Kimberly Caswell

Vice President and General Counsel, Southeast Legal Department



FLTC0007 201 North Franklin Street (33602) Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

ORIGINAL

Phone 813 483-2606 Fax 813 204-8870 kimberly.caswell@verizon.com

February 16, 2001

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 001503-TP

Cost Recovery and Allocation Issues for Number Pooling Trials in Florida

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed an original and 15 copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Post-Workshop Comments for filing in the above matter. Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 813-483-2617.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Caswell

KC:tas Enclosures

CAF

TOR LEG

್ವಾ

PAI RGO MAL EDSC NIFERIOR OF THE

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02218 FEB 165

FPSC-RECORDE/REPORTING

g + 2 15 M²

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Cost Recovery and Allocation Issues)	Docket No. 001503-TP	
for Number Pooling Trials in Florida)	Filed:	February 16, 2001
)		

POST-WORKSHOP COMMENTS OF VERIZON FLORIDA INC.

Verizon Florida Inc. submits its post-workshop comments as requested by Staff during the December 12, 2000 workshop in this proceeding. Specifically, Staff asked the parties to address the following:

1. What are the specific costs which would be eligible for recovery?

Response: The FCC identified three cost categories for number pooling: (1) shared-industry costs, (2) carrier specific costs directly related to number pooling, and (3) carrier specific costs not directly related to number pooling. The shared-industry costs are primarily tied to the costs associated with the set up and administration of the number pooling trials. A key portion of the shared industry cost is the cost of the number pooling administrator. In addition to the shared industry cost, individual companies incur cost in establishing processes and procedures for number pooling trials plus the cost associated with donating blocks. The donation of contaminated blocks is particularly costly because individual orders must be generated for as many as 100 numbers in a block of a 1000. All of the shared industry costs and carrier specific costs should be eligible for cost recovery.

2. To what extent will the costs be auditable?

Response: Generally speaking, shared industry costs are readily auditable in that they are tied to bills issued by the number pooling trial administration. We significant in that they are tied to bills issued by the number pooling trial administration.

portion of the Verizon specific costs are tied to company labor expense necessary to manage the blocks of numbers associated with pooling trials. In addition, costs are incurred in administering state level number pooling efforts. These costs are not as clear cut as a bill but may be tied to the number of hours required to prepare an area for number pooling plus the amount of labor and expenses necessary to implement a number pooling trial. At this time, Verizon does not plan to request any cost recovery at a state level for number pooling system enhancements. All system enhancements will instead be included in Verizon's national number pooling cost recovery filing with the FCC.

- 3. With the FCC's "but for" test, how on a practical basis do you implement it?
 - Response: Verizon can identify costs that it would not have incurred "but for" the implementation of thousand-block number pooling. The entire number pooling process involves additional steps that are not part of the normal numbering process so the costs associated with the extra labor, system enhancements, and shared industry costs can be identified.
- 4. What are we talking about in dollar impacts (both on a global basis and on an end user basis)?

Response: It is premature for Verizon to provide an estimate of the dollar impact. As noted in the response to question 2, Verizon does not plan to include any of the number pooling system development costs in the state number pooling trials, and therefore, our costs for number pooling will be tied primarily to the shared industry costs and the amount of manual effort required to support the pooling trials.

5. When do you want to implement a surcharge?

Response: Florida Verizon customers are currently charged a local number portability (LNP) surcharge of \$.36 per line per month. This federally mandated surcharge is to reimburse the Company for the cost of implementing local number portability and is scheduled to terminate no later than March 2004. Verizon proposes that the Florida PSC implement a surcharge in the same amount as the Federal LNP surcharge and implement it following termination of the Federal LNP surcharge. Verizon does not have exact figures for our number pooling costs but we estimate that two months of surcharge in the same amount as the Federal charge would allow us to recover our state specific costs. Verizon also plans to make a similar recommendation to the FCC to extend their Federal surcharge for the number of months necessary to allow cost recovery for the major system enhancements and other related costs that Verizon has incurred on a national basis.

6. If the Federal plan is in effect by x date, would you be willing to forego state recovery until the federal plan is implemented?

Response: Verizon believes the FCC order requires the states to be responsible for recovery of any state-ordered pooling trials and Verizon would, therefore, not support foregoing state cost recovery unless a specific commitment is received from the FCC which would allow the Florida number pooling trial costs to be included in the national cost recovery.

7. If you implement an end user surcharge, would there by any exclusions; i.e., lifeline? Would there be true-up provisions (since the customer base would change over a 3 year period)?

Response: See Verizon's response to question 5. Verizon recommends that the Commission order a state level surcharge in the same amount as the Federal LNP surcharge (\$.36 per line) and implement this surcharge for two months immediately following cessation of the Federal charge. Verizon recommends that this surcharge be handled in exactly the same manner as the Federal LNP surcharge. We recommend adopting this approach and then making true-up provisions as we approach the cessation of the Federal surcharge, to ensure that the total surcharge collected equals the costs to be recovered.

Respectfully submitted on February 16, 2001.

Kimberly Caswell

Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007

Tampa, Florida 33601 Telephone: 813-483-2617

Attorney for Verizon Florida Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Post-Workshop Comments in Docket No. 001503-TP were sent via U.S. mail on February 16, 2001 to the parties on the attached list.

Kimberly Caswell

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar Barbara Auger P. O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302 AT&T Marsha Rule 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

BellSouth Telecommunications Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe St., Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556 Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc Michael A. Gross 246 East 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 Florida Public Tele. Assoc. Angela Green 125 S. Gadsden St., #200 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1525

MCI WorldCom Donna C. McNulty 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131

Charles J. Beck Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Messer Law Firm Floyd Self/Norman Horton P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 420 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Holland Law Firm Bruce May P. O. Box 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Time Warner Telecom Carolyn Marek 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069

ITC^DeltaCom Comm.
Brian Musselwhite
700 Boulevard South, Suite 101
Huntsville, AL 35802

Intermedia Comm. Inc. Barbara Shever One Intermedia Way MC FLT-HQ3 Tampa, FL 33647-1752 Sprint Communications Co. Susan Masterton Charles J. Rehwinkel P. O. Box 2214 MS: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Ervin Law Firm C. Everett Boyd, Jr. P. O. Drawer 1170 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Florida Competitive Carriers Assn. c/o J. P. Gillan and Associates P. O. Box 541038 Orlando, FL 32874-1038 Jeffry Wahlen Ausley Law Firm P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Telecomm. Resellers Assn. Andrew Isar 3220 Uddenberg Lane Suite 4 Gig Harbor, WA 98335 Hopping Law Firm Richard D. Melson P. O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 David B. Erwin, Esq. 127 Riversink Road Crawfordville, FL 32327 Lockheed Martin IMS Thomas Foley 8200 Riverbend Blvd. Longwood, FL 32779-2327 Morrison & Foerster Law Firm Kimberly D. Wheeler 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 5500 Washington, DC 20006 Richard M. Rindler James C. Falvey 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Verizon Wireless Anne Hoskins 1300 "Eye" Street N.W. Suite 400 W Washington, DC 20005 Sprint PCS Jeff Pfaff 4900 Main Street, 11th Floor Kansas City, MO 64112