

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\WAW\WP\981342WS.RCM

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 05753 MAY-6 20 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

#### CASE BACKGROUND

On May 14, 1996, the Board of County Commissioners of Polk County adopted a resolution pursuant to Section 367.171, Florida Statutes, declaring privately owned water and wastewater utilities in that county to be subject to the provisions of Chapter 367, Florida Statutes. The resolution was acknowledged by this Commission on July 11, 1996 by Order No. PSC-96-0896-FOF-WS in Docket No. 960674-WS. Pursuant to Sections 367.031 and 367.171, Florida Statutes, a utility subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission must obtain a certificate of authorization.

Anglers Cove West, Ltd. (Anglers or utility) is a Class C utility located in Polk County which serves two Mobile Home Parks, Anglers Cove and Anglers Cove West. Water and wastewater lines between the two parks are interconnected but only wastewater service is provided from utility-owned facilities. Water is purchased from the City of Lakeland. The utility has one water distribution system, one wastewater treatment plant, and one wastewater collection system. Utility services have been provided to Anglers Cove since 1983 and to Anglers Cove West since 1986 under the provisions of Section 723, Florida Statutes, which governs mobile home park lot tenancies. Since Anglers' operations were subject to regulation under Chapter 723, Florida Statutes, the utility was never franchised by Polk County.

Both communities are built-out with 340 total mobile home sites. While the City of Lakeland is considered to be in the Southern Water Use Caution Area, there has been no requirement for the lots in Anglers to be individually metered. The utility's existing rate at the time of application was a combined flat rate of \$15.00 for both water and wastewater service combined. Pursuant to a pass-through rate adjustment effective November 30, 1998, the rate has been increased to \$15.71.

On August 20-22, 1996, staff met in Polk County with all entities that could potentially be jurisdictional under Section Florida Statutes, to explain the 367, requirements for certification and the options for exemption under the statute. Subsequent to that meeting, a letter dated September 12, 1996 was sent to staff by legal counsel for an owner of a number of mobile home parks across the state including five other limited partnerships in Polk County similar to Anglers. The letter conveyed for staff's review and comments an Application for Declaratory Statement Relating to Exemption from Regulation or Nonjurisdictional Finding (Declaratory Statement) on behalf of CHC VII, Ltd. (CHC). The Declaratory Statement for CHC, was intended

to cover the five other mobile home limited partnerships in Polk County including Anglers.

Unfortunately, the letter was misplaced by staff for a period of time. Once the letter was rediscovered, several conversations and meetings ensued between staff and the utility owner. By letter dated August 10, 1998, staff informed the owner of the result of its review. Since CHC provided water and wastewater service for compensation, it was a utility under the definition in Section 367.021(12), Florida Statutes, and therefore jurisdictional. In addition, since there was specific charge for water or wastewater service, the landlord-tenant exemption contained in Section 367.022(5), Florida Statutes, could not apply. Further, it did not appear that CHC qualified for any other exemption contained in Section 367.022, Florida Statutes. As an analogous situation, staff cited the Commission's decision with regard to Lake Yale Utility Company in Docket No. 930133-WS. A copy of Order No. PSC-94-0171-FOF-WS, issued February 2, 1994 in that docket, was provided along with applications for original certificates.

At the time of staff's August 10, 1998 letter, the exact circumstances of the owner's other Polk County systems were not known. In the case of Anglers, it was subsequently learned that a non-jurisdictional finding and a landlord-tenant exemption pursuant to Section 367.022(5), Florida Statutes, could not apply for the same reasons given for CHC. Since Anglers resells water service, this service potentially qualified for a reseller exemption pursuant to Section 367.022(8), Florida Statutes. However, as noted, Anglers was charging a combined flat rate of \$15.00 per month for water and wastewater services combined. The resulting monthly revenues generated from the combined charge substantially exceeds the cost to purchase water service from the City of Therefore, under its current rate structure, Anglers Lakeland. cannot qualify for a reseller exemption pursuant to Section 367.022(8), Florida Statutes, and no other exemptions appear to apply.

By letter dated August 18, 1998, the owner expressed some concerns regarding the applications provided with staff's August 10th letter. The owner's primary concern was the dilemma of adjusting the utility's rates to cover the cost of the Commission's regulatory assessment fees (RAFs) while still complying with the mobile home park rental agreements under Chapter 723, Florida Statutes. By letter dated September 4, 1998, staff informed the owner that Section 367.011, Florida Statutes, provides the Commission with exclusive jurisdiction over utilities with regard to service, authority, and rates. The Commission's authority under

Chapter 367, Florida Statutes, supersedes all other laws, agreements and contracts with regard to the exclusive jurisdiction set forth in Section 367.011, Florida Statutes.

Upon reconsideration, however, staff substituted applications for grandfather certificates in place of certificate applications for a utility in existence and charging rates. The latter application implies that the utility has been charging rates without any regulatory oversight which was not the case. The only reason the owner's systems were not franchised by Polk County at the time of Commission jurisdiction was due to the fact that the Polk County Board of County Commissioners considered their operations to be governed by Chapter 723, Florida Statutes.

In staff's September 4, 1998 response, the owner was also informed that, once the grandfather applications were filed for each utility, the owner may apply for a pass-through rate increase to accommodate RAFs and also request a staff-assisted rate case or limited proceeding to address any other operational expenses due to Commission regulation. Finally, the owner was informed that none of these rate options were prevented by park operation under Chapter 723, Florida Statutes. On October 14, 1998, a grandfather application on behalf of Anglers was timely filed opening this docket. Anglers also filed a Request for Representation by a Qualified Representative on November 16, 1998. By Order No. PSC-98-1634-PCO-WS, issued December 7, 1998, the Commission authorized Mr. Norman F. Mears to appear as Qualified Representative in this docket on behalf of Anglers.

On October 16, 1998, the utility owner applied for a 1998 pass-through rate adjustment. The pass-through rate adjustment was approved effective November 30, 1998. Meanwhile, on October 21, 1998, the utility received a standard letter from staff informing the owner of the obligation to file Annual Reports and to remit RAFs from the date of Commission jurisdiction on May 14, 1996. On December 21, 1998, the owner filed a Petition for Waiver of Rule 25-30.110(3), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), with regard the requirement to file annual reports for the years of 1996 and 1997 on the basis of substantial hardship and violation of principles of fairness. By letter of the same date to Mr. Charles Hill, the owner also requested the Commission not require the remittance of RAFs for 1996 and 1997.

Docket No. 981912-WS was opened to address the Petition for Waiver of Rule 25-30.110(3), F.A.C. By Order No. PSC-99-0638-FOF-WS, issued April 5, 1999, the Commission granted the waiver of 1996 and 1997 annual reports requiring, instead, an affidavit certifying

its revenues for 1996 and 1997. Annual Reports for 1998 were required to be filed in compliance with Rule 25-30.110(3), F.A.C. The appropriate starting date for the responsibility for RAFs was deferred to the Commission's decision in this docket. This recommendation, therefore, addresses the issues of authorizing grandfather certificates, determining appropriate rates and charges, and setting the effective date for RAFs responsibility for Anglers.

#### DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

**ISSUE 1:** Should the application of Anglers Cove West, Ltd., for grandfather certificates in Polk County be granted?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes, Anglers Cove West, Ltd., should be granted Water Certificate No. 610-W and Wastewater Certificate 526-S to serve the territory described in Attachment A. The jurisdictional date for purposes of certification should be May 14, 1996. (BRADY, REDEMANN, CROSBY)

**STAFF ANALYSIS**: As discussed in the case background, the Board of County Commissioners of Polk County transferred jurisdictional of the privately owned water and wastewater utilities in Polk County to this Commission on May 14, 1996. Under the circumstances detailed in the case background, an application on behalf of Anglers for grandfather certificates to provide water and wastewater service in Polk County was filed with the Commission on October 14, 1998. Since the utility has filed under a grandfather application, the appropriate jurisdiction date for purposes of certification should be May 14, 1996.

The application contained a few deficiencies which included insufficient rate authority information, an inconsistency between the legal description and the territory map, and a lack of complete permit information. All deficiencies were corrected and the application was considered filed on May 3, 1999.

As amended, the application is in compliance with Section 367.171(2)(b), Florida Statutes, and other pertinent statutes and administrative rules concerning an application for grandfather certificates. The application contained the appropriate filing fee pursuant to Rule 25-30.020, F.A.C. Notice for grandfather certificates is not required by Florida Statutes or Commission rules.

The application indicates that Anglers is a limited partnership formed in 1985. T & A Investments, Inc., owns 55% of the limited partnership; Mr. Raymond Moats owns 15%; ATA Properties, Inc., owns 10%; Ms. Mildred Moats owns 10%; and Mr. John Maxwell owns the remaining 10%. A warranty deed that conveyed the land to Anglers as of December 16, 1985 was provided as proof the utility owns the land upon which the utility facilities are located pursuant to the requirements of Rule 25-30.036(6), F.A.C.

An accurate legal description and adequate territory and system maps have been provided as prescribed by Rules 25-30.035(9), (10), and (11), F.A.C. A description of the territory is appended to this memorandum as Attachment A. The territory served consists entirely of unmetered residential mobile homes and is built-out at 340 lots.

Since Anglers purchases water from the City of Lakeland, water withdrawal and environmental permits do not apply. The utility's wastewater treatment plant was issued Permit No. D053-249486 by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on December 1, 1994. This permit is due to expire on June 23, 1999. The plant is a Type III plant designed to treat 70,000 gallons of domestic wastewater per day with extended aeration. It sends chlorinated effluent to dual percolation/evaporation ponds. According to the application and staff's independent verification with FDEP, the utility is currently in compliance with all environmental requirements.

Based on the all the above information, staff recommends that Anglers Cove, West, Ltd. be granted Water Certificate No. 610-W and Wastewater Certificate No. 526-S to serve the territory described in Attachment A. The jurisdictional date for purposes of certification should be May 14, 1996.

**ISSUE 2:** What rates and charges should be approved for Anglers Cove West, Ltd.?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Anglers Cove West, Ltd.'s, existing flat rate for both water and wastewater service should be approved until the utility applies for rate assistance or it is required to so file by the Commission. The tariff sheets effective November 30, 1998 pursuant to the 1998 pass-through rate adjustment should supersede those filed in this docket. All other tariff provisions should be made effective on or after the stamped approval date. (BRADY)

STAFF ANALYSIS: The utility's current flat rate of \$15.00 per month for water and wastewater services combined was put into effect for Anglers Cove on December 17, 1985 and for Anglers Cove West on October 31, 1986 pursuant to Section 723, Florida Statutes. Since the utility's rates have never been subject to Polk County regulation, this issue is being handled as a proposed agency Subsequent to the utility filing for grandfather action. certificates, a pass-through rate adjustment was administratively approved effective November 30, 1998. The purpose of the adjustment was to allow the utility to begin collecting the additional costs of regulatory assessment fees while its application for grandfather certificates was being processed.

In staff's November 15, 1998 letter transmitting the passthrough tariff sheets, the utility was advised that the tariff sheets were first revised sheets but that the utility's original tariffs had not yet been approved. The utility was also informed that the original tariffs would be approved when the grandfather certificates were granted and would contain the lower rates. For informational purposes, the utility's rates pursuant to the passthrough rate adjustment are listed after the utility's existing rates at the time of this application. If the Commission approves the original rates recommended by staff in this issue, then the pass-through rates will revise the original rates.

For the reasons that will be discussed below, staff is recommending that the Commission approve the utility's existing rates and charges at the time of this filing. Since the utility does not have meters, meter deposits and meter test deposits do not apply. The utility has chosen not to adopt the Commission's standard miscellaneous service charges. And, since the service area is already at build-out, there is no service availability policy or charge.

Water and Wastewater Service (Original Rate)

Billing Period:

Base Charge:

Monthly in advance

\$15.00

Water and Wastewater Service (Pass-Through Rate)

Billing Period:

Monthly in advance

Base Charge:

\$15.71

Customer Deposits

N/A

#### Meter Test Deposits

N/A

#### Miscellaneous Service Charges

None.

### Service Availability Charge

None.

Commission Rule 25-30.255, F.A.C., requires each utility to measure water sold upon the basis of metered volume sales unless the Commission otherwise approves flat rate service arrangements. The intent of the rule is to promote water conservation by providing a means by which customers can understand the impact of their consumption on their bill. And, increasingly, the Water Management Districts are mandating the installation of water meters, especially for utilities in water use caution areas.

On the other hand, for purposes of certificating grandfathered utilities and utilities already in existence, the Commission has generally adopted the utility's current rate structure, including flat rates, until such time as the utility first applies for rate assistance. The reason certification dockets have not been considered the appropriate forum in which to restructure rates is the general absence of metered data necessary to design

appropriate rates. If meters haven't been installed, it is usually due to the economics of the system or the limitations imposed by community documents or the requirements of Chapter 723, Florida Statutes. As noted in the Case Background, the Commission's exclusive jurisdiction pursuant to Section 367.011, Florida Statutes, provides the Commission with the authority to supersede all other laws, agreements and contracts to require the installation of meters. However, as a matter of practicality, it still takes time to acquire the metered data necessary to construct appropriate usage rates.

Because of the increasing focus on conservation throughout the state, staff believes it has the burden to probe the specifics of each application for certificates with flat rates in order to determine whether or not it is feasible or reasonable to implement metered rates absent a rate proceeding. Shortly after the filing of this application, staff asked the utility to provide additional information on the cost and time-frame necessary to fully meter Anglers Cove and Anglers Cove West. The utility was also asked to estimate the relative costs to provide each service along with supporting documentation. Due to the following claims by the owner of substantial hardships and due to the fact that a pass-through adjustment has been implemented in the interim, staff is not recommending that an adjustment to rate structure be pursued in this docket.

Since the owner has six pending applications for grandfather certificates, the owner claims not to have the additional staff necessary to simultaneously develop the requisite information to revise the rate structures for all six utilities. Changes to the rate structure would also require the utility to pay reprogramming costs for its billing program and legal costs to prepare and file a prospectus change for each mobile home park. In addition to the legal costs to change each prospectus, the owner would have to pay a filing fee equal to ten dollars (\$10.00) per lot for all its mobile home parks simultaneously. In addition, the owner would incur the costs of acquiring and installing meters for all unmetered lots. It is estimated that the preparation, filing, and processing of each prospectus would take three months. The owner would then have to give its customers ninety (90) days notice. For these reasons of costs and timing, the owner does not believe the Commission can fairly impose a change in rate structure without concurrently authorizing an increase in rates to offset the additional costs. The owner respectfully suggests that an application for grandfather certificates is not the proper forum in which to fairly address all these matters.

In addition to the concerns of the owner, there is also a tariff obstacle to changing the utility's rate structure at this time. As noted in the Case Background and as discussed more fully in Issue 3, prior to the completion of the filing requirements for the application for grandfather certificates, a pass-through rate adjustment was approved effective November 30, 1998. The passthrough adjustment was to enable the utility to begin collecting the additional costs of RAFs. Having no other basis to use at the time, the pass-through rate adjustment was set up to be the first revised schedule to the rates and charges in existence at the time the grandfather application was filed. Therefore, in order for the tariffs to be consistent, the initial rates and charges approved for Anglers should be those in existence at the time of the filing. Finally, staff would note that the Southwest Florida Water Management District has not required the installation of individual meters for either Anglers Cove or Anglers Cove West. In general, parks this small do not have an appreciable affect on area water withdrawal.

For all the above reasons, staff recommends that the flat rate of \$15.00 per month for both water and wastewater service be approved until the utility applies for rate assistance or until it is required to so by the Commission. The utility has filed proposed water and wastewater tariffs which reflect this rate. The tariff sheets effective November 30, 1998 pursuant to the 1998 pass-through rate adjustment should supersede those filed in this docket. All other tariff provisions should be made effective on or after the stamped approval date.

**<u>ISSUE 3</u>**: Should Anglers Cove West, Ltd., be responsible for regulatory assessment fees commencing with December 1, 1998?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes, Anglers Cove West, Ltd., should be responsible for regulatory assessment fees commencing with December 1, 1998. The utility should be required to remit the resulting 1998 RAFs within 30 days from the date of the order. (BRADY)

As noted in the Case Background, by means of a <u>STAFF ANALYSIS</u>: standard letter for Polk County grandfathers dated October 21, 1998, the utility was informed of the obligation to file Annual Reports and to remit RAFs from the date of Commission jurisdiction in Polk County on May 14, 1996. On December 21, 1998, the utility filed a Petition for Waiver of Rule 25-30.110(3), F.A.C., for 1996 and 1997 annual reports on the basis of substantial hardship and violation of principles of fairness. By separate letter of the same date to Mr. Charles Hill, the utility requested the Commission also not require the remittance of RAFs for the years of 1996 and 1997 due to the unique circumstances leading to the delayed recognition of Anglers as a utility subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. By facsimile to staff counsel dated April 28, 1999 on behalf of CHC VII, Ltd., a request was made for responsibility for RAFs commence on or after December 1, 1998. By extrapolation, the facsimile applies to Anglers, as well.


The petition for a Waiver of Rule 25-30.110(3), F.A.C., was addressed by Order No. PSC-99-0638-FOF-WS, issued April 5, 1999 in Docket No. 981912-WS. In that order, the Commission granted the waiver of annual reports for 1996 and 1997 based upon a violation of principles of fairness pursuant to Section 120.542, Florida Statutes. Instead, the utility was required to file an affidavit certifying its revenues for 1996 and 1997. The utility was also required to file an annual report for 1998 in compliance with rule 25-30.110(3), F.A.C. However, the appropriate starting date for the responsibility for RAFs was deferred to the Commission's decision in this docket.


Meanwhile, on October 16, 1998, the utility filed Notice of Intention to Implement the Regulatory Assessment Fee Pass-Through Rate Adjustment. The adjustment was approved effective November 30, 1998. In the utility's December 21, 1998 letter to Mr. Charles Hill, it requested that the Commission set the starting date for remittance of RAFs for the first billing cycle after the effective date of the 1998 pass-through rate adjustment to enable the utility to recoup the cost of RAFs owed the Commission. In that request, the utility noted that the pass-through rate adjustment allows the

utility to recover the cost of RAFs, prospectively, but cannot provide the funds to pay RAFs for two years in arrears. The utility claimed that to require payment for RAFs for two years in arrears would be an undue financial hardship. In its facsimile letter dated April 28, 1999, the utility reiterated its request for responsibility for RAFs to commence subsequent to the 1998 passthrough.

In addition to the reasons set forth by the utility, staff would also note that it normally recommends that responsibility for RAFs start in the year in which the utility is informed, with certainty, that the Commission's jurisdiction applies. Although the utility was informed in 1996 of the Commission's potential jurisdiction, it was not officially notified until August of 1998 that an exemption from regulation or non-jurisdictional finding could not apply under Section 367, Florida Statutes. Therefore, the year in which the utility knew with certainty that Commission jurisdiction applied was 1998. Since the utility noticed and received a 1998 pass-through rate adjustment in order to generate the revenues necessary to remit RAFs, the effective date of the pass-through adjustment appears to be the most appropriate starting date for RAF responsibility.

For these reasons, staff recommends that Anglers' responsibility for regulatory assessment fees begin December 1, 1998. The utility should be required to remit the resulting 1998 RAFs within 30 days from the date of the order in this docket.





**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes, upon the expiration of the protest period, the docket should be closed if no timely protest to the proposed agency action issue is filed. (CROSBY)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** If there are no timely protests to the proposed agency action issue (Issue No. 2), no further actions are required and this docket should be closed.

ATTACHMENT A

# ANGLERS COVE WEST, LTD.

## WATER AND WASTEWATER TERRITORY DESCRIPTION

# POLK COUNTY

### **ANGLERS COVE WEST**

### Township 28 South, Range 24 East Section 23

Commence at the Southwest corner of the NW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 and run N 00°00'59" E, along the West line a distance of 340.59 feet to the <u>Point of Beginning</u>;

thence continue N 00°00'59" E and along the aforesaid West line a distance of 70.0 feet;

thence S 89°45'37" E, a distance of 255.0 feet;

thence N 00°00'59" E, a distance of 250.94 feet;

thence S 89°50'27" E, a distance of 8.09 feet;

thence N 00°00'59" E, to the North line of the S 1/2 of S 1/2 of N 1/2 of said NW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 a distance of 165.49 feet;

thence S 89°52'54" E and along said North line to the East line of said NW 1/4 of the SW 1/4 a distance of 1063.34 feet;

thence S 00°00'44"E along said East line to the South line of the NW 1/4 of the SW 1/4, a distance of 831.16 feet;

thence N 89°40'46" W along said South line a distance of 818.94 feet;


thence N 00°00'59" E, a distance of 322.61 feet;

thence N 89°50'28" W, a distance of 252.89 feet;

thence N 00°00'59" E, a distance of 19.05 feet;

thence N 89°45'37" W, a distance of 255.0 feet to the Point of Beginning.

ATTACHMENT A



# ANGLERS COVE

## Township 28 South, Range 24 East Section 23

Commence at the Southwest corner of Section 23, Township 28 South, Range 24 East,

run S 89°57'50" E, along the South line of said Section 23, a distance of 1765.0 feet to the <u>Point of</u> <u>Beginning</u>;

continue S 89°57'50" E along the South line of said Section 23, a distance of 673.71 feet;

thence N 00°06'40" W, a distance of 1247.05 feet;

thence S 89°58'40" W, a distance of 100.0 feet;

thence N 00°06'40" W, a distance of 600.0 feet;

thence S 89°58'40" W, a distance of 180.0 feet;

thence N 00°06'40" W, a distance of 500.0 feet;

thence S 89°57'48" W, a distance of 335.54 feet;

thence S 00°00'05" W, a distance of 236.03 feet;

thence S 89°57'48" W, a distance of 72.56 feet;

thence S 73°58'03" W, a distance of 193.06 feet;

thence N 51°04'05" W, a distance of 38.51 feet;

thence S 85°56'05" W, a distance of 204.07 feet;

thence S  $00^{\circ}00'05''$  W, along the West boundary of the NE 1/4 of the SW 1/4, a distance of 750.46 feet to the Southwest corner of the NE 1/4 of the SW 1/4;

thence S 89°42'50" E, a distance of 273.04 feet, along the extended North boundary of the SW 1/4 of the SW 1/4;

thence due South, a distance of 444.70 feet;

thence S 89°42'50" E, a distance of 30.0 feet;

thence South a distance of 120.0 feet;

thence S 89°42'50" E, a distance of 70.0 feet;

thence S 04°57'21" E, a distance of 752.41 feet to the Point of Beginning.