

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED-FPSC  
FEB-8 PM 1:36  
RECORDS AND REPORTING

**DATE:** FEBRUARY 8, 2001

**TO:** DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

**FROM:** DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (LOGUE) *ill*  
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (KNIGHT) *wdk* *SAS for WDH*

**RE:** DOCKET NO. 001442-TP - REQUEST BY BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF COLLOCATION AMENDMENT TO EXISTING INTERCONNECTION, UNBUNDLING, AND RESALE AGREEMENT WITH DIECA COMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY.

**AGENDA:** 02/20/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

**CRITICAL DATES:** NONE

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** NONE

**FILE NAME AND LOCATION:** S:\PSC\CMP\WP\001442.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

On September 21, 2000, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) filed a request for approval of interconnection, unbundling, and collocation agreement with DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company (Covad). This docket was scheduled to go to the December 19, 2000, Agenda Conference. On that date the Commission approved staff's recommendation. However, after the vote, staff determined that there were technicalities within the filing that warranted further review.

After further review, staff has determined that this filing is a collocation amendment to BellSouth and Covad's existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement. Accordingly, staff believes that the following recommendations are appropriate. The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01869 FEB-80

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

**ISSUE 1:** Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the December 19, 2000, Agenda Conference and approve the collocation amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the collocation amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement. (Knight, Arant)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** As stated in the Case Background, the Commission approved this filing at the December 19, 2000, Agenda Conference. However, upon further review, staff determined that the filing was in fact an amendment to the parties' existing agreement. Therefore, staff is bringing this matter back before the Commission to reconsider and approve the filing as a collocation amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement. Staff believes that this amendment complies with the Telecommunications Act and should be approved.

DOCKET NO. 001442-TP  
DATE: FEBRUARY 8, 2001

**ISSUE 2:** Should this docket be closed?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue #1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order. (Knight)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** Since no further Commission action is necessary, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.