



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECORDS AND REPORTING

99 JUN 17 PM 12:47

RECEIVED-FPSC

DATE: JUNE 17, 1999

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAY)

FROM: DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (ILERI) *hi*
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL) *CB by*

RE: DOCKET NO. 990373-TP - ESTABLISHMENT OF A STATEWIDE EMERGENCY AREA CODE RELIEF PLAN.

AGENDA: 6/29/99 - REGULAR AGENDA - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION

CRITICAL DATES: 7/7/99-7/8/99 - DECISION HAS TO BE MADE PRIOR TO HEARING DATES

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\LEG\WP\990373A.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

On March 15, 1999, the North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA) notified the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) that the 904, 305, 561, 941, and 954 area codes are in extraordinary jeopardy of premature exhaustion. Advances in telecommunications services, as well as increased competition in local exchange markets, have led to an explosion in the demand for numbers, escalating the exhaustion rate of area codes in Florida. For example, in the 305 Monroe County (Keys) area, NANPA declared jeopardy 13 years prematurely.

At the FPSC's March 30, 1999, Agenda Conference, a concern was raised that code holders were not issuing telephone numbers in a systematic manner which, if allowed to continue, would hamper future number conservation measures. Therefore, the Commission sought to consider whether code holders should be required to distribute telephone numbers consecutively, beginning with the lowest assignable telephone number, and set that issue for hearing on an expedited basis. A procedural order was issued subsequently.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

~~07055~~ JUN 17 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DOCKET NO. 990373-TP
DATE: JUNE 17, 1999

On May 27, 1999, a Joint Motion to Accept Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures, Issue a Temporary Stay, and Request for Expedited Ruling was filed by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, AT&T Wireless Services, Florida Cellular Service, Inc. d/b/a/ BellSouth Mobility, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., Cox Communications, Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, BellSouth Mobility, GTE Florida, Inc., GTE Wireless, Sprint Communications Co., Sprint PCS, Sprint-Florida, Inc., Intermedia Communications, Inc., MediaOne, MCI WorldCom, Inc., Network Plus, Inc., Omnipoint Communications MB Operations, LLC d/b/a Omnipoint Communications ("Omnipoint"), Time Warner AxS of Florida, L.P. d/b/a Time Warner Telecom, and Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. (hereinafter "Joint Movants"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code. The Joint Movants further requested that the Joint Motion be handled on an expedited basis. On June 8, 1999 Omnipoint filed a response to joint motion to accept stipulation and voluntary number conservation measures, issue a temporary stay, and request for expedited ruling. By Order No. PSC 99-1188-PCO-TP issued June 8, 1999, the motion for temporary stay of the proceeding was denied.

This recommendation addresses the issues raised in the Joint Motion.

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve the Joint Motion to Accept Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures, Issue a Temporary Stay, and Request for Expedited Ruling?

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Commission should deny the Joint Motion to Accept Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures, Issue a Temporary Stay, and Request for Expedited Ruling. (ILERI, CALDWELL)

STAFF ANALYSIS: At the FPSC's March 30, 1999, Agenda Conference, the Commission considered whether code holders should be required to distribute telephone numbers consecutively, beginning with the lowest assignable telephone number, and set that issue for hearing on an expedited basis. On May 27, 1999, a Joint Motion to Accept Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures, Issue a Temporary Stay, and Request for Expedited Ruling was filed (See Attachment A) pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code.

The proposed stipulation purports to conserve 1000s telephone number blocks on a voluntary basis by certain carriers without allotting the telephone numbers consecutively. The agreement provides that each code holder will maintain and utilize a nine-month inventory of 1000s number blocks, and will only request a new 1000 block if the inventory depletes to less than a nine month supply or meet a specific customer requirement. Below is a summary of each of the provisions of the stipulation:

1. Uncontaminated 1000s number blocks will be set aside and the Service Providers will maintain no greater than nine months of 1000s block telephone number resources to meet customer demand.
2. Existing national Central Office Code Assignment Guidelines and any Code Jeopardy procedures agreed to by the industry will be followed.
3. All uncontaminated 1000s blocks will be set aside, where practicable. The term "uncontaminated 1000s blocks" is defined as a block within which all telephone numbers are available for assignment. Resolution Statement of INC Issue 134, Common Number Status (dated April 26, 1999), defines numbers that are unavailable for assignment.
4. Inventories of available telephone numbers for each rate center or switch, in case of a multiple switch rate center, will not be added to until there is insufficient inventory to meet nine months of projected customer demand.
5. Numbering resources will be released in 1000s number blocks in order to maintain nine months inventory supply or to meet a

specific customer requirement. Examples given for a specific customer requirement are a particular number series or a bona fide customer request for a specific number.

6. Utilization reports will be submitted by each NXX code holder upon written request of the FPSC, not to exceed twice per year. The data to be provided is that submitted in response to a March 22, 1999 data request (from Docket No. 981444-TP). Commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers shall provide the information by NXX only; other wireline providers shall provide the information by 1000s number blocks. Confidential classification would be requested for these reports.

7. Florida NXX code holders that are not a party to the stipulation will be encouraged to adopt the management measures.

8. Voluntary compliance with the stipulation is for the purpose of settlement only. Issues within the docket are resolved and the docket should be closed.

9. By the proposed stipulation, the Joint Movants are not conceding that the Commission has jurisdiction over numbering matters beyond that delegated to the states by the FCC, that the Commission has jurisdiction to implement number pooling, or that the Commission has jurisdiction over CMRS providers.

10. If approved, the measures will take effect on the date the docket is closed.

11. The Joint Movants agree not to protest the order accepting the proposed stipulation in accordance with its terms.

12. The voluntary number management measures would continue until there is number pooling or a 1000s number block conservation plan that includes Florida NXX code holders.

13. If the proposed stipulation is not accepted in its entirety, the document shall not be admissible in the final hearing on the matters established by this docket or in any other docket or forum.

To support their request, the Joint Movants state that they have been working to prepare a stipulation that would address the issue set for hearing on July 7 and 8, 1999. They state, however, that drafting a stipulation that properly meets the concerns of the Commission and the industry, which by necessity involves many telephone number code holders, has taken more time to prepare than the Commission's fast track schedule for this proceeding allows.

Finally, the Joint Movants argue that the request will not adversely affect any party, the Commission, or its staff and will

enable the submission of a stipulation that would resolve the issue set for hearing and eliminate the need for the scheduled hearing.

Omnipoint does not object to Commission approval of the relief requested in the Joint Motion. Omnipoint raised other points in its response that were not responsive to the stipulation.

Staff appreciates the industry's effort to come up with a plan to improve the utilization of the telephone numbers in Florida. Staff is receptive to releasing numbers in blocks of 1,000, but staff has concerns with provisions 5, 6, and 7. On June 3, 1999, staff, parties, and interested persons had a conference call regarding the Joint Motion. After several hours of questioning, staff has concerns with the following proposed provisions:

Provision 5: This provision allows for release of 1000s number blocks to meet a specific customer requirement. An example is a bona-fide customer request. This standard is vague and subject to multiple interpretations by each code holder. Inconsistent interpretation could result in inefficient number allocation. Moreover, this provision does not establish criteria for the levels of utilization required to use telephone numbers from a new block and to obtain a new NXX code from the Code Administrator. The industry indicated that they would manage the blocks of 1,000 numbers on a self-policing basis without any regulatory auditing.

Provision 6: Staff is concerned about the monitoring of the supply of available blocks since there is no independent, third-party auditing process to monitor the supply and utilization. The industry will comply with the PSC staff's data requests on number utilization only twice a year. Staff does not believe that such a constraint would allow the PSC staff to adequately monitor how the numbers are utilized. Because all code holders have not signed, and because inadequate information exists as to the allocation and utilization of telephone numbers, staff cannot say how many 1000s number blocks are affected by this stipulation.

During the June 3, 1999 meeting, staff was concerned that the Joint Movants tried to discuss issues from Docket No. 981444-TP (Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation) in relation to this docket. Staff believes that there has to be a definite distinction between the two dockets since one is interim in nature, and the other seeks to find a permanent solution to the number crisis in Florida.

Provision 7: The Joint Movants have not been able to obtain the participation or agreement of every Florida NPA code holder, and parties and interested persons to this docket. Staff believes the proposed stipulation would not be as effective in conserving 1000s number blocks without all code holders participating.

The proposed stipulation provides for closing the docket, but staff finds this problematic without knowing the outcome of the proposed voluntary number conservation measures. Closing this docket does not, however, preclude another docket being opened. Moreover, this Commission cannot bind the actions of a future Commissions.

After discussing the proposed stipulation at the June 3, 1999 meeting with the industry, staff believes that provisions 5,6, and 7 would hamper efforts to assign telephone numbers in a systematic fashion. While the concept of releasing numbers in blocks of 1,000 is a good one, staff believes the proposed stipulation affords too many ways for circumventing the Commission's objective of ensuring that telephone numbers are issued systematically, which is very important for number pooling to be effective.

On the other hand, staff believes that if all code holders follow the guidelines in the proposed stipulation, some measure of 1000s number blocks conservation could occur. Staff notes that the issue of Commission jurisdiction over consecutive numbering requirements is one of the jurisdictional issues identified at the June 16, 1999, Prehearing Conference in this docket. Staff is concerned that litigation of the question could hinder the process of providing emergency area code relief.

On balance, despite having merit in concept, staff believes there is little assurance that the proposed stipulation would be an effective interim step to solving the numbering crisis in Florida. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission deny the Joint Movants' motion to accept the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures, Issue a Temporary Stay, and Request for Expedited Ruling.

DOCKET NO. 990373-TP
DATE: JUNE 17, 1999

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: If there is no protest to the Proposed Agency Action Order within the 21 day protest period by a substantially affected party, the Order will become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, staff recommends that the Commission should not close this docket. If the Commission denies staff's recommendation in Issue 1 and approves the Joint Movant's stipulation, staff recommends that this docket should be closed.
(CALDWELL, ILERI)

STAFF ANALYSIS: If there is no protest to the Proposed Agency Action Order within the 21 day protest period by a substantially affected party, the Order will become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, staff recommends that the Commission should not close this docket pending the outcome of the upcoming hearings in July, 1999. If the Commission denies staff's recommendation in Issue 1 and approves the Joint Movant's stipulation, staff recommends that this docket should be closed.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Establishment of a Statewide Emergency)	Docket No. 990373-TP
Area Code Relief Plan)	Filed: May 27, 1999
<hr/>)

**JOINT MOTION TO ACCEPT STIPULATION
AND VOLUNTARY NUMBER CONSERVATION MEASURES,
ISSUE A TEMPORARY STAY,
AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING**

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, F.A.C., the carriers that have executed (hereinafter, collectively, the "Joint Movants") the attached Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures ("Stipulation and Measures"), hereby respectfully request that the Florida Public Service Commission take the following actions: (a) approve such Stipulation and Measures according to its terms, (b) until such time as the Commission has the opportunity to rule on the Stipulation and Measures that the Commission or Prehearing Officer, as appropriate, issue a temporary stay of the requirements to file testimony and prehearing statements and, as necessary, the hearing scheduled for July 7 and 8, 1999, and (c) that such requests be handled on an expedited basis. In support of this Joint Motion, the Joint Movants state:

1. In response to the North American Numbering Plan Administration's ("NANPA") notification that a number of Florida NPAs are in jeopardy of premature exhaustion, the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued Order Number PSC-99-0606-PCO-TP and set for hearing the issue of statewide sequential or consecutive distribution of telephone numbers by telephone number code holders as a means of facilitating telephone number conservation.

2. Since the Commission first considered the matters that are the subject of this docket, numerous members of the industry and other interested persons have been working to prepare a document that would address the single issue now set for hearing on July 7 and 8, 1999.


3. Attached to this Joint Motion is the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures that have been prepared to resolve this docket. The Joint Movants believe that when implemented pursuant to its terms, the actions identified in the Stipulation and Measures will be in the public interest.

4. Because of the nature of such an undertaking, the Joint Movants have not been able to obtain the participation or agreement of every Florida NPA code holder. However, the Joint Movants believe that the Stipulation and Measures address eventual inclusion of such other code holders through other industry or regulatory processes. The Joint Movants contemplate that additional code holders will eventually add their signatures to the attached Stipulation and Measures or that the parties to this docket that have not executed the Stipulation and Measures will separately advise the Commission of their position regarding the Stipulation and Measures.

5. Undersigned counsel has the permission of each of the Joint Movants to represent that they join in this Joint Motion and have authorized undersigned counsel to submit this Joint Motion on their collective behalf.

WHEREFORE, the Joint Movants respectfully request that the Commission approve the attached Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures according to its terms, grant the requested temporary stay so as to enable consideration of the Stipulation and Measures, and that the Commission handle these matters on an expedited basis.

Respectfully submitted, this 27th day of May, 1999 on behalf of the Joint Movants.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'F. Self', written over a horizontal line.

Floyd R. Self, Esq.
Thomas A. Suter, Esq.
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
P. O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876
(850) 222-0720
fself@lawfla.com
Counsel for AT&T Communications of the Southern
States, Inc. and AT&T Wireless Services

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Establishment of a Statewide Emergency)	Docket No. 990373-TP
Area Code Relief Plan)	Filed: May 27, 1999
_____)	

STIPULATION AND VOLUNTARY NUMBER MANAGEMENT MEASURES

WHEREAS, in response to the North American Numbering Plan Administration's ("NANPA") notification that a number of Florida NPAs are in jeopardy of premature exhaustion, the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC") established this docket to address emergency, interim number conservation measures;

WHEREAS, the FPSC issued Order Number PSC-99-0606-PCO-TP setting for hearing the issue of statewide consecutive distribution of telephone numbers by code holders;

WHEREAS, in *Memorandum Opinion and Order and Order on Reconsideration*, FCC 98-224 (Sept. 28, 1998), the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") delegated limited authority to state commissions to order NXX Code rationing only in conjunction with the adoption of an area code relief plan and only if the industry is unable to reach consensus on a rationing plan;

WHEREAS, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders recognize the need for efficient number resource management;

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures are consistent with the directions and definitions in the Industry Numbering Committee (INC99-0127-23) 1000s Block (NXX-X) Pooling Administration Guidelines ("Guidelines") and follow the administration techniques and facilitate the efficient use of numbers as reflected in Section 2.7 of the Guidelines which provides that service providers shall establish internal policies and practices that provide for the efficient use and assignment of numbers to end users, that the policies and practices shall balance product specifications, market strategies and customer needs with conservation principles to ensure best practices and number utilization, and that service providers should attempt to assign telephone numbers out of a given block before making assignments out of another block;

WHEREAS, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders, without conceding jurisdiction to the FPSC to order specific number conservation and resource management measures in this docket, desire to implement a voluntary industry plan to preserve 1000s number blocks until such time as there is a lawful plan for number pooling or number conservation;

WHEREAS, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders believe that the prudent management of such 1000s number blocks will promote the efficient use of available numbering resources according to current applicable industry directions and guidelines and will help preserve these blocks in the event that 1000s number block pooling is implemented;

WHEREAS, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders believe that their voluntary 1000s number block management measures will provide a superior and more timely means of accomplishing the FPSC's objectives for this docket than the hearings scheduled for July 7-8, 1999; and,

WHEREAS, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders believe that the voluntary 1000s number block management measures detailed herein will alleviate the need for any further proceedings in this docket on consecutive numbering;

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby request that the Commission issue an order closing this docket and the undersigned Florida NXX code holders do hereby agree to implement the following telephone number management measures:

1. Service Providers will set aside, within their telephone number ("TN") administration systems, uncontaminated 1000s number blocks. Each Service Provider will maintain no greater than nine (9) months of 1000s block TN resources to be used to meet customer demand. This type of variable demand analysis allows for the different telephone number demand patterns inherent in different geographic areas (e.g., rural central office versus urban central office) and is consistent with current Industry Numbering Committee ("INC") Thousand Block (NXX-X) Pooling Administration Guidelines and Central Office Code Administration Guidelines which employ similar threshold concepts (e.g., months to exhaust). Numbering resources will be moved a 1000s block of TNs at a time as required by the Service Provider in order to maintain the nine (9) months of TN inventory or to meet a specific customer requirement. If and when telephone number pooling is implemented in a specific rate center, LRN-LNP capable Service Providers will analyze their inventory of vacant 1000s blocks, as well as any qualified contaminated blocks, for potential contribution to an industry telephone number inventory pool. This would be done in accordance with procedures outlined in the final INC national telephone number pooling guidelines.
2. Service Providers will continue to operate within the existing national Central Office (CO) Code Assignment Guidelines and/or any Code Jeopardy procedures agreed to by the industry. This includes, but is not limited to, a Service Provider's ability to request additional NPA-NXX codes from the Code Administrator when projected customer demand will exhaust the Service Provider's existing TN inventory within the applicable months to exhaust in a code jeopardy situation, the preparation of the required

supporting documentation (i.e., Appendix B), and the certification that an NXX code request is in compliance with all requirements outlined in the Central Office Code Assignment Guidelines and Code Jeopardy procedures, as well as the TN Assignment Principles included herein.

3. Where practicable, Service Providers will set aside (i.e., restrict from assignment in their TN Assignment/Administration systems) all uncontaminated 1000s blocks. An uncontaminated 1000s block is defined as a block within which all TNs are available for assignment. As defined in the resolution statement of INC Issue 134, Common Number Status (Resolution date 4/26/99), telephone numbers unavailable for assignment include Assigned Numbers, Administrative Numbers, Aging Numbers, and Reserved Numbers. An Administrative Number is defined as any one of the following: 1) a number used for internal business or official purposes, 2) identical to a Location Routing Number (LRN), 3) a test number, 4) a Temporary Local Directory Number (TLDN), or 5) a Wireless E911 ERSD/ESRK Number.
4. Service Providers will not add to their inventory of available TNs for each rate center or switch, in case of a multiple switch rate center, until there is insufficient inventory to meet nine (9) months of projected customer demand. The nine (9) months of projected customer demand will be determined by analyzing the historical demand trends for business and residential customers, seasonal requirements, and volatile growth patterns of certain products and services (e.g., DID, Centrex, new services, Type 1 wireless interconnection).
5. Service Providers will release numbering resources in 1000s number blocks as required in order to maintain the nine (9) month inventory supply or to meet a specific customer requirement. Examples of a specific customer requirement would be the need for sequential 1000s blocks, a particular number series, or a bona fide customer request for a specific number.
6. Each NXX code holder shall submit utilization reports upon written request of the FPSC, but not exceeding twice per year. Reports submitted in response to the March 22, 1999, FPSC data request would be considered part of the semiannual request described in this paragraph. For code holders other than commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers, the reports shall be on the basis of 1000s number blocks and no greater detail shall be required. The reports would measure adherence to these voluntary number administration proposals. These reports will continue to be submitted until actual number pooling is implemented or until such time as NANPA assumes this responsibility and provides aggregate data to the FPSC. Any such reports submitted to the FPSC will be submitted as confidential and proprietary information and should be considered as such by the FPSC under section

364.183(1), Florida Statutes. Because section 364.02(12), Florida Statutes, expressly exempts CMRS providers from the definition of "telecommunications company" for purposes of the FPSC's jurisdiction and because CMRS providers utilize NXX codes in a substantially different manner from landline carriers, CMRS providers will submit voluntary reports to the FPSC on an NXX basis, rather than a 1000s number block basis, with the understanding that such reports should be treated as confidential by the FPSC in view of their proprietary and trade secrets contents.


7. Not all Florida NXX code holders have taken part in this stipulation and its voluntary 1000s number block management measures. Therefore, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders agree that they will advocate the adoption of the voluntary 1000s number block management measures contained herein by all such other Florida NXX code holders.
8. This stipulation and the voluntary number management measures contained herein are entered into for purposes of settlement only. This document shall be valid and binding on the undersigned parties only to the extent it is adopted in its entirety as presented to the FPSC and only upon closure of the docket. Further, by virtue of the voluntary nature of the 1000s number block management measures agreed to herein, the issues set for hearing in this matter have been fully and completely resolved.
9. By agreeing to the voluntary 1000s number block management measures described herein, the undersigned Florida NXX code holders are not conceding that the FPSC has jurisdiction over numbering matters beyond the authority specifically delegated to the States by the FCC, that the FPSC has jurisdiction to implement number pooling, or that the FPSC has jurisdiction over CMRS providers. Accordingly, this document shall not be used by any person to assert that the undersigned have conceded jurisdiction on such issues or that they have waived any rights with respect to such jurisdictional issues.
10. This stipulation and its associated voluntary 1000s number block management measures shall take effect on the date that the FPSC closes this docket. Each undersigned NXX code holder shall implement the voluntary 1000s number block management measures described herein as quickly as possible, but in no event later than 60 days from the date the FPSC closes this docket.
11. If the Commission accepts this document and closes the docket, the undersigned shall not request reconsideration or appeal of the order of the Commission accepting this document in accordance with its terms.

12. Each undersigned Florida NXX code holder shall continue with the voluntary number management measures described herein until such time as there is a number pooling or 1000s number block conservation plan that includes Florida NXX code holders, whereupon such plan shall supersede the voluntary 1000s number block management measures described herein.
13. In the event that the FPSC does not accept this document in its entirety, this document shall not be admissible in the final hearing on the matters established by this docket, or in any other docket or forum. Moreover, no party waives any position on any issue that it could have otherwise asserted in the final hearing if this document had never been developed.

Respectfully submitted, this 27th day of May, 1999.

[Separate signature pages follow.]


The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP



Floyd R. Self
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 701
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876
(850) 222-0720


Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. and AT&T Wireless Services

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number
Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket
No. 990373-TP.



Nancy B. White
Michael P. Goggin
Counsel for
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(305) 347-5558


The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 99-373-TP.



Jill Nickel Butler
State Regulatory Director
Cox Florida Telcom, L.P. d/b/a Cox
Communications

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management

Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP.



Michael A. Gross

Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
& Regulatory Counsel

Florida Cable Telecommunications Association*

310 N. Monroe Street

Tallahassee, FL 32310


850/681-1990

850/681-9676 (fax)

*The following certificated telecommunications companies represented by FCTA take no position on this issue: Comcast MH Telephony Communications of Florida, Inc.; Comcast Telephony Communications of Florida, Inc.

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP.

Dated this 26th day of May, 1999.



D. Bruce May, Jr.
Florida Bar No.: 354473
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
Post Office Drawer 810
Tallahassee, Florida 32302


Attorneys for Florida Cellular Service, Inc.
d/b/a BellSouth Mobility

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number
Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No.
990373-TP.




Kimberly Caswell
Counsel for GTE Service Corporation
GTE Florida Incorporated
GTE Wireless Incorporated

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP.



Donna Canzano McNulty
Counsel for
MCI WorldCom, Inc. and
its operating subsidiaries
325 John Knox Road
The Atrium Building, Suite 105
Tallahassee, FL 32303
(850) 422-1254

The following hereby agree to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP:



Joseph Assenzo
Counsel for
Sprint PCS




Monica M. Barone
Counsel for
Sprint Communications Company
Limited Partnership



Charles J. Rehwinkel
Counsel for
Sprint-Florida, Incorporated

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Management
Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP.



_____ *df*

David V. Dimlich
General Counsel
2620 S.W. 27 Avenue
Miami, Florida 33133
(305) 476-4236

On Behalf of Supra Telecommunications &
Information Systems, Inc.

Laura L. Gallagher, P.A.
ATTORNEY AT LAW

Time Warner Telecom hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP.



Laura L. Gallagher
Attorney for Time Warner Telecom
Dated: May 26, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of Joint Motion to Accept Stipulation and Voluntary Number Conservation Measures, Issue a Temporary Stay, and Request for Expedited Ruling in Docket 990373-TP have been served upon the following parties by Hand Delivery (*) and/or U. S. Mail this 27th day of May, 1999.

Diana Caldwell, Esq.*
Division of Appeals, Room 310
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Jack Shreve
Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison St., Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Angela Green, Esq.
Florida Public Telecommunications Association
125 S. Gadsden St., Suite 200
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Marsha Rule, Esq.
Tracy Hatch, Esq.
101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Peter M. Dunbar, Esq.
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell & Dunbar, P.A.
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Carolyn Marek
Vice President of Regulatory Affairs
Southeast Region
Time Warner Communications
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, TN 37069

Francis J. Heaton
2100 Electronics Lane
Fort Myers, FL 33912

Kenneth A. Hoffman
John Ellis
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Bruce May
Holland & Knight
315 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 600
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Nancy B. White, Esq.
c/o Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.
310 N. Monroe St.
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Kimberly Caswell
GTE Florida Incorporated
Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 33601

Patrick Wiggins, Esq.
Charles Pellegrini, Esq.
P.O. Drawer 1657
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Donna McNulty
MCI WorldCom
325 John Knox Road, Suite 105
Tallahassee, FL 32303

Laura L. Gallagher
204 S. Monroe St., Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Monica M. Barone
Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership
3100 Cumberland Circle
Mailstop GAATLN0802
Atlanta, GA 30339


Richard Rindler, Esq.
Kathlenn L. Greenan, Esq.
Swidler Berlin Shereff Firedman, L.L.P.
3000 "K" Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007-5116

Peggy Arvanitas
c/o Remax 1st Class
620 ByPass Drive
Clearwater, FL 33764

David V. Dimlich, Esq.
Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems
2620 SW 27th Avenue
Miami, FL 33133

Charles Rehwinkle
Sprint-Florida, Inc.
Post Office Box 2214
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Bill Butler
Communications
Village Avenue
Chick, VA 23502




A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Floyd R. Self', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and somewhat illegible.

Floyd R. Self

Laura L. Gallagher, P.A.


ATTORNEY AT LAW

MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 990373-TP.



Laura L. Gallagher
Attorney for MediaOne Florida
Telecommunications, Inc.
Dated: May 26, 1999

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number
Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No.
990373-TP



Kimberly Caswell
Counsel for GTE Service Corporation
GTE Florida Incorporated
GTE Wireless Incorporated

The following hereby agrees to the Stipulation and Voluntary Number Management Measures submitted in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 99-373-TP.



Jill Nickel Butler
State Regulatory Director
Cox Florida Telcom, L.P. d/b/a Cox
Communications