BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for violation of service standards. DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462A-PAA-TL ISSUED: January 12, 2001

AMENDATORY ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 20, 2000, this Commission issued Order No. PSC-00-2462-PAA-TL. Due to a clerical error, Attachments A, B, and C were not submitted with Order No. PSC-00-2462-PAA-TL. Attachment A, the Stipulation and Settlement and Petition for Limited Waiver of Rules 25-4.066(2), 25-4.070(3)(a), 25-4.073(1)(c) and (1)(d), and 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code, Attachment B, the August 15, 2000 letter, and Attachment C, the November 27, 2000 Amendment to the Stipulation and Settlement offered by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated and the Office of Public Counsel, are attached to this Order and by reference incorporated herein. Thus, Attachments A, B, and C are incorporated by reference into Order No. PSC-00-2462-PAA-TL.

Order No. PSC-00-2462-PAA-TL is affirmed in all other respects.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Order No. PSC-00-2462-PAA-TL is hereby amended as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-00-2462-PAA-TL is affirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>12th</u> day of <u>January</u>, <u>2001</u>.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00554 JAN 125

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

PAC

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462 PAGE 2

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PGINA

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for violations of service standards

PAA-TL

Docket No. 991377-TL

Filed: July 27, 2000

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AND PETITION FOR LIMITED WAIVER OF RULES 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(A), 25-4.073 (1)(C) AND (1)(D) AND 25-4.110(2), FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

Pursuant to Section 120.57(4), Florida Statutes (1999), Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (Sprint or the Company) and the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC or Citizens) (hereinafter the Parties) have entered into this Stipulation and Settlement to effect an informal disposition and complete and binding resolution of any and all matters and issues which might be addressed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) in this docket. This Stipulation and Settlement avoids the time, expense and uncertainty associated with adversarial litigation in keeping with the Florida Public Service Commission's long-standing policy and practice of encouraging parties in contested proceedings to settle issues whenever possible. Concurrent with this Stipulation and Settlement, the Parties are herein requesting a waiver of certain quality of service rules as a condition of implementing the Service Guarantee Plan that forms the basis of the settlement of this docket. Accordingly, without prejudice to any Party's position in any other proceeding before the Florida Public Service Commission or any other venue, present or future, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

ł

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE 09053 JUL 278 g tan in the second

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL URDER'NO. PSC-00-2462A-ZAA-TL PAGE 3

1. BACKGROUND & INTRODUCTION

This Docket was initiated on September 10, 1999 pursuant to a memorandum dated September 10, 1999. The OPC intervened on September 17, 1999. On April 13, 2000, an issue identification conference was held. At that time the Parties agreed with the FPSC staff (Staff) to establish a list of issues. In letters dated March 27, 2000 and April 25, 2000 Sprint acknowledged that the FPSC has jurisdiction to make a determination regarding Sprint's compliance with Rules 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(a), 25-4.073(1) (c) and (1)(d) and 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code, for the period of January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1999. These letters were acknowledged and issues established for this docket in Order No. PSC-00-0869-PCO-TL issued May 2, 2000. The OPC has served two Requests for Production of Documents and one set of Interrogatories on Sprint. Sprint has made its responses to the discovery available to the OPC for inspection.

Since the initiation of the Docket, the Parties have engaged in discussions for the purposes of resolving this matter. To this end the Parties have reached the following Stipulation and Settlement in full resolution of the issues before the Commission. Furthermore, the Parties agree to include the first quarter (January – March) 2000 in the period to which this Stipulation and Settlement applies, for any violation of the aforesaid rules.

As resolution of this docket and in lieu of any fine or other penalty that the Commission could otherwise assess in this matter, except as provided for in Section 3(D)(4), the Parties agree that Sprint will incur the cost of and establish a Service Guarantee Plan that DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462. AA-TL PAGE 4

will automatically compensate customers and/or require the payment of funds by Sprint in the event that certain service objectives are not met. The Service Guarantee Plan will not require customers whose service is not installed or repaired within the objectives set out below to request that a credit to be placed on the bill. Any credits called for in the plan will be applied automatically. Payment of funds for failure to meet answer time criteria will be made as set out below. The Stipulation and Settlement generally, and the Service Guarantee Plan, specifically, are not intended to eliminate the FPSC's statutory authority to establish, monitor compliance with and/or enforce service quality standards. It represents a compromise of the Parties between possible assessment and payment of penalties and/or fines and a desire to insure that customers receive direct and immediate tangible relief when service does not meet the agreed upon Service Guarantee Objectives.

To that end, and except as provided for in Section 3(D)(4), the Parties agree that the initial payment to be made pursuant to Section 3(D)(2) and the Service Guarantee Plan are intended to substitute for the imposition of any sanction that the Commission might lawfully impose as a result of any hearing that could be held in this matter as set out in Order No. PSC-00-0869-PCO-TL. The Stipulation and Settlement is intended to take the place of any hearing that might be held in this Docket.

2. JOINT PETITION FOR A WAIVER OF RULES 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(A), 25-<u>4.073 (1)(C) AND (1)(D) AND 25-4.110(2), FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE</u> <u>CODE</u>

By this Stipulation and Settlement the Parties jointly request a limited waiver of the applicability of Florida Public Service Commission Rules 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(a), 25-4.073(1)(c) (as applicable to repair service) and (1)(d), and 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code. Pursuant to Section 120.542, Florida Statutes, the Parties submit that the Service Guarantee Plan contained in this Stipulation and Settlement satisfies the requirement that a demonstration be made that the purpose of the underlying statute will be achieved by other means. By providing direct credits to customers whose service is affected by delayed installation or repair, the purpose of the underlying statutes are achieved. Provisions of Florida Statutes authorizing or directing the Commission to establish, monitor and enforce service standards, such as Section 364.01(4), 364.025, Florida Statutes (1999) (carrier of last resort obligations, service availability) will be adequately met if the basic service. The graduated credit schedule will also act to discipline Sprint by imposing increasing and substantial penalties the longer repair of, or access to, service is delayed.

The Service Guarantee Plan meets the quality of service provisions of Chapter 364 by giving immediate and direct compensation to customers and provides similarly swift penalties to Sprint for not meeting objectives that are consistent with the existing Commission service rules. In addition, application of the above rules to Sprint at the same time direct credits are being made or accrued would constitute unfairness or economic hardship by imposing duplicate penalties. For this reason, the Parties request a waiver so that the Service Guarantee Plan can be implemented. The waiver is requested only for the time the Service Guarantee Plan is in effect and except as provided for in Section (D)(4). It is further the intent of the Parties that the waiver will be effective as to any amendments to the subject rules.

4

ATTACHMENT A Page 5 of 17

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462A A-TL PAGE 6

3. THE SERVICE GUARANTEE PLAN

The Parties hereby establish a Service Guarantee Plan that establishes four Service Guarantee Objectives, establishes credits for failure to meet these objectives and contains term and definitional language for application of the Service Guarantee Plan. Two of the objectives are designed to result in direct and automatic credits to customers if the objectives are not met. These are for installation of primary, basic service (where facilities are available) and repair of out-of-service conditions. The other two objectives are established for answer time in the business office and repair queues serving basic service customers. Failure to meet the answer time objectives will result in credits (referred to herein as "Community Service Credits") being made to a Community Service Fund that will educate customers about and promote Sprint's Lifeline service.

A. Service Guarantee Objectives and Credits Schedule

1. Repair - Out of Service (Service Interruption):

Sprint agrees to make the applicable automatic credits on the bills of each residential and single line business customer for whom Sprint fails to meet the Service Guarantee Objective specified in Table 1. An out-of-service condition for purposes of this Service Guarantee Objective occurs when a subscriber's service is interrupted other than by a negligent or willful act of the subscriber and it remains out of service in excess of 24 hours after being reported to the Company and where the customer is able to continue to take service (e.g. not where the service location has been destroyed by fire, flood, wind, etc.). Sundays and holidays are excluded in calculating service outage duration for purposes of determining applicability of the credits in Table 1. In no event shall the Service Guarantee Credit for failure to meet a (Repair - Out of Service) Service Guarantee Objective be less than \$10.

Sprint will commit to continue providing automatic adjustments or refunds to customers who experience out-of-service conditions during Sunday or holiday periods, where such Sunday or holiday periods are not included in the duration calculation for purposes of the Service Guarantee Credit. Such adjustments or refunds shall not be deemed Service Guarantee Credits, shall be provided only for a Sunday or holiday not covered by the Service Guarantee Credit and will be calculated and credited to the customer consistent with Rule 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code.

TABLE 1

Repair – Out of Ser	vice
Duration	Credit
≤24 Hours*	\$ 0
> 24 to 36 Hours	25% of 1 Month's Recurring Local Service
> 36 to 48 Hours	50% of 1 Month's Recurring Local Service
> 2 to 5 Days	100% of 1 Month's Recurring Local Service
> 5 Days	200% of 1 Month's Recurring Local Service

*Service Guarantee Objective. § \$10 minimum credit.

2. Service Installation Intervals:

Sprint agrees to make the applicable automatic credits on the bills of each residential and single line business customer for whom Sprint fails to meet the Service Guarantee Objective specified in Table 2. This Service Guarantee Objective is applicable for primary local service only. Table 2 contains Sprint's commitment regarding the service installation intervals, service guarantee criteria and associated customer Service Guarantee Credits where central office and outside plant facilities are readily available. The duration shall be calculated beginning upon receipt of application when all tariff requirements relating thereto have been complied with, except those instances where a later installation date is requested by the applicant or where special equipment or services are involved. Where the applicant requests a later date, the duration shall be calculated as if the requested date is day 3. Saturdays, Sundays and holidays are excluded for determining the applicable credits in Table 2, except that duration greater than 15 work days will be determined based on calendar days, including Saturdays, Sundays and holidays. Sprint will still be subject to FPSC Rule 24-066 (3) & (5), Florida Administrative Code where central office or outside plant facilities are not readily available.

TABLE 2

istallation Intervals
Credit
\$0
\$20
\$50
\$100

Service Guarantee Objective

3. Answer Time - Repair and Business Office:

Answer time for residence and business basic service customers will be measured

7

and reported based on the Average Speed of Answer (ASA). Measurement of ASA begins when the call leaves the Integrated Voice Response Unit (IVRU) and ends when a service representative answers the call or the caller abandons the call. Where an IVRU is not used, measurement of ASA begins as soon as the call is received at the automatic call distributor and ends when a service representative answers the call or the caller abandons the call. The Company will forecast expected demand and provide incoming access lines (trunks) to the business office and repair call centers at a P.01 grade of service for the average busy hour busy season. Within 30 seconds after the customer enters the IVRU, the caller will be given the option to exit the menu and be connected to a service representative. Sprint will credit the Community Service Fund for disposition in the amounts specified in Table 3 and/or Table 4 when the achieved ASA and/or Accessibility results do not meet the Service Guarantee Objectives specified in Tables 3 and 4.

Achievement of the Service Guarantee Objective and payment of any applicable Community Service Credits shall be determined separately for the business office that is designated to serve residential and single-line business basic service customers and separately for repair. Furthermore, Service Guarantee Objective achievement and applicable credit payment shall be determined separately for ASA and Accessibility. For example, 94% accessibility and 47 seconds ASA for a given queue would produce a community service credit of \$15,000 for the reporting month.

Answer time Service Guarantee Objectives and associated Community Service Credits for Answer Time results, ASA and Accessibility, are as follows:

8

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462. PAA-TL PAGE 10 ATTACHMENT A Page 9 of 17

TABLE 3

S-0-
\$5,000
\$10,000
\$25,000
\$50,000

TABLE 4

Accessibility (%)	Community Service Credit
95 to 100*	Ş- 0-
>90 ≤9 5	\$5,000
>85 ≤90	\$10,000
>70 ≤ 85	\$25,000
<i>_570</i>	\$50,000

*Service Guarantee Objective

Where the Company maintains a separate call center queue for non-basic business service customers, the criteria and credits are not applicable and answer time reporting is not required by the Service Guarantee Plan.

B. General Terms

1. Implementation Date: Sprint will implement this Service Guarantee Plan no later than January 1, 2001 or within six month's of a final Commission order approving the Stipulation and Settlement, whichever is later. To the extent that the Service Guarantee Plan (or any portion thereof) is implemented earlier for any Service Guarantee Objective, upon notification to the Public Counsel and Commission Staff, the applicable DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-246 -PAA-TL PAGE 11

safe harbor provisions of this Service Guarantee Plan will apply for such portion implemented early.

2. Credits: Credits to customers will be made automatically and will not require the customer to request them.

C. Definitions

- 1. Accessibility: Where an IVRU is not used, Accessibility for a particular queue is defined as the percentage of calls directed by the customer to the particular queue, where the numerator is the total number of calls either answered by a service representative or abandoned by the customer and the denominator is the total number of calls directed by the customer to the particular queue. Where an IVRU is used, Accessibility for a particular queue is defined as the percentage of calls exiting the IVRU and directed by the customer to the particular queue is defined as the percentage of calls exiting the IVRU and directed by the customer and the denominator is the total number of the particular queue, where the numerator is the total number of calls either answered by a service representative or abandoned by the customer and the denominator is the total number of calls either answered by a service representative or abandoned by the customer and the denominator is the total number of calls either answered by a service representative or abandoned by the customer and the denominator is the total number of calls either answered by a service representative or abandoned by the customer and the denominator is the total number of calls exiting the IVRU and directed by the customer to the particular queue.
- 2. Average Speed of Answer (ASA): For a given month, the sum of the total number of seconds of all calls accessing a queue measured from the time each call exits the IVRU until the call is abandoned or answered by service representative, divided by the total number of calls.
- 3. Service Representative: A repair or business office live attendant prepared to assist a customer with either a repair or service inquiry or request.
- 4. Local Service: As defined in Section 364.02 (2), Florida Statutes (1999).
- 5. Recurring Local Service: The base amount for application of the percentages in Table 1, which shall be the charges for: recurring regulated basic and non-basic services, the Subscriber Line Charge, franchise fee (if any) and a prorated portion of the total taxes applicable to regulated local service. The base amount will not include the 911 surcharge, the local number portability charge or discretionary sales surtax. The service guarantee credit will be based on the recurring regulated local services of the customer for the period during which the credit is applied.
- 6. Grade of Service: Percent of calls encountering a busy. A P.01 grade of service requires sufficient incoming access lines or trunks such that 99 percent of calls will not encounter a busy condition in the average busy season busy hour.

DOÇKET NO. 991377-T ORDER NO. PSC-00-24 A-PAA-TL PAGE 12

- 7. Service Guarantee Objective: The standard(s) shown in Tables 1 through 4 for which no monetary credit will be required.
- 8. Community Service Credits: Credits made to the Community Service Fund when the Company fails to achieve the Service Guarantee Objectives established in Table 3 and/or Table 4.
- 9. Community Service Fund: The fund (i.e. corporate undertaking) established pursuant to Section 3(D)(2) and/or the fund (i.e. corporate undertaking) created by the payment of credits required when the Company fails to achieve the Service Guarantee Objectives established in Table 3 and/or Table 4.
- 10. Safe Harbor Threshold: The level of service below which the Commission shall have the authority to take action against Sprint pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, the provisions of the Service Guarantee Plan notwithstanding. For this purpose the applicable threshold service levels shall be based on 80% of the FPSC rules in effect on the date of filing of the Stipulation and Settlement including application of Rule 25-4.070(6) (exclusion due to emergency situations), to wit:

(i). Business office answer time - 68% of calls directed to the business office answer queue answered within 55 seconds;

(ii). Repair answer time -72% of the calls directed to the repair answer queue answered within 30 seconds (or where an IVRU is utilized -76% of the calls directed to the repair answer queue answered within 55 seconds);

(iii). Installation - For each exchange, 72% of new primary service orders completed within 72 hours; and

(iv.). Repair, out-of-service - For each exchange, 76% of outof-service conditions restored within 24 hours.

For (i) and (ii), suspension of the Safe Harbor provided in Section 3(D)(3) shall occur for a particular Service Guarantee Objective only when the same queue fails to meet the threshold service level for two consecutive months. Suspension of the safe harbor will occur for a particular Service Guarantee Objective with respect to (iii) and (iv) above on an exchange-by-exchange basis and only when the same exchange fails to meet the threshold service level for two consecutive months. Restoration of the Safe Harbor shall only occur after the answer time or affected exchange equals or exceeds the applicable objective(s) contained in (i) through (iv) herein for two consecutive months.

. :

D. Other Provisions of the Service Guarantee Plan

1. Force Majeure

In the event of an emergency due to major events such as hurricanes, work stoppages, or acts of third parties outside Sprint's control when it is reasonable to expect that the Company will be unable to meet its installation, repair and answer time objectives, Sprint may declare a service emergency. In declaring a service emergency, the Company shall define the geographic area, on a minimum of an exchange basis, where the emergency exists, may make indefinite commitments for installation and repair services within the affected areas, shall initiate public service announcements to inform customers, and shall notify the Commission at the time of implementation and termination of the service emergency period. In such cases, the Company shall be relieved of its obligations to provide credits for failure to meet the Service Guarantee Objectives for installation and repair service and answer time.

Where Sprint is relieved of meeting the Service Guarantee Objectives, it will revert to making refunds or adjustments for customers affected by a service emergency, pursuant to Rule 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code, for out-of-service conditions defined by Rule 25-4.070(1)(b), Florida Administrative Code.

2. Establishment of a Community Service Fund and Disposition of Community Service Credits

Sprint shall establish a Community Service Fund in the form of a corporate 12

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462A AA-TL PAGE 14

undertaking. If, pursuant to Section 3(A)(3) of the Service Guarantee Plan, Sprint makes credits to the Community Service Fund for failure to meet repair and business office answer time Service Guarantee Objectives, such amounts shall be disposed of, in coordination with the Office of Public Counsel, to educate customers about and promote Sprint's Lifeline service. Community Service Credits shall be accrued monthly and shall be spent during the calendar year following the accrual. For example, any amounts accrued during calendar 2001 shall be spent to educate customers about and promote Sprint's Lifeline service during calendar year 2002. The Parties reserve the right to agree to a different manner to dispose of amounts credited pursuant to Section 3(A)(3) of the Service Guarantee Plan, subject to the approval of the Florida Public Service Commission.

In addition, separate and apart from any credits that may be made pursuant to Section 3(A)(3) of the Service Guarantee Plan, Sprint agrees to credit an amount of \$100,000 to the Community Service Fund. Regardless of any credits made or disposed of as otherwise provided herein, \$100,000 shall be spent prior to January 1, 2002 to educate customers about and promote Sprint's Lifeline service.

3. Safe Harbor

Sprint's obligation to implement the Service Guarantee Plan is contingent upon the Commission granting the waiver requested herein. Therefore, except for the period of April 1, 2000 through the implementation date, during the life of the Service Guarantee Plan, the Company shall not be subject to Florida Public Service Commission Rules 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(a), 25-4.073 (c) and (d) and 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code, except as

÷

otherwise specified herein.

4. Commission's Continuing Jurisdiction

The intent of the Parties is that the Commission shall have the right to enforce the provisions of this Service Guarantee Plan including, but not limited to, verification that the credits are made consistent with the Service Guarantee Plan. Furthermore, it is not the intent to deprive the Commission of its authority to resolve customer complaints and monitor and ensure that service is adequate and reasonable and resolve customer complaints. The Parties contemplate that the Commission will retain its ability to monitor service through auditing and reviewing filed reports.

The Parties contemplate that this Stipulation and Settlement will resolve all issues defined in Order No. PSC-00-0869-PCO-TL for the defined period (January 1, 1996 through March 31, 2000) and that the automatic credits will provide a safe harbor to Sprint for Commission sanctions that might otherwise be imposed pursuant to Rules 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(a), 25-4.073 (c) and (d) and 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code, for the duration of the Service Guarantee Plan.

However, the Parties further recognize that the Commission's monitoring efforts and/or the level of service complaints may warrant Commission inquiry into Sprint's overall level of service. For this reason the Parties further agree that any safe harbor that the Service Guarantee Plan provides will apply as long as the Company's results reported on a quarterly basis do not drop below the Safe Harbor Threshold. The Company will continue

Ċ,

to report service results to the FPSC as required under the rules in effect on the date of the filing of this Stipulation and settlement. In addition, Sprint will provide monthly reports to the Commission and the Office of the Public Counsel within 30 days of the end of the reporting month detailing the amount of credits related to installation, repair-out-of-service, business office answer time and repair answer time.

5. Term of Service Guarantee Plan

The term of the Service Guarantee Plan is for a minimum period of two years beginning on the implementation date, however, the Parties may mutually agree to extend the Service Guarantee Plan, subject to Commission approval. The Parties also will meet not later than the 18th month of the Service Guarantee Plan to discuss the potential for extending the Service Guarantee Plan and to address any aspects of the Service Guarantee Plan that should be modified. Additionally, each party will work in good faith to address and correct any unanticipated difficulties in a manner consistent with the intent of the Service Guarantee Plan.

4. MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

This Stipulation and Settlement will become effective on the day following the vote of the Florida Public Service Commission approving this Stipulation and Settlement. The Florida Public Service Commission's decision will be reflected in a final order.

No Party to this Stipulation and Settlement will request, support or seek to impose a change in the application of any provision hereof. Furthermore, subject to the

Ċ.

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462, AA-TL PAGE 17

> approvals of the Florida Public Service Commission set forth herein, all Parties hereto waive any right to request further administrative or judicial proceedings in regards to the establishment or implementation of this Stipulation and Settlement. This waiver of the right to further administrative or judicial proceedings shall include (but not be limited to): a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code; a motion for reconsideration of the decision in this matter in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or a notice of appeal to initiate judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

> This Stipulation and Settlement is contingent upon the Florida Public Service Commission's acceptance of the provisions herein, which acceptance shall include explicit recognition by the Florida Public Service Commission that all such matters are resolved by this Stipulation and Settlement.

> This Stipulation and Settlement is also contingent upon approval in its entirety by the Florida Public Service Commission. This Stipulation and Settlement will resolve all matters in this docket pursuant to and in accordance with Section 120.57(4), Florida Statutes (1999). This docket will be closed effective on the date the Florida Public Service Commission order approving this Stipulation and Settlement is final. If this Stipulation and Settlement is not accepted and approved without modification by an order not subject to further proceedings or judicial review, then this Stipulation and Settlement shall be considered null and void and of no further force or effect.

> > 16

۲۰,

In the event that the FPSC does not accept this document in its entirety pursuant to its terms, this document shall not be admissible in any hearing on the matters established by this docket, or in any other docket or forum. Moreover, no Party to this Stipulation and Settlement waives any position on any issue that it could have otherwise asserted in this or any other docket as if this document had never been developed and written.

This Stipulation and Settlement dated this 21st day of July 2000 may be executed in counterpart originals and a facsimile of an original signature shall be deemed an original.

The Parties evidence their acceptance and agreement with the provisions of this Stipulation and Settlement by their signatures:

Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 Sprint-Florida, Incorporated 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Jack Shreve

Bv:

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-246 PAA-TL PAGE 19



Charles J. Rehwinkel Senior Attorney

Law/External Affairs

Post office Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 52316-2214 Mailstop FLT140010⁺ Voice 850 84⁺ 0244 Fax 850 84⁺ 0244 charlest, reliwinkel@rmail.sprint.com

VIA HAND DELIVERY

August 15, 2000

Ms. Cathy Bedell General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 991377-TP

Dear Ms. Bedell:

Thank you and Ms. Davis for taking the time Friday to sit down with Charlie Beck and me to discuss issues that Commission Staff has raised regarding the Stipulation and Settlement that the Office of the Public Counsel and Sprint – Florida ("parties") have filed in the matter. We are impressed with the thoughtfulness of Staff's questions and hope that our responses are equally well received. In our discussion you raised several issues that either required explanation, assurance and/or further detail. You have asked for a response to these matters so that a recommendation can be prepared for the Commissioners. The joint response of the Parties is provided below.

1. The Staff has raised a concern about the sentence in the Waiver Petition portion of the Stipulation and Settlement, which states:

It is further the intent of the Parties that the waiver will be effective as to any amendments to the subject rules.

Staff has raised the valid concern that the Commission may not be able to grant a waiver of a rule that has not yet been adopted. The parties wish to clarify that the sentence is only intended to state the desire of the parties that the Commission be apprised that if and when any revision to the relevant rules occurs during the life of the Service Guarantee Plan (SGP), that the parties would like for the waiver to be extended. Certainly whether a

ATTACHMENT B Page 2 of 10

...

August 15, 2000 Bedell Letter Page 2 of 6

waiver would continue would depend on the factors before the Commission at that time. The provision is not intended to bind a future Commission. The parties felt it would be best to indicate to the Commission up front our desire that if the SGP is producing real net benefits to the customers and company alike, it should be allowed to continue. We recognize that any future revision to the relevant rules will inevitably impact the extension of the SGP.

2. The Staff inquired as to the base of customers eligible for the credits for delayed installation and repair of service. The intent of the parties, as expressed in the SGP, is that the same customer base that is covered in the existing rules is covered in the SGP. The Commission's current rules generally govern the provision of service to basic service customers. Furthermore, Rule 25-4.066(2) establishes an objective that (on an exchange level) service for primary lines shall be installed within 3 working days, in 90% of the instances. Consequently, per the SGP, credits will be given to basic service customers whose primary service installation takes longer than 3 days.

In the same vein, the current rule requires that (on an exchange level) 95% of all interruptions of service shall be repaired (or the customer informed if it is his problem) within 24 hours. Under the SGP, the same customer base will be entitled to receive credits where restoral or customer information exceeds 24 hours.

As you can see, the SGP fully tracks the existing customer base for repair as well as service installation. The same customer that the existing rule is intended to cover will be eligible for credits under the SGP.

3. The Staff has inquired as to the definition of "service interruption" with respect to service that when it is found to "test OK" or, when "found OK", a repeat trouble is reported. It is fully the intent of the parties that the parameters defining when an interruption is corrected or the customer is informed shall be exactly as those used for purposes of calculating rebates pursuant to Rule 25-4.110(2).¹ Sprint will consider interruptions that "test OK" and are "found OK" as eligible for SGP credits in the identical way that these interruptions are considered for rebates currently. Likewise the duration associated with repeat (i.e. new) reports of an out-of-service condition coming within 72 hours of a cleared (including "test OK" or "found OK") out-of-service trouble report will be bridged to the time associated with the immediately previous condition for purposes of SGP credit-eligibility the same as is done today for rebate purposes. It has been the intent throughout the process of developing the SGP that, except as provided on pp. 5-6 of the Stipulation and Settlement where Sundays and Holidays are excluded in determining SGP credits (but not refunds), the intervals for credit eligibility would be determined the same as in the current refund environment.

¹ Please note that the most recent reference to one portion of the rebate rule is 25-4.110(6). Contemporaneous with the negotiation of the Stipulation and Settlement the Commission revised the numbering from 25-4.110(2) to 25-4.110(6). The actual service rule provision only refers to Rule 25-4.110 , with no reference to subsection.

August 15, 2000 Bedell Letter Page 3 of 6

4. The Staff has inquired about the answer time provisions and whether a customer who would be reported as answered could encounter a message that Sprint could not answer the call or otherwise be "blocked". The answer is no. Except for network busies (discussed below), any blockage that would occur due to high volume (or any other reason) would occur <u>before</u> a call could be measured for purposes of determining ASA. In Section C.2, the definition of ASA precludes a "blocked" call from being in either the numerator or the denominator. A blocked call does not access the queue and therefore will not be reported as answered.

A blocked call is included in the denominator in calculating Accessibility, however. As provided for in C.1, the denominator for purposes of calculating Accessibility is all calls exiting the IVRU and directed by the customer to a particular queue. The key difference is the term "accessing" in the ASA definition in C.2. The blockage that reduces Accessibility (and generally involves the message) occurs between the IVRU and the ACD. A blocked call does not access the queue and therefore will not count toward achieving an ASA. That blocked call will count against Sprint by reducing Accessibility. Please note that ASA and Accessibility are independent measures and Sprint is subject to graduated penalties for failure to achieve either or both. The "blocked" calls referred to here are not the busies that can occur up to the standard engineering design level of P.01 (as defined in Section C.6.), prior to the call entering the IVRU.

5. Staff has asked whether credits will continue to be made pursuant to the SGP when suspension of the Safe Harbor occurs pursuant to Sections C.10 and D(3). The answer is that the parties intended that the payment of the credits will continue even where the Commission has the ability to impose sanctions where service has fallen below the 80% trigger point. In an earlier draft of the Stipulation and Settlement, a clause was included that provided that suspension of the SGP would have relieved Sprint of making credits for any aspect of service for which the Safe Harbor would be suspended. This provision was ultimately not agreed to, so the parties consider the silence on the issue to require that credits continue. The parties hereby reaffirm this intent.

6. The Staff asked what would change with respect to the reporting that occurs today. The answer is that Sprint would continue to file the same quarterly reports that it files today, <u>plus</u> the monthly reports that would detail the credits made. In other words, Sprint would report on a dual track. Such reporting would allow the Commission to make a comparative analysis of results on a traditional basis and under the SGP. The only change the parties might propose to the quarterly reports is to add a column on Schedules (2), (11), (15) and (16) to indicate whether the Safe Harbor suspension is triggered. This would ease the administrative burden on Staff in monitoring the SGP. Sprint will add such a column unless it proves technically infeasible to do so.

7. The Staff also asked whether the reference to "a quarterly basis" in the last complete sentence on page 14 (section D.4) evinced any intent to average results for purposes of the Safe Harbor threshold. The answer is no. The intent is that suspension of the Safe Harbor (or restoral of the Safe Harbor) will be made based on the quarterly

۰.

August 15, 2000 Bedell Letter Page 4 of 6

reports as currently filed. There will be no averaging. Each month's results stand alone for purposes of calculations under Section C.10.

8. The Staff has expressed some concern about the inclusion of the Community Service Fund (CSF) in the amount of \$100,000 in lieu of a fine. Your question was how it relates to quality of service and whether it was appropriate as part of the SGP. The parties believe that together with the financial "penalty" discussed below, the expenditure of the \$100,000 is appropriate. Instead of a non-specific contribution to the general fund, the payment directly relates to telephone service. It will benefit Sprint's customers by educating existing customers about the availability of Lifeline service and holds the possibility of bringing more customers on line, thus enhancing the value of all customers' services. In addition, the Commission's approval of the expenditure will be consistent with Section 364.0252 which requires the Commission to undertake efforts to "inform[] customers concerning the availability of Lifeline and Link-Up..."

9. The Staff also inquired as to why a fine (i.e. payment to the State of Florida General Fund) was not included. It appears that the Staff inquiry was premised in the notion that the provisions of the plan are prospective only. To this end, the Staff has delivered a letter to Ben Poag requesting certain information. (See attached Letter from Rick Moses to Ben Poag, dated August 11, 2000). The parties respectfully submit that the provisions of the SGP do impose a penalty upon Sprint in that hundreds of thousands of dollars in credits will be made even where Sprint is providing service that meets and/or exceeds Commission's current objectives.

In response the Mr. Moses' letter, Sprint offers the total number of qualifying service orders and the total number of qualifying trouble tickets for the latest twelve months of available data (July 1999 – June 2000) as an indicator of current volumes. When current (most recent 12 months) volume of service orders and trouble tickets are measured against the available results from the year (1997) with the lowest number of service order and trouble tickets missing the objective (6% for service orders and 3.6% for repair), the penalty (including the CSF) to Sprint over the two year life of the SGP would be \$1,160,844. This amount is calculated by multiplying the expected volume times the relevant percentage times the minimum payment (\$20 for service orders and \$10 for out-of-service tickets) times the number of years of the SGP, like so:

Service Orders:

 $278,965 \times .06 \times \$20 \times 2 = 669,516$

Out-of-Service Tickets:

 $543,512 \times .036 \times $10 \times 2 = 391,328$

<u>CSF:</u>

100,000 Total Penalty \$1,160,844 This is a conservative impact since it assumes credits at the minimum levels only and does not consider the impact of business rates or longer duration delays. Furthermore, if Sprint were to provide service at the objectives in all exchanges at the current volume, the minimum impact calculated the same way (but using 5% for out-of-service tickets and 10% for service orders) for the two-year life of the SGP period would be \$1,759,372. Attached for Staff's consideration are spreadsheets showing the calculation in more detail and a summary of the volumes for service orders and out-of-service tickets since January 1, 1997.

Clearly, the cost to Sprint for past results will be material. The impact of this "atonement" for past service deficiencies was certainly considered by the parties in the design of the SGP.

The parties have considered Staff's concerns about the Lifeline related purposes of the CSF and the supposed "lack" of any fine and, in light of the demonstrable impact on Sprint, believe resolutely that the Commission should evaluate the entire settlement for its overall customer and public interest benefits.

10. The Staff has also inquired about the determination of the implementation date with respect to the finality of any Commission order approving the Stipulation and Settlement and the SGP. We agree that the language leaves some room for interpretation. The intent of the parties can best be gleaned from reading the Stipulation and Settlement together with the Joint Motion for Expedited Consideration and Approval of Stipulation and Settlement (Joint Motion). In the Joint Motion, the parties contemplate that the Petition for Waiver embedded in the Stipulation and Settlement would likely be dealt with as a Notice of Proposed Agency Action (PAA). We therefore expressly requested that the effective date of the final order approving the settlement of the docket be synchronized with the issuance of a Consummating order on the PAA. See Joint Motion at pp.2-3. Section B.1 of the SGP establishes the required implementation date of the SGP at the later of January 1, 2000 or six months after the final order approving the Stipulation and Settlement. The term "final order" in that section should be read to mean the effective date of such order. Thus, if the final order contains an effective contingent upon the Consummating order date, the implementation date will be six months after the date of the Consummating order.

The parties hope these responses address Staff's concerns. We respectfully urge that the Staff give favorable consideration to the Stipulation and Settlement and these additional explanations; clarifications and assurances and to recommend the Stipulation and Settlement favorably to the Commission.

I am authorized to state that the Office of the Public Counsel concurs in this letter. Please call Charlie Beck at 850/488-9330 or Charles Rehwinkel at 850/847-0244 if you DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462, PAA-TL PAGE 24 ATTACHMENT B Page 6 of 10

ç÷,

August 15, 2000 Bedell Letter Page 6 of 6 have any questions or concerns regarding these responses.

*

Sincerely,

The

Charles J. Rehwinkel

Attachments

cc: Charlie Beck Noreen Davis DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-246 -PAA-TL PAGE 25

...

STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: J. Terry Deason, Chairman E. Leon Jacobs, Jr. Lila A. Jaber



Division of Competitive Services Walter D'Haeseleer Director (850) 413-6600

Public Service Commission

August 10, 2000

Mr. F. B. (Ben) Poag Director, Regulatory Affairs Sprint-Florida, Incorporated P. O. Box 2214, (MC FLTLHO0107) Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214

Re: Docket No. 991377-FL Initiation of Show Cause Proceeding

Dear Mr. Poag:

In regard to the settlement proposal filed with the Commission by the Office of Public Counsel and Sprint-Florida, I would like to know the amount of credit and number of customers involved if credit were issued using the "Service Guarantee Objectives and Credits Schedule", as outlined in the settlement, to those customers that actually experienced the late installations and prolonged repair intervals. Please focus on those customers involved during the time frame of the docket (January 1996 through December 1999).

If Sprint does not have data available for this entire period, please submit data for the time frame Sprint does have records.

Please advise by August 15, 2000 as to when we can expect this data. If you have any questions please call me at 850/413-6582.

Sincerely,

Rick Moses Chief, Service Quality Bureau

cc: Walter D'Haeseleer Beth Salak

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 323 *9-0850 An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer PSC Website: http://www.floridapuc.com

÷

DOCKET NO. 991377-' ORDER NO. PSC-00-24 __A-PAA-TL PAGE 26

	Serv	vice Installat	юп	Repair Restoration			
	Complete				Cleared		
	Total	w/in		Total	w/in		
	Requests	3-Days	%	oos	24 Hours	%	
Jul-99	24,085	21,896	90.9%	47,980	44,510	92.8%	
Aug-99	24,140	21,994	91.1%		47,734	94.1%	
Sep-99			88.7%	45,717	40,936	89.5%	
Oct-99	24,512	21,691	88.5%	45,555	40,264	88.4%	
Nov-99	24,248	19,754	81.5%	42,497	33,579	79.0%	
Dec-99	21,412	15,960	74.5%	38,643	35,900	92.9%	
Jan-00	26,097	21,269	81.5%	48,946	47,162	96.4%	
Feb-00	23,805			44,133	43,344	98.2%	
Mar-00	22,782	20,401	89.5%	46,059	45,208	98.2%	
Apr-00	22,107			39,640	38,773	97.8%	
May-00	21,770	19,985	91.8%	37,761	37,155	98.4%	
Jun-00	22,614	20,514	90.7%	55,880	53,820	96.3%	
Total	278,965			543,512			
Multiply total SO * 6% * \$20 * 2 yrs Multiply total OOS * 3.6% * \$10 * 2 yrs							
	\$669,516		\$391,329				
Add SO plus OOS plus \$100,000 Lifeline \$1,160,845							
Multiply to	tal SO * 10%	* \$20 * 2 yrs	5	Multiply tota	1 OOS * 5%	*\$10 * 2 yrs	
	\$1,115,860			\$543,512			

Add SO plus OOS plus \$100,000 Lifeline \$1,759,372

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462. 'AA-TL PAGE 27

.

•

Sprint, Southern Operations Floride Public Service Commission Customer Exchange Results

	Service Installation Report Restoration								
		Completed		<u> </u>	Repair Restoration				T
	Total	win			Total	with			
 	Requests	3-Days	*		008	24 Hours	*		
Jan-97	32,712	31,254	95.5%		25,428	23.743	\$3.4%		
Feb-97	31,677	29,832	94.2%		22,045	21,015	95.3%		
Mar-97	25,663	24,148	94.1%		23,189	22,490	97.0%		
Apr-97	27,633	25,821	\$3.4%		22,651	22,231	58.1%		
May-97	28,023	26,234	\$3.6%	·	18,638	18,065	96.9%		
Jun-97	28,648	26,837	\$3.7%		24,274	23,570	97.1%		
jul-97	28,219	26,521	94.0%		31,384	30,313	96.6%		
Aug-97	35,025	33,775	\$3.7%		27,589	25,848	97.3%		1
Sep-97	29,637	27,827	83.9%		24,959	24,304	97.3%		
Oct-97	29,227	27,501	94.1%		25,184	24,645	97.9%		-
Nov-97	32,186	30,257	94.0%		24,507	23,772	97.0%		1
Dec-97	28,875	27,114	93.9%		32,989	30,617	92.8%		
Jan-96	32,884	31,178	94.8%		33,821	32,028	94.7%		
Feb-96	30,157	28,091	\$3.1%		35,060	33,549	95.7%		
Mar-96	27,361	25,383	92.8%		36,344	34,926	98.1%		<u> </u>
Apr-98	27,700	25,428	91.8%		29,900	29,378	98.0%		
May-96	26,672	24,539	92.0%		28,617	28,003	87.9%		
Jun-98	27,297	25,003	91.6%		32,329	31,564	97.6%		
Jul-96	27,151	24,708	91.0%	-	42,257	40,167	95.1%		
Aug-98	34,842	31,447	90.3%		44,455	41,127	82.5%		
Sep-98	25,855	23,510	91.6%		39,922	37,552	94.1%		
Oct-98	29,315	25,786	91.4%		34,314	31,378	91.4%		
Nov-96	27,484	25,258	91.9%		32,536	30,053	82.4%		<u> </u>
Dec-98	25,437	23,898	\$3.9%		31,578	30,127	95.4%		
Jan-99	31,213	29,031	93.0%		34,803	33,148	95.2%		<u> </u>
Feb-99	29,392	27,017	91.9%		29,410	28,866	98.2%		<u> </u>
Mar-99	25,098	23,174	92.3%		32,977	32,289	87.9%		
Apr-90	24,062	22,125	91.9%		32,605	31,540	97.0%		
May-99	27.303	25,399	93.0%		36,047	34,750	98.4%		
Jun-99	25,859	23,996	92.8%		49,131	46,159	94.0%		
Jul-99	24.085	21,895	90.9%		47,980	44,510	92.8%		
Aug-99	24,140	21,994	91.1%		50,701	44,510	<u>94,1%</u>		
Sep-99	21,393	18,970	- 68.7%		45,717	40,936	89.6%		
Oct-99	24.512	21,691	88.5%		45.555	40,264	55.4%		
Nov-99	24,248	19,754	81.5%		42,497	33,579	79.0%		
Dec-99	21,412	15,960	74.5%		38,643	35,900	92.9%		
Jan-00	26,097	21,259	81.5%		48.946	1	95.4%		
Feb-00	23,805	20,768	87.2%		48,346	47,162	98.2%		
Mar-00	22,782	20,401	89.5%		40.059	43,344 45,208			
Apr-00	22,107	20,024	90.6%				98.2%		
May-00	21,770	19,965	91.8%	·····	39,640	38,773	97.8%		
	21,770	19,360	37.5%		37,761	37,155	98.4%		

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL , ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462 'AA-TL PAGE 28

Sprint, Southern Operations								
Florida Public Service Commission								
Customer Exchange Results								
Jun-00	22.614	20,514	90.7%	55,880	53,820	95.3%		

•

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-246. PAA-TL PAGE 29

. 7

OR!GINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for violations of service standards. Docket No. 991377-TL

Filed: November 27, 2000

Amendment to Stipulation and Settlement

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (Sprint or the Company) and the Office of Public Counsel (OPC or Citizens) hereby make the following amendments to the stipulation and settlement filed on July 27, 2000:

1. Sprint will make a \$75,000 voluntary contribution to the General Fund. Such a payment will be made within 35 days of the issuance of the final order approving the Stipulation and Settlement. Such a voluntary payment will fully resolve any issues for potential or alleged service deficiencies for the period of April 1, 2000 through the date of the final order approving the stipulation and settlement.

2. There will be no safe harbor threshold, as defined in C(10) of the agreement. Instead, the Commission may terminate this agreement at any time for any reason. The company will liable for credits to customers and community service credits, as contemplated by the agreement, until the date of such termination. Upon termination, the company will no longer be liable for such credits and will instead be subject to Commission Rules 25-4.066 (2), 25-4.070 (3)(a), 25-4.073(1) (c) and (1)(d) and 25-4.110(2), Florida Administrative Code. The company will only be subject to sanction for violations of said rules that may occur after termination of the agreement.

1

15169 NOV 278

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462 PAA-TL PAGE 30 ATTACHMENT C Page 2 of 3

3. The modifications made and agreed to herein are made notwithstanding the last paragraph on page 16 and the first paragraph on page 17 of the Stipulation and Settlement. Furthermore, provisions to the contrary regarding the effect of the Safe Harbor Threshold in Sections D.3 and D.4 will not apply. Provisions regarding payment of fines in Sections 1 and 3.D.2 to the contrary will not apply.

2

DATED this 27th day of November 2000.

SHREVE

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature Room 812 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

FOR THE CITIZENS OF FLORIDA

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES J. REHWINKEL

Sprint-Florida, Inc. 315 S. Calhoun Street Suite 500 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

FOR SPRINT-FLORIDA, INC.

DOCKET NO. 991377-TL ORDER NO. PSC-00-2462A- .-TL PAGE 31 ATTACHMENT C Page 3 of 3

CERTFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 991377-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the above Amendment to Stipulation and Settlement has been furnished by U.S. mail to the following this 27^{tht} day of November 2000:

Patricia Christensen Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

sr. Shreve

. .

Public Counsel