

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

MAY 18, 1999

RE: DOCKET NO. 981341-WS - Application for grandfather certificates to operate water and wastewater utility in Polk County by CHC VII, Ltd.

Issue 1: Should the application of CHC VII, Ltd., for grandfather certificates in Polk County be granted?

Recommendation: Yes, CHC VII, Ltd., should be granted Water Certificate No. 609-W and Wastewater Certificate 525-S to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff's May 6, 1999 memorandum. The jurisdictional date for purposes of certification should be May 14, 1996.

DEFERRED

Issue 2: What rates and charges should be approved for CHC VII, Ltd.?

Recommendation: As detailed in the analysis portion of staff's memorandum, the rates and charges for 5/8 x 3/4" meters in effect at the time of this filing should be approved as the original tariff rates along with the Commission's standard meter test deposits. In addition, the utility should be required to charge itself irrigation rates for common area water, including golf course irrigation. The first revised tariff

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

*To the 6/1/99
Commission Conference*

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

~~00000~~ MAY 18 99

VOTE SHEET
MAY 18, 1999

DOCKET NO. 981341-WS - Application for grandfather certificates to operate water and wastewater utility in Polk County by CHC VII, Ltd.

(Continued from previous page)

sheets for 5/8 x 3/4" meters, effective November 30, 1998 pursuant to the 1998 pass-through rate adjustment, should supersede those approved in staff's memorandum. The effective date of the irrigation rates and all remaining tariff provisions should be the stamped approval date on the tariffs.

Issue 3: Should CHC VII, Ltd., be responsible for regulatory assessment fees commencing December 1, 1998?

Recommendation: Yes, CHC VII, Ltd., should be responsible for regulatory assessment fees commencing December 1, 1998. The utility should be required to remit the resulting 1998 RAFs within 30 days from the date of the order in this docket.

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes, upon the expiration of the protest period, the docket should be closed if no timely protest to the proposed agency action issue is filed.