use billing in July 1999, that would amount to 16 -- 16,019 kilowatt hours in that 4 5 month and so the average credit would be about \$5.94 in July. 6 7 As I go into my presentation, I want to point out that we have several people here from the 8 company that when I get to a breaking point, they'll 9 be available to answer questions if the Commission has 10 any. And my comments right now will focus on four 11 outline points. 12 I want to provide an overview, including a 13 description of the plan; talk about our proposed ROE 14 adjustment and the basis for our sharing percentage; 15 and finally, our proposed treatment of the 16 17 merchandizing operation. 18 As I mentioned, on the 16th of March, the 19 foundation for the company's proposal is Gulf's sustained performance on three key indicators: 20 Rates, reliability and the level of customer complaints. 21 We believe our proposal properly takes into account significant 22 differences between Gulf and other Florida electric utilities 23 in regard to five years of data on these key indicators 24 related to electricity prices, reliability of electric service 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

and the number of justified customer complaints to this 1 2 Commission. The data on these key indicators is shown in our petition on Attachment B, that's pages 11 to 12 of the 3 4 March 2nd filing. And it shows superior performance by Gulf on a sustained basis with regard to prices to residential 5 6 customers, regards to the number of minutes of interruption 7 per customer per year, and regards to the incidents of 8 customer complaints to the Commission.

9 Gulf's customers presently benefit from the fact that Gulf Power has the lowest electric rates in Florida among 10 major utilities and among the lowest in the nation. 11 Gulf's 12 customers also benefit from the company's high reliability. 13 And for the four major investor-owned utilities in Florida, 14 Gulf has the lowest annual rate of justified customer 15 complaints per thousand customers. Gulf's performance in 16 these key areas reflects the company's long-term focus on 17 issues related to customer satisfaction and value.

18 Gulf is highly ranked among leading electric 19 utilities across the country in regards to customer 20 satisfaction. As we stated on March 16th, we pointed your 21 attention to Page 17 of our original petition which contained a table outlining the results of a recent benchmark study 2.2 23 survey. Gulf's low rates, high reliability and high customer 24 satisfaction clearly reflect management's focus on these key 25 areas.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Again, Attachment C to our original petition, Pages 13 through 19, summarizes fuel cost initiatives, reduction initiatives, reliability and customer satisfaction initiatives undertaken by the company as part of this management focus.

These initiatives demonstrate that such 6 7 outstanding results as shown in Attachment B did not occur by accident but instead are an indication that 8 9 management's focus is working. As we pointed out on 10 March 16th, despite combined effects of customer growth, inflation and several hurricanes since base 11 12 rates were last set, Gulf's management has been able 13 to find ways to consistently meet the expectations and 14 needs of its customers through low rates, high 15 reliability and high customer satisfaction. And at the same time, still satisfy its shareholders by 16 achieving earnings near the top of the authorized 17 range. And all of this is done with no increase in 18 19 the company's base rates.

Our revised sharing plan starts from this positive starting point and builds towards something better in the future. It is important to note that Gulf's proposed plan incorporates a reduction to the company's authorized midpoint ROE of 40 basis points and this is retroactive to the first of the year. The

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

reduction would change the midpoint from 12% to 11.6% and has a corresponding shift in the authorized range so that it would now be -- if approved, it would extend from 10.6% to 12.6% instead of the current 11% to 13%. And all of this is shown on page 3 of our April 6th filing in Paragraph 2.

7 Although important, the proposed ROE reduction is just one part of Gulf's overall sharing 8 9 Adoption of Gulf's sharing plan sends a proper plan. signal to management and the company's shareholders. 10 This incentive is designed to achieve good financial 11 results while maintaining a high level of performance 12 13 on the key customer service indicators of rates, reliability and customer satisfaction. 14

15 Briefly, the plan elements are, it's for 16 three calendar years, 1999, 2000, and 2001 and that's 17 in Paragraph 1 on Page 3 of our April 6th filing. It addresses two regulatory concepts established in 18 19 Gulf's last rate case -- readdresses them. The third for deferred return and the effect of merchandizing 20 operations on the company's capital structure. 21 You'll find that treatment on Page 4 of the April 6th filing, 22 Paragraphs 5 and 6. 23

And finally, the third plan element that we're focusing on is the sharing mechanism which

1 allows earnings above the newly established top of the 2 authorized range to operate to the benefit of customers and shareholders alike, both in the short 3 4 term through the 40% credit to customers and the 40% 5 credit to shareholders, and in the long-term, by using 6 the remaining 20% to accelerate the amortization of 7 three identified regulatory assets to lower base rates 8 for the future and provide needed support to the 9 property insurance reserve. That mechanism is 10 detailed on Pages 5 and 6 of our April 6th filing in 11 Paragraph 7.

12 We did meet since March 16th and we made an 13 effort to come to an agreement, and you will note that 14 the company has provided a significant credit to its 15 customers in the form of \$3.7 million to bring our 16 projected earnings down to the revenue set point of 17 12.2%. But there are three major areas of 18 disagreement between the company and the Staff. And 19 these areas are of critical concern to Gulf's 20 management and they're set forth in the company's 21 revised proposal.

They are the ROE level, the sharing percentage and the treatment of Gulf's investment in its merchandizing operation.

25

Gulf's proposed ROE adjustment from 12.0%

midpoint to 11.6% midpoint is important. That is a
 significant reduction but it recognizes that ROE
 should not be set in a cookie cutter fashion. One
 size clearly does not fit all.

5 A hasty or ill-advised decision now, when 6 capital needs for new generating capacity and other 7 property additions are just around the corner, could 8 cost Gulf's customers in the long run if rating 9 agencies downgrade our credit worthiness out of 10 concern about the regulatory environment created by 11 FPSC actions.

12 It also -- a hasty or ill-advised decision, 13 or dramatic decision and change in the ROE could send 14 the wrong signal on opportunities for return on equity 15 from this Commission, and could have far-reaching 16 impacts on decisions regarding choices of where to 17 invest equity capital.

18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me just ask a
19 question right on that point. How are you listed in
20 the stock market? Are you Southern Company or are you
21 Gulf?
22 MR. STONE: As far as Gulf's -23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Who raises capital? I

guess that's a better way to put it.

25

24

MR. STONE: Common equity is provided to

1 Gulf from our parent, Southern Company. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So Southern 3 Company is the one that's traded, right? 4 MR. STONE: It's the one that's listed on 5 the exchange, yes. Gulf is rated with regard to its 6 debt individually. So we have our own credit rating. 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Thank you. 8 MR. STONE: In Attachment D to our petition, 9 Pages 20 through 27, there is a detailed discussion of the basis for the ROE component of Gulf's proposal. 10 And we discuss key points of difference between Gulf 11 Power and other Florida electric utilities in terms of 12 13 leverage, electric rates, reliability, customer 14 complaints and business risk. I'd like to touch on 15 some elements of that discussion for you today. Relative differences in leverage between 16 17 utilities justify relative differences in authorized I have a chart that we'd like to hand out that 18 ROE. illustrates the dramatic difference in equity ratio 19 20 that has developed between Gulf Power and FP&L over 21 the past four years. 22 Although intuition leads us to the 23 conclusion that differences in equity ratio reflect relative differences in risk, two separate studies 24 25 conducted nearly 12 years apart establish this concept

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

empirically for electric utilities. The two studies 1 2 have been summarized in Attachment D to our original 3 petition, on Pages 20 to 21. And they reach similar 4 conclusions regarding the quantification of this difference. In Gulf's case, based on expert testimony 5 6 before you by affidavit, the quantified difference is 7 at least 47 basis points above the midpoint recently 8 established for FP&L.

9 We also discussed trends and the comparative costs for kilowatt hour for Florida's electric --10 Florida's electric utilities. We talk about the data 11 12 of comparative reliability and we provide information regarding the customer complaints or satisfaction 13 14 index and those are all on Page 21 of our original 15 petition. And we submit to you that the data on these 16 pages provide further grounds for appropriately exercising the Commission's discretion in setting 17 18 Gulf's ROE at a higher level.

Although the ROE effects of the differences in these three areas are not specifically quantified in our proposal, the dramatic differences in performance between Gulf and other utilities, when combined with the 47 basis point difference associated with the difference in leverage, clearly justifies setting the midpoint for Gulf Power for the three

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	II
1	years covered by the company's proposal at the level
2	proposed by Gulf, 11.6%.
3	On March 16th I recited the basis for
4	exercising discretion in this manner. It is found in
5	the applicable statute and case law. Summaries of
6	those matters are on Page 3 of our March 2nd filing.
7	I will not belabor the point further at this
8	time except to say that the Commission clearly has the
9	discretion to approve the ROE midpoint proposed by
10	Gulf as an appropriate incentive reward for its
11	outstanding achievement in the areas of customer
12	rates, reliability of electric service and the low
13	rate of justified customer complaints.
14	We submit to you that Gulf's sustained
15	performance on these three key indicators are of
16	sufficient magnitude that they should be recognized
17	explicitly when establishing Gulf's authorized ROE.
18	The differences between Gulf and other Florida
19	electric utilities warrant the exercise of the
20	Commission's discretion to establish a different
21	higher midpoint than 11.0%.
22	The discussion in Attachment D also
23	addresses differences in relative business risk faced
24	by Gulf as compared to other Florida electric
25	utilities.
ļ	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

As we mentioned on March 16th, Gulf faces 1 more business risk than other electric utilities, in 2 3 part due to our greater reliance on sales to the industrial sector and the dominant role of the U.S. 4 5 military on the economy of the area in which we serve. These and other differences in relative business risk 6 7 should also be reflected as differences in authorized 8 ROE.

9 As we pointed out on March 16th, in order to 10 evaluate the reasonableness of our proposed midpoint 11 as a compromise settlement, Gulf examined recent 12 trends in returns authorized for electric utilities across the nation. As part of Attachment D to our 13 14 petition, Pages 22 to 23, using the consecutive 15 numbering at the lower right-hand corner, we have 16 included data from a January 1999 report. It's entitled, Major Rate Case Decisions, January 1990 to 17 December 1998. It was produced by the Regulatory 18 19 Research Associates or RRA.

And according to this report, equity returns authorized for electric utilities across the nation averaged approximately 11.7% in 1998 compared to 11.4% in '96 and '97. The ROE decision summarized in the RRA report during the fourth quarter of '98 averaged 12.03%. In fact, in nine of the cases for 1998

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

contained in the RRA report, the authorized ROE was
 established at or above 12.0%. Remember that's our
 current authorized midpoint.

When measured against these trends, our settlement proposal of 11.6%, a reduction from the 12.0% currently authorized and a further reduction from the 11.8% proposed on March 2nd, our 11.6% is clearly reasonable. This is especially so when you take into account that the proposal submitted by the company would operate retroactively.

The trend in ROEs recently authorized for electric utilities across the country on a going forward basis, suggests that the cost of equity capital for electric utilities is trending up. Forecasts show that rates for A-rated utility bonds and T-bills are expected to rise over the period covered by Gulf's proposal.

In fact, we'd like to hand out a chart that shows out selected financial information from 1990 to present including the T-bill rates, historical and projected, as well as the rates for A-rated utility bonds.

As you can see, based on the trends, now is not the time for a dramatic change in ROE for Gulf Power. The company's offered reduction of 40 basis

points, as contained in Gulf's proposal and as part of
 the overall sharing plan, should be accepted as
 reasonable.

Turning now to the sharing plan itself. 4 As 5 we pointed out before, the existing regulatory framework provides a limited incentive for management 6 7 to seek out and achieve efficiencies in operations. This limited incentive is provided by allowing 8 9 shareholders to keep the increment in earnings above the authorized midpoint ROE up to the top of the 10 authorized range. But our proposal builds on that and 11 12 goes beyond by providing an appropriate incentive to 13 seek out and achieve even greater efficiencies in 14 order to grow shareholder value by entering into what 15 we've labeled the sharing range above the top of the traditional zone. 16

We believe we struck an appropriate balance of customer and shareholder interest in our proposed sharing mechanism that allows both constituencies to receive and retain benefits resulting from added efficiencies.

Gulf's proposed allocation to shareholders under the sharing plan is important to the overall success of the plan and it's way it's contained in our proposal as an important element.

1 This share, 40%, is significant enough to get the attention of the company's shareholders and 2 3 maintain their support of managements efforts to achieve further efficiencies without sacrificing the 4 5 efforts that have led to outstanding accomplishments 6 with regard to customer rates, reliability, and 7 customer satisfaction as shown by the low incidents of 8 justified customer complaints.

9 Furthermore, setting the shareholders 10 allocation of the shared revenues at 40% is consistent 11 with the Commission's established precedent. The 12 Commission has approved a sharing mechanism for 13 Southern Bell and TECO that provided 40% sharing to 14 the shareholders.

15 As we've indicated, the allocation to shareholders must be large enough that it's not viewed 16 17 by the shareholders as a mere token, but rather justifies their support of continuing management 18 efforts. At the same time, we recognize that it has 19 to be balanced with what the customers receive. 20 We 21 believe by striking the balance at 40% for both of these constituencies we have reached the proper 22 23 balance point.

To build on the existing foundation it is important to add to whatever incentives exist within

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the traditional top of the zone framework. Gulf's proposal does this by adding an appropriate level of incentive based sharing opportunity that encourages efforts that may result in earnings above the top of the zone that can be appropriately shared, earnings that are essentially discouraged under the existing framework.

The third key point of difference that I 8 9 wanted to describe for you is the merchandizing The manner in which Gulf has been 10 operation. treated -- has been required to treat the capital 11 12 structure of its merchandizing operation for 13 surveillance purposes is not reasonable under the 14 actual circumstances faced by Gulf. The company uses 15 the -- Gulf's overall capital structure to support 16 both utility and nonutility operations.

By taking the merchandizing operation out of 17 18 the company's capital structure, based on 100% equity, 19 we're unfairly penalizing the shareholders for this 20 investment by forcing them to subsidize the retail jurisdiction. There simply is no empirical evidence 21 22 to support the notion that Gulf's investment in its nonutility merchandizing operation has any impact on 23 the company's overall cost of capital. 24

The company's merchandizing investment of

ı	\$14 million, the overwhelming majority of which is
2	accounts receivable, simply pales in significance when
3	compared to the \$1.3 billion invested in the company
4	overall. The merchandizing investment is such a small
5	piece of the total that it is lost in the rounding.
6	It, therefore, has no impact on the company's cost of
7	capital. It is a mere fiction to act as though the
8	merchandizing investment materially alters the
9	company's risk profile. There simply is no evidence
10	to suggest that Gulf's merchandizing investment has
11	had any adverse impact on the cost to Gulf's retail
12	electric customers.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Stone, is that the
14	test we've used in the past that it have no adverse
15	impact?
16	MR. STONE: Commissioner, the way
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask the question
18	another what makes it different than what we
19	decided in the last rate case?
20	MR. STONE: It was assumed in the last rate
21	case that because it is perceived that the
22	merchandizing operation has increased risk, that it
23	must have some impact. But there was no evidence of
24	that impact. In fact, the only evidence that was
25	provided, was provided by Gulf and showed that there

was no adverse impact.

1

-	
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you're saying we
3	were wrong in the last case and we should change that
4	now, not that the circumstances are different?
5	MR. STONE: Commissioner, that is correct.
6	But I also point out that that's essentially what the
7	Staff's concluded with regard to the third floor. And
8.	so, if we're revisiting the third floor decision,
9	it we're basically asking the same type of
10	re-review take place with regard to the merchandizing
11	operation.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is Staff saying we
13	were wrong on the third floor, that the opportunity is
14	now present to cease accruing a regulatory asset and,
15	in fact, try to reverse that accrual and get it off
16	the books as rapidly as possible?

MR. STONE: They've not actually used the
words that we're wrong, but certainly that is the
effect of what we're doing.

This is a suitable breaking point in our presentation. As I mentioned at the beginning, we do have a number of people here from the company that are available to answer any questions you may have and certainly I will try to answer them as well. After we hear from other individuals, I would like an

opportunity to make some further remarks in closing. 1 2 MR. MCWHIRTER: Mr. Chairman and 3 Commissioners, my name is John McWhirter and I'm here representing an industrial consumers group. Our group 4 has not participated in these discussions from day 5 We didn't know they were going on until Gulf 6 one. 7 filed its plan in March. After that time we were 8 invited to participate and have participated. At the outset, I'd like to pass on to you 9 what Mr. Cresse told me and that is that Gulf is a 10 very good utility company. It's very reliable. 11 Its 12 rates to the industrial consumer are less than the interruptible rates -- firm industrial consumer are 13 14 less than the interruptible rates in other parts of 15 the state. So why would we be here objecting and 16 looking a gift horse in the mouth so to speak? Well, 17 it's a matter of economics and it's a matter of money and it's a matter of proper regulatory philosophy. 18 19 Gulf has given you a plan and the Staff has 20 given you a plan. The Gulf is Attachment A. The 21 I would like to proffer Staff's plan is Attachment B. 22 to you the FIPUG plan as Attachment C. And Gulf's 23 plan is an 11 point plan. Staff has an eight point 24 response with several subparts, and the FIPUG response 25 is a simple six point plan.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

First item would be that we would reduce base rates by \$7 million a year. Item No. 2, Gulf has proposed that its ROE be 11.6%. Staff says it ought to be 11.2% or lower. I would suggest that the Commission adopt the return on equity that Florida Power & Light and the Public Counsel agreed upon in its recent rate confrontation at 11%.

8 The third item would be that all revenues 9 that are 100 basis points in excess of the authorized 10 11.7 -- 11% return be refunded to customers.

11 The Commission has what it calls the range 12 of reasonableness. You set a rate and you said the 13 proper rate is 12% for this utility, but there's a 14 range of reasonableness and you'll let it go up to 13% 15 before you do anything about it. And the reason this 16 case got started was, Gulf's 1998 revenues were over 17 13% when you take into consideration some things that 18 were omitted from the Gulf presentation, which I'll go 19 into in a minute.

So the third point is, that between 11 and 12, Gulf keeps the money. When it gets over 12, give it back to the customers. They're the ones that provided it.

Third -- the fourth point -- I combined with my -- oh, yeah. The fourth point is that as to this

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

revenue between 11% and 12%, it would be retained by 1 2 Gulf but it be retained as a fund entitled 3 contribution and aide of construction against future 4 anticipated storm damage. 5 Right now, you allow a storm damage reserve of 36 -- up to \$36 million. We don't know exactly how 6 7 that works and that needs some study, but I think if 8 you treated these excess revenues in that fashion 9 people would be properly accounted for. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McWhirter, what is the point at which you start counting the revenues as 11 12 excess? 12%? 13 MR. MCWHIRTER: You talking about regulatory -- repeat the question. You know I'm hard 14 15 of hearing. 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I guess your view that it should be retained for storm -- revenues be 17 18 retained for storm damage --19 MR. MCWHIRTER: Future anticipated storm damage. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what revenues was 21 22 that? Above what percent? 23 MR. McWHIRTER: That's between 11 and 12. 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So we --25 MR. MCWHIRTER: Earnings over 11% go to the

1	first the first 1% goes to that storm reserve.
2	Over 12%, which is 100 basis points over your
3	authorized return, that would go to the customers.
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you would eliminate
5	the range of return?
6	MR. MCWHIRTER: Beg your pardon?
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: You would eliminate the
8	range of return? You would set it at 11% and
9	anything
10	MR. MCWHIRTER: Well, the range of return is
11	merely used for surveillance purposes so that you know
12	when something should be done. Revenues are volatile.
13	They follow the weather and they follow other things.
14	And as long as the revenues are within a range, you
15	say, that's okay. And the revenues here are not
16	within your authorized range. So what should you do?
17	Now, what we're doing here, the Staff and
18	Gulf recommend that we freeze everything in stone for
19	three years and so for the next three years we'll
20	control. I'm suggesting that under a revenue cap
21	procedure like we have, Gulf will be assured of
22	getting its 11%, but over 11% it will get to keep the
23	money and send it on to Southern Company like it does
24	now, and over 12% the customers get some relief.
25	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McWhirter, I didn't

understand your presentation as saying that. 1 If 2 you're saying the revenues over 11% have to be 3 accredited to the storm reserve, then essentially I think what you're saying is, the top of the range is 4 11%. 5 MR. MCWHIRTER: That's the authorized 6 7 return. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. 8 9 MR. MCWHIRTER: What do you do with money 10 when it's more than you've authorized it to keep? 11 **COMMISSIONER CLARK:** But the point is, you're saying they can keep everything up to 11%. 12 13 Above 11% -- above 11 to 12, they have to credit it to 14 a specific account. 15 MR. MCWHIRTER: It keeps that money, but 16 that money is tagged. 17 **COMMISSIONER CLARK:** Okay. MR. McWHIRTER: And it keeps the money. 18 It 19 can use it anyway it wants to, but it's tagged. If we 20 have storm damage and they replace that storm damaged 21 equipment, at that point in time the money they use 22 that should have been in this reserve fund will be considered a contribution in aide of construction. 23 **COMMISSIONER CLARK:** So their earnings 24 25 are --

28

	 II
1	MR. MCWHIRTER: Similar to what you do in
2	water and sewer companies. Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: So their earnings
4	what they're allowed to keep and have the discretion
5	to do with as they please is 11%?
6	MR. MCWHIRTER: Yes. Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. McWhirter.
9	MR. MCWHIRTER: Now
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. McWhirter.
11	MR. MCWHIRTER: Yes, sir. I'm with you. I
12	apologize.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's okay. I
14	believe you indicated maybe in your presentation or
15	response to a question that the company was guaranteed
16	11%?
17	MR. McWHIRTER: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: How is it in your
19	proposal the company would be guaranteed 11%?
20	MR. MCWHIRTER: I don't have any problem
21	with giving it the same kind of guarantee that you do
22	with environmental cost and the same kind of guarantee
23	that you do with conservation investments. If it
24	falls below 11%, go ahead and give them the guaranteed
25	revenue. As you know, right now, 38% of all the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

revenues received by utilities are guaranteed
 revenues. It's not an opportunity to earn a return.
 And I won't go into that because you don't have time
 for it.

5 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** Let me -- I want to 6 clarify what you're proposing. If the company files a 7 report with the Commission indicating that they earned 8 10% return on equity, then you think the company 9 should be allowed to collect revenue through fuel 10 adjustment or whatever mechanism, enough so that they 11 would earn 11% on equity?

MR. MCWHIRTER: I think if -- you need to address it the way you think appropriate to address it. But if we're restricting the company to the 11%, then I don't see any real problem with letting them earn 11%, provided that the investments are prudent and that you actually supervise the investments to ensure that they're --

19 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** What happens -- there 20 is no incentive to do anything to improve operations 21 or be innovative or to be efficient, to cut costs or 22 to try to increase sales because you're saying they're 23 guaranteed 11 no matter what they do, but they're not 24 going to get anything above 11 because if it goes 25 above 11 we're going to take the first million -- I'm

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

sorry -- the first 100 basis points and treat it as
 storm damage accrual and anything over 12 we're going
 to refund to customers.

MR. McWHIRTER: One of the benefits of being 4 a monopoly and the only company that can provide 5 service to customers is that you get an authorized 6 7 return on your investment. That's one -- if you want the franchise and you want to have the monopoly 8 9 rights, then you submit your operations to this 10 regulatory body and this regulatory body doesn't need to give this kind of incentives that flow in a 11 12 competitive industry to an industry that has 13 essentially a guaranteed return, and here we're giving them a guaranteed 11% return. If they don't like this 14 proposal, just as if they don't like the Staff's 15 16 proposal, then they can ask for a hearing and we can 17 deal with it at a hearing and the logic of the 18 circumstances.

But we're in very serious times, as you well realize, far better than I do. And right now you're suggesting that we chisel something in stone for three years. If we're going to chisel it in stone, you need to truly evaluate and enunciate what your policies are with respect to what's going to happen the next three years. And I'm suggesting to you, and I will suggest

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

it a little -- I want to hurry along because I know
 you have a crowded agenda. But I think this is a very
 interesting and a very extremely important topic.
 It's one of your best utilities without a doubt, but
 you're talking about regulatory philosophy.

The fifth item in our report is that the third floor, way back when, when you had a rate case, there was a determination that the \$3.8 million that was spent to put in the third floor was excessive at the time, but sometime in the future it would be okay.

Well, since that time you've been booking a 11 return on that. And that item now is a \$3.8 million 12 investment plus the \$2.9 million or 47% interest on 13 that initial investment. And I'm suggesting that 14 that, instead of amortizing that investment over a 15 three year period, that you put the \$5.7 million in 16 rate base and amortize it over the remaining useful 17 life of that building. 18

Now, a few years ago the company spent
\$18.9 million to get better interest rates. They were
able to refinance their debt. The company calls that
a loss on refinanced debt. But, actually, over the
length of the -- I'm sure they wouldn't have done it
if they hadn't done a net present value study and
concluded that over the term of the loan that

1	
1	\$18.9 million would be more than returned many times.
2	So the question is, should today's customers
3	pay that in the next three years or so, or should the
4	company amortize that over the remaining life of the
5	indebtedness which you normally do with a mortgage
6	with the Internal Revenue Service require you to do?
7	And I'm suggesting, as my last point, that
8	this 8.9% spent to get a lower interest rate flow to
9	the people who are going to benefit from the lower
10	interest rate. Right now you're asking the customers
11	to pay for it, but you're not giving the customers any
12	benefit from the lower interest rate. So it doesn't
13	make much sense. Let the people who benefit, pay for
14	it.
15	Now, one of the problems with the man in the
16	street and me, people that have fourth grade
17	understanding like I do, is when you talk in
18	percentages and mix percentages and dollars, you get
19	kind of confused and you don't know what's going on.
20	So what I've done for you is I've taken the
21	company's year-end surveillance report that was filed
22	on February 15th, and it's the report that the Staff
23	used to get its analyses. And I've translated the
24	percentages that we're talking about here into dollars
25	and I think what I'd like to do in the next three
	1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 minutes is to analyze for you the dollar impact of the 2 three proposals.

3 The first proposal is that the current 4 authorized return, when we're doing our analysis, 5 based upon Gulf Power's equity component, is \$350 million in round numbers and it's entitled to a 6 7 12% return on that. That results in \$42 million a year is what you authorized Gulf Power to earn. 8 9 Staff, Plan B, agrees with that and FIPUG 10 agrees with that. So we're all in agreement that the current authorized return translated in dollars as 11 12 though we were looking at a moment in time, 13 December 31, 1998, the authorized return is \$42 million. 14 15 Gulf says, here's what the return should be. Gulf says the return should be \$44 million in cash. 16 17 Staff says it should be \$39 million because it's using a return of 11.2%. 18 19 (End of Tape 1, Side B.) 20 MR. McWHIRTER: What really happened was 21 that instead of earning \$42 million according to Gulf it earned \$45 million. According to the Staff, Gulf 22 earned \$49.5 million plus. So it earned some seven 23 and a half million dollars more than the authorized 24 25 The difference is that in 1998 the Staff return.

gives Gulf credit for \$3.5 million going to the
 insurance reserve and Gulf took \$6.5 million. FIPUG
 agrees with the Staff that the real earnings were
 \$49.5 plus. So Gulf has got this money in its packet.
 Never recoverable from the customers. Okay.

What should we do about the excess in the 6 future? Gulf says let's take \$3.7 million of that 7 \$49 million and reduce rates. Staff says, take 8 \$7 million of that \$49 million -- 49 and a half 9 million dollars, which would bring Gulf back to \$42 10 million, and reduce rates by that amount. FIPUG 11 agrees with the Staff. We think \$7 million rate 12 reduction today is an appropriate amount under a 13 settlement proposition. We think the amount is lower 14 than that but what would happen is that would occur 15 after a rate case and if you had a full rate case it 16 would be a year before we would get any relief. So 17 \$7 million is appropriate in the short run. 18

19 So the next step is, what do we do with the 20 money in excess of the amount that comes about with 21 the new returns allowed? Gulf says it gets to keep 22 the first \$44 million, which is \$2 million more. 23 Staff says Gulf gets to keep \$42.6 million. FIPUG 24 says, Gulf can keep \$41.9 million. Now, this is a 12% 25 return on -- strike that. An 11% return on the equity

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 component of the rate base.

2	Now, here's where we differ substantially
3	with the Staff. Gulf says between \$44 million and \$51
4	million, customers will get 40% of the difference. So
5	if it earns up to 14.2%, customers could get as much
6	as \$2.8 million more. So, Gulf would be earning
7	\$51 million and you would reduce that back to the 3.7
8	plus the 2.8 and it would get back, you know,
9	somewhere in the mid 40's. Still far above the
10	current authorized return.
11	What does the Staff say? The Staff says
12	Gulf keeps the difference between \$42 million
13	\$42.6 million and \$49.6 million. Gets to keep it all.
14	FIPUG, under its proposal, would say that anything
15	over \$40.19 million would go first to the insurance
16	reserve and the balance to customers.
17	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's your 12%,
18	Mr. McWhirter?
19	MR. MCWHIRTER: Beg your pardon?
20	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's your 12%?.
21	That number represents your 12%?
22	MR. MCWHIRTER: Yes. Yes, sir. So that's a
23	very simplistic approach which I've taken for you and
24	put it in terms of dollars rather than mysterious
25	not mysterious. But put it in percentage returns and
	1

then you have to go back and find some numbers and 1 2 apply the percentages to them to see what's really 3 happening. I tried to point out for you what's really happening. What makes sense. 11% return makes sense. 4 I was intrigued by the last handout that 5 Gulf gave you and they justify -- they justify keeping 6 7 the return high, based on what's going to happen in the bond market. 8 Now, the high point where it goes way up to 9 6.8% on the 30 year treasuries, that happens in the 10 year 2001 so this is a projection. If you want to see 11 12 where we are today, and you're making rates today, not in 2001, it's down here at the very lowest point on 13 their exhibits. 14 Who is it that makes this projection? 15 Well, it's Regional Financial Associates Inc. They may be a 16 very fine firm. I'm not familiar with Regional 17 18 Financial Associates Incorporated. But those are the 19 kind of things that you would have if you had a 20 hearing on what the appropriate return on equity would People like Regional Financial would come in and 21 be. show what the projections are. 22 I'm suggesting to you that you do what is 23 fair. What I propose to you, I think, is fair. 24 If Gulf doesn't think it's fair, then it can come in and 25

ask for a hearing. I think that's essentially the
 same thing that Staff has done. Staff has made a
 proposal to you. If Gulf doesn't think it's fair,
 then you don't implement it. Gulf comes in and asks
 for a hearing.

6 But what we've done is we have taken a 7 moment in time in which some action will be taken and 8 the action you take is -- the most important thing to 9 FIPUG is your treatment of regulatory assets. And we think the regulatory asset treatment that has been 10 given by Gulf and Staff is not rational in today's 11 times. This money is extra money the utility gets and 12 there's no evidence that this extra money is doing 13 14 anything to benefit Florida ratepayers. It's going to 15 flow up to Southern Company and Southern Company can invest it internationally with no protection for 16 17 Florida ratepayers.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Thank you.

18

19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have one question.
20 Mr. McWhirter, what was your sixth point? Number six?
21 Was it concerning refinancing costs?

22 MR. MCWHIRTER: \$18.9 million was spent in 23 order to get a lower interest rate. They call that 24 loss on refinancing. I would suggest to you that 25 18.9% is an expenditure that was made in order to

achieve a lower return over a longer period of time, 1 and probably the net present value of that amount of 2 money is far less than you're asking current 3 ratepayers to pay. So I'm suggesting to you that you 4 don't treat that as a regulatory asset, but that you 5 just use your normal practice of plowing that into the 6 7 debt component as an additional part of the debt. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. 8 Mr. Mailhot, how are we treating that currently? 9 MR. MAILHOT: How are we treating the loss 10 11 on reacquired debt? COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. Loss on 12 13 reacquired debt. MR. MAILHOT: Under Staff's proposal or --14 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** How is the company 15 currently accounting for it and then how does Staff 16 address it? 17 MR. MAILHOT: Okay. I believe the company 18 amortizes it over the remaining life of the retired 19 debt. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So the company is currently doing what Mr. McWhirter says should be 22 done? 23 MR. MAILHOT: That's correct. 24 25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And does Staff

ı	address it at all in its proposal?
2	MR. MAILHOT: Yes. In Staff's proposal, if
3	the company moves into the sharing range, a portion of
4	that sharing would go to write off this loss more
5	quickly.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: But only if the
7	sharing range is reached?
8	MR. MAILHOT: That's correct.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McWhirter, you
11	indicated that you thought that ROE should be the same
12	as FP&L. And as I understood, I think what Staff was
13	recommending, there ought to be some recognition of
14	the fact that they carry less equity than FP&L. Do
15	you have any response to that?
16	MR. MCWHIRTER: Staff says that it should be
17	11.2 or lower. They selected 11.2 because it was a
18	number that would move towards settlement. I don't
19	think there's any magic in that number. They thought
20	it should be lower as I understand their memorandum.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me ask you
22	this question. Do you think any adjustments should be
23	made to recognize the fact that they carry lower
24	equity?
25	MR. MCWHIRTER: Yes. I agree that there is

1	1
1	some differential in risk. I think the 11% that was
2	chosen for Florida Power & Light was a settlement
3	number that's higher than the current cost of debt.
4	And I think this exhibit, if you cut it off at current
5	times rather than projecting it to the future and
6	what you do when you set rates is you look at
7	historical plus a short projection to the future
8	you'll see that the cost of debt have gone down, down,
9	down, and it's now 5.5% on 30 year treasury.
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Then your position is
11	that because some time has passed since we made a
12	decision in FP&L I don't know how long that is. A
13	month?
14	MR. MCWHIRTER: I don't think you ought to
15	look at FP&L. I think you need to look this whole
16	thing
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you a
18	question. You suggested that the ROE be the same as
19	what we set for FP&L. I thought I heard you say that.
20	MR. MCWHIRTER: Yes. That's a settlement
21	number, yes. I'm not suggesting that's the right
22	number, but I suggest to you that if you had a rate
23	case it would be a lower number on current conditions
24	and, therefore, that's a good settlement number. I
25	think Gulf will buy off on it.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But you referred us to 2 one of Gulf's handouts. I assume you also received 3 the handout entitled Equity Ratio Analysis? 4 MR. MCWHIRTER: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And according to this, Gulf is making the argument that their difference in 6 7 equity ratio should justify a 47 basis point increase 8 above whatever is appropriate for Florida 9 Power & Light. Do you agree or disagree with this? 10 MR. MCWHIRTER: Well, no. I don't. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Don't agree. 12 MR. MCWHIRTER: Well, the 6.75 is merely -they subtracted 49% ratio from 55% ratio and then they 13 14 multiplied it by 7. I don't know if that's some holy 15 mystery number or if that is a number that is 16 calculated based on what they think overall returns 17 should be. Actually, it might be greater if you use 18 7.4. 19 But, all this stuff is fiction. The thing 20 that is important to stockholders and investors is 21 what's happening at Southern Company. And Southern Company is where the money comes in from investors. 22 23 They're paying 8.32% for preferred stock. Well, those people are investors. Maybe there ought to be some 24 25 kind of revision of that. Maybe they ought to borrow

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

money at 6.6 and pay off the preferred stock if they 1 2 That's imprudent in today's market, I'm pretty can. 3 sure. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Stone, let me ask 4 5 you, and you may want to refer this to one of your 6 experts. The factor of 7, which is applied to the 7 6.75 percent differential in equity ratio, how is that factor determined? 8 9 MR. STONE: I'll touch on that briefly, but 10 I believe Mr. Scarbrough can elaborate on it. It was calculated -- the first time it was calculated was by 11 Dr. Gene Briggum approximately 12 years ago. And he 12 did it based on an empirical analysis of other 13 14 utilities and their returns and examined the betas 15 involved. Dr. Vander Wiede, as shown in his affidavit, has updated and done another study --16 17 empirical study and he came up with that quantified 18 difference based on empirical analysis in the 19 marketplace. Not sure if Mr. Scarbrough has anything 20 else he wants to add to that. 21 MR. SCARBROUGH: Mr. Stone, is exactly 22 right. There was a study done by Dr. Gene Briggum 23 through the Public Utility Research Center, University 24 of Florida, and I understand it was at the request of

this Commission. I haven't verified that, but that

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

was 12 years ago. And then Dr. Vander Wiede, in 1 recent testimony has -- has done a new empirical study 2 on the same issue and that whole purpose was, what 3 does the impact of leverage have on the cost of 4 equity. 5 They both arrived at the same conclusion, 6 7 that for every 1% increase in the leverage for electric utility, that the cost of equity should be 8 increased by 7 basis points. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: What is Staff's 10 11 viewpoint on that? 12 MR. LESTER: We constructed a leverage 13 formula using our models. Same manner that we used --14 for the water and wastewater leverage formula. And our conclusion to reach 11.2 midpoint is we basically 15 are looking at the 11% for Florida Power & Light and 16 then adding 20 basis points, which is appropriate 17 given that leverage formula we used. I think 18 Dr. Vander Wiede's 7 point proposal, that's just a 19 conclusion that he reached in his study. I simply 20 disagree. We're doing -- going with our leverage 21 22 formula. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So under our leverage formula there's basically about 20 points can be 24 25 justified -- 20 basis points can be justified for the

44

difference in equity ratio? 1 MR. LESTER: Yes, sir. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Between Florida 3 Power & Light and Gulf? 4 MR. LESTER: Yes, sir. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask you this. 6 7 What equity ratio did you use in your analysis? Was 8 it as reported in financials or was it as adjusted by the PSC for eliminating the nonutility investment 100% 9 from equity? 10 MR. LESTER: I'm using the 49% which is not 11 12 adjusted for the nonutility investment. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And it would be 13 14 approximately 48% after that adjustment? 15 MR. LESTER: Yes, sir. 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. 17 MR. LESTER: I believe it only makes a 4 basis point difference if you go down to the 48. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: In your leverage formula? 20 21 MR. LESTER: Yes, sir. 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: If you assume the 7 was correct, then it would be -- it would be something 23 24 greater than that? It would be about 7 basis points 25 or how much would it be?

45

1	MR. LESTER: I believe only 3 or 4 basis
2	points higher if you use the 48% equity ratio.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yeah, but what I'm
4	saying is let me ask Gulf Power. Your analysis
5	that you're using, your equity ratio analysis, I
6	assume you're using your as reported equity ratio
7	since you oppose the adjustment to remove it 100% from
8	equity. So you're 49.8% does not reflect any
9	adjustments to your equity ratio as a result of the
10	nonutility investment?
11	MR. SCARBROUGH: No. That's the actual
12	ratio.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: All the presentations
14	are concluded; is that correct?
15	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I believe so.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Did Staff want to
17	respond to anything Mr. McWhirter said or
18	MR. MAILHOT: Yes. We have just one or two
19	brief comments. In our initial recommendation and
20	proposal that was presented at the March 16th agenda
21	conference, Staff had crafted a plan based primarily
22	on the Commission's prior decision in BellSouth with
23	some modification to recognize what had been done in
24	the Georgia Power case. And when we did that, we
25	crafted what we thought was an appropriate plan at the

1 || time.

2

3

4

5

20

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Some recognition of what happened in the Georgia Power case.

MR. MAILHOT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

6 MR. MAILHOT: In terms of a couple of the 7 components of the plan. So, primarily what was 8 presented at that conference was a combination of the 9 two plans. It was probably 90% Southern Bell and 10% 10 Georgia Power.

And we thought that the plan we presented was reasonable in terms of setting up a three year plan and I think at the time, one of the most critical components of starting a plan is where you set the company's earnings to begin with, and in that recommendation we set the company's earnings at 11.2% return on equity.

18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can I interrupt you a 19 minute?

MR. MAILHOT: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just what exactly --22 did I miss it in the Staff's write-up about what part 23 you sort of developed in light of the Georgia Power 24 decision?

MR. MAILHOT: Well, essentially, it was one

I	
1	component of the plan that had to do with productivity
2	factors. In BellSouth's plan, the way the plan worked
3	was the productivity factors were assumed for the
4	next each of the next multiple years in the plan.
5	BellSouth was required to reduce rates, for example,
6	in years two and three of the plan regardless of
7	earnings, you know, whether earnings improved or, you
8	know, went down hill. No matter what, they had to
9	reduce rates in the subsequent years of the plan.
10	In Georgia Power's case, what happened was,
11	the company only had to the productivity factor
12	only kicked in if the company's earnings hit a certain
13	level. Okay. And the way that worked was in Georgia
14	Power's plan, if the company earned 12.5% return on
15	equity in years two and three
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go slower. Go slower.
17	Say that again.
18	MR. MAILHOT: Okay. In years two and three
19	of the Georgia Power plan, if the company's earnings
20	got to 12.5% return on equity, the first \$50 million
21	above that 12.5% had to go was not shared. It
22	essentially went 100% towards writing off regulatory
23	assets.
24	What that does, essentially, is that the
25	company doesn't start sharing until they actually

1 improve earnings above the sharing point. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. So the 3 assumption being that that would be a productivity 4 factor. 5 MR. MAILHOT: Essentially that's the way it works. Okay. And that, in a sense, is more 6 7 conservative because if the company didn't earn 12.5 then they wouldn't have to put anything towards 8 regulatory assets, any additional money. As opposed 9 10 to like in the BellSouth case --11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Where no earnings is taken out. 12 MR. MAILHOT: Right. Even if earnings went 13 down they still had to reduce rates. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. MR. MAILHOT: So that was kind of one of the 16 17 features that we incorporated from the Georgia plan. COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that's -- is that 18 19 what we incorporated in our plan? MR. MAILHOT: Yes, we did. It's not 20 21 specified as a productivity factor, but it's part of 22 the plan. It's part of our plan. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that is the reference to -- I'm looking at the chart somebody 24 developed. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	MR. MAILHOT: Yes. On Item 5.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Item 5. That means
3	what does that mean?
4	MR. MAILHOT: Okay. Staff's plan, in our
5	revised proposal, in the current recommendation,
6	there's a productivity factor included where,
7	essentially, if the company earns 12.2% then the first
8	\$2 million above that level goes into the storm damage
9	reserve.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Before any sharing
11	begins?
12	MR. MAILHOT: Before any sharing begins.
13	But if the company doesn't reach 12.2%, then they
14	don't have to do anything.
15	Anyway, our revised plan, Staff has moved
16	essentially from targeting earnings at 11.2% return on
17	equity, which we thought was appropriate, you know,
18	based on the Commission's prior decisions, to
19	targeting earnings at 11.8%. Now, this is 60 basis
20	points above where we think earnings should be
21	targeted. And you can ascribe that 60 basis points,
22	you know, to a number of reasons. I mean, you can say
23	it's for compromise. You can say it's for, you know,
24	the company's you know, all the reasons the
25	company's pointed out they should be rewarded. I

50

mean, for whatever reason, you know, we are setting or
 targeting earnings above what we think, you know,
 would actually be appropriate in our proposal.

4 The only other thing I'd like to say is, you know, you already brought up is, the productivity 5 6 factor. In the plans that have been approved in the 7 past productivity factor is an integral part of the 8 plan. And the company hasn't proposed anything in that area. They don't even mention it as, you know, 9 10 any kind of a difference between Staff and the 11 company. We think it's important to include a productivity factor in any plan. 12

One other thing the company mentioned is the treatment of nonutility and the third floor. The company's indicated that those two things, you know, that we're just revisiting both of them and that there is no difference between them.

18 Staff would say that, you know, since the 19 last rate case the treatment of the third floor was 20 determined I think at that time to be essentially 21 nonused and useful. Staff this year reviewed the use 22 of the third floor. Sent an auditor over there to physically inspect the third floor. And although it 23 24 may be questionable as to whether it's 100% used and 25 useful at this point in time, Staff believes that it

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	is being used in large part, and so we think that
2	there is a change in circumstances since the time of
3	the last rate case involving the third floor.
4	So, we see that item as being significantly
5	different than the treatment of the nonutility.
6	There I don't think we believe there is any real
7	change in circumstances since the last rate case. And
8	I think that's all. Do you have anything else?
9	MR. LESTER: If I could just respond briefly
10	to the ROE concerns. The company raised the concern
11	of business risk and in the last utility credit report
12	that Standard Reports issued for Gulf, which would be
13	in November of '97, they said that Gulf Power's heavy
14	concentration on the residential class mitigates
15	concerns regarding the company's dependence on
16	military and cyclical demand. Also regarding
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm sorry. Would you
18	read that again and who is it from?
19	MR. LESTER: This is from Standard Reports
20	from the utility credit report. The sentence is,
21	"Gulf Power's heavy concentration on the residential
22	class mitigates concerns regarding the company's
23	dependence on military and cyclical industry related
24	demand." It goes on to say, "the presence of the
25	contracts with military customers, as well as
ŀ	

ł	
1	projected growth in the military employment and load
2	through the year 2001, also offsets those concerns."
3	You can go through these reports and pick
4	out, you know, anything you want to favor sometimes.
5	But I think what it's trying to say is that the
6	company has enough of a residential base to offset any
7	concerns regarding, you know, Gulf's having the
8	military base as its customers.
9	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do we know what portion
10	of their revenues come from the military base or
11	MR. LESTER: I believe it's about 15% from
12	military and industrial.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what what
14	additional residential percentages is somewhat
15	dependent on the 15%?
16	MR. LESTER: It would be somewhat
17	interrelated, yes. But they're also forecasting a
18	growth in military employment, in a sense.
19	MR. STONE: Commissioner Clark, with regard
20	to the reliance on the industry and military, at
21	Page 22 of our filing on March 2nd, there is some
22	discussion of that. And it talks in some detail about
23	the numbers. It may not be precisely the number that
24	you were looking for as an answer, but it does give
25	some numbers about percentage of our sales. It's

interesting to note that Gulf's reliance is far 1 different than the other utilities in Florida on the 2 military and on industrial sales. And that was the 3 difference we were trying to point out with regard to 4 the differences in relative business risk. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Stone, though, you 6 7 have no nuclear generation, correct? MR. STONE: This is true. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask Staff a 9 question, and here once again, I'm referring to the 10 11 side-by-side comparison which Staff prepared. And it's Item 3 which looks at the means of getting the 12 13 earnings down to a targeted level. And, of course, Staff's target is 11.8 and the company's target is 14 So I realize there is a difference there. 15 12.2. 16 But Staff's is recommending that regulatory 17 assets be written off at \$2.26 million a year. And that includes, according to the information that I 18 have, the inclusion of the third floor and rate base 19 and the depreciation and amortization of that. Also, 20 accelerated recovery of the regulatory asset 21 associated with that, and FAS 109 cost, for a total of 22 23 \$2.26 million, is that correct? MR. MAILHOT: Yes. 24 Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The -- describe

1 for me the FAS 109 cost and why that needs to be 2 included in a rapid recovery?

MR. MAILHOT: What that is, is essentially 3 4 before -- I'm not a tax expert. But before we went to 5 full normalization, certain tax items were flowed through to the benefit of the customers and when we 6 7 went to full normalization, those flow through of prior benefits now must be collected from current 8 customers, so it's essentially -- this is one of those 9 10 cases where prior customers benefitted years ago and the way it's being recovered currently is, over the 11 remaining life of property, and it has anywhere from 12 probably a 10 to 15 years future recovery period. 13 This item in particular, the Commission 14 15 approved for accelerated recovery for FP&L. As an item -- essentially it's a past cost that's currently 16

17 being collected from ratepayers.

So we think it's appropriate since there is no -- since it's a past cost it should be recovered as quick as possible.

21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do these amounts
 22 appear as a debit balance, deferred taxes?
 23 MR. MAILHOT: The regulatory asset does.
 24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. So by writing
 25 these off, you remove that which, in essence, has the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I	
1	net effect of reducing the company's rate base in the
2	long run?
3	MR. MAILHOT: Right.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Now, describe
5	to me why you've chosen to accelerate the recovery of
6	the regulatory asset associated with the third floor
7	up front and delay recovery of the loss on reacquired
8	debt until there are earnings available to do that?
9	You had to draw the line somewhere?
10	MR. MAILHOT: Yes. And these were small
11	items that, you know, seem to have a long life. I
12	mean, if you were to use the remaining life of the
13	third floor it would be spread out over probably 25
14	years as the remaining life. The same thing with the
15	tax item. It has, you know, a 10 to 15 year remaining
16	life and it's, you know, like I said, that's
17	definitely a past cost.
18	So, I mean, these are small items that, you
19	know, we thought were appropriate to deal with as
20	quick as possible.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: How much is the loss
22	on reacquired debt? I think Mr. McWhirter quoted
23	\$18.9 million?
24	MR. MAILHOT: It's approximately that at the
25	end of last year.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And right now that's being recovered over the life of the debt issued? 2 MR. MAILHOT: I believe it's the life of the 3 old debt, the debt retire. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The debt that was retired? 6 7 MR. MAILHOT: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And how many years is 9 that doing that? MR. MAILHOT: I would say on average that's 10 11 probably in the neighborhood of 12 to 15 years. 12 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Going to the question Mr. McWhirter raised on the ceiling, we have 13 14 historically had a 13 as a ceiling for them, right? 15 And the proposal here is that that be 14.2; is that correct? 16 17 MR. MAILHOT: Yes. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: With the caveat of the 18 19 sharing after 12.2? That's correct. 20 MR. MAILHOT: Right. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: 21 And essentially what we do when we give them the target of 11.8 is we give 22 23 them kind of a jump start on that, don't we? We kind of say, you know, you're earnings are going to be able 24 25 to start off at a point larger than we think the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

midpoint is, is that correct? 1 2 MR. MAILHOT: That's correct. That's about 3 60 basis points above where we think rates should be targeted. 4 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. MR. MAILHOT: Or at least in our previous 6 7 recommendation. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Now, there was some 8 statistics cited here which would indicate that -- I 9 think the number that was 11 -- I think the point was 10 going to the midpoint. I may be mistaken, but I think 11 that there was some data which was presented and I'm 12 trying to -- the chart. That's what it was. Which 13 would indicate that companies were having midpoints --14 I'm sorry. It was in the file. That's what it was. 15 It was at Page 23. 23 of the filing. What's your 16 17 response to this data in comparison to what your recommendations are? 18 19 MR. LESTER: I think I just disagree. Ι looked at my chart, which would be on Page 11, I 20 believe. 21 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Right. 22 MR. LESTER: And, I mean, we've assembled 23 the returns using a reporting service and then we've 24 added the top two based on news reports. 25 Those are

the reports that we're finding in terms of around the 1 country. I'm not sure of their source of data. Now 2 we, obviously, conflict. 3 4 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I just want to be 5 clear. On your chart, which shows your revised plan 6 7 and Gulf's revised plan, with respect to, you set the rates at 11.8%, would that be correct? Or do the 8 9 rates get left where they are? MR. MAILHOT: In Staff's plan, earnings get 10 targeted at 11.8%. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So the rates 12 stay where they are? 13 MR. MAILHOT: It's partly through just a 14 credit on the bill and partly through write-offs. 15 Okay. And as I COMMISSIONER CLARK: 16 understand it, under 3(A) and (B), those things get 17 done regardless of where the earnings are? 18 MR. MAILHOT: In Staff's plan? 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. 20 21 MR. MAILHOT: Yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: And the same is true 22 23 for Gulf, except when you get down to the year 2001. Then it has an earnings test. 24 25 MR. MAILHOT: Right.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 2 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** Commissioners, I think 3 that we've got to answer a basic question. And that is, do we want to try to come out with a proposal. 4 5 We've got Staff's proposal. We've got the company's proposal. We've got Mr. McWhirter's proposal. 6 7 They're all different. Some things are alike. Some things are different. 8 I guess the question is, do we want to come 9 out with our own proposal, in effect. Issue that as 10 PAA and basically test the waters with it and see if 11 anybody wants to protest it. Obviously, if it's 12 13 protested, it goes away. And, I assume at that point then, we could either litigate that PAA or simply just 14 15 decide to go to a rate case. That would be our options, Mr. Elias? 16 17 MR. ELIAS: Yes. Okay. I'm of the 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: 19 opinion that we should try our own proposal, issue it as a PAA and see what the reaction is. 20 It may be 21 protested, and that's fine if it is. It may be that nobody is happy with it but everybody can live with it 22 and say, we can go forward from this. And that's what 23 I'd like to attempt to do. 24 And it seems to me that if we're willing to 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 do that, one of the -- the key factors we've got to 2 decide before we decide any of the other 3 particulars -- and there are a number of things that 4 we need to address. But the key factor we need to 5 decide up front is the targeted rate of return we're going to use. We need to set a floor and a midpoint. 6 7 We also need to set a target earnings level to currently set at this time. 8

9 And also if we think that there should be 10 some type of sharing, which I think there is, I think 11 it provides the correct incentives, what that sharing 12 point is going to be. And I think that we've got to 13 agree on that before we even get to all of the 14 particulars because if we can't agree on that, there 15 is no need even talking about all of the particulars.

16 So, just for trying to throw something out 17 and see if we can reach any type of consensus on this, 18 I'd be willing to suggest, and open it up to 19 discussion, but my suggestion would be that we would establish a floor of 10.5%, a midpoint of 11.5%. 20 We 21 would target our rates or to set earnings at 12.0%. 22 We would initiate sharing at 12.5%. And that sharing 23 would cease at 14% at which time any earnings in 24 excess of that would be determined by the Commission 25 in the future, but it would be utilized by the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	1
1	Commission either as refunds or as write-off of
2	regulatory assets. But we could if we find
3	ourselves in that position, which I think would be a
4	fortunate position to find ourselves in, we can deal
5	with that at that time.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: If there is no discussion,
8	we have a motion and a second. All those in favor
9	signify by saying aye.
10	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: The midpoint again
11	was?
12	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Midpoint was
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Midpoint was 11.5 but
14	we would target earnings. I want to make it clear.
15	We would target earnings at 12% which is above the
16	midpoint. It's halfway between the midpoint and the
17	high end. And the reason that I'm suggesting that is,
18	first of all, as Staff has indicated and I think some
19	of the parties, we're here in an attempt to reach an
20	agreement. And there's a little bit of negotiating
21	factors involved. And while we don't negotiate, our
22	Staff does and Mr. McWhirter is involved in those
23	things.
24	I think that, given the performance of this
25	company, the fact that their rates are low, customer

62

1 satisfaction is high, customer complaints are low, 2 reliability is high, that -- and that this would, if 3 it is issued and it is not protested, it would negate 4 the need for a rate proceeding, which means that we 5 would capture the benefits of this proposal retroactive to the beginning of the year. If we go to 6 7 a rate proceeding, we're looking at least eight months or longer from now before we capture any benefits. 8 So there's kind of a sharing so to speak of 9 10 the benefits. We also avoid a rate proceeding and the rate case expense associated with that. I think this 11 company's rate case expense the last time they filed a 12 rate case was somewhere in the neighborhood of 13 So, it's just kind of a massage factor to 14 \$1 million. 15 recognize all of those things. 16 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Can I ask a question 17 real quickly? Basically to Staff. First of all, let 18 me say this. I agree in concept of where you're I think there is an indication that this 19 going. company has operated effectively. My concern is that 20 21 we're essentially giving them a productivity incentive that is not real quantified, not very well quantified. 22 And my thought is, and the question I have 23

for Staff is, how does this relate to the idea of giving them -- versus the 11.2, giving them the 11.5?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

How -- is there any correlation to that, to whether or not there is a productivity factor or not? Are they different concepts or do they play into one another or not?

5 MR. LESTER: The idea of a productivity 6 factor is really separate from, you know, where you 7 set rates or what your authorized ROE is. They're 8 somewhat related, but they're separate too.

9 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. So, there is 10 no -- we wouldn't do anything if we were to do one versus the other? They're totally different --11 12 different atmospheres. And the reason I'm thinking that is at least there you have something that you can 13 begin to say, we're giving this company this addition 14 because we -- of this factor. That's the only thing 15 16 I'm searching for.

17 **COMMISSIONER CLARK:** I think, if understood 18 the motion from, and the explanation from Commissioner 19 Deason is, we're recognizing some -- the positives 20 things of that company; low rates, low customer 21 complaints. And, in my view, recognizing the 22 relatively lower amount of equity. What was the third 23 thing?

24COMMISSIONER DEASON:Low customer25complaints, high reliability and low rates.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That was it. High
 reliability.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Lower equity ratio.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think those factors
indicate setting the midpoint at a higher level. And
I would point out that we have been quick to penalize
this company when they don't live up to those
productivity issues.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me also indicate 9 10 that if we were in a rate proceeding and if by just some chance we were to determine that 11.5 is the 11 12 correct amount, we would set rates at 11.5, but we also would put a whole -- 100 basis points on the 13 14 upper end of that and we would allow the company to 15 earn up to 12.5 and would not say anything to the contrary. We'd say those are still reasonable 16 17 earnings.

Under this proposal, we would be setting 18 19 rates at 12.0 and allowing the company to earn up to 20 12.5, which is only a half a percentage point or 50 21 basis points. Whereas, when we normally set rates, if we were in a rate proceeding, it would be 100 basis 22 23 points on that end. So, here again, it's kind of --24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You mean where sharing 25 begins.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. Sharing begins
2	at 12.5. They can still have bottom line earnings
3	above 12.5 because there is a sharing percentage which
4	we will address later on. But I would also point out
5	that that only begins if there are efficiencies or
6	enhanced revenues or reduced costs or something
7	happens and there are going to be additional benefits
8	for customers too, and that that's what incentives are
9	all about is to provide an incentive to management,
10	hopefully customers are made better off by those
11	incentives.
12	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And that's exactly the
13	point. I was pursuaded by Mr. McWhirter's point that
14	if there are going to be efficiencies gained then they
15	ought to flow through. I don't want to belabor it, if
16	that's not a way of doing this. I agree in concept
17	with the idea that there are things here that the
18	company can be regarded on. I'm searching for a way
19	to make that a bit more quantified. But if we can't
20	do that then I'm
21	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: All right. We have a
22	motion and a second.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, let me you
24	made a motion on the ROE and that's not really a
25	motion on any of the issues, so do we need to wait or

1 are you moving that --

2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You know, we may want
3	to look at this as a package. If we can all kind of
4	agree that at least that's a framework that we can
5	look at. Because to me that's the key, is to
6	determine an ROE before you start looking at any
7	particulars. And I'm willing to do it either way.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I guess I'm
9	willing to second a motion that uses those parameters
10	with respect to the ROE and sharing and then moving
11	Staff's recommendations on the write-off of the
12	assets, the credits to the customers, with the
13	understanding that it gets adjusted by the new sharing
14	points.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. So are you
16	moving now to Item 3 on the side-by-side comparison?
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I guess what I'm
18	suggesting is yeah, I guess I am moving to Item 3.
19	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And the number that
20	we're going to set them at is what again?
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm okay with Staff's
22	recommendations with respect to 3, 4, 5 and 6, but
23	with the new proposals on the ROE.
24	MR. MAILHOT: We need a little bit of
25	clarification because

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ļ	
1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: The numbers are going
2	to change because you get a new target point.
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. The actual
4	figures will change as a result of the different ROEs.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I guess that was
6	going to be my next question as to, if we target
7	earnings at 12% as opposed to the Staff's recommended
8	11.8, obviously, you cannot do everything Staff is
9	recommending in Items 3(A) and 3(B). There's got to
10	be something that's got to be reduced to make up that
11	20 basis points differential.
12	And I guess my question to Staff is, is
13	there still adequate earnings available to achieve the
14	\$2.26 million and the \$3.5 million first year accrual
15	to storm damage reserve, which is your Part A? Is
16	there sufficient earnings to do that before we look at
17	credit on the bills?
18	MR. MAILHOT: Yes. The difference, if I
19	understand your proposal, is to target earnings at
20	12%?
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Correct.
22	MR. MAILHOT: Okay. This analysis here is
23	based on targeting earnings at 11.8%. The dollar
24	difference between those two is \$1.2 million.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: \$1.2 million per year.

1	
1	MR. MAILHOT: Per year. So, for example, I
2	mean on this schedule, if you wanted to
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So the \$7 million, in
4	essence, would become what, \$5.8 million as opposed to
5	7?
6	MR. MAILHOT: That's correct.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: And under your
8	rational then that the first year accrual to the storm
9	damage would be half of the 5.8 which would
10	MR. MAILHOT: You could do it that way.
11	That's a way to do it.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Wait a minute. I'm
13	misunderstanding. I thought there was also going to
14	be a credit on the customer's bill and what I
15	understand is in 1999 the credit would recognizing
16	the fact that it's \$1.2 million less, it would be
17	is it \$2.3 million?
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: What happens there
19	what Staff has done is they've done their regulatory
20	asset write-off of \$2.26 million. Then they've taken
21	what is left over and put that as customer credits.
22	But they recognize that the 1999 customer credit will
23	only be for a half of a year. So they've taken the
24	other half of the year and recommended that it be
25	recognized as an accrual to the property insurance

69

1 reserve. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. COMMISSIONER DEASON: And if you reduce the 3 4 \$7 million per year by \$1.2 million you're down to 5 5.8. (End of Tape 2, Side A.) 6 7 (Beginning Tape 2, Side B.) MR. MAILHOT: That would be consistent with 8 9 the current recommendation. 10 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Do we -- is it possible to keep that customer credit close to the 11 existing number? I'm thinking rather than having the 12 13 credit, you'd keep that at three maybe and then do the other to the --14 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, to achieve that you would have to basically reduce your \$2.26 million 16 17 write-off of regulatory assets, and that basically consists of three things, which is the inclusion of 18 the third floor and rate base and the associated 19 depreciation and amortization of that; the accelerated 20 21 amortization of the regulatory asset associated with the third floor; and the FAS 109 write-off. 22 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: With the motion, where is 23 that credit now under your motion? 24 25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Under my motion the

1 credit would be \$5.8 million a year. Well, I guess I 2 haven't really made a motion. I'm trying to clarify. 3 But following Staff's rational, if you make the 4 assumption you still want \$2.26 million a year in 5 regulatory asset write-offs, then the only other 6 alternative would be to reduce the customer credit 7 from \$7 million to \$5.8 million.

MR. MAILHOT: That's correct for 2000 and 8 Staff's assumption here is that the credit is a 9 2001. 10 monthly credit. But I mean, you could make it a once 11 a year credit which was the company's proposal. Ι 12 mean, in the sense of, I think they were planning on 13 crediting the customer's bill all in one month of the 14 year and if you do it that way, then you can just pick 15 a dollar amount for 1999.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: But then you forego
 any accrual to the property insurance reserve.

18 MR. MAILHOT: Yes. That's the trade-off in 19 1999, would be between the property insurance reserve 20 and the credit.

21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I think it's 22 important -- I know the company is proposing \$1 23 million a year for three years or a total of 24 \$3 million up-front increase in the property insurance 25 reserve. Our Staff's recommending \$3.5 million but,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

of course, that was with an 11.8% targeted earnings 1 2 level. 3 I would hate to forego -- I think that 4 that's something that we need to do up front so -- you 5 know, if we want to modify this some, I quess, we can tinker with it, but I think it's important to have an 6 7 accrual somewhere in the neighborhood of \$3 million 8 over this period of time to the property insurance 9 reserve. 10 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So if we need a 12 motion, -- I would move that we adopt Staff's 13 recommendation for Item 3 in a side-by-side comparison 14 except that the credits be reduced from \$7 million to 15 \$5.8 million and that 1999 would be half of that as a customer credit and the other half of the \$5.8 million 16 17 would be booked into the property insurance reserve. 18 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Right. And then you --19 with the midpoint and the ROE that you -- with everything else that you stated. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Right. That's 22 correct. 23 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: So we understand the motion. Susan got a call from the Governor. 24 He 25 agrees also. So we've got a motion and a second. A11

those in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. 1 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ave. 3 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye. 4 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Aye. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I apologize. COMMISSIONER DEASON: We also need to 6 7 address --8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What did we decide just 9 now? COMMISSIONER DEASON: You voted for it. 10 You don't know? 11 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: You seconded it. 12 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I did not say aye, actually. Or if I side yes, I would be answering --14 15 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: No. It was exactly what you had stated to tie in the Staff's proposal with 16 17 Commissioner Deason's numbers. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I just -- I 18 think that's the correct thing to do. I just wanted 19 20 to ask, where are we on the storm damage amount? Do we have in mind a figure that we think is appropriate 21 to accrue to and how close are we? 22 23 MR. MAILHOT: Well, as far as I know, in the 24 actual reserve, I think there is between \$1 million 25 and \$2 million.

73

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's all that's 1 2 there? 3 MR. MAILHOT: Yes, as of the end of last 4 year. 5 **COMMISSIONER DEASON:** And we've targeted somewhere in the neighborhood of \$25 million to even 6 7 perhaps \$30 million --COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 8 MR. MAILHOT: It's kind of controversial 9 what the target is, but it's pretty large. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. You wanted to make 12 a second motion. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, we need to 14 15 address the sharing percentages. There are some differences between our Staff and Mr. McWhirter and 16 17 the company. I would simply suggest that we would just do 18 19 it one-third, one-third, one-third, with the shareholders receiving one-third of any sharing, 20 21 regulatory assets receive one-third, and then credits to customers would receive one-third. But -- and I'm 22 23 open to negotiation. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I guess the only 24 thing that concerns me there is I think we need to get 25

74

1 the storm damage --

2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, we're going to
3	address that in the productivity factor to some
4	extent, I think. Maybe we need to do those jointly
5	because Staff's productivity factor takes \$2 million a
6	year up front before sharing begins, in essence, and
7	puts that into storm damage. I keep calling it storm
8	damage reserve. I think it's property insurance
9	reserve is the correct terminology, but we know what
10	we're talking about.
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So what you're
12	saying is that that is not included in the regulatory
13	assets?
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, for the years
15	2000 and 2001, that's correct. It's not part of
16	regulatory assets. Well, it is too. Once we get
17	sharing, Staff has identified the deficiency in the
18	property insurance reserve as something that needs to
19	be addressed with the sharing percentage that we
20	determine when earnings exceed the 12.5%.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me state it back to
22	you. As I understand it, once we hit the sharing, an
23	automatic \$2 million goes to the storm damage?
24	MR. MAILHOT: Right.
25	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And after that you

1 would go to the sharing of one-third, one-third, 2 one-third. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, Staff has 4 identified a number of items that they think need to 5 be addressed when we reach sharing. One of those is 6 storm damage and another thing is the loss on 7 reacquired debt, correct? 8 MR. MAILHOT: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But you've got certain 10 thresholds in there and you identify what triggers 11 what and I don't really have a problem with what 12 you're recommending, but we should remember though 13 that Staff's recommendation on Item 5, the 14 productivity factor, if we reach sharing, \$2 million 15 per year would go into the storm damage reserve before you get your one-third, one-third, one-third or 40, 16 17 30, 30, whatever you come up with. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I guess I'm still not 19 understanding it. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: The sharing -- when 22 does the sharing start? When you hit --23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: For 1999, it would -sharing would begin at 12.5%. 24 25 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. What about

2000 and 2001? 1 MR. MAILHOT: In 2000 and 2001, when 2 earnings get to 12.5%, the next \$2 million of 3 earnings --4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 5 MR. MAILHOT: -- goes to the storm damage 6 7 reserve. COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. 8 MR. MAILHOT: As the productivity factor. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And they the sharing 10 begins? 11 MR. MAILHOT: Right. 12 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Then after that point 13 it's one-third -- you're proposal is one-third, 14 15 one-third -- okay. COMMISSIONER DEASON: My proposal is 16 one-third, one-third, one-third. But here again, 17 that's --18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Regulatory assets back 19 to customers and 33 to the company. 20 MR. MAILHOT: Correct. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I'm okay with 22 that. 23 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: That's a second. 24 25 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yep.

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: We have a second. A11 1 those in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Aye. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Aye. 4 5 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye. 6 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Very good. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I would move Staff on 8 Item 5, productivity factor. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: There being no objection, show that approved. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I would move Staff on 13 Item 6. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: There being no objection, 17 show that approved. COMMISSIONER CLARK: So that for -- we would 18 move Staff on Issue 1. 19 20 MR. MAILHOT: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We would be denying Gulf's proposal. 22 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: With respect to 2, we would move Staff as modified by those individual 24 votes? 25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. 1 2 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Correct. Do you want to 3 show that like that, Mr. Elias, then? Show it moved 4 and seconded --5 MR. ELIAS: As modified. 6 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: No objection as modified. 7 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Did it say what the 8 floor was? Do we need to? 9 MR. ELIAS: There are other issues in this recommendation that need to be --10 11 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. And Issue 3 13 and 4 are now moot. 14 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Right. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Until we determine if there is a protest or not. 16 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. Issues 3, 4 and 5 actually. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: No, I think Issue 5 is 20 an independent issue, I believe. At least my 21 discussions with Staff they've indicated that's their belief. 22 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So we move Staff 24 on --25 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Move Staff on 5.

79

1	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Is there a second?
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, Mr. Jenkins have
3	something to say about that?
4	MR. JENKINS: Yes. I was sort of hoping
5	that the outcome of this negotiation would be to
6	resolve that docket with some of the agreements or
7	some of the sharing. I can't give you the number
8	because it's confidential, but it's not a large
9	number.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: What is Gulf's
11	position on Issue 5, the initiation of a review of the
12	contract service arrangement?
13	MR. STONE: Commissioner, we are prepared to
14	help the Commission in its review. We are confident
15	we'll be able to justify that the actions taken in
16	those contracts were prudent and within the concept
17	approved by the Commission for our pilot program. We
18	believe you will agree with that. We believe there
19	will be no money subject to refund.
20	CHAIRMAN GARCIA: So let's keep it on that
21	track, then.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You're agreeing it
23	should be done independent of whatever we do here?
24	MR. STONE: If that's the pleasure of the
25	Commission, we're prepared to help with that.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

80

CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Very good. We had a 1 motion. I believe Susan moved it and Commissioner 2 3 Deason seconded it. There being no objection, show 4 Item 5 approved -- Issue 5 approved. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I move Staff on Issue 6 5 6 and 7. 7 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Issue 6 and 7. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: Second. There being no objection, show those approved. Very good. 10 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just ask the parties 12 to look at the PAA order and I know nobody is going to be happy with that, but just weigh that against going 13 14 to a rate case. 15 CHAIRMAN GARCIA: I want to thank the 16 company and Staff for working through this as well as 17 Mr. McWhirter. Thank you. 18 (Thereupon, the proceedings on Item 10 were 19 concluded) 20 21 22 23 24 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

81

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER : COUNTY OF LEON) I, KIMBERLY K. BERENS, CSR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, Do hereby certify that I stenographically transcribed the said proceedings from tape recordings delivered to me by Commission Staff. DATED this 22nd day of April, 1999. KIMBERLY KU BERENS, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6736

		T
\$	14.2 57/15 14.2% 36/5	9
\$1 4/21, 63/14, 71/22, 73/24 \$1.2 68/24, 68/25, 69/16, 70/4	148 1/22 15 55/13, 56/15, 57/11	9 7/4 90% 47/9
\$1.3 22/3 \$14 22/1	15% 53/11, 53/15 15th 33/22	990244-EI 1/7 990250-EI 1/4
\$18.9 32/20, 33/1, 38/22, 56/23	16 8/4 16,019	9:30 1/20
\$2 35/22, 50/8, 73/25, 75/5, 75/23, 76/14, 77/3 \$2.26 54/17, 54/23, 68/14, 69/20, 70/16, 71/4	16,019 16th 3/4, 3/22, 4/3, 8/18, 9/20, 10/10, 12/12, 16/3,	
\$2.3 69/17	17/1, 17/9, 46/20 17 9/21	A
\$2.8 36/6 \$2.9 32/13	18.9% 38/25	A-rated 18/15, 18/21 a.m 1/20
\$25 74/6 \$3 71/24, 72/7	19 10/2 1990 17/17, 18/19	accelerate 12/6, 56/5
\$3.5 35/1, 68/14, 71/25	1998 17/18, 17/22, 17/25, 25/16, 34/13, 34/25 1999 1/19, 5/4, 5/23, 6/4, 7/25, 8/3, 11/16, 17/16,	accelerated 54/21, 55/15, 70/20 accepted 19/2
\$3.7 5/5, 5/13, 5/19, 5/24, 5/25, 6/3, 7/24, 12/15, 35/7 \$3.8 32/8, 32/12	69/15, 69/22, 71/15, 71/19, 72/15, 76/23, 82/7	accident 10/8 accomplishments 20/5
\$30 74/7 \$350 34/6		account 8/22, 18/9, 28/14
\$36 26/6	2	accounted 26/9 accounting 39/16
\$39 34/17 \$4.5 4/24	2 3/15, 4/14, 4/19, 6/2, 11/6, 25/2, 70/6, 70/7, 78/23 2.8 36/8	accounts 22/2
\$40.19 36/15	20 1/19, 14/9, 15/3, 44/17, 44/24, 44/25, 68/11	accredited 28/3 accrual 4/23, 6/25, 23/15, 31/2, 68/14, 69/8, 69/25,
\$41.9 35/24 \$42 34/7, 34/14, 34/21, 35/10, 36/12	20% 12/6 2000 5/12, 6/4, 11/16, 71/8, 75/15, 77/1, 77/2	71/17, 72/7 accrue 73/22
\$42.6 35/23, 36/13	2001 5/18, 6/6, 11/16, 37/11, 37/13, 53/2, 59/23, 71/9, 75/15, 77/1, 77/2	accruing 23/14
\$44 34/16, 35/22, 36/3 \$45 34/22	21 15/3, 15/14	achieve 11/11, 19/7, 19/13, 20/4, 39/1, 68/13, 70/15 achievement 16/11
\$49	22 17/14, 53/21 22nd 82/7	achieving 10/17
\$49.6 36/13	23 17/14, 58/16	act 22/7 action 38/7, 38/8
\$5.7 32/16 \$5.8 69/4, 71/1, 71/7, 72/15, 72/16	25 56/13 27 4/2, 14/9	actions 13/11, 80/15 add 20/25, 43/20
\$5.94 8/5 \$50 48/20	2nd 3/25, 6/23, 9/4, 16/6, 18/7, 53/21	added 19/20, 58/25
\$51 36/3, 36/7		adding 21/2, 44/17 additions 4/11, 13/7
\$6.5 35/2 \$7 25/2, 35/9, 35/12, 35/18, 69/3, 70/4, 71/7, 72/14	3	address 7/14, 7/18, 30/13, 39/17, 40/1, 61/4, 66/4,
	3 4/20, 11/5, 11/17, 16/6, 46/1, 54/12, 59/17, 67/16, 67/18, 67/22, 68/9, 72/13, 79/12, 79/17	73/7, 74/15, 75/3 addressed 75/19, 76/5
æ	3.7 36/7	addresses 1/10, 11/18, 16/23
& 2/3, 2/5, 25/6, 41/2, 42/9, 44/16, 45/4	30 37/10, 41/9, 76/17 31 34/13	adequate 68/13 adjusted 45/8, 45/12, 67/13
	33 77/20 36 26/6	adjustment 8/15, 12/25, 30/10, 45/14, 46/7 adjustments 40/22, 46/9
,	38% 29/25	adopt 25/5, 72/12
'96 17/23 '97 52/13		Adoption 11/9 adverse 22/11, 22/14, 23/1
'98 17/24	4	affidavit 15/6, 43/16
	4 5/1, 5/10, 5/11, 11/22, 45/17, 46/1, 67/22, 79/13, 79/17	agencies 13/9 AGENDA 1/14, 3/5, 3/7, 32/2, 46/20
1	40 4/17, 10/24, 18/25, 76/16	agree 40/25, 42/9, 42/11, 61/13, 61/14, 63/18, 66/16, 67/4, 80/18
1 3/14, 4/2, 11/17, 34/19, 78/19 1% 27/1, 44/7	40% 12/4, 20/1, 20/10, 20/13, 20/21, 36/4 40's 36/9	agreed 25/6
10 1/14, 3/3, 7/6, 55/13, 56/15, 81/18	4075 1/22	agreeing 80/22 agreement 12/13, 34/10, 62/20
10% 30/8, 47/9 10.5% 61/20	47 15/7, 15/23, 42/7 47% 32/13	agreements 80/6
10.6% 11/4	48 45/18 48% 45/14, 46/2	agrees 34/9, 34/10, 35/3, 35/12, 72/25 aide 26/3, 28/23
100 25/9, 27/2, 31/1, 65/13, 65/22 100% 21/18, 45/9, 46/7, 48/22, 51/24	49 35/9	allocation 19/22, 20/10, 20/15 allow 26/5, 65/14
109 54/22, 55/1, 70/22 11 8/2 24/23 25/26 26/23 28/13 20/23 20/24	49% 42/13, 45/11 49.8% 46/8	allowed 29/4, 30/9, 35/21
11 9/3, 24/23, 25/20, 26/23, 28/13, 30/23, 30/24, 30/25, 58/10, 58/20		allowing 19/8, 65/19 allows 12/1, 19/19
11% 11/4, 25/7, 25/10, 26/1, 26/25, 27/8, 27/22, 28/2, 28/5, 28/12, 28/13, 29/5, 29/16, 29/19, 29/24,	5	alternative 6/10, 71/6
30/11, 30/14, 30/16, 31/14, 35/25, 37/4, 41/1, 44/16	5 7/1, 11/23, 12/10, 50/1, 50/2, 67/22, 76/13, 78/9,	alters 22/8 amortization 12/6, 54/20, 70/20, 70/21
11.0% 16/21 11.2 40/17, 44/15, 63/25	79/18, 79/19, 79/25, 80/11, 81/4 5.5% 41/9	amortize 32/17, 33/4
11.2% 25/4, 34/18, 47/16, 50/16	5.8 69/9, 70/5	amortizes 39/19 amortizing 32/15
11.4% 17/22 11.5 62/13, 63/25, 65/11, 65/12	50 65/20 55% 42/13	amount 8/4, 35/11, 35/13, 35/14, 35/20, 39/2, 64/22, 65/12, 71/15, 73/20
11.5% 61/20 11.6 4/18		amounts 55/21
11.6% 11/1, 13/1, 16/2, 18/5, 18/7, 25/3	6	analyses 33/23 analysis 34/4, 42/3, 43/13, 43/18, 45/7, 46/4, 46/5,
11.7 25/10 11.7% 17/22	6 6/8, 7/3, 11/23, 12/10, 67/22, 78/14, 81/5, 81/7	68/22
11.8 54/14, 57/22, 68/8	6.6 43/1 6.75 42/12, 43/7	analyze 34/1 annual 4/23, 4/24, 9/14
11.8% 18/7, 50/19, 59/8, 59/11, 68/23, 72/1 12 9/3, 14/25, 25/21, 26/23, 28/13, 31/2, 43/12, 44/1,	6.8% 37/10 60 50/19, 50/21, 58/3	answer 8/10, 23/23, 23/24, 53/24, 60/3 answering 73/14
57/11 12% 4/16, 11/1, 25/13, 26/1, 26/12, 27/2, 27/24,	6th 4/5, 7/8, 7/12, 11/6, 11/17, 11/22, 12/10	anticipated 26/4, 26/19
34/7, 35/24, 36/17, 36/20, 36/21, 62/15, 68/7, 68/20		apologize 29/12, 73/5 applicable 16/5
12.0 65/19 12.0% 12/25, 18/2, 18/6, 61/21	7	applied 43/6
12.03% 17/25	7 6/18, 7/7, 12/11, 42/14, 43/6, 44/9, 44/19, 45/22, 45/24, 69/5, 81/6, 81/7	appiy 37/2 approach 36/23
12.2 54/15, 57/19 12.2% 6/1, 12/17, 50/7, 50/13	7.4 42/18	appropriate 16/10, 19/12, 19/17, 21/2, 30/13, 35/13,
12.5 49/7, 65/15, 65/20, 66/2, 66/3		35/18, 37/20, 42/8, 44/17, 46/25, 50/17, 51/3, 55/18, 56/19, 73/21
12.5% 48/14, 48/20, 48/21, 61/22, 75/20, 76/24, 77/3 12.6% 11/4	8	appropriately 15/16, 21/5
13 10/2, 57/14 13% 11/5, 25/14, 25/17	8.32% 42/23 8.9% 33/8	approval 1/8 approve 16/9
14% 61/23	012 10 3010	approved 4/16, 11/3, 20/12, 51/6, 55/15, 78/12,

78/17, 80/17, 81/4, 81/10 April 1/19, 4/5, 7/8, 7/12, 11/6, 11/17, 11/22, 12/10, 82/7 area 6/7. 17/5. 51/9 areas 9/16, 9/25, 12/17, 12/19, 15/20, 16/11 argument 42/6 arrangement 80/12 arrived 44/6 ascribe 50/21 assembled 58/23 asset 23/14, 38/10, 39/5, 54/21, 55/23, 56/6, 69/20, 70/21. 71/5 assets 7/17, 12/7, 38/9, 48/23, 49/9, 54/17, 62/2, 67/12, 70/17, 74/21, 75/13, 75/16, 77/19 associated 15/23, 54/22, 56/6, 63/11, 70/19, 70/21 Associates 17/19, 37/16, 37/18 assumption 49/3, 71/4, 71/9 assured 27/21 atmospheres 64/12 attachment 4/7, 4/8, 4/12, 4/19, 9/3, 10/1, 10/7, 14/8, 15/2, 16/22, 17/13, 24/20, 24/21, 24/22 attempt 60/24, 62/19 attention 9/21, 20/2 Auditing 2/3 auditor 51/22 authorized 1/5, 1/11, 7/16, 10/17, 10/24, 11/2, 12/2, 14/17, 16/17, 17/7, 17/12, 17/21, 18/1, 18/3, 18/6, 18/11, 19/10, 19/11, 25/9, 27/3, 27/16, 28/6, 28/10, 31/6, 34/4, 34/8, 34/11, 34/13, 34/24, 36/10, 64/7 automatic 6/4, 75/23 available 6/5, 8/10, 23/23, 56/8, 68/13 average 8/2, 8/5, 57/10 averaged 17/22, 17/24 avoid 63/10 ave 62/9, 73/1, 73/2, 73/3, 73/4, 73/13, 78/2, 78/3, 78/4, 78/5, 78/6 R

balance 7/17, 19/17, 20/21, 20/23, 36/16, 55/22 balanced 20/20 base 10/11, 10/19, 12/7, 25/2, 32/17, 36/1, 53/6, 53/8, 53/10, 54/19, 56/1, 70/19 based 8/2, 15/5, 18/23, 21/3, 21/18, 34/5, 37/7, 42/16, 43/13, 43/18, 46/21, 50/18, 58/25, 68/23 basis 4/17, 4/24, 5/6, 8/15, 9/5, 10/24, 14/10, 15/7, 15/23, 16/3, 18/13, 18/25, 25/9, 27/2, 31/1, 42/7, 44/9, 44/17, 44/25, 45/18, 45/24, 46/1, 50/19, 50/21, 58/3, 65/13, 65/21, 65/22, 68/11 Beg 27/6, 36/19 Beggs 3/19 belabor 16/7, 66/15 belief 79/22 Bell 20/13, 47/9 BellSouth 46/22, 48/5, 49/10 BellSouth's 48/2 benchmark 9/22 benefit 9/9, 9/12, 12/2, 33/9, 33/12, 33/13, 38/14, 55/6 benefits 7/21, 19/20, 31/4, 55/8, 63/5, 63/8, 63/10, 66/7 benefitted 55/10 BERENS 1/24, 82/3 betas 43/14 Betty 1/21 bill 5/7, 59/15, 69/14, 71/13 billing 8/3 billion 22/3 bills 8/1, 68/17 bit 5/18, 62/20, 66/19, 67/24 BOB 2/4 body 31/10 bond 37/8 bonds 18/15, 18/22 booked 72/17 booking 32/11 books 23/16 borrow 42/25 bottom 66/2 breaking 8/9, 23/20 brief 46/19 Briggum 43/12, 43/22 bring 4/22, 12/15, 35/10 brought 3/5, 51/5 build 20/24 building 32/18 builds 10/21, 19/11 business 14/14, 16/23, 17/2, 17/6, 52/11, 54/5 buy 41/25

С calculated 42/16, 43/11 calendar 5/4, 5/12, 11/16 call 38/23, 72/24 calls 25/11, 32/21 came 43/17 cap 27/20 capacity 13/6 capital 6/11, 11/21, 13/6, 13/17, 13/23, 18/14, 21/11, 21/15, 21/18, 21/24, 22/7 capture 63/5, 63/8 care 5/9 carry 40/14, 40/23 case 11/19, 15/5, 16/5, 17/17, 22/19, 22/21, 23/3, 25/16, 32/7, 35/16, 41/23, 46/24, 47/3, 48/10, 49/10, 51/19, 52/3, 52/7, 60/15, 63/11, 63/12, 63/13, 81/14 cases 17/25. 55/10 cash 34/16 caveat 57/18 cease 23/14, 61/23 ceiling 57/13, 57/14 Center 1/21, 43/23 CERTIFICATE 82/1 certify \$2/5 CHAIRMAN 1/16, 3/2, 3/17, 38/18, 46/15, 62/7 62/12, 66/21, 70/23, 72/10, 72/18, 72/23, 73/12, 73/15, 74/12, 77/24, 78/1, 78/7, 78/11, 78/16, 79/2, 79/6, 79/11, 79/14, 80/1, 80/20, 81/1, 81/7, 81/9, 81/15 chance 65/11 change 6/7, 7/23, 11/1, 13/13, 18/24, 23/3, 52/2, 52/7, 68/2, 68/4 changes 7/3 chart 14/18, 18/18, 49/24, 58/13, 58/20, 59/6 chisel 31/21, 31/22 choices 13/16 chosen 41/2, 56/5 circumstances 21/14, 23/4, 31/18, 52/2, 52/7 cited 58/9 clarification 67/25 clarify 30/6, 71/2 CLARK 1/17, 13/18, 13/23, 14/2, 14/7, 22/13, 22/17, CLARK 1/17, 13/18, 13/23, 14/2, 14/7, 22/13, 22/1 23/2, 26/10, 26/16, 26/21, 26/24, 27/4, 27/7, 27/25, 28/8, 26/11, 28/17, 28/24, 29/3, 29/7, 40/10, 40/21, 41/10, 41/17, 47/2, 47/5, 47/18, 47/21, 48/16, 49/2, 49/11, 49/15, 49/18, 49/23, 50/2, 52/17, 53/9, 53/13, 53/19, 59/5, 59/12, 59/16, 59/20, 59/22, 60/1, 62/6, 64/17, 65/1, 65/4, 65/24, 66/23, 67/8, 67/17, 67/21, 68/3, 69/12, 70/2, 73/5, 73/8, 73/13, 73/18, 74/1, 74/8, 74/11, 74/24, 75/11, 75/21, 75/25, 76/18, 76/21, 76/25, 77/5, 77/8, 77/10, 77/13, 77/19, 77/22, 77/25, 78/4, 78/10, 78/15, 78/18, 78/23, 79/12, 79/17, 79/23, 81/5 class 52/14, 52/22 clear 59/6, 62/14 clearly 9/24, 13/4, 15/24, 16/8, 18/8 close 70/11, 73/22 closing 24/1 collect 30/9 collected 55/8, 55/17 combination 47/8 combined 10/10, 15/23, 25/24 Commenced 1/20 Comments 8/11, 46/19 COMMISSION 1/1, 1/25, 3/8, 7/9, 8/10, 9/2, 9/8, 13/15, 16/8, 20/12, 25/5, 25/11, 30/7, 43/25, 55/14, 61/24, 62/1, 80/14, 80/17, 80/25, 82/3, 82/6 Commission's 15/17, 16/20, 20/11, 46/22, 50/18 COMMISSIONER 1/16, 1/17, 1/18, 13/18, 13/23, CUMMISSIUNER 1/16, 1/17, 1/18, 13/18, 13/23, 14/2, 14/7, 22/13, 22/16, 22/17, 23/2, 23/5, 23/12, 26/10, 26/16, 26/21, 26/24, 27/4, 27/7, 27/25, 28/8, 28/11, 28/17, 28/24, 29/3, 29/7, 29/8, 29/10, 29/13, 29/18, 30/5, 30/19, 36/17, 36/20, 38/19, 39/8, 39/12, 39/15, 39/15, 39/25, 40/6, 40/9, 40/10, 40/21, 41/10, 41/17, 42/1, 42/5, 42/11, 43/4, 44/10, 44/23, 45/3, 45/10, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 45/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 45/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 45/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 46/24, 45/24, 46/ 45/6, 45/13, 45/16, 45/19, 45/22, 46/3, 46/13, 46/16, 47/2, 47/5, 47/18, 47/21, 48/16, 49/2, 49/11, 49/15, 49/18, 49/23, 50/2, 50/10, 52/17, 53/9, 53/13, 53/19, 546, 549, 54/25, 55/21, 55/24, 56/4, 56/21, 57/1, 57/5, 57/8, 57/21, 57/21, 58/5, 58/8, 58/22, 59/4, 59/5, 59/12, 59/16, 59/20, 59/22, 60/1, 60/2, 60/18, 62/6, 62/10, 62/13, 63/16, 64/9, 64/17, 64/18, 64/24, 65/1, 65/3, 65/4, 65/9, 65/24, 66/1, 66/12, 66/23, 67/2, 67/8, 67/15, 67/17, 67/19, 67/21, 68/1, 68/3, 68/5, 68/21, 68/25, 69/3, 69/7, 69/12, 69/18, 70/2, 70/3, 70/10, 70/15, 70/25, 71/16, 71/21, 72/11, 72/21, 73/2, 73/3, 73/4, 73/5, 73/6, 73/8, 73/10, 73/13, 73/17, 73/18, 74/1, 74/5, 74/8, 74/11, 74/14, 74/24, 75/2, 75/11, 75/14, 75/21, 75/25, 76/3, 76/9, 76/18, 76/20, 76/21, 76/23, 76/25, 77/5, 77/8, 77/10, 77/13, 77/16, 77/19, 77/19, 77/19, 77/22, 77/25, 78/3, 78/4, 78/5, 78/6, 78/8, 78/10, 78/13, 78/15, 78/18, 78/21, 78/23, 79/1, 79/7, 79/12, 79/15, 79/17, 79/19, 79/23, 79/25, 80/2, 80/10, 80/13, 80/22, 81/2, 81/5, 81/8, 81/11

Commissioners 24/3, 60/2 Common 13/25 companies 29/2, 58/14 Company 1/6, 1/8, 2/7, 3/6, 3/9, 3/13, 3/16, 3/20, 7/13, 7/19, 8/9, 10/5, 12/14, 12/18, 13/20, 14/1, 14/3, 18/10, 21/14, 22/3, 23/22, 24/11, 27/23, 29/15, 29/19, 30/6, 30/8, 30/14, 31/5, 32/19, 32/21, 33/4, 38/15, 39/15, 39/18, 39/21, 40/3, 42/21, 42/22, 48/11, 48/14, 5/15, 5/16, 5/17, 50/7, 50/13, 51/8, 51/11, 51/13, 52/10, 48/25, 49/7, 50/7, 50/13, 51/8, 51/11, 51/13, 52/10, 53/6, 62/25, 63/20, 64/14, 64/20, 65/7, 65/14, 65/19, 66/18, 71/22, 74/17, 77/20, 81/16 company's 1/11, 3/14, 5/20, 7/8, 8/19, 9/12, 9/16, 10/19, 10/24, 11/10, 11/21, 12/20, 16/1, 18/25, 20/2, 21/18, 21/24, 21/25, 22/6, 22/9, 33/21, 47/15, 47/16, 48/12, 48/19, 50/24, 50/25, 51/15, 52/15, 52/22, 54/14, 56/1, 60/5, 63/12, 71/11 comparative 15/9, 15/12 compared 16/24, 17/22, 22/3 comparison 54/11, 58/17, 67/16, 72/13 competitive 31/12 complaints 8/21, 9/1, 9/8, 9/15, 14/14, 15/13, 16/13, 20/8, 63/1, 64/21, 64/25 component 14/10, 34/5, 36/1, 39/7, 48/1 components 47/7, 47/14 compromise 17/11, 50/23 concentration 52/14, 52/21 concept 14/25, 63/18, 66/16, 80/16 concepts 11/18, 64/3 concern 12/19, 13/10, 52/10, 63/20 concerns 5/20, 7/5, 7/14, 7/18, 52/10, 52/15, 52/22, 53/2, 53/7, 74/25 concluded 23/7, 32/25, 46/14, 81/19 conclusion 14/23, 44/6, 44/15, 44/20 conclusions 15/4 conditions 41/23 conducted 14/25 CONFERENCE 1/14, 1/21, 46/21, 47/8 confident 80/14 confidential 80/8 conflict 59/3 conform 7/4 confrontation 25/7 confused 33/19 consecutive 4/1, 5/11, 17/14 consensus 61/17 conservation 29/23 conservative 49/7 consideration 25/17 consistent 20/10, 70/8 consistently 10/13 constituencies 19/19, 20/22 constructed 44/12 construction 26/3, 28/23 consumer 24/12, 24/13 consumers 24/4 contained 9/21, 18/1, 19/1, 19/24 continues 7/18 continuing 20/18 contract 80/12 contracts 52/25, 80/16 contrary 65/16 contribute 5/25 contribution 26/3, 28/23 control 27/20 controversial 74/9 cookie 13/3 copy 3/25 corner 4/2, 13/7, 17/15 correct 23/5, 39/24, 40/8, 45/23, 46/14, 54/7, 54/23, 57/16, 57/20, 58/1, 58/2, 59/8, 61/11, 65/12, 68/21, 69/6, 71/8, 72/22, 73/19, 75/9, 75/15, 76/7, 77/21, 79/2 correlation 64/1 corresponding 11/2 cost 5/15, 10/2, 13/8, 18/13, 21/24, 22/6, 22/11, 29/22, 41/3, 41/8, 44/4, 44/8, 54/22, 55/1, 55/16, 55/19, 56/17 costs 15/10, 30/21, 38/21, 66/6 Counsel 25/6 counting 26/11 country 9/19, 18/12, 59/2 COUNTY 82/2 couple 3/10, 47/6 course 6/19, 54/13, 72/1 covered 4/25, 16/1, 18/17 crafted 46/21, 46/25 created 13/10 credit 53, 5/4, 5/5, 5/13, 5/19, 5/24, 7/24, 8/5, 12/4, 12/5, 12/14, 13/9, 14/6, 28/13, 35/1, 52/11, 52/20, 59/15, 68/17, 69/14, 69/15, 69/22, 70/11, 70/13, 70/24, 71/1, 71/6, 71/9, 71/10, 71/11, 71/20, 72/16 crediting 71/13 credits 67/12, 69/21, 72/14, 74/21 critical 12/19, 47/13

DOCKET 1/4, 1/7, 80/6 crowded 32/2 F CSR 1/24, 82/3 document 4/4 doesn't 31/10, 33/12, 37/25, 38/3, 48/25, 50/13 currently 4/16, 18/6, 39/9, 39/16, 39/22, 55/11, faced 16/23, 21/14 dollar 34/1, 68/23, 71/15 dollars 4/22, 33/18, 33/24, 34/11, 34/24, 35/10, 36/24 55/16, 61/8 faces 17/1 facilitate 3/23 customer 8/21, 9/1, 9/7, 9/8, 9/14, 9/17, 9/19, 9/23, 10/4, 10/10, 10/15, 11/13, 11/14, 14/13, 15/13, 16/11, 16/13, 19/18, 20/6, 20/7, 20/8, 62/25, 63/1, 64/20, dominant 17/4 fact 9/9, 17/25, 18/18, 22/24, 23/15, 40/14, 40/23, doubt 32/4 62/25, 69/16 downgrade 13/9 Dr. Gene 43/12, 43/22 Dr. Vander 43/15, 44/1, 44/19 64/24, 69/21, 69/22, 70/11, 71/6, 72/16 factor 5/15, 5/17, 43/6, 43/8, 48/11, 49/4, 49/21, 50/6, 51/6, 51/7, 51/12, 61/4, 63/14, 64/2, 64/6, 64/15, 75/3, 75/5, 76/14, 77/9, 78/9 customer's 69/14, 71/13 customers 5/4, 5/5, 5/7, 5/22, 7/22, 7/24, 8/2, 9/6, dramatic 13/13, 14/19, 15/21, 18/24 9/9, 9/12, 9/15, 10/14, 12/3, 12/4, 12/15, 13/8, 20/20, factors 7/10, 48/2, 48/3, 61/1, 62/21, 65/4 22/12, 25/10, 25/22, 27/3, 27/24, 31/3, 31/6, 33/2, draw 56/9 fair 37/24, 37/25, 38/3 during 17/24 falls 29/24 33/10, 33/11, 35/5, 36/4, 36/5, 36/16, 52/25, 53/8, 55/6, 55/9, 55/10, 66/8, 66/10, 67/12, 74/22, 77/20 far-reaching 13/15 FAS 54/22, 55/1, 70/22 customers' 8/1 E cut 30/21, 41/4 fashion 5/23, 13/3, 26/8 cutter 13/3 earn 30/2, 30/11, 30/16, 34/8, 49/7, 65/15, 65/19 favor 53/4, 62/8, 73/1, 78/2 cycle 7/6 earned 30/7, 34/22, 34/23, 48/14 features 49/17 earning 34/21, 36/6 earnings 1/5, 5/20, 5/25, 6/1, 6/5, 10/17, 12/1, 12/16, 19/9, 21/4, 21/5, 26/25, 28/24, 29/3, 35/3, 47/15, 47/16, 48/7, 48/12, 48/19, 49/1, 49/11, 49/11, 50/16, 50/19, 50/20, 51/2, 54/13, 56/8, 57/24, 55/10, 59/18, 59/24, 61/7, 61/21, 61/23, 62/14, 62/15, 65/17, 66/2, 68/7, 68/13, 68/16, 68/19, 68/23, 72/1, 75/20, 77/3, 77/4 earns 2/4 50/7 cyclical 52/16, 52/23 earning 34/21, 36/6 February 33/22 fiction 22/7, 42/19 fifth 32/6 D figure 73/21 figures 68/4 DALE 2/2 file 58/15 damage 26/4, 26/5, 26/18, 26/20, 28/20, 31/2, 50/8, filed 7/12, 24/7, 33/21, 63/12 68/15, 69/9, 73/20, 75/1, 75/7, 75/8, 75/23, 76/6, files 30/6 76/15. 77/6 earns 36/5, 50/7 Easley 1/21 economics 24/17 economy 17/5 filing 3/25, 4/5, 7/8, 9/4, 11/6, 11/17, 11/22, 12/10, damaged 28/20 data 8/24, 9/2, 15/11, 15/15, 17/16, 58/12, 58/17, 59/2 16/6, 53/21, 58/16 Financial 2/3, 11/11, 18/19, 37/16, 37/18, 37/21 DATE 1/19 financials 45/8 **DATED 82/7** effect 11/20, 23/19, 56/1, 60/10 financing 6/17 day 24/5, 82/7 deal 31/17, 56/19, 62/4 find 10/13, 11/22, 37/1, 62/2, 62/4 effects 10/10, 15/19 efficiencies 19/7, 19/13, 19/21, 20/4, 66/5, 66/14 finding 59/1 DEASON 1/16, 23/12, 29/8, 29/10, 29/13, 29/18, fine 37/17, 60/21 efficient 30/21 30/5, 30/19, 38/19, 39/8, 39/12, 39/15, 39/21, 39/25, effort 12/13 FIPUG 2/9, 24/22, 24/24, 34/9, 35/2, 35/11, 35/23, 40/6, 40/9, 42/1, 42/5, 42/11, 43/4, 44/10, 44/23, 45/3, 36/14, 38/9 firm 3/19, 24/13, 37/17 efforts 20/3, 20/5, 20/19, 21/4 45/6, 45/13, 45/16, 45/19, 45/22, 46/3, 46/13, 46/16, eight 24/23, 63/7 50/10, 54/6, 54/9, 54/25, 55/21, 55/24, 56/4, 56/21, fit 13/4 57/1, 57/5, 57/8, 60/2, 60/18, 62/13, 64/19, 64/24, elaborate 43/10 elaborate 43/10 Electric 2/5, 8/23, 8/25, 9/10, 9/18, 14/12, 14/13, 15/1, 15/10, 15/11, 16/12, 16/19, 16/24, 17/2, 17/12, 17/21, 18/12, 18/14, 22/12, 44/8 electricity 8/25 elements 11/24, 19/25 elements 11/15, 14/15 65/3, 65/9, 66/1, 67/2, 67/15, 68/1, 68/5, 68/21, 68/25, 69/3, 69/7, 69/18, 70/3, 70/15, 70/25, 71/16, 71/21, five 8/24 floor 23/7, 23/8, 23/13, 32/7, 32/9, 51/14, 51/19, 51/22, 51/23, 52/3, 54/19, 56/6, 56/13, 61/6, 61/20, 70/19, 70/22, 79/8 FLORIDA 1/1, 1/23, 2/8, 8/23, 9/10, 9/13, 14/12, 72/11, 72/21, 73/2, 73/6, 73/10, 74/5, 74/14, 75/2, 75/14, 76/3, 76/9, 76/20, 76/23, 77/16, 78/3, 78/8, 78/13, 78/21, 79/1, 79/15, 79/19, 79/25, 80/2, 80/10, 16/18, 16/24, 25/5, 38/14, 38/17, 41/2, 42/8, 43/24, 80/22, 81/3, 81/8, 81/11 44/16, 45/3, 54/2, 82/1 ELIAS 2/4 Deason's 73/17 Fiorida's 15/10, 15/11 eliminate 27/4, 27/7 debit 55/22 eliminating 45/9 empirical 21/21, 43/13, 43/17, 43/18, 44/2 flow 5/16, 31/11, 33/8, 38/15, 55/7, 66/15 debt 14/6, 32/21, 32/22, 39/7, 39/11, 39/13, 39/20, flowed 55/5 41/3, 41/8, 56/8, 56/22, 57/2, 57/4, 57/5, 76/7 focus 8/11, 9/16, 9/24, 10/5, 10/9 empirically 15/1 employment 53/1, 53/18 December 17/18, 34/13 focusing 11/25 decide 60/15, 61/2, 61/5, 73/8 follow 27/13 encourages 21/3 End 34/19, 56/25, 62/17, 65/14, 65/23, 70/6, 74/3 decided 22/19 forcing 21/20 forecasting 53/17 Forecasts 18/15 decision 13/5, 13/12, 13/13, 17/23, 23/8, 41/12, 46/22, 47/24 energy 8/3 enhanced 66/6 decisions 13/16, 17/17, 50/18 forego 71/16, 72/3 deferred 11/20, 55/22 ensure 30/18 form 12/15 entering 19/14 entitled 17/17, 26/2, 34/6, 42/3 deficiency 75/17 formula 44/13, 44/14, 44/18, 44/22, 44/24, 45/20 delay 56/7 formulated 7/13 deletions 4/12 enunciate 31/23 fortunate 62/4 environment 13/10 delivered 82/6 found 16/4 environmental 29/22 demand 52/16, 52/24 foundation 8/19, 20/24 demonstrate 10/6 equipment 28/21 four 8/11, 9/13, 14/21 equity 1/5, 1/12, 7/16, 13/14, 13/17, 13/25, 14/19, denying 78/21 14/23, 17/20, 18/13, 21/18, 25/5, 30/8, 30/11, 34/5, 35/25, 37/20, 40/14, 40/24, 42/3, 42/7, 43/7, 44/5, fourth 17/24, 25/24, 25/25, 33/16 dependence 52/15, 52/23 FP&L 14/20, 15/8, 40/12, 40/14, 41/12, 41/15, 41/19, dependent 53/15 depreciation 54/20, 70/20 44/8, 45/1, 45/7, 45/10, 46/2, 46/5, 46/6, 46/8, 46/9, 55/15 FPSC 1/25, 2/2, 2/4, 2/5, 13/11 describe 21/9, 54/25, 56/4 47/17, 48/15, 48/20, 50/17, 64/22, 65/3 description 8/14 Esplanade 1/22 framework 19/6, 21/1, 21/7, 67/4 designed 11/11 detail 53/22 franchise 31/8 ence 55/25, 69/4, 75/6 freeze 27/18 establish 14/25, 16/20, 61/20 detailed 12/10, 14/9 determination 5/16, 6/10, 32/8 front 56/7, 61/5, 72/4, 75/6 established 11/18, 12/1, 15/8, 18/2, 20/11 fuel 5/14, 10/2, 30/9 fund 26/2, 28/22 establishing 16/17 determine 65/11, 67/6, 75/20, 79/15 evaluate 17/10, 31/23 future 10/22, 12/8, 26/3, 26/19, 32/10, 35/7, 41/5, determined 43/8, 51/20, 61/24 evidence 21/21, 22/9, 22/23, 22/24, 38/13 41/7, 55/13, 61/25 developed 7/20, 14/20, 47/23, 49/25 examined 17/11, 43/14 differ 36/2 exceed 75/20 excess 25/9, 26/8, 26/12, 35/6, 35/20, 61/24 difference 5/8, 14/11, 14/19, 15/5, 15/6, 15/23, G 15/24, 21/8, 34/25, 36/4, 36/12, 42/6, 43/18, 45/1, excessive 32/9 45/18, 51/10, 51/17, 54/4, 54/15, 68/18, 68/24 differences 3/10, 3/12, 8/23, 14/16, 14/17, 14/23, 14/24, 15/19, 15/21, 16/18, 16/23, 17/6, 17/7, 54/5, exchange 14/5 gained 66/14 GARCIA 1/16, 3/2, 3/17, 38/18, 46/15, 62/7, 62/12, 66/21, 70/23, 72/10, 72/18, 72/23, 73/12, 73/15, 74/12, 77/24, 78/1, 78/7, 78/11, 78/16, 79/2, 79/6, 79/11, exercise 16/19 exercising 15/17, 16/4 74/16 exhibit 41/4 exhibits 37/14 differential 41/1, 43/7, 68/11 79/14, 80/1, 80/20, 81/1, 81/7, 81/9, 81/15 directed 3/8 exist 20/25 Gas 2/6 generating 13/6 generation 54/7 disagree 42/9, 44/21, 58/19 existing 4/16, 19/5, 20/24, 21/6, 70/12 disagreement 12/18 expectations 10/13 expected 18/16 discouraged 21/6 Georgia 46/24, 47/3, 47/10, 47/23, 48/10, 48/13, discretion 15/17, 16/4, 16/9, 16/20, 29/4 48/19, 49/17 gift 24/16 expenditure 38/25 expense 63/11, 63/12 discuss 14/11 discussed 15/9 expert 15/5, 55/4 Governor 72/24 discussion 3/24, 4/18, 5/2, 14/9, 14/15, 16/22, 53/22, experts 43/6 grade 33/16 explanation 64/18 61/19, 62/7 greater 17/3, 19/13, 42/17, 45/24 discussions 24/5, 79/21 explicitly 16/17 grounds 15/16 Division 2/2, 2/4, 2/5 extend 11/4

Group 2/9, 24/4 grow 19/14 growth 10/11, 53/1, 53/18 guarantee 29/21, 29/22 guaranteed 29/15, 29/19, 29/24, 30/1, 30/23, 31/13, 31/14 guess 13/24, 26/16, 60/9, 67/8, 67/17, 67/18, 68/5, 68/12, 71/1, 72/5, 74/24, 76/18 Gulf 1/5, 1/8, 2/7, 3/6, 3/20, 8/23, 9/4, 9/10, 9/14, 9/18, 13/21, 14/1, 14/5, 14/11, 14/20, 15/22, 15/25, 16/2, 16/10, 16/18, 16/24, 17/1, 17/11, 18/24, 21/10, 21/14, 22/25, 24/6, 24/10, 24/19, 24/20, 25/2, 25/18, 25/21, 26/2, 27/18, 27/21, 34/5, 34/8, 34/15, 34/16, 34/21, 34/22, 35/1, 35/2, 35/4, 35/7, 35/10, 35/21, 35/23, 35/24, 36/3, 36/6, 36/12, 37/6, 37/25, 38/3, 38/4, 38/11, 41/25, 42/6, 45/4, 46/4, 52/12, 52/13, 52/21, 59/23 GuH's 6/15, 7/15, 8/1, 8/19, 9/9, 9/11, 9/15, 9/23, 10/12, 10/23, 11/8, 11/9, 11/19, 12/19, 12/23, 12/25, 13/8, 13/22, 14/10, 15/5, 15/18, 16/14, 16/17, 18/17, 19/1, 19/22, 21/1, 21/15, 21/22, 22/10, 22/11, 24/22, 25/16, 42/2, 53/7, 54/1, 59/7, 78/22, 80/10 H half 34/24, 35/9, 65/20, 69/9, 69/23, 69/24, 72/15, 72/16 hand 4/2, 14/18, 18/18 handed 4/3, 4/4 handout 5/2, 37/5, 42/3 handouts 3/24, 42/2 happy 60/22, 81/13 hard 26/14

hasty 13/5, 13/12 hate 72/3 heavy 52/13, 52/21 help 80/14, 80/25 helpful 3/25 high 9/12, 9/23, 10/14, 10/15, 11/12, 37/7, 37/9, 62/17, 63/1, 63/2, 64/25, 65/1 higher 15/18, 16/21, 41/3, 46/2, 65/5 hill 49/8 historical 18/20, 41/7 historically 57/14 hit 48/12, 75/22, 76/22 holy 42/14 hoping 80/4 horse 24/16 hour 15/10 hours 8/4 hurricanes 10/11 hurry 32/1

T

idea 63/24, 64/5, 66/17 identified 6/2, 12/7, 75/17, 76/4 identify 6/15, 76/10 ill-advised 13/5, 13/12 illustrates 14/19 impact 21/23, 22/6, 22/11, 22/15, 22/23, 22/24, 23/1, 34/1, 44/4 impacts 13/16 implement 38/4 improve 30/20, 49/1 improved 48/7 imprudent 43/2 incentive 1/9, 3/6, 3/8, 11/11, 16/10, 19/6, 19/8, Incentive 1/3, 30, 33, 11/11, 16/10, 19/0, 19/12, 21/3, 30/20, 63/21, 66/9 incentives 20/25, 31/11, 61/11, 66/8, 66/11 incidents 9/7, 20/7 inclusion 54/19, 70/18 Incorporated 37/18, 49/17, 49/19 incorporates 10/23 increase 4/21, 10/18, 30/22, 42/7, 44/7, 71/24 increased 22/22, 44/9 increment 19/9 incremental 4/20, 6/25 indebtedness 33/5 independent 79/20, 80/23 index 15/14 indicate 58/9, 58/14, 65/5, 65/9 indicated 7/25, 20/15, 29/14, 40/11, 51/15, 62/18, 79/21 indicates 4/11 indicating 30/7 indication 10/8, 63/19 indicators 8/20, 8/24, 9/2, 11/13, 16/15 Industrial 2/8, 17/4, 24/4, 24/12, 24/13, 53/12, 54/3 industry 31/12, 52/23, 53/20 inflation 10/11 information 5/16, 15/12, 18/19, 54/18

initial 32/14, 46/19 initiate 61/22 initiation 80/11 initiatives 10/3, 10/4, 10/6 innovative 30/21 inspect 51/23 insurance 4/21, 4/23, 7/1, 12/9, 35/2, 36/15, 69/25, 71/17, 71/19, 71/24, 72/8, 72/17, 75/8, 75/18 integral 51/7 interest 19/18, 32/13, 32/20, 33/8, 33/10, 33/12, 38/23 Internal 33/6 internationally 38/16 interrelated 53/17 interrupt 47/18 interruption 9/6 intrigued 37/5 intuition 14/22 invest 13/17, 38/16 invested 22/3 **Investigation** 1/4 investment 12/23, 21/20, 21/22, 21/25, 22/4, 22/8, 22/10, 31/7, 32/13, 32/14, 32/15, 45/9, 45/12, 46/10 investments 29/23, 30/16, 30/17 investor-owned 9/13 investors 42/20, 42/22, 42/24 invited 24/8 Issue 3/14, 3/15, 44/3, 60/10, 60/19, 78/19, 79/12, 79/19, 79/20, 80/11, 81/4, 81/5, 81/7 issued 5/6, 52/12, 57/2, 63/3 issues 1/10, 9/17, 57/8, 65/5, 79/9, 79/17 ITEM 1/14, 3/3, 25/1, 25/2, 25/8, 32/6, 32/12, 50/1, 50/2, 52/4, 54/12, 55/14, 55/16, 56/15, 67/16, 67/18, 72/13, 76/13, 78/9, 78/14, 81/4, 81/18 items 55/5, 56/11, 56/18, 68/9, 76/4 1 JACOBS 1/18, 36/17, 36/20, 57/12, 57/18, 57/21, JACOBS 110, 3017, 30(20, 57)12, 57/15, 57/21 58/5, 58/8, 58/22, 59/4, 62/10, 63/16, 64/9, 66/12, 67/19, 70/10, 73/4, 78/6, 79/7 January 17/16, 17/17 JEFF 2/7, 3/19 JENKINS 2/5 JOE 1/16, 2/5 JOHN 2/8, 24/3 JOHNSON 1/17, 73/3, 78/5 jointly 75/4 JULIA 1/17 July 5/7, 8/1, 8/3, 8/6 jump 57/23 junip 5//20 justified 9/1, 9/14, 16/13, 20/8, 44/25 justifies 15/24, 20/18 justify 14/17, 37/6, 42/7, 80/15 K key 8/20, 8/24, 9/2, 9/16, 9/24, 11/13, 14/11, 16/15, 21/8, 61/1, 61/4, 67/5 kicked 48/12 kilowatt 5/6, 8/4, 15/10 KIMBERLY 1/24, 82/3 L labeled 19/15 Lane 3/19 large 20/16, 52/1, 74/10, 80/8 larger 57/25 later 6/12, 66/4 law 3/19, 16/5 laying 4/18 leading 9/18 leads 14/22 led 20/5 left 59/9, 69/21 Legal 2/4 length 32/23 LEON 1/18, 82/2 LESTER 2/2 letter 4/6, 4/7 level 7/15, 8/21, 11/12, 12/22, 15/18, 16/1, 21/2, 48/13, 50/8, 54/13, 61/7, 65/5, 72/2 leverage 14/13, 14/16, 15/24, 44/4, 44/7, 44/12, 44/14, 44/18, 44/21, 44/23, 45/19 life 32/18, 33/4, 39/19, 55/12, 56/11, 56/12, 56/14, 56/16, 57/2, 57/3 Light 25/6, 41/2, 42/9, 44/16, 45/4, 47/23 limited 19/6, 19/8 line 4/7, 6/24, 7/2, 7/7, 56/9, 66/2 listed 13/19, 14/4

litigate 60/14 little 5/18, 32/1, 62/20, 67/24 live 60/22, 65/7 load 53/1 loan 32/25 logic 31/17 long-term 9/16, 12/5 loss 32/22, 38/24, 39/10, 39/12, 40/4, 56/7, 56/21, 76/6 lost 22/5 low 9/23, 10/14, 16/12, 20/7, 62/25, 63/1, 64/20, 64/24, 64/25 lower 4/1, 4/17, 12/7, 17/15, 25/4, 33/8, 33/9, 33/12, 35/14, 38/23, 39/1, 40/17, 40/20, 40/23, 41/23, 64/22, 65/3 lowered 4/15, 6/21, 6/22 lowest 9/10, 9/11, 9/14, 37/13

M magic 40/19 magnitude 16/16 MAILHOT 2/2 maintain 20/3 maintaining 11/12 major 9/11, 9/13, 12/17, 17/17 majority 22/1 man 33/15 management 10/5, 10/12, 11/10, 12/20, 19/6, 20/18, 66/9 management's 9/24, 10/9 managements 9/24, 10/9 manner 7/19, 16/4, 21/10, 44/13 March 3/4, 3/22, 3/25, 4/3, 6/23, 8/18, 9/4, 9/20, 10/10, 12/12, 16/3, 16/6, 17/1, 17/9, 18/7, 24/7, 46/20, 53/21 market 13/20, 37/8, 43/2 marketplace 43/19 massage 63/14 Matter 1/4, 1/7, 24/17, 24/18, 30/23, 48/8 matters 16/6 McWHIRTER 2/8, 24/3 measured 18/4 mechanism 1/10, 5/9, 6/18, 7/20, 11/25, 12/9, 19/19, 20/12, 30/10 meet 3/9, 10/13, 12/12 meetings 3/11 memorandum 40/20 mention 51/9 mentioned 8/18, 17/1, 23/21, 51/13 merchandizing 6/9, 6/11, 6/16, 8/17, 11/20, 12/24, 21/9, 21/12, 21/17, 21/23, 21/25, 22/4, 22/8, 22/10, 22/22, 23/10 met 3/10 mid 36/9 midpoint 4/16, 10/24, 11/1, 13/1, 15/7, 15/25, 16/9, 16/21, 17/10, 18/3, 19/10, 44/15, 58/1, 58/11, 61/6, 61/20, 62/10, 62/12, 62/13, 62/16, 65/5, 72/19 midpoints 58/14 military 17/5, 52/16, 52/23, 52/25, 53/1, 53/8, 53/10, 53/12, 53/18, 53/20, 54/3 million 4/22, 4/24, 5/5, 5/13, 5/19, 5/24, 5/25, 6/3, 7/24, 12/15, 22/1, 25/2, 26/6, 30/25, 32/8, 32/12, 32/13, 32/16, 32/20, 33/1, 34/6, 34/7, 34/14, 34/16, 34/17, 34/21, 34/22, 34/23, 34/24, 35/1, 35/2, 35/7, 35/8, 35/9, 35/10, 35/11, 35/12, 35/18, 35/22, 35/23, 35/24, 36/3, 36/4, 36/6, 36/7, 36/12, 36/13, 36/15, 38/22, 48/20, 50/8, 54/17, 54/23, 56/23, 63/14, 68/14, 68/24, 68/25, 69/3, 69/4, 69/16, 69/17, 69/20, 70/4, 70/16, 71/1, 71/4, 71/7, 71/23, 71/24, 71/25, 72/7, 72/14, 72/15, 72/16, 73/24, 73/25, 74/6, 74/7, 75/5, 75/23, 76/14, 77/3 mind 73/21 minute 25/19, 47/19, 69/12 minutes 9/6, 34/1 miss 47/22 mistaken 58/11 misunderstanding 69/13 mitigates 52/14, 52/22 mix 33/18 models 44/13 modification 46/23 modified 78/24, 79/5, 79/6 modify 72/5 moment 34/12, 38/7 money 24/17, 25/21, 27/23, 28/9, 28/15, 28/16 28/18, 28/21, 35/4, 35/20, 38/12, 38/13, 39/3, 42/22, 43/1, 49/9, 80/19 monopoly 31/5, 31/8 month 8/5, 41/13, 71/13 monthly 71/10 months 63/7 moot 79/13 mortgage 33/5

motion 62/8, 64/18, 66/22, 66/24, 66/25, 67/9, 70/23, 70/24, 70/25, 71/2, 72/12, 72/24, 72/25, 74/13, 81/2 mouth 24/16 move 40/18, 72/12, 78/8, 78/13, 78/19, 78/24, 79/23, 79/25, 81/5 moved 50/15, 79/3, 81/2 moves 40/3 moving 67/1, 67/10, 67/16, 67/18 Mr. Chairman 3/18, 24/2 Mr. Cresse 24/10 Mr. Elias 60/16, 60/17, 79/3, 79/5, 79/9 Mr. Jenkins 80/2, 80/4 MR. LESTER 44/12, 45/2, 45/5, 45/11, 45/15, 45/17, 45/21, 46/1, 52/9, 52/19, 53/11, 53/16, 58/19, 58/23. 64/5 Mr. Mailhot 3/2, 3/4, 39/9, 39/10, 39/14, 39/18, 39/24, 40/2, 40/8, 46/18, 47/4, 47/6, 47/20, 47/25, 48/18, 49/5, 49/13, 49/16, 49/20, 50/1, 50/4, 50/12, 54/24, 55/3, 55/23, 56/3, 56/10, 56/24, 57/3, 57/7, 57/10, 57/17, 57/20, 58/2, 58/6, 59/10, 59/14, 59/19, 59/21, 59/25, 67/24, 68/18, 68/22, 69/1, 69/6, 69/10 57125, 57125, 67127, 6812, 6912, 6911, 69(6, 6911), 70/8, 71/8, 71/18, 73/23, 74/3, 74/3, 74/9, 75/24, 76/8, 77/2, 77/6, 77/9, 77/12, 77/21, 78/20 MR. MCWHIRTER 24/2, 26/10, 26/13, 26/19, 26/23, 26/25, 27/6, 27/10, 27/25, 28/6, 28/9, 28/15, 28/18, 29/1, 29/6, 29/8, 29/9, 29/10, 29/11, 29/17, 29/20, 30/12, 31/4, 34/20, 36/18, 36/19, 36/22, 38/20, 29/22, 30/12, 31/4, 34/20, 36/18, 36/19, 36/22, 36/20, 38/22, 39/22, 40/10, 40/16, 40/25, 41/14, 41/20, 42/4, 42/10, 42/12, 46/17, 56/22, 57/13, 62/22, 74/16, 81/17 Mr. McWhirter's 60/6, 66/13 Mr. Scarbrough 43/10, 43/19, 43/21, 46/11 Mr. Stone 3/17, 3/18, 13/22, 13/25, 14/4, 14/8, 22/13, 22/16, 22/20, 23/5, 23/17, 43/4, 43/9, 43/21, 22/13, 24/10, 24/20, 25/3, 25/1, 53/19, 54/6, 54/8, 80/13, 80/24 multiple 48/4 multiple 42/14 mysterious 36/24, 36/25 mystery 42/15

N name 24/3 nation 9/11, 17/13, 17/21 need 30/12, 31/10, 31/22, 41/15, 61/4, 61/6, 61/7, 61/15, 63/4, 66/25, 67/24, 72/4, 72/11, 73/6, 74/14, 74/25, 75/4, 76/4, 79/8, 79/10 needed 12/8 needs 10/14, 13/6, 26/7, 55/1, 75/18 negate 63/3 negotiate 62/21 negotiating 62/20 negotiation 74/23, 80/5 neighborhood 57/11, 63/13, 72/7, 74/6 net 32/24, 39/2, 56/1 new 5/1, 13/6, 35/21, 44/2, 67/13, 67/23, 68/2 news 58/25 nine 17/25 nonused 51/21 nonutility 21/16, 21/23, 45/9, 45/12, 46/10, 51/14, 52/5 normal 39/6 normalization 55/5, 55/7 normally 33/5, 65/21 note 10/22, 12/13, 54/1 notion 21/22 November 5/17, 52/13 nuclear 54/7 number 4/1, 9/1, 9/6, 23/22, 36/21, 38/20, 40/18, 40/19, 41/3, 41/21, 41/22, 41/23, 41/24, 42/15, 50/22, 53/23, 58/10, 61/3, 67/19, 70/12, 76/4, 80/7, 80/9 numbering 17/15 numbers 34/6, 37/1, 53/23, 53/25, 68/1, 73/17 O

objecting 24/15 objection 78/11, 78/16, 79/6, 81/3, 81/10 offered 18/25 Official 82/3 offset 53/6 offset 53/2 old 57/4 omitted 25/18 one-third 74/19, 74/20, 74/21, 74/22, 76/1, 76/2, 76/16, 77/14, 77/15, 77/17 open 61/18, 74/23 operate 12/2, 18/10 operation 6/11, 6/15, 6/16, 6/17, 8/17, 12/24, 21/10, 21/12, 21/17, 21/23, 22/22, 23/11 operations 11/21, 19/7, 21/16, 30/20, 31/9 opinion 60/19 opportunities 7/21, 13/14 opportunity 21/3, 23/13, 24/1, 30/2 oppose 46/7 opposed 49/9, 68/7, 69/4 options 60/16 order 3/23, 7/14, 17/9, 19/14, 38/23, 38/25, 81/12 original 4/12, 6/23, 7/13, 9/21, 10/1, 15/2, 15/14 outcome 80/5 outline 8/12 outlining 9/22 outset 24/9 outset 24/9 outset 10/7, 16/11, 20/5 overview 8/13 overwhelming 22/1

_____P

PAA 60/11, 60/14, 60/20, 81/12 package 67/3 packet 35/4 pages 4/6, 9/3, 10/2, 12/10, 14/9, 15/3, 15/16, 17/14 pales 22/2 Paragraph 4/14, 4/19, 4/20, 5/1, 5/10, 6/2, 6/8, 6/18, 7/4, 7/6, 11/6, 11/17, 12/11 Paragraphs 11/23 parameters 67/9 pardon 27/6, 36/19 narent 14/1 part 10/5, 11/8, 17/3, 17/13, 19/1, 39/7, 47/22, 49/21, 49/22, 51/7, 52/1, 68/15, 75/15 participate 24/8 participated 24/5, 24/8 PARTICIPATING 2/1 parties 62/19, 81/11 parts 24/14 pass 24/9 passed 8/1, 41/11 pay 33/3, 33/11, 33/13, 39/4, 43/1 paying 42/23 penalize 65/6 penalizing 21/19 perceived 22/21 percentage 8/15, 12/23, 36/25, 53/25, 65/20, 66/3, 75/19 percentages 33/18, 33/24, 37/2, 53/14, 74/15 performance 8/20, 9/4, 9/15, 11/12, 15/22, 16/15, 62/24 period 18/16, 32/16, 39/1, 55/13, 72/8 **PETE 2/2** Petition 1/8, 3/6, 4/8, 9/3, 9/21, 10/2, 14/8, 15/3, 15/15, 17/14 philosophy 24/18, 32/5 physically 51/23 pick 53/3, 71/14 piece 22/5 pilot 80/17 PLACE 1/21, 23/10 plan 1/9, 3/6, 3/8, 4/25, 5/21, 8/14, 10/20, 10/23, 11/9, 11/15, 11/24, 19/2, 19/4, 19/23, 19/24, 24/7, 24/19, 24/20, 24/21, 24/22, 24/23, 24/25, 34/9, 46/21, 46/25, 47/7, 47/11, 47/13, 47/14, 48/1, 48/2, 48/2, 46/25, 47/7, 47/11, 47/13, 47/13, 47/14, 48/1, 48/2, 48/4, 48/6, 48/9, 48/14, 48/19, 49/17, 49/19, 49/22, 50/4, 50/15, 51/8, 51/12, 59/6, 59/7, 59/10, 59/19 planning 71/12 plans 47/9, 51/6 play 64/3 pleasure 80/24 plowing 39/6 polus 32/13, 34/23, 35/4, 36/8, 41/7 point 6/1, 8/8, 8/9, 10/21, 12/16, 13/19, 15/23, 16/7, 20/23, 21/8, 23/6, 23/20, 24/23, 24/25, 25/20, 25/24, 25/25, 26/11, 28/11, 28/21, 33/7, 37/3, 37/9, 37/13, 38/20, 42/7, 44/19, 45/18, 49/1, 51/25, 54/4, 57/25, 58/10, 60/13, 61/12, 65/6, 65/20, 66/4, 66/13, 68/2, 77/13 pointed 9/20, 10/9, 17/9, 19/5, 50/25 points 4/17, 8/12, 10/24, 14/11, 15/7, 19/1, 25/9, 27/2, 31/1, 44/9, 44/17, 44/24, 44/25, 45/24, 46/2, 50/20, 50/21, 58/3, 65/13, 65/21, 65/23, 67/14, 68/11 policies 31/23 portion 40/3, 53/9 position 41/10, 62/3, 62/4, 80/11 positive 10/21 positives 64/19 possible 23/16, 55/20, 56/20, 70/11 Power 1/6, 1/8, 2/7, 2/9, 3/6, 3/20, 5/14, 9/10, 14/12, 14/20, 15/25, 18/25, 25/6, 34/8, 41/2, 42/9, 44/16, 45/4, 46/4, 46/24, 47/3, 47/10, 47/23, 48/19 Power's 34/5, 48/10, 48/14, 52/13, 52/21 practice 39/6 precedent 20/11 preferred 42/23, 43/1 prepared 54/11, 80/13, 80/25

presence 52/24 presentation 6/13, 8/7, 23/21, 25/18, 28/1, 29/14 presentations 46/13 presented 46/20, 47/8, 47/11, 58/12 pretty 43/2, 74/10 prices 8/25, 9/5 problem 29/20, 30/15, 76/11 problems 33/15 procedure 27/21 proceeding 63/4, 63/7, 63/10, 65/10, 65/22 PROCEEDINGS 1/14, 81/18, 82/5 produced 17/18 productivity 48/1, 48/3, 48/11, 49/3, 49/21, 50/6, 51/5, 51/7, 51/12, 63/21, 64/2, 64/5, 65/8, 75/3, 75/5, 76/14, 77/9, 78/9 proffer 24/21 profile 22/9 program 6/9, 80/17 projected 8/2, 12/16, 18/21, 53/1 projecting 41/5 projection 37/11, 37/15, 41/7 projections 5/8, 37/22 property 4/21, 4/23, 6/25, 12/9, 13/7, 55/12, 69/25, 71/17, 71/19, 71/24, 72/8, 72/17, 75/8, 75/18 proposal 3/12, 3/13, 3/14, 3/15, 3/21, 4/9, 4/13, 5/6, 6/7, 6/21, 6/23, 7/14, 7/18, 7/23, 8/19, 8/22, 12/21, 14/10, 15/21, 16/1, 18/5, 18/9, 18/17, 19/1, 19/11, 19/25, 21/2, 29/19, 31/15, 31/16, 34/3, 36/14, 38/3, 39/14, 40/1, 40/2, 44/19, 46/20, 50/5, 51/3, 57/15, 60/4, 60/5, 60/6, 60/10, 60/19, 63/5, 65/18, 68/19, 71/11, 73/16, 77/14, 77/16, 78/22 proposals 34/2, 67/23 propose 37/24 proposed 1/9, 4/15, 4/17, 5/23, 6/19, 8/14, 8/16, 10/23, 11/7, 12/25, 16/2, 16/9, 17/10, 18/7, 19/18, 19/22, 25/3, 51/8 proposing 5/22, 30/6, 71/22 proposition 35/14 protection 38/16 protest 60/12, 79/16 protested 60/13, 60/21, 63/3 provide 8/13, 12/8, 15/12, 15/16, 31/5, 66/9 provides 7/21, 19/6, 61/11 proxy 6/10 prudent 30/16, 80/16 PSC 45/9 PUBLIC 1/1, 25/6, 43/23 purchase 5/14 purpose 44/3 purposes 21/13, 27/11 pursuaded 66/13 put 13/24, 32/9, 32/16, 36/24, 36/25, 49/8, 65/13, 69/21 puts 75/7 Q

quantification 15/4

quantified 15/6, 15/20, 43/17, 63/22, 66/19 quarter 17/24 question 13/19, 22/17, 26/14, 29/15, 33/2, 38/19, 40/22, 41/18, 54/10, 57/12, 60/3, 60/9, 63/16, 63/23, 68/6, 68/12 questionable 51/24 questions 8/10, 23/23 quick 55/20, 56/20, 65/6 quoted 56/22

R

raised 52/10, 57/13 raises 13/23 range 6/20, 10/18, 11/2, 12/2, 19/11, 19/15, 25/11, 25/14, 27/5, 27/8, 27/10, 27/14, 27/16, 28/4, 40/3, 40/7 ranked 9/18 rapid 55/2 rate 9/14, 11/19, 16/13, 17/17, 22/19, 22/20, 25/7, 25/12, 25/13, 32/7, 32/17, 33/8, 33/10, 33/12, 35/12, 35/16, 36/1, 38/23, 41/22, 51/19, 52/3, 52/7, 54/19, 56/1, 60/15, 61/5, 63/4, 63/7, 63/10, 63/11, 63/12, 63/13, 65/10, 65/22, 70/19, 81/14 rated 14/5 ratepayers 38/14, 38/17, 39/4, 55/17 Rates 8/20, 9/10, 9/23, 10/12, 10/14, 10/19, 11/13, 12/7, 14/13, 16/12, 18/15, 18/20, 18/21, 20/6, 24/12, 24/13, 24/14, 25/2, 32/20, 35/8, 35/11, 37/12, 41/6, 48/5, 48/9, 49/14, 58/3, 59/8, 59/9, 59/12, 61/21, 62/25, 64/7, 64/20, 64/25, 65/12, 65/12, 63/3 rational 38/11, 69/8, 71/3

re-review 23/10 reach 15/3, 44/15, 50/13, 61/17, 62/19, 76/5, 76/14 reached 20/22, 40/7, 44/20 reacquired 39/11, 39/13, 56/7, 56/22, 76/7 reaction 60/20 read 52/18 readdresses 11/19 reason 25/15, 51/1, 62/17, 64/12 reasonable 7/19, 18/8, 19/3, 21/13, 47/12, 65/16 reasonableness 17/10, 25/12, 25/14 reasons 50/22, 50/24 receivable 22/2 received 19/20, 20/20, 74/21, 74/22 received 30/1, 42/2 receiving 74/20 received 16/3 recognition 40/13, 47/2 recommend 27/18 recommendation 3/5, 46/19, 47/16, 50/5, 58/7, 70/9, 72/13, 76/13, 79/10 recommendations 58/18, 67/11, 67/22 recommended 68/7, 69/24 recommending 40/13, 54/16, 68/9, 71/25, 76/12 recordings 82/5 recoverable 35/5 recovered 55/11, 55/19, 57/2 recovered 55/11, 55/19, 57/2 recovery 5/15, 54/21, 55/2, 55/13, 55/15, 56/5, 56/7 recredit 5/22 red 4/7, 6/24, 7/2, 7/7 reduce 25/1, 35/8, 35/11, 36/7, 48/5, 48/9, 49/14, 70/3, 70/16, 71/6 reduced 66/6, 68/10, 72/14 reducing 5/14, 56/1 reduction 1/11, 6/19, 10/3, 10/23, 11/1, 11/8, 13/2, 18/5, 18/6, 18/25, 35/13 reference 6/25, 49/24 refinance 32/21 refinanced 32/22 refinancing 38/21, 38/24 reflect 9/24, 14/23, 46/8 reflected 17/7 reflects 9/16 refund 31/3, 80/19 refunded 25/10 refunds 62/1 rerunds 62/1 Regional 37/16, 37/17, 37/21 regulatory 1/10, 7/17, 11/18, 12/7, 13/10, 17/18, 19/5, 23/14, 24/18, 26/14, 31/10, 32/5, 38/9, 38/10, 39/5, 48/22, 49/9, 54/16, 54/21, 55/23, 56/6, 62/2, 69/19, 70/17, 70/21, 71/5, 74/21, 75/12, 75/16, 77/19 relate 6/2/4 relate 63/24 related 8/25, 9/17, 52/23, 64/8 Relative 14/16, 14/17, 14/24, 16/23, 17/6, 54/5 reliability 8/21, 8/25, 9/12, 9/23, 10/3, 10/15, 11/14, 14/13, 15/12, 16/12, 20/6, 63/2, 64/25, 65/2 reliable 24/11 reliance 17/3, 53/20, 54/1 relief 27/24, 35/17 remaining 12/6, 32/17, 33/4, 39/19, 55/12, 56/12, 56/14, 56/15 remarks 24/1 Remember 18/2, 76/12 remove 6/11, 46/7, 55/25 repeat 26/14 replace 28/20 report 7/11, 17/16, 17/20, 17/24, 18/1, 30/7, 32/6, 33/21, 33/22, 52/11, 52/20 REPORTED 1/24, 45/8, 46/6 Reporter 1/25, 82/1, 82/3 reporting 7/5, 58/24 Reports 52/12, 52/19, 53/3, 58/25, 59/1 representing 2/7, 2/8, 24/4 represents 36/21 request 43/24 require 33/6 required 21/11, 48/5 Research 17/19, 43/23 reserve 4/21, 4/23, 7/1, 12/9, 26/5, 27/1, 28/3, 28/22, 35/2, 36/16, 50/9, 68/15, 70/1, 71/17, 71/19, 71/25, 72/9, 72/17, 73/24, 75/8, 75/9, 75/18, 76/15, 77/7 residential 8/2, 8/3, 9/5, 52/14, 52/21, 53/6, 53/14 resolve 3/10, 3/11, 80/6 respect 31/24, 59/7, 67/10, 67/22, 78/23 respond 46/17, 52/9 response 24/24, 29/15, 40/15, 58/17 restricting 30/14 result 21/4, 46/9, 68/4 results 9/22, 10/7, 11/12, 34/7 retail 5/3, 7/10, 21/20, 22/11 retain 19/20 retained 26/1, 26/2, 26/17, 26/18 retire 57/4 retired 39/19, 57/6

retroactive 10/25, 63/6 retroactively 18/10 return 1/5, 1/12, 7/16, 11/20, 13/14, 25/5, 25/10, 27/3, 27/5, 27/8, 27/10, 28/7, 30/2, 30/8, 31/7, 31/13, 31/14, 32/12, 34/4, 34/7, 34/11, 34/13, 34/15, 34/16, 34/18, 34/25, 35/25, 36/10, 37/4, 37/7, 37/20, 39/1, 47/17, 48/14, 48/20, 50/16, 61/5 returned 33/1 returns 17/12, 17/20, 35/21, 36/25, 42/16, 43/14, 58/24 revenue 12/16, 26/1, 27/20, 29/25, 30/9, 33/6 revenues 5/25, 20/10, 25/8, 25/16, 26/8, 26/11, 26/17, 26/21, 27/12, 27/14, 27/15, 28/2, 30/1, 30/2, 53/10, 66/6 reverse 23/15 review 3/23, 80/11, 80/14 reviewed 51/21 revised 3/12, 3/13, 4/8, 4/9, 4/19, 7/17, 10/20, 12/21, 50/5, 50/15, 59/6, 59/7 revision 7/12, 42/25 revisions 3/22 revisiting 23/8, 51/16 reward 16/10 rewarded 50/25 right-hand 17/15 rights 31/9 rise 18/16 risk 14/14, 14/24, 16/23, 17/2, 17/6, 22/9, 22/22, 41/1, 52/11, 54/5 ROE 4/15, 4/16, 6/20, 8/14, 10/24, 11/7, 12/22, 12/25, 13/2, 13/13, 14/10, 14/18, 15/18, 15/19, 16/9, 16/17, 17/8, 17/23, 18/1, 18/24, 19/10, 25/3, 40/11, 41/18, 52/10, 64/7, 66/24, 67/6, 67/10, 67/23, 72/19 ROEs 18/11, 68/4 role 17/4 Room 1/22 round 34/6 rounding 22/5 RPR 1/24, 82/3 RRA 17/19, 17/24, 18/1 run 13/8, 35/18, 56/2 S sacrificing 20/4 sales 17/3, 30/22, 53/25, 54/3 satisfaction 9/17, 9/20, 9/24, 10/4, 10/15, 11/14, 15/13, 20/7, 63/1 satisfy 10/16 schedule 69/2 searching 64/16, 66/18 Second 62/6, 62/8, 66/22, 67/9, 72/25, 74/13, 77/24, 78/1, 78/10, 78/15, 80/1, 81/8, 81/9 seconded 73/12, 79/4, 81/3 sector 17/4 seek 19/7, 19/13 selected 18/19, 40/17 send 13/13, 27/23 sends 11/9 sense 33/13, 37/4, 49/6, 53/18, 71/12 Sent 51/22 sentence 52/20 separate 14/24, 64/6, 64/8 separation 7/10 sequence 4/1, 5/11 serious 31/19 serve 17/5 SERVICE 1/1, 8/25, 11/13, 16/12, 31/6, 33/6, 58/24, 80/12 Services 2/4 set 4/13, 6/1, 10/12, 12/16, 12/20, 13/3, 25/12, 27/8, 41/6, 41/19, 47/14, 47/16, 59/7, 61/6, 61/7, 61/8, 61/21, 64/7, 65/12, 65/21, 67/20 sets 4/9 setting 15/17, 15/25, 20/9, 47/12, 51/1, 65/5, 65/18 settlement 17/11, 18/5, 35/14, 40/18, 41/2, 41/20, 41/24 seven 34/23 sewer 29/2 shading 4/11 share 20/1 shared 20/10, 21/5, 48/21 shareholder 19/14, 19/18 shareholders 7/22, 10/16, 11/10, 12/3, 12/5, 19/9, 19/22, 20/2, 20/9, 20/14, 20/16, 20/17, 21/19, 74/20 sharing 4/24, 6/18, 6/21, 7/13, 8/15, 10/20, 11/8, 11/9, 11/25, 12/22, 19/2, 19/4, 19/15, 19/19, 19/23, 20/12, 20/13, 21/3, 40/3, 40/4, 40/7, 48/25, 49/1, 50/10, 50/12, 57/19, 61/10, 61/11, 61/22, 63/9, 65/24, 66/1, 66/3, 67/10, 67/13, 74/15, 74/20, 75/6, 75/17, 75/19, 75/22, 76/1, 76/5, 76/14, 76/21, 76/22, 76/24, 77/10. 80/7

shift 11/2 short 12/3, 35/18, 41/7 show 4/12, 18/15, 37/22, 78/12, 78/17, 79/3, 81/3, 81/10 shows 9/4, 18/19, 59/6 Side 34/19, 70/6, 70/7, 73/14 side-by-side 54/11, 67/16, 72/13 signal 11/10, 13/14 significance 22/2 signify 62/9, 73/1, 78/2 simple 24/25 simplistic 36/23 six 24/25. 38/20 sixth size 13/4 slower 48/16 small 22/4, 56/10, 56/18 sort 47/23, 80/4 source 59/2 Southern 13/20, 14/1, 14/2, 20/13, 27/23, 38/15, 42/21.47/9 specified 49/21 spent 32/9, 32/19, 33/8, 38/22 spread 56/13 Staff 3/5, 3/7, 3/8, 3/13, 12/18, 23/12, 24/19, 24/23, 25/3, 27/17, 33/22, 34/9, 34/17, 34/22, 34/25, 35/3, 35/8, 35/12, 35/23, 36/3, 36/11, 38/2, 38/11, 39/16, 5016, 5012, 5012, 5015, 5011, 5011, 5011, 5011, 5011, 39/25, 40/12, 40/16, 46/16, 46/21, 50/15, 51/10, 51/18, 51/21, 51/25, 54/9, 54/11, 62/18, 62/22, 63/17, 63/24, 68/8, 68/12, 69/19, 74/16, 75/17, 76/3, 78/8, 78/13, 78/19, 78/24, 79/21, 79/23, 79/25, 81/5, 81/16, 82/6 Staff's 3/15, 7/5, 7/14, 23/7, 24/21, 31/15, 39/14, 401, 44/10, 47/10, 50/4 54/14, 54/14, 58/14 40/2, 44/10, 47/22, 50/4, 54/14, 54/16, 59/10, 59/19, 60/5, 67/11, 67/21, 68/7, 71/3, 71/9, 71/25, 72/12, 73/16, 75/5, 76/13 Standard 52/12, 52/19 start 6/20, 26/11, 48/25, 57/23, 57/25, 67/6, 76/22 started 25/16 starting 10/21, 47/14 starts 10/20 state 24/15, 75/21, 82/1 statistics 58/9 statute 16/5 stay 59/13 stenographically 82/5 step 35/19 stock 13/20, 42/23, 43/1 stockholders 42/20 STONE 2/7, 3/19, 27/18, 31/21, 31/22 storm 26/4, 26/5, 26/17, 26/18, 31/21, 31/22 storm 26/4, 26/5, 26/17, 26/18, 26/19, 27/1, 28/3, 28/20, 31/2, 50/8, 68/15, 69/8, 73/20, 75/1, 75/7, 75/23, 76/6, 76/15, 77/6 street 33/16 strike 4/7, 4/11, 6/24, 7/2, 7/7, 35/25 striking 20/21 struck 19/17 structure 6/12, 11/21, 21/12, 21/15, 21/18 studies 14/24, 15/1 study 7/9, 9/22, 26/7, 32/24, 43/16, 43/17, 43/22, 44/2, 44/20 stuff 42/19 subject 6/5, 80/19 submit 15/15, 16/14, 31/9 submitted 18/9 subparts 24/24 subsidize 21/20 subtracted 42/13 success 19/24 sufficient 16/16, 68/16 suggestion 61/19 suitable 23/20 Summaries 16/5 summarized 15/2, 17/23 summarizes 10/2 superior 9/4 supervise 30/17 support 6/5, 12/8, 20/3, 20/18, 21/15, 21/22 surveillance 7/11, 21/13, 27/11, 33/21 survey 9/23 SUSAN 1/17, 72/24, 81/2 sustained 8/20, 9/5, 16/14

T

T-bill 18/20 T-bills 18/16 table 9/22 tagged 28/16, 28/19 talk 5/11, 7/8, 7/24, 8/14, 15/11, 33/17 talked 6/3, 6/9 talking 5/14, 26/13, 32/5, 33/24, 61/15, 75/10 talks 4/20, 53/22 Tallahassee 1/23

Tape 34/19, 70/6, 70/7, 82/5	volatile 27/12 voted 73/10	
target 54/14, 57/22, 61/7, 61/21, 62/14, 62/15, 68/2, 68/6, 68/19, 74/10	votes 78/25	
targeted 50/21, 54/13, 58/4, 59/11, 61/5, 72/1, 74/5	VUUS 10125	
targeting 50/16, 50/19, 51/2, 68/23	772	
tax 55/4, 55/5, 56/15	W	
taxes 55/22	wait 66/25, 69/12	
TECO 20/13	walk 4/10	
tee 3/3 term 12/4, 32/25	warrant 16/19	
terminology 75/9	wastewater 44/14	
terms 14/12, 36/24, 47/6, 47/12, 59/1	water 29/2, 44/14 waters 60/11	
TERRY 1/16	weather 27/13	
test 22/14, 59/24, 60/11	weigh \$1/13	
testimony 15/5, 44/2	wholesale 7/10	
text 7/1	Wiede 43/15, 44/1	
Thank 3/18, 14/7, 38/18, 39/8, 40/9, 81/15, 81/17 Thereupon \$1/18	Wiede's 44/19	
They've 23/17, 69/19, 69/20, 69/23, 79/21	willing 60/25, 61/18, 67/7, 67/9 words 23/18	
third 11/19, 11/24, 21/8, 23/7, 23/8, 23/13, 25/8,	worked 48/2, 48/13	
25/20, 25/24, 32/7, 32/9, 51/14, 51/19, 51/22, 51/23,	working 10/9, 81/16	
52/3, 54/19, 56/6, 56/13, 64/22, 70/19, 70/22	works 26/7, 49/6	
thousand 9/15	worthiness 13/9	
three 4/25, 5/21, 8/20, 11/16, 12/7, 12/17, 15/20, 15/25, 16/15, 27/19, 31/21, 31/24, 32/16, 33/3, 33/25,	write 40/4	
34/2, 47/12, 48/6, 48/15, 48/18, 70/13, 70/18, 71/23	write-off 62/1, 67/11, 69/20, 70/17, 70/22	
thresholds 76/10	write-offs 59/15, 71/5 write-up 47/22	
throw 61/16	writing 48/22, 55/24	
	written 54/17	
TIME 1/20, 7/20, 10/16, 16/8, 18/24, 20/19, 24/7,	wrong 13/14, 23/3, 23/13, 23/18	
28/21, 30/3, 32/10, 32/11, 34/12, 38/7, 39/1, 41/11, 43/11, 47/1, 47/13, 51/20, 51/25, 52/2, 61/8, 61/23,		
62/5, 63/12, 72/8	Y	
times 3/10, 31/19, 33/1, 38/12, 41/5		
tinker 72/6	year 5/4, 5/12, 5/21, 9/7, 10/25, 25/2, 32/16, 34/8, 35/17 37/10 37/11 41/0 47/12 51/21 53/2 54/17	
token 20/17	35/17, 37/10, 37/11, 41/9, 47/12, 51/21, 53/2, 54/17, 56/15, 56/25, 59/23, 63/6, 68/14, 68/25, 69/1, 69/8,	
top 6/20, 10/17, 12/1, 19/10, 19/15, 21/1, 21/4, 28/4,	69/23, 69/24, 70/4, 71/1, 71/4, 71/11, 71/14, 71/23,	
58/25	74/4, 75/6, 76/15	
topic 32/3 touch 14/14, 43/9	year-end 33/21	
touched 7/22	years 4/25, 6/4, 8/24, 11/16, 14/21, 14/25, 16/1,	
track 7/11, 80/21	27/19, 31/22, 31/25, 32/19, 33/3, 43/12, 44/1, 48/4,	
trade-off 71/18	48/6, 48/9, 48/15, 48/18, 55/10, 55/13, 56/14, 57/8,	
traded 14/3	57/11, 71/23, 75/14	
traditional 19/16, 21/1		
transcribed 82/5	Z	
translated 33/23, 34/11 treasuries 37/10	zone 19/16, 21/1, 21/5	
treasury 41/9		
treat 21/11, 31/1, 39/5		
treated 21/11, 26/8		
treating 39/9, 39/10		
treatment 8/16, 11/22, 12/23, 38/9, 38/10, 51/14,		
51/19, 52/5 trend 18/11		
trending 18/14		
trends 15/9, 17/12, 18/4, 18/23		
triggers 76/10		
true 54/8, 59/22		
true-up 5/9		
Turning 19/4		
twist 5/19		
two 4/6, 11/18, 14/24, 15/1, 46/18, 47/9, 48/6, 48/15, 48/18, 51/15, 58/25, 68/24		
type 6/15, 23/9, 61/10, 61/17		
	4	
U	· ·	
U.S 17/4		
undertaken 10/4		
unfairly 21/19 University 43/23		
up-front 71/24		
updated 7/9, 43/16		
upper 65/14		
useful 32/17, 51/21, 51/25		
Users 2/9		
utilities 8/23, 9/11, 9/13, 9/19, 14/12, 14/17, 15/1, 15/11, 15/22, 16/19, 16/25, 17/2, 17/12, 17/21, 18/12,		
18/14, 30/1, 32/4, 43/14, 54/2		
utility 18/15, 18/21, 21/16, 24/11, 25/13, 38/12,		
43/23, 44/8, 52/11, 52/20		
utilized 61/25		
v		
value 9/17, 19/14, 32/24, 39/2 verified 43/25		
verified 43/25 version 4/8, 7/2, 7/7		
view 26/16, 64/21		
viewed 20/16		
viewpoint 44/11		