

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 29, 2000

RE: DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

Issue 1: Should the Commission order the immediate return of all unused and reserved NXX codes by all carriers in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes? Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission order the immediate return of all unused and reserved NXX codes by all carriers in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes based on Industry Numbering Committee's Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines (INC 95-0407-008). Staff also recommends that the Commission direct the North American Numbering Plan Administrator (NANPA) to provide monthly Local Exchange Routing Guide (LERG) reports by area code, including the code assignment and activation dates, to the Commission. Staff further recommends that, after the Commission staff evaluates the reports, staff should contact NANPA to reclaim unused and reserved NXXs in all of Florida NPAs from all carriers who have not met the applicable INC 95-0407-008 guidelines as presented in the analysis portion of staff's February 17, 2000 memorandum.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of majority commissioners: [Signature 1], Susan T. Clark, Joe [Signature], J. Terry Heason, [Signature]

Handwritten signatures of dissenting commissioners: Susan T. Clark 289, J. Terry Heason

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Commissioners Deason and Clark dissented on issues 2, 8, and 9.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02741 MAR-18

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 29, 2000

DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission order the mandatory implementation of 1KNP for wireline carriers in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes and, if so, what should be the backup plan to provide relief in these area codes?

Recommendation: Yes. Based on the 1KNP committee's decision made on February 4, 2000, staff recommends that on an interim basis the Commission order the mandatory implementation of 1KNP for all LNP-capable carriers in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes. Staff also recommends that one of the alternatives proposed for the 954, 561, and 904 area code dockets be used as a backup plan to provide relief, pending completion of the evidentiary proceeding in Docket Nos. 990457-TL (Area Code 954), 990456-TL (Area Code 561), and 990517-TL (Area Code 904).

APPROVED

Commissioners Deason and Clark dissented

Issue 3: In order to obtain initial numbering resources to serve a particular NXX, should the Commission establish any prerequisite criteria for all carriers and, if so, what should those criteria be?

Recommendation: Yes. In addition to completing all the required entries on a Code Request Form, as required by the INC Central Office Code (NXX) Assignment Guidelines, the Commission should require that all carriers provide the following additional information to substantiate their request for initial numbering resources: 1) an approved interconnection agreement with the incumbent local exchange company (ILEC); and 2) facilities readiness within the NXX activation time frame of six months. Facilities readiness shall be defined as having the requisite equipment in place to allow a carrier to activate a telephone number such that the customer assigned to that specific number is able to make and receive calls over the public switched network.

APPROVED

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 29, 2000

DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 4: What requirements should the Commission establish for thousand-block number management by all code holders?

Recommendation: The Commission should establish the following sequential requirements for thousand-block number management by all code holders:

- Step 1: Telephone numbers should be assigned from thousand-blocks with greater than 10% contamination, until an overall 75% utilization rate is reached.
- Step 2: Telephone numbers should be assigned from a single thousand-block with less than 10% contamination, until a 75% utilization rate is reached within that block.
- Step 3: Step 2 should be repeated until a 75% utilization rate is reached in all thousand-blocks.

On its own motion, the Commission approved the measures set out in the voluntary stipulation (Order No. PSC-99-1393-S-TP, Docket No. 990393-TP) as mandatory for all carriers. (Applies to issues 5 and 6 as well.)

Issue 5: When applying for additional numbering resources, what information should be provided to the numbering administrator?

Recommendation: Any code holder applying for additional numbering resources should be required to provide the numbering administrator with information substantiating that it has achieved a 75% utilization rate in all applicable thousand-block(s) and that its existing numbering resource will exhaust in less than 6 months.

See issue 4

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 29, 2000

DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 6: What procedure should be followed to address situations in which a code holder believes it will be unable to satisfy a utilization rate and MTE criteria, and will need additional numbering resources to meet projected telephone number demand?

Recommendation: A code holder should file a request for extension of time with the Commission and provide substantiating information including number utilization data, the 6 MTE calculation, and back-up information showing why the code holder expects demand will exceed its resources. Responses, if any, to the request may be filed within 7 days. No additional time should be allowed for mailing. The Commission should endeavor to provide a decision to the code holder within 30 calendar days of receipt of the extension request.

See issue 4.

Issue 7: What type of verification process should be implemented to ensure the accuracy of information provided by carriers to the numbering administrator in order to substantiate numbering resource requests, and who should perform this function?

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Commission verify and reconcile on an as-needed basis: a) information submitted by carriers to the numbering administrator to substantiate numbering resource requests; b) information available from the LERG; and c) submissions provided in response to any Division of Telecommunications' data requests.

MODIFIED

Approved with the modification that Florida data is to be collected by this Commission.

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 29, 2000

DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 8: Which software release(s) should be used to implement 1KNP, and what should be the number pooling inventory time line (NPIT) for the 954, 561, and 904 area codes?

Recommendation: Upon approval of Issue 2, staff recommends the following software releases and implementation dates for the 954, 561, and 904 area codes:

| Area Code (NPA) | Software Release | Implementation Date |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 954 | 1.4 | May 1, 2000 |
| 561 | 1.4 | July 1, 2000 |
| 904 | 3.0 | October 1, 2000 |

Staff also recommends that the Commission order all code holders in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes to adhere to the NPIT set forth in staff's memorandum.

APPROVED

Commissioners Deason and Clark dissented

Issue 9: Should the Commission order all code holders in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes to designate a 1KNP Administrator (1KNPA) for the 954, 561, and 904 area codes?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission order all code holders in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes to designate a 1KNPA for the 954, 561, and 904 area codes in Florida. Staff also recommends that all code holders in the 954, 561, and 904 area codes should utilize the state commissions' revised version of the INC 1KNP Guidelines submitted to the FCC on January 20, 2000 for all 1KNP trials in Florida.

APPROVED

Commissioners Deason and Clark dissented.

VOTE SHEET

FEBRUARY 29, 2000

DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 10: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. Staff recommends that this docket should not be closed as other issues remain. However, any person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action may file a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's order. If no timely protest of Issues 1 through 9 is filed, the order will become final upon the issuance of a consummating order. If a protest is filed by a person whose substantial interests are affected, if possible, a (any) proceeding should be conducted pursuant to Section 120.57(2), Florida Statutes, or by other appropriate expedited process.

APPROVED