

## State of Florida



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-MF

**DATE:** January 22, 2001

TO: Division of Competitive Services (CMP) - Makin

FROM: Division of Regulatory Oversight (Vandiver)

RE: Docket No. 000003-GU; City Gas Company of Florida Compete Audit Report (Includes Declassified Pages)

Audit Report; PGA - Period Ended December 31, 1999

Audit Control No. 00-003-4-3

The staff audit report for this docket was issued September 11, 2000. Pages 3 through 9 were withheld pending a determination of confidentiality. These Pages have been declassified. The complete audit report is attached for your information.

DNV/sp

Attachment

cc: Division of Regulatory Oversight (Hoppe/Harvey/File Folder)

Miami District Office (Welch)

Division of Records and Reporting

Division of Legal Services

APP	
CAF	
CMP	
COM	
CTR	
ECR	
LEG	
OPC	
PAI	
RGO.	
SEC .	-
SER .	
DIH	

DOCUMENT	NUMBER-DATE
0107	1 JAN 24 5



## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## DIVISION OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT BUREAU OF AUDITING SERVICES

Miami District Office

CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT AUDIT

PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

AUDIT CONTROL NO. 00-003-4-3

DOCKET NO. 000003-GU

Ruth K. Young, Audit Manager

Iliana Piedra, Professional Accounting Specialist

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	AUDITOR'S REPORT					
	Purpose Disclaim Public Use Summary of Significant Procedures	1 1 2				
11.	AUDIT EXCEPTIONS					
	<ol> <li>Revenues</li> <li>Cost of Gas</li> <li>Prior Audit Adjustments</li> <li>Books and Records</li> </ol>	3 4 10 11				
m.	EXHIBITS	12				
	Company Filing for PGA for 12 months ended December 31, 1999	13				
	Staff Recalculation of the A-2 schedules for the 12 months ended December 31, 1999	14				

## DIVISION OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT AUDITOR'S REPORT

September 5, 2000

### TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have applied the procedures described later in this report to audit the accompanying Purchased Gas Adjustment Schedule for the year ending December 31, 1999. These schedules were prepared by the utility as part of its Purchased Gas Adjustment true-up filings in Docket No. 000003-GU.

This is an internal accounting report prepared after preforming a limited scope audit. Accordingly, this report should not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

#### **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES**

Our audit was performed by examining, on a test basis, certain transactions and account balances which we believe are sufficient to base our opinion. Our examination did not entail a complete review of all financial transactions of the company. Our more important audit procedures are summarized below. The following definitions apply when used in this report:

Scanned - The documents or accounts were read quickly looking for obvious errors.

**Compiled** - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger, and accounts were scanned for error or inconsistency.

**Reviewed** - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general ledger account balances were traced to subsidiary ledgers, and selective analytical review procedures were applied.

**Examined** - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger. The general ledger account balances were traced to subsidiary ledgers. Selective analytical review procedures were applied, and account balances were tested to the extent further described.

**Confirmed** - Evidential matter supporting an account balance, transaction, or other information was obtained directly from an independent third party.

**Verify** - The item was tested for accuracy and compared to substantiating documentation.

**Revenues:** The revenue amounts on the A-2 schedules were compared with the company reconciliation schedules. Traced revenues and therms to billing system summaries. Determined if the rates charged were over the cap. Recalculated customer bills for each rate class.

**Expenses:** Compiled cost of gas expenses for the 12-months ending December 31, 1999. Compared the cost of gas according to the A-2 schedules to the documentation supporting the A-2 schedules and the general ledger for all months. Examined on a judgmental basis invoices for the purchase of gas. Where the parent company allocated a portion of the gas invoice to City Gas, the allocation percents were traced to appropriate documentation. Off-system sales were examined on a judgmental based to determine if the correct amounts were deducted form the cost of gas. Margin sharing for off-system sales was recalculated on a random basis.

**True-up and Interest:** Recalculated the true-up and interest amount for the 12-months ending December 31, 1999. Traced interest rates to the Wall Street Journal.

SUBJECT:

**REVENUES** 

**STATEMENT OF FACTS:** Revenues for the months of January and February 1999 reported on the A-2 schedule do not agree with the company documentation.

The regulatory assessment fee is included in the rate per therm billed to the customers. The company included .5% in the rate per therm when billing the customer as stated in Rule 25-7.0101(a) Florida Administrative Code. When calculating the revenue to include on the A-2 schedule for the month of January and February, the company reduced the actual revenue billed to the customers for .375% instead of the .5% actually billed to the customer.

In February another error occurred. One of the revenue cycles that was accrued in the billing register was not included in the PGA filing. The company explained that when preparing the A-2 schedule, a billing cycle was left off.

The differences for	ollow:	Based on Actual Company	
	Schedule A-2	Documentation	Difference
1000	0.044.000	0.044.700	( 0. 50.0)
January 1999	2,014,309	2,011,780	( 2,529)
February 1999	2,191,454	2,307,597	113,052

**RECOMMENDATION:** The revenue for January and February 1999 should be corrected on the A-2 schedules by decreasing January revenue in the amount of \$2,529 and increasing February revenue in the amount of \$113,052. A revised A-2 schedule is included in the exhibit section of this report. This revised schedule also includes the other audit exceptions.

SUBJECT:

**COST OF GAS** 

**STATEMENT OF FACTS:** When comparing the cost of gas on the A-2 schedules submitted to the Commission for the year end December 31, 1999 with the general ledger, it was determined that there were discrepancies for eight of the 12 months. Discrepancies were also found when comparing the A-2 schedules with the supporting documents filed with the Commission. Because of all the differences, the A-2 schedules were disregarded and the amounts in the general ledger were used to audit. When the amounts in the general ledger were verified, an adjustment was made to the A-2 cost of gas to agree with the general ledger.

Staff determined the differences that are detailed on the schedule following this exception.

### 1. January, April, July, October and November 1999

For the months of January, April, July, October and November, the company could not explain why the general ledger was different than the amounts submitted on the A-2 schedules, and in some cases different than the amounts on the supporting documents filed with the Commission.

#### 2. February 1999

The general ledger reflects a lower cost of gas than the A-2 schedule for the month of February 1999. In the A-2 schedule, the company included two items that were not booked to the general ledger. The first item was for the difference between the amount accrued on the A-2 and the amount that the company believed should be accrued from the Energy Management System.

Amount Accrued in the General Ledger	Amount included in the A-2	Difference
87,059.16	89,720.25	2,661.09
<u>354,902.77</u>	<u>496,509,47</u>	141,606.70
441,961.93	586,229.72	144,267.79

The amount of \$441,961.93 was reversed in the general ledger the next month. The same amount was reversed on the A-2 even though \$586,229.72 was accrued on the A-2. By the company adding \$144,267.93 to the cost of gas on the A-2 in February, it will be reflected in February and March. Therefore, the cost of gas is overstated by \$144,267.93.

Also added to the A-2 cost of gas was \$187,758.76 for gas taken from storage in February 1999. This amount was never booked to the general ledger in the year 1999 or 2000 to

date. As this transaction actually occurred, it should be included in the cost of gas on the A-2.

The total difference as seen on the attached schedule is \$333,362.37. The above two items account for \$332,026.55. The A-2 is still higher than the general ledger in the amount of \$1,335.82. The reason for this could not be determined. The total of the \$144,267.93 and \$1,335.82 is \$145,603.75.

The filing is prepared from the Energy Management System (EMS) data rather than from the general ledger. The EMS is continually updated for changes, while the general ledger is not updated until the next month. Therefore, if the filing is prepared after the books are closed, then the filing and the general ledger will be different.

#### 3. March 1999

The difference in the month of March was explained and resolved. The company representative explained that there was a formula error when completing the documentation to include the cost in A-2. A review of the documentation confirmed that there was a formula error. The general ledger is correct. The cost of gas on the A-2 schedule should be increased by \$160,960.97

#### 4. December 1999

When the company reduced the cost of gas for the off system sales, it made a journal entry in December as follows:

Dr. Off System Sales 800400 4,021,836.25 Cr. Cost of Gas 800710 4,021,836.25

Entry to removed the cost of gas for off system sales from the cost account..

According to the company the journal entry should have been:

Dr. Off System Sales 800400 4,021,836.25
Cr. Cost of Gas 800710 3,571,585.25
Cr. Inventory 450,251.00

This has the effect of increasing the cost of gas. Therefore, the increase of 450,251 on the A-2 schedule.

The A-2 schedule shows a cost of gas that is \$450,251 higher than the general ledger. The A-2 schedule was changed in December. There was not a journal entry to the general ledger until January, 2000. The journal entry in January was:

Dr. Cost of Gas 800710 Cr. Inventory 366,710.80

366,710.80

The amount added to the A-2 in December 1999 is \$83,540.20 higher than the actual booked in January 2000. Also, there is a remaining difference between the general ledger and the A-2 in the amount of \$19.74. The A-2 is higher by this amount. This could not be explained by the company. The total difference is 83,559.94

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

## 1. January, April, July, October and November

Where the company could not explain the differences, the A-2 schedules were adjusted to agree with the general ledger amounts which were audited. The differences as noted on the attached schedule are \$16,494.58, \$22,061.20, (\$2,704.17), \$5,132.88, and (\$15,322.15) for January, April, July, October and November respectively. The net impact is an increase to the cost of gas in the amount of \$25,662.34. See the schedule following this exception.

#### 2. February 1999

The cost of gas on the A-2 should be reduced in the amount of \$144,267.93 for items that are already included in March 1999 costs. The inexplicable difference of \$1,335.82 should also be reduced from the A-2 costs in order to agree with the general ledger.

The company should make every effort to either prepare the filings from the general ledger or to keep a reconciliation of the filings prepared from the Energy Management System to the general ledger for each month. There needs to be a clear audit trail. Also, any changes to the A-2 that do not agree with the general ledger should be detailed and a clear reconciliation with the general ledger kept.

#### 3. March 1999

The cost of gas on the A-2 should be increased in the amount of \$160,960.61 because of formula errors. The company should make every effort to review the formulas used in its filings to make sure there are no future errors.

### 4. December 1999

The cost of gas on the A-2 schedule should be reduced in the amount of \$83,559.94. The company should also make sure that the \$366,710.80 booked in January 2000 (366,710.80) for December 99 is not included in its January 2000 filing. The filings should not be changed without keeping a reconciliation or without booking an entry in the month the filing is changed. A clear audit trail needs to be maintained. Changing the filing and not the general ledger until the next month without a reconciliation could lead to reporting the same invoices in both months.

**5.** The schedule accompanying this exception details the adjustments to the cost of gas by month. A revised A-2 schedule is prepared as an exhibit to this report and includes all other audit exceptions.

COMPANY:

CITY GAS CO.

TITLE:

RECONCILIATION OF A-2 SCHEDULES WITH THE GENERAL LEDGER

PERIOD: DATE:

YEAR END 12/31/99 September 5, 2000

	Column (1)	Column (2)	Column (3) (1) - (2)	Column (4)	Column (5) (4)-(3)	Column (6) Note B	Column (7) (5) - (6)	Column (8)	Column (9) (7)-(8)
1999	Off System Sales General Ledger Balance EOM Account 800400	Note A Futures General Ledger Balance EOM Account 830000	Sub Total	Note C Total Cost of Gas Per G/L 800 accounts	Cost of Gas Less Off System = Cost of Gas Before Margin Sharing per G/L	(Plus)/ Minus (Gain) or loss on Margin Sharing Account 191022 per G/L	Cost of Gas per G/L to include on Schedule A-2	Cost of Gas on Schedule A-2	Difference between G/L and A-2 G/L is Higher (Lower)
Jan	450,876.59	(33,867.00)	417,009.59	2,841,337.31	2,424,327.72	/OF 000 4.4	0.000.507.50		
Feb	732,848.33					. , ,	,,		
March	1,032,383.29			,,,		(==,====,==,		_,-,-,-,-,-	
April	1,470,758.11			3,288,861.21	_,,,_,,,	(,	-11		160,960.97
May	2,163,039.48		2,163,039.48		-1	(00,200,00)		1,768,888.00	22,061.20
June	1,458,552.15	0.00	1,458,552,15	3,009,375.61	1,000,000	(= 1,000.11)		1,491,167.00	(0.46)
July	1,887,440.86	(400.00)		3,711,292.41	1,550,823.46	( ,==)	.,,	1,503,573.00	(1.04)
Aug	2,355,929.98	0.00	2,355,929,98		1,824,251.55	(,-,-,		1,743,585.00	(2,704.17)
Sept	2,271,002.69	0.00	2,271,002,69	4,132,424.60	11. 1 - 1 10 1102	(101,010,01)	.,,	1,644,878.00	0.58
Oct	2,474,748.15	0.00	2,474,748.15	4,098,351.16	.,,,	(124,490.87)	.	1,702,857.00	
Nov	3,773,362.88	0.00		4,469,631.91	1,994,883.76	(00,000,00)		1,919,860.00	5,132.88
Dec	4,080,187.08	0.00	3,773,362.88	6,149,047.13	2,375,684.25	(104,466.40)	2,271,217.85	2,286,540.00	(15,322.15)
	4,000,107.00	0.00	4,080,187.08	6,363,086.58	2,282,899.50	(47,399.24)	2,235,500.26	2,685,771.00	(450,270.74)
	24,151,129.59	(68,149.00)	24,082,980.59	47,617,150.85	23,534,170.26	(770,457.38)	22,763,712.88	23,360,723.00	(597,010.12)
Note A									Differences

Explained on

**Next Page** 

#### Note A

Gain on Futures is a credit to cost of gas (reduces cost of gas to the customer) Loss on futures is a debit to cost of gas (increases cost of gas to customer) Futures are accounted for in the following manner:

Total gain on futures is a credit to 830000 and a debit to an intercompany broker account,

Then it is divided in half and if it is a gain 1/2 is debited to 800400 and the other half is to 191022. If a loss occurs the reverse journal entries are used.

#### Note B

Gain on Margin share is a credit to cost of gas (reduces cost of gas to the customer) Loss in margin share is a debit to cost of gas (increases cost of gas to customer)

Comprised of accounts 800400, 800640, 80700, 800710, 800720, and 830000

COMPANY:

CITY GAS CO.

TITLE:

RECONCILIATION OF A-2 SCHEDULES WITH THE G/L

PERIOD:

YEAR END 12/3199

DATE:

September 5, 2000

1999	Column (9) (7)-(8) Difference between G/L and A-2 G/L is Higher	Column (10)  Explanation of	Differences that are documented and reasonable	Column (12)  Remaining Differences to Dr (Cr) to Gas cost on A-2 to
1999	(Lower)	Differences	on A-2	agree with G/L
Jan	16,494.5	8 Note E	0.0	0 16,494.58
Feb	(333,362.3	7) Note G	(187,758.7	6) (145,603.61)
March	160,960.9	7 Note F	0.0	0 160,960.97
April	22,061.2	0 Note E	0.0	0 22,061.20
May	(0.4	6) Note E	0.0	0 (0.46)
June	(1.0	4) Note E	0.0	0 (1.04)
July	(2,704.1	7) Note E	0.0	0 (2,704.17)
Aug		8 Note E	0.0	0 0.58
Sept	0.6	0 Note E	0.0	0.60
Oct	5,132.8	8 Note E	0.0	0 5,132.88
Nov	(15,322.1	5) Note E	0.0	0 (15,322.15)
Dec	(450,270.7	4) Note D	(366,710.8	0) (83,559.94)
	(597,010.1	2)	(554,469.5	(42,540.56)

- Note D Reduced cost of gas for a portion of off system sales.
- Note E Company could not explain difference, possibly formula errors on the A-2 and the back up documentation submitted with the A-2. Adjust cost of gas to agree with general ledger.
- **Note F** Company had formula error on A-2.
- Note G Adjust cost of gas for gas taken out of storage.

SUBJECT:

ADJUSTMENTS FROM LAST PSC AUDIT FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998

**STATEMENT OF FACTS:** Staff's last audit of the PGA included nine months ended December 31, 1998. In that audit report dated June 18, 1999, adjustments were made to the ending period true-up. In preparing the 1999 schedules, the company did not record the adjustments from the last audit. There were three exceptions that impacted the final overrecovery at 12/31/98. Two adjustments were to the cost of gas and the third was to correct an interest rate used by the company.

The net effect is a decrease in the company calculated overrecovery by \$46,951 including interest. This changed the overrecovery from \$2,754,582 to \$2,707,631.

**RECOMMENDATION:** This change is included in the revised true up schedule in the exhibit section of this report.

SUBJECT: BOOKS AND RECORDS

**STATEMENT OF FACTS:** Because of the errors found and detailed in Audit Exceptions 1 through 3, the staff recommends the following.

The company should be advised to review all the schedules submitted for the year 2000 to date and prepare any reconciliations and/or revised schedules necessary. When the first six months are completed, staff should be advised and a preliminary audit for 2000 should be performed.

## **EXHIBITS**

- 1. Company filed A-2 schedule for the 12 months ended December 31, 1999
- 2. Staff revised A-2 schedule for Exceptions 1, 2, and 3 for the 12 months ended December 31, 1999

C notesyck Dicember

21 INTEREST PROVISION (15x20)

COMPANY: CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST PROVISION SCHEDULE A-2 CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA (REVISED 6/08/94) FOR THE PERIOD OF: **JANUARY 99** Through **DECEMBER 99 PAGE 4 OF 11** CURRENT MONTH: 12/99 DIFFERENCE PERIOD TO DATE DIFFERENCE (A) (B) (C) (D) (E) (F) (G) (H) **ACTUAL ESTIMATE** AMOUNT % **ACTUAL ESTIMATE** AMOUNT TRUE-UP CALCULATION 1 PURCHASED GAS COST (Sch A-1 Flx down line 4+6) 1,352,916 1,603,243 250,327 15 61% 11,873,626 13,955,954 2,082,328 14.92% 2 TRANSP GAS COST (Sch A-1 Flx down line 1+2+3+5) 1,332,855 952,218 (380,637) -39 97% 11,487,097 11,121,324 (365,773) -3.29% 3 TOTAL 2,685,771 2,555,461 (130,310) -5.10% 23,360,723 25,077,278 1,716,555 6.85% 4 FUEL REVENUES (Sch A-1 Flx down line 14) 1,771,150 2,549,015 777,865 30.52% 21,224,474 25,312,373 4,087,899 16.15% (NET OF REVENUE TAX) 5 TRUE-UP (COLLECTED) OR REFUNDED 136.071 136,071 0.00% 1,632,896 1,632,896 0.00% 6 FUEL REVENUE APPLICABLE TO PERIOD \* 1,907,221 2,685,086 777,865 28.97% 22,857,370 26,945,269 4,087,899 15.17% (LINE 4 (+ or -) LINE 5) 7 TRUE-UP PROVISION - THIS PERIOD (778,550)129,625 908,175 700 62% (503,353) 1,867,991 2,371,344 126.95% (LINE 6 - LINE 3) 8 INTEREST PROVISION-THIS PERIOD (21) 5.605 8,068 30.53% 2.463 135,337 138,743 3,406 2.45% 9 BEGINNING OF PERIOD TRUE-UP AND INTEREST 1,662,686 2,626,826 964,140 36.70% 2,754,582 2,754 582 0.00% 9A ADJUST BEGINNING ESTIMATE (888,535) (888,535)100 00% (1,388.507)(1,388,507)100.00% 9B BEGINNING OF PERIOD TRUE-UP AND INTEREST 1,662,686 1,738,291 75,605 4.35% 2,754,582 1,366,075 (1,388,507)-101 64% 10 TRUE-UP COLLECTED OR (REFUNDED) (106,071)(136,071)0 00% (1,632,896)(1,632,896) 0.00% (REVERSE OF LINE 5) 10a Refund (if applicable) 10n Refund of interim rate increase 11 TOTAL ESTIMATED/ACTUAL TRUE-UP 753,670 1,739,913 986,243 56 68% 753,670 1,739,913 986,243 56.68% (7+8+9b+10+10a) INTEREST PROVISION 12 BEGINNING TRUE-UP AND 1,662,686 1,738,291 75,605 4.35% \* If line 5 is a refund add to line 4 INTEREST PROVISION (9b) If line 5 is a collection () subtract from line 4 13 ENDING TRUE-UP BEFORE 748,065 1,731,845 983,780 56 81% INTEREST (12 + 10a + 10b + 7 - 5) 14 TOTAL (12+13) 2,410,752 3,470,136 1,059,384 30.53% 15 AVERAGE (50% OF 14) 1,205,376 1,735,068 529.692 30.53% 16 INTEREST RATE - FIRST 0 05550 0.05550 0 00% DAY OF MONTH 17 INTEREST RATE - FIRST 0.05600 0 05600 0 00% DAY OF SUBSEQUENT MONTH 18 TOTAL (16+17) 0.11150 0.11150 0 00% 19 AVERAGE (50% OF 18) 0.05575 0.05575 0 00% 20 MONTHLY AVERAGE (19/12 Months) 0.00465

0.00465

8.068

2,463

5,605

0 00%

30 53%

Company

CITY GAS CO

Title: True-up & Interest Calculations

Period. Date:

Interest- first day reporting

of subsequent month INTEREST RATES

Year Ended 12/31/99 September 5, 2000

REVISED FOR AUDIT EXCEPTIONS 1, 2, AND 3

Interest Rates per Wall Street Journal Commercial Paper Interest- first day reporting

4 9000% 4 8100% 4 8500% February March

Interest Rates per Wall Street Journal Commercial Paper interest- first day reporting

July 5 0500% August 5.1000% September October 5.3200%

April May 4 8800% 4 8000% June 4 8500%

5 0500%

5 3000% 5 3000% November December 5 5500%

Interest- first day reporting

5 6000%

Beginning Ending	4 900% 4 810%	February 4 810% 4 850%	March 4 850% 4 880%	April 4.880% 4 800%	May 4 800% 4.850%	June 4 860% 5 060%	July 5.05% 5.10%	August 5 10% 5 32%	September 5.32% 5.30%	October 5 30% 5 30%	November 5 30% 5.55%	December 5 55% 5 60%	
Total	9.710%	9 660%	9 730%	9 680%	9 650%	9 900%	10.150%	10 420%	10 620%	40.5004			
Average	4 855%	4 830%	4 865%	4 840%	4 825%	4 950%	5 075%	5 210%	5 3 10%	10 600%	10 850%	11.150%	
Morthly Average CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP		0.403%	0.405%	0 403%	0 402%	0 413%	0 423%	0 434%	0 443%	5 300% 0 442%	5 425% 0 452%	5 575% 0 465%	
Total Gas reversuss Audit Exception 1	2,014,309 (2,529) 2,011,780	2,194,545 113,052 2,307,597	2,320,479	1,919,843	1,728,079 0	1,832,960 0	1,635,139 0	1,383,636 D	1,422,377 0	1,425,247	1,576,710	1,771,150	21,224,474 110,523
Prior Yrus-up - Note (a)	136,075	136,075	2,320,47 <del>9</del> 136,075	1,919,843	1,728,079	1,832,960	1,635,139	1,383,636	1,422,377	1,425,247	1,576,710	1,771,150	21,334,997
Adj Total gas revenue to current	2.147.855	2,443,672	2,456,554	136,075	136,075	136,075	136,075	136,075	136,075	136,075	136,075	136,075	1,632,898
Gee Cost Audit Exception 2	2,382,033 16,495	2,075,837 (145,604)	2,455,734 160,981	2,055,918 1,768,888 22,061	1,864,154 1,491,167	1,969,035 1,503,573	1,771,214 1,743,585	1,519,711 1,644,878	1,558,452 1,702,857	1,561,322 1,919,860	1,712,785 2,286,540	1,907,225 2,685,771	22,967,895 23,360,723
Adjusted Total Gas Cost current	2,398,528	1,930,233	2,316,695	1,790,949	0	0	(2,704)	0	0	5,133	(15,322)	(83,560)	
True-up this period	(250,673)	513,438	139,859	264,968	1,491,167	1,503,573	1,740,881	1,644,878	1,702,857	1,924,993	2,271,218	2,602,211	23,318,183
interest provision	10,172	10,142	11,029	11.285	372,987 12,030	465,462	30,333	(125,167)	(144,405)	(363,671)	(558,433)	(694,986)	(350,288
True-up & interest beginning Note (b) Nutil Exception 3	2,754,582 (46,951)	2,331,056	2,718,561	2,733,374	2,873,653	13,560 3,122,495	14,432 3,465,442	14,082 3,374,132	13,216 3,126,973	11,527 2,859,709	9,151 2,371,490	5,903 1,686,133	136,530 2,754,582
kdj True-up interest Beginning	2,707,631	2,331,056	2,718,561	2,733,374	2.873.553	3,122,495	3,465,442	0	0	0	0	0	(46,951
Yor true-up coll, refunded GT PGA TRUE UP ADJ	(136,075)	(136,075)	(136,075)	(136,075)	(136,075)	(136,075)	(136,075)	3,374,132 (136,075)	3,126,973 (136,075)	2,859,709 (138,075)	2,371,490 (136,075)	1,686,133 (136,075)	2,707,631 (1,632,896)
tale Case Refund Balance													
otal net true-up rue-up for the month CO_FILING	2,331,056 2,397,269	2,718,561 2,525,877	2,733,374 2,701,185	2,873,553 2,863,330	3,122,495 3,112,229	3,465,442 3,455,150	3,374,133 3,361,090	3,126,973 3,113,868	2,859,709 2,846,561	2,371,490 2,363,437	1,686,133 1,662,686	860,975 753,665	Undопесоvery 860,977 753,665
Afference	(66,213)	192,684	32,189	10,223	10,266	10,292	13,043	13,105	13,148	8,053	23,447	107,310	107,312
ALCULATION OF INTEREST													
egitating true up and int.	2,707,631	2,331,056	2.718.561	2,733,374	2,873,553	3,122,495	3,465,442	3 274 420					
inding true-up before int	2,320,883	2,708,419	2,722,345	2,862,268	3,110,465	3,451,882	3,359,700	3,374,132	3,126,973	2,859,709	2,371,490	1,686,133	
otel -	5,028,514	5,039,475	5,440,907	5,595,643	5,984,018	6,574,377	6,825,142	3,112,890 6,487,023	2,846,493 5,973,465	2,359,963 5,219,672	1,676,982 4,048,472	855,072 2,541,205	
verage true up	2,514,257	2,519,737	2,720,453	2,797,821	2,992,009	3,287,189	3,412,571	3,243,511	2,986,733	2,609,836	2,024,236	1,270,603	
terest rate per above	0 40%	0 40%	0 41%	0 40%	0.40%	0.41%	0 42%	0.43%	0.444				
teres per staff	10,172	10,142	11,029	11,285	12,030	13.560	14,432	- 1011	0 44%	0 44%	0 45%	0 46%	
rue-up for the month per Company -	10,411	9,900	10,563	11,190	11,987	13,534	14,386	14,082 14,020	13,216 13,173	11,527 11,463	9,151 9,070	5,903 5,590	136,530 135,287
	(239)	242	466	95	43	26	46	62	43	64	81	313	1,243

Source. Company prepared schedule A-2

NOTE A

THE PRIOR TRUE UP AMOUNT SHOWN ABOVE WAS TRACED TO THE LAST 12 month period Order No. 98-1542-FOF-GU. \$1,632,896 divide by 12 = 136,074.67.

NOTE B.

THE TRUE UP AND INTEREST BEGINNING TOTAL AMOUNT OF WAS TRACED TO LAST SIX MONTH PERIOD FILING - ENDING TRUE UP DOCKET 980003-GU

AFAD NO. 99-042-4-1 Exceptions were noted in the report The beginning true up and interest is the staff revised amount,