LAW OFFICES

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 877-6555

April 25, 2001

VIA HAND DELIVERY



Mailing Address Post Office Box 1567 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1567

Telecopier (850) 656-4029

ROBERT M. C. ROSE OF COUNSEL

2

بې

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Re: Intercoastal Utilities, Inc.; Docket Nos. 990696-WS and 992040-WS Our File No. 26003.13

Dear Ms. Bayo:

+  $\square$ 

. .

4.1

148 2022 (260 (260 260 264 460)

SEC

SER

CTH

Attached are the original and fifteen copies of Additional Rebuttal Testimony of H.R. James, Jim L. Bowen, and Michael E. Burton.

Should you or any members of the Commission staff have any questions in this regard, please let me know.

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING MRFR-DATE 10 Sincerely, UNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP F. Marshall Deterding For The Firm FMD/tmg R-DATE Enclosures 10 ស្រ \* over intercoa psc/2bayo.fmd 5 **IDCUMENT NUMBE RECEIVED & FILED** MBER-DATE 05+72 APR 25 5 BUREAU OF RECOR PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CHRIS H. BENTLEY, P.A. F. MARSHALL DETERDING MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, P.A. JOHN R. JENKINS, P.A. STEVEN T. MINDLIN, P.A. JOSEPH P. PATTON DAREN L. SHIPPY, LL.M. TAX WILLIAM E. SUNDSTROM, P.A. DIANE D. TREMOR, P.A. JOHN L. WHARTON

, ,		
1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		ADDITIONAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
2		MICHAEL E. BURTON
4		ON BEHALF OF
5		INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES, INC.
6	- - -	DOCKET NOS. 990696-WS & 992040-WS
7		
8	Q:	Are you the same Michael E. Burton who has previously filed testimony in this case?
9	A:	Yes I am.
10	Q:	What have you reviewed in preparation for your participation in this case.
11	A:	I have reviewed the testimony and exhibits previously filed in this case.
12	Q:	Have you also reviewed specifically the Additional Direct Testimony of Ms. Deborah
13		Swain, filed March 22, 2001 on behalf of Nocatee Utility Corporation (NUC) in this
14		proceeding?
15	A:	Yes I have.
16	Q:	Were there portions of these testimonies that caused you concerns?
17	A:	Yes. Ms. Swain's testimony purports to correct an error. According to her testimony,
18		the results of her error correction caused NUC's rates to go down substantially. In fact,
19		in her additional direct testimony, Ms. Swain presents a comparison of her "corrected"
20		rates with Intercoastal's rates, as they effect the monthly water and sewer bill of a single
21		family residential customer with a 5/8x3/4 inch meter at various assumed levels of
22		monthly water usage. In that comparison, NUC's "corrected" rates result in a monthly
23		bill for this customer at 10,000 gallons per month that is now \$70.71 compared to \$84.78
24		in her Intervenor Direct Testimony. According to her comparison, NUC's "corrected"
25		rates produce a monthly bill for this customer at 10,000 gallons per month of water usage
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05174 APR 25 =

which is now lower than Intercoastal's bill for the same customer, whereas NUC's rates in Ms. Swain's original testimony resulted in a monthly bill for this customer at 10,000 gallons per month that was about 6% higher than Intercoastal's.

What is the problem if the "corrected" rates of NUC are in fact now correct? Q:

A: There are two problems. First, it was Intercoastal's position from the beginning of this case that Intercoastal's rates, as they affect the monthly bill of a single family residential customer with 10,000 gallons per month of water usage, should and would be no more 8 than, and preferably slightly less than NUC's rates, beginning in 2002, the first year of service to the Nocatee service area. Based upon Ms. Swain's original testimony, Intercoastal's present rates achieved that goal without the need for a reduction. However, now with her "corrected" rates, Intercoastal's posture with regard to its rates from 2002 through 2005 has been undermined at the eleventh hour. This is only a short period 13 during the start-up of the service area and our projections show that Intercoastal's rates will have to be reduced to levels lower than NUC's after 2005 in any event. However, 14 15 had Intercoastal known that NUC's rates would produce a lower monthly water and 16 sewer bill for the subject customer, Intercoastal would have initially proposed a 17 reduction in its current rates, and a commitment to those reduced rates through 2005, 18 in order to achieve that objective.

19 Q: Are you making such a proposal now?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

20 A: Yes. Mr. H.R. James, on behalf of Intercoastal's principals, has instructed me to prepare 21 an analysis that would reduce Intercoastal's rates in 2002 to a level that would result in 22 a monthly water and sewer bill for the subject customer which will be approximately 5% 23 to 6% lower than that customer's bill would be with NUC's rates, and to hold those rates 24 constant through 2005, which is the year in which NUC states that they will reach 80% 25 capacity and therefore, the year upon which NUC's rates are based. This is about the same percentage by which Intercoastal's rates were lower than NUCs rates for this subject customer based upon Ms. Swain's original testimony. Intercoastal will commit to those rates through 2005.

Q: Have you prepared such an analysis?

5 A: Yes. But first I must address the second issue that I have with Ms. Swain's additional 6 direct testimony. In order to determine the level of decrease in Intercoastal's rates that 7 will accomplish the objective stated in my answer to the previous question, I had to make a comparison with Ms. Swain's "corrected" rates. Based upon my examination of her 8 9 Additional Direct Testimony and POD #5, which is the document in which the rates 10 included in her Additional Direct Testimony are calculated and which was submitted in 11 Nocatee Utility Corporation's Response to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories and 12 Second Request for Production of Documents (hereinafter referred to as POD #5), I 13 believe that her rate calculations are still incorrect.

14 Q: In what way?

1

2

3

4

A. Ms. Swain bases her sewer rate calculations upon sewer ERCs and sewer gallonage
estimates derived from the sewer ERCs. However, for rate making purposes, sewer
ERCs should be equal to water ERCs, since growth units in the Nocatee service area will
be both water and sewer customers, and sewer gallons should be the same as water
gallons for rate making, since the gallonage charge portion of sewer bills will be
calculated based upon water meter readings.

21 Q: What effect does this have on Ms. Swain's "corrected" rates.

A: Since, in the calculation of the sewer rates, Ms. Swain used sewer ERCs which are
21,048 instead of water ERCs which are 20,016, her "corrected rates" for the sewer base
facility charge are too low because they are derived by dividing the base facility charge
revenue requirement by too many ERCs, thus resulting in a lower charge than had she

1 2 3 used water ERCs.

Q: Does this affect the gallonage rate also?

Yes. There are two problems with Ms. Swain's calculation of the sewer gallonage rate. A: 4 First, according to her testimony in her recent deposition, she used sewer gallons derived 5 from sewer ERCs instead of water gallons. Second, she calculated residential and general service sewer gallonage rates as 80% and 96% respectively of the base gallonage 6 7 rate calculated by dividing the sewer gallonage revenue requirements by the sewer gallons. Even, if the gallons that Ms. Swain used were the correct gallons that would be 8 9 billed a sewer gallonage charge, she appears to have failed to adjust those gallons by the 10 80% and 90% allowances for residential and general service customers discussed above to calculate the "base" gallonage rate. 11

The sewer gallons used for calculating the base gallonage rate, must be adjusted by those 12 13 factors so that sufficient revenue will be generated by the billed gallons given that the 14 residential and general service rates are calculated as 80% and 96% respectively of the 15 calculated base gallonage rate. In Ms. Swain's calculation, if you multiplied the portion 16 of her sewer gallons associated with residential usage times the residential rate, and the 17 portion associated with general service usage times the general service rate, the resultant 18 total gallonage revenue would be less than the revenue requirement used in calculating 19 the rates.

20 Q: Did you calculate what you think would be the correct rates for NUC based upon your
21 analysis of Ms. Swain's testimony and the issues that you just discussed?

22 A: Yes. I have performed such calculations on Exhibit MB4-1 and Exhibit MB4-2.

23 Q: Would you explain those Exhibits?

A: Yes. Exhibit MB4-1 calculates NUC's water rates and essentially replicates the schedule
 presented in Ms. Swain's POD #5. The result of my calculation of NUC's water rates

-4-

is the same as Ms. Swain's. However, I have added an analysis of water gallons at the top right of the Exhibit in anticipation of using the breakout of gallons to residential and general service for calculating sewer rates in Exhibit MB4-2.

- 4 Q: How did you determine the allocation of total water gallons to residential and general
  5 service?
- A: In my Supplemental Intervenor's Testimony, filed on January 26, 2001, I presented
  Exhibit MB-6. Page 3 of this exhibit is NUC's projections of units by type and water
  usage for Phase I. Page 2 of this Exhibit is a compilation of page 3 and it shows that
  approximately 72.33% of the projected water demands will be from residential customers
  (assuming the multi-family customers will be classified as general service). Therefore,
  I used an allocation of 72% of the total water gallons to residential and the rest to general
  service.
- 13 Q: Does this affect the water rate calculations?

14 A: No. But it does affect the sewer rate calculations.

15 Q: Can you explain how?

1

2

3

16 A: Yes. In Exhibit MB4-2, I have calculated NUC's sewer rates based upon the costs 17 presented in Ms. Swain's POD #5. However, rather than use the sewer ERCs and sewer 18 gallons that Ms. Swain used, I used water ERCs and water gallons from Exhibit MB4-1. 19 They are shown in the upper right of Exhibit MB4-2 in the column G titled Billable 20 Gallons - Equal to Water. However, the billing units used in the rate calculations are 21 those in column H titled Adjusted for Rate Making by Gallonage Adjustment Factor. In 22 this column, the ERCs are unchanged but the residential and general service billed 23 gallons are adjusted by the factors for water not returned to the sewer in column I titled 24 Gallonage Adjustment Factor for Water not Returned to the Sewer. As you can see the 25 gallons in Column H are less than the billable gallons in column I. This calculates a

	1	
1		higher base rate so that when the lower rates for residential and general service are
2		calculated as 80% and 96% of the base calculated rate, application of the higher billable
3		gallons in column G will generate the revenue requirement when the gallons in column
4		G are actually billed.
5	Q:	What is the result of this calculation?
6	A:	Exhibit MB4-2 calculates NUC sewer rates that are higher than those calculated by Ms.
7		Swain in her POD #5. The gallonage rates are almost the same because the sewer gallons
8		used in Ms, Swain's POD #5 happened to be almost the same as the adjusted gallons in
9		column H in my Exhibit MB4-2. However, the base facility charge calculated in Exhibit
10		MB4-2 is \$11.47 per ERC compared to \$10.91 per ERC in Ms. Swain's Additional
11		Direct Testimony.
12	Q:	Does this affect the rate comparison presented in Ms. Swain's Additional Direct
13		Testimony?
14	A:	Yes. Ms. Swain's rate comparison shows that with her "corrected" rates, the monthly
15		water and sewer bill of a residential single family customer with 10,000 gallons of water
16		usage will be \$70.71. However, my analysis indicates that this monthly bill would be
17		\$71.17 using the rates calculated in Exhibits MB4-1 and MB4-2.
18	Q:	Have you prepared a comparison of the effect of NUC's rates as you have recalculated
19		them to Intercoastal's rates adjusted as you were instructed by Mr. James.
20	A:	Yes. Exhibit MB4-3 presents such a comparison.
21	Q:	Would you please explain Exhibit MB4-3?
22	A:	Yes. Exhibit MB4-3 presents a comparison of Intercoastal's rates to NUC's rates after
23		two adjustments to Intercoastal's rates. First, Intercoastal's rates as presented in Exhibit
24		MB4-3, Page 1 of 2 on lines 8 and 9, columns D, H and L, reflect an allocation of costs
25		similar to the allocation of costs in NUC's rates. The allocation of costs is presented in
		6

Exhibits MB4-4 and MB4-5 for water and sewer respectively. As can be seen in Exhibits MB4-4 and MB4-5, these reallocated rates were developed to recover the same revenue as is projected in 1999 in MB4-8, the summary results schedule of my Financial Analysis 3<sup>rd</sup> Revision. As can also be seen on Exhibit MB4-3, Page 1 of 2, line 24, columns D, H and L, recalculation of Intercoastal's rates using similar allocation criteria as NUC used in allocation of its costs results in a rate impact for the subject 10,000 gallon per month residential customer which is less than Intercoastal's current rates, but not as low as NUC's "corrected" rates as adjusted.

However, as instructed by Mr. James, I have presented proposed reduced Intercoastal
rates shown on Exhibit MB4-3, Page 1 of 2, lines 12 and 13, columns E, I and M. These
rates reflect a 5% and 13% reduction in water and sewer rates respectively from the
reallocated rates on lines 8 and 9, columns D, H and L.

13 Exhibit MB4-3, Page 1 of 2 then presents a comparison of the impact of NUC's corrected 14 rates as adjusted and the impact of Intercoastal's current, reallocated and proposed rates 15 upon a single family residential customer with 3,000, 5,000, 5,333, 10,000 15,000 and 16 25,000 gallons per month of water usage. Line 24 presents the comparative results for 17 such a customer with 10,000 gallons per month of water usage. This line shows that 18 NUC's proposed rates result in a combined water and sewer bill for this customer of 19 \$71.17, whereas, Intercoastal's proposed rates will result in a monthly bill of \$67.01, 20 5.85% less than NUC.

21 Q: What does Page 2 of 2 of Exhibit MB4-3 show?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

A: Page 2 of 2 of Exhibit MB4-3 shows the same comparison as is shown on Page 1 of 2 but
also includes the monthly effect of amortizing the Service Availability Charges of NUC
and Intercoastal in the monthly cost impact. When this component of cost is included,
the impact of the NUC rates upon this customer with 10,000 gallons per month of water

1		usage is a combined monthly water and sewer cost of \$82.63, whereas, Intercoastal's
2		proposed rates and Service Availability Charges will result in a monthly cost of \$74.17,
3		10.24% less than NUC.
4	Q:	What is the significance of this comparison?
5	A:	The comparisons in Exhibit MB4-3, Page 1 of 3, show that Intercoastal's proposed rates
6		result in a combined monthly water and sewer bill for single family residential customers
7		which is virtually equal to that of NUC at 5,333 gallons per month, is 5.85% lower than
8		NUC at 10,000 gallons per month, is 10.81 % lower than NUC at 15,000 gallons per
9		month and is 18.25% lower than NUC at 25,000 gallons per month.
10		Furthermore, when the cost of the Service Availability Charges is factored into the cost
11		comparison by amortizing over 10 years, the impact of Intercoastal's rates and Service
12		Availability Charges upon the total monthly cost of a single family residential customer
13		is lower than that of NUC for all ranges of water usage, ranging from 4.65% lower at
14		3,000 gallons per month to 20.33% lower at 25,000 gallons per month.
15	Q:	What does Exhibit MB4-3, Page 3 of 3 show?
16	A:	Exhibit MB4-3, Page 3 of 3 presents comparisons of Intercoastal's proposed reclaimed
17		water rates, which are calculated on Exhibit MB4-6, to NUC's proposed reclaimed water
18		rates. Lines 1 through 25 show a comparison including the rates only, and lines 27
19		through 48 show a comparison including the effective cost of amortizing the service
20		availability charge for reclaimed water for 10 years. These comparisons show that for
21		all levels of reclaimed water usage, Intercoastal's rates result in monthly bills that will
22		be lower than with NUC's rates. Considering rates only, Intercoastal's rates result in
23		monthly reclaimed water bills that are lower than NUC's by 9.51% to 10.31% from
24		3,000 to 25,000 gallons per month of usage respectively. Considering rates and the
25		amortized cost of the service availability charges, Intercoastal's rates result in monthly
	1	

reclaimed water costs that are lower than NUC's by 23.84% to 19.69% from 3,000 to 1 25,000 gallons per month of usage respectively. 2 Have you provided a summary schedule of Intercoastal's proposed rates in response to 3 Q: 4 Ms. Swain's Additional Direct Testimony? 5 Yes. I have included a summary of Intercoastal's proposed water, sewer and reclaimed A: 6 water rates in response to Ms. Swain's Additional Direct Testimony in Exhibit MB4-7. The calculation of these rates is included in Exhibits MB4-4, MB4-5 and MB4-6 for 7 water, sewer and reclaimed water respectively. 8 9 Q: You mention an Exhibit MB4-8. What is that exhibit and why have you included it? 10 A: Exhibit MB4-8 presents the results of the same projection as was presented in Exhibit MB3 - Financial Analysis 2<sup>nd</sup> Revision with my Rebuttal Testimony. I have presented 11 it with this testimony in order to show the impacts of the rate proposal included in my 12 testimony. Obviously, reducing rates will cause the achieved return to be less from 2002 13 14 through 2005 than with Intercoastal's original rate proposal, therefore, it was necessary to include this exhibit to demonstrate that these effects will be within acceptable ranges 15 16 and what impact it will have upon the Intercoastal's projected rate plan subsequent to 17 2005, the year through which Intercoastal will commit to the reduced rates presented 18 herein. 19 It is important to note that the willingness of Intercoastal's stockholders to accept less than allowed returns during these "start up" years for this new service area is similar to 20 NUC's position of setting initial rates at 80% capacity, which by their own testimony 21 will occur in 2005. Therefore, NUC stockholders are accepting the same type of 22 situation from 2002 through 2005, that being achieved returns which will be less than 23 allowed returns. Intercoastal's rate proposal will put Intercoastal stockholders in a 24 25 similar position as NUC's stockholders for the short start-up period of the new service

-9-

area 2002 through 2005. However, our projections are that Intercoastal's rates will have to be reduced in subsequent years, whereas, NUC has produced no such evidence regarding its rates.

4 Q: Can you briefly summarize the impacts as shown in Exhibit MB4-8 of Intercoastal's
5 proposed rates.

1

2

3

- A: Yes. Exhibit MB4-8 shows that by 2009, in order for Intercoastal's achieved return to
  not exceed its allowed return, reductions in Intercoastal's water and sewer rates will be
  required such that by 2009 the combined monthly water and sewer bill of a single family
  residential customer using 10,000 gallons per month of water will be \$59.30, a reduction
  of 11.85% from the \$67.27 shown as the impact of Intercoastal's proposed rate upon this
  customer in 2002 in Exhibit MB4-3 Page 1 of 2, line 24, column M.
- Q: Will these proposed rate require more subsidy during 2002 through 2005 from
  Intercoastal's stockholders than was originally projected with Intercoastal's current rates?
  A: Yes. However, Intercoastal's stockholders have been informed of the order of magnitude
  of the range of subsidy that will be required, an have committed to provide any subsidies
  that may be required.
- 17 Q: Is it your understanding that the Intercoastal stockholders are willing and able to commit
  18 to such investments.
- 19 A: Yes. Mr. James indicated to me that the Intercoastal stockholders were willing and able
  20 to make such a commitment.
- 21 Q: Are there any other changes reflected in Exhibit MB4-8 other than the reduced
  22 Intercoastal rate proposal?
- A: Yes. In preparing for my deposition and during my deposition, we discovered several adjustments that needed to be made to the projections to make them more accurate.
  None of the adjustments had a material effect upon the outcome of the projections in that

1		the projected combined water and sewer bill for a single family residential customer with
2		10,000 gallons per month of water usage was projected to be \$58.87 in 2009 in Exhibit
3		MB3(which was submitted with my Rebuttal Testimony), whereas that combined bill in
4		Exhibit MB4-8 is projected to be \$59.30, a difference of only \$0.43 or about 0.73%.
5	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?
6	A:	Yes.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	-	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	intercoa	\psc\addrebt1.wpd
		-11-

### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM CALCULATION OF NUC'S WATER RATES

	A	В	с	D	E	F	G	н	ł
1 2 3 4 5 6			FACTORED FACTORED FACTORED FACTORED	GALLONS	6 - GS	Percent of Total Billable Gallons 72 00% 28 00% 100 00%	Billable Gallons 20,016 153,423 59,664 213,087	No Adjustment Required for Rate Making 20,016 153,423 59,664 213,087	
7 8 9			PERCENTA	GE ASSIGI		FACTORE	DERCs	FACTORE	GALLONS
9 10	ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TEST YEAR	BASE FACILITY CHARGE	GAL CHARGE	BASE FACILITY COST	BASE FACILITY CHARGE	GALL COST G	ALL CHARGE
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	601 Sat 603 Sat 604 Em 610 Pur 615-6 Pur 618 Ch 620 Ma 63x Co 641 Re 642 Re 650 Tra 656-9 Ins 660 At 666-7 Re 670 Bar 675 Mie TOTAL OPERA DEPRECIATIO 403 De 407 Am	tenals and Supplies ntractual Services ntal of Building / Real Property ntal of Equipment insportation Expense urance vertising Expense gulatory Commission Expense d Debt Expense scellaneous Expense ITION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES NAND AMORTIZATION preciation Expense iortization Expense	10,000 600 268,470 1,297 1,000 281,367 85,726 (46,036)	50 00% 100 00% 50 00% 0 00% 0 00% 50 00% 100 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00%	50 00% 0 00% 50 00% 100 00% 100 00% 50 00% 0 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00%	5,000 0 0 300 86,045 0 0 1,297 0 0 0 500 85,726 (46,036)	0 2498 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0150 4 2988 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0250 4 2829 (2 3000)	5,000 0 0 0 0 300 182,425 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0235 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0014 0 8561 0 0000 0 0023
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	IAXES OTHER           408 10 Util           408 11 Pro           408 12 Pay           408 13 Oth           408 20 TO           TOTAL TAXES           INCOME TAXE           409 Fec	er Taxes and Licenses TI, Other Income and Deductions OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES S Seal, State and Local Income Taxes	39,690 22,408 17,268 765 2,000 42,441 39,718	32 27% 100 00% 100 00% 100 00% 100 00% 0 00%	67 73% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00%	7,232 17,268 765 2,000 0	0 3613 0 8627 0 0382 0 0999 0 0000	15,176 0 0 0 39,718	0 0712 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 1864
48 49 50 51 52 53	TOTAL INCOM RETURN ON IN TOTAL REVEN		39,718 92,824 496,040	0 00%	100 00%	0	0 0000	92,824	0 4356
54 55 56 57 58	LESS MISC RI TOTAL REVEN	EVENUES UES FOR RATE SETTING	0 496,040	100 00%	0 00%	0 160,097	0 0000 \$7 9985	0 335,943	0 0000 \$1 5766
58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67	RESIDENTIAL .	AND GENERAL SERVICE			Monthly ERC ( Billable ERCs Revenue Test Revenue Requ Variance		BASE FACILITY CHARGE \$8 0000 20,016 160,097 160,097 (0)		SALLONAGE CHARGES
68 69 70 71 72	RESIDENTIAL .	AND GENERAL SERVICE			Gallonage Rat Billabnie Gallo Revenue Test Revenue Requ Variance	าร			\$1 5800 213,087 336,677 335,943 734
	(1) Rates rou	nded to two decimal points							

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES CYDATAN123VCUSPRDSHTS/DEPOSH1WB3S1AF5 WK4

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM CALCULATION OF NUC'S SEWER RATES

	A B		с	D	E	F	G	н	I
1 2 3 4 5			FACTORED FACTORED FACTORED FACTORED	GALLONS	GS	72 00% 28 00% 100 00%	Billable Gallons - Equal to Water 20,016 153,423 59,664 213,087	Adjusted for Rate Making by Gallonage Adjustment Factor 20,016 122,738 57,277 180,015	Gallonage Adjustment Factor for Water not Returned to the Sewer 80 00% 96 00% NA
6 7 8			PERCENTA	GE ASSIGN		FACTORE	DERCs	FACTORED	GALLONS
9 10	ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TEST YEAR	BASE FACILITY	GAL CHARGE	BASE FACILITY COST	BASE FACILITY CHARGE	GALL COST (	ALL CHARGE
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	701 Salaries an 703 Salaries an 704 Employee I 710 Purchased 711 Sludge Rer		10,000	50 00% 100 00% 50 00% 0 00% 0 00%	50 00% 0 00% 50 00% 100 00%	5,000 0 0 0 0	0 2498 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000	5,000 0 0 0 0	0 0278 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	718 Chemicals 720 Materials a 73x Contractual 741 Rental of B 742 Rental of E 750 Transportat	Services uilding / Real Property quipment	600 619,933	0 00% 50 00% 50 00% 15 00% 100 00% 100 00% 50 00%	100 00% 50.00% 50 00% 85.00% 0 00% 0 00% 50 00%	0 0 300 92,990 0 0 0	0 0000 0 0000 0 0150 4 6458 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000	0 300 526,943 0 0 0	0 0000 0 0000 0 0017 2 9272 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	770 Bad Debt E 775 Miscellaned	Commission Expense xpense	3,438 1,000 634,971	50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00%	50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00% 50 00%	1,719 0 0 500	0 0859 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0250	1,719 0 0 500	0 0095 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0028
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	DEPRECIATION AND A 403 Depreciatio 407 Amortizatio TOTAL DEPRECIATION	n Expense n Exp <del>e</del> nse	197,565 (112,262) 85,303	100 00% 100 00%	0 00% 0 00%	197,565 (112,262)	9 8704 (5 6086)	0 0	0 0000
39 40 41 42 43 44 45	408 11 Property Ta 408 12 Payroll Taxe 408 13 Other Taxes	latory Assessment Fees xes s s and Licenses Income and Deductions	46,669 30,793 765 2,000 80,227	22 00% 100 00% 100 00% 100 00% 100 00%	78 00% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 0 00%	10,267 30,793 765 2,000 0	0 5129 1 5384 0 0382 0 0999 0 0000	36,402 0 0 0 0	0 2022 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000 0 0000
46 47 48 49	INCOME TAXES	ite and Local Income Taxes	71,053	0 00%	100 00%	0	0 0000	71,053	0 3947
50 51 52 53 54	RETURN ON INVESTM		1,037,082	0 00%	100 00%	0	0 0000	165,528	0 9195
55 56 57 58	LESS MISC REVENUE	s	0	100 00%	0 00%	0 _ 229,637	0 0000 \$11 4727	<sup>0</sup> 807,445	0 0000 \$4 4854
59 60							BASE FACILITY		GALLONAGE
61 62 63 64 65 66 67	RESIDENTIAL AND GEI	NERAL SERVICE			Monthly ERC Cl Billable ERCs Revenue Test Revenue Requir Variance		CHARGE \$11 4700 20,016 229,637 229,637 0		CHARGES
68 69 70 71	RESIDENTIAL	80 00%			Gallonage Rate Billabnle Gallon Revenue Test				\$3 5900 153,423 550,789
71 72 73 74 75 7 <del>6</del> 77	GENERAL SERVICE	96 00%			Gallonage Rate Billabnie Gallon Revenue Test Total Gallonage Revenue Requir Variance	Revenue			\$4 3100 59,664 257,152 807,940 807,445 496
	(1) Pates rounded to b	un decimal countr							

(1) Rates rounded to two decimal points

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES

C VDATA1123VCUASPRDSHTSIDEPOSI-1WB3S1AFF (MAA

----

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM COMPARISON OF NUC AND INTERCOASTAL RATES

A	в	с	D	E	F	G	н	L	J	к	L	м	N

#### 3 COMPARISON OF NUC AND INTERCOASTAL (ICU) RATES (1)

5		WAT	ER		SEWER				TOTAL WATER AND SEWER				
6	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated P	roposed ICU (2)	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated P	roposed ICU (2)	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated P	roposed ICU (2)	
7													
8 BASE FACILITY CHARGE - 1999 TEST YEAR	NA	\$6 91	\$8 78	NA	NA	\$19 10	\$18 35	NA	NA	\$26.01	\$27 13	NA	
9 CHARGE PER 1,000 GALLONS - 1999 TEST YEAR	NA	\$0.89	\$0 74	NA	NA	\$4 48	\$4.10	NA	NA	\$5.37	\$4 84	NA	
10													
11 PERCENTAGE ADJUSTMENT FOR 2002 (3)	NA	A NA	NA	-5 00%	NA	NA	NA	-13 00%	NA	NA NA	NA	-11 29%	
12 BASE FACILITY CHARGE - ADJUSTED FOR 2002	\$8 00	NA	NA	\$8 34	\$11 47	NA	NA	\$15 97	\$19 47	NA	NA	\$24 31	
13 CHARGE PER 1,000 GALLONS - ADJUSTED FOR 2002	\$1 58	NA	NA	\$0 70	\$3 59	NA	NA	\$3 57	\$5 17	NA	NA	\$4 27	
14													

#### 15 COMPARISON OF RATE IMPACTS

1

4

16	=		WAT	ER		SEWER					TOTAL					
	-	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated F	Proposed ICU - 2002	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated P	roposed ICU - 2002	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated ICU	Proposed ICU - 2002	% ICU < NUC		
17 <u>C</u> 18 19	3,000	\$12 74	\$9 58	\$11 00	\$10 45	\$22 24	\$32 54	\$30 65	\$26 67	\$34 98	\$42 12	\$41 65	\$37 12	6 11%		
20 21	5,000	\$15 90	\$11 36	\$12 48	\$11 86	\$29 42	\$41 50	\$38 85	\$33 80	<b>\$4</b> 5 32	\$52 86	\$51 33	\$45 66	0 75%		
21 22 23	5,333	\$16 43	\$11 66	\$12 73	\$12 09	\$30 62	\$42 99	\$40 22	\$34 99	\$47 04	\$54 64	\$52 95	\$47 08	0 08%		
24 25	10,000	\$23 80	\$15 81	<b>\$1</b> 6 18	\$15 37	\$47 37	\$63 90	\$59 35	\$51 64	\$71.17	\$79 71	\$75 53	\$67.01	-5.85%		
26 27	15,000	\$31 70	\$20 26	\$19 88	\$18 89	\$47 37	\$63 90	\$59 35	\$51 64	\$79 07	\$84 16	\$79 23	\$70 52	-10 81%		
28	25,000	\$47 50	\$29 16	\$27 28	\$25 92	\$47 37	\$63 90	\$59 35	\$51 64	\$94 87	\$93 06	\$86 63	<b>\$</b> 77 55	-18 25%		

-----

(1) INTERCOASTAL'S BASE FACILITY CHARGE IS A QUARTERLY CHARGE BUT IS CONVERTED IN THIS SCHEDULE TO A MONTHLY AMOUNT TO FACILITATE COMPARISON TO NUC

.....

(2) INTERCOASTAL PROPOSES ADJUSTMENTS TO ITS RATES TO REFLECT A CURRENT COST ALLOCATION WITHIN ITS EXISTING RATE STRUCTURE AS PRESENTED IN EXHIBITS MB4-4 AND MB4-5 FOR WATER AND SEWER RESPECTIVELY LINES 8 AND 9 PRESENT THESE RATES WHICH WERE CALCULATED BASED UPON 1999 REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND 1999 BILLING DETERMINANTS AS PRESENTED IN EXHIBITS MB4-4 FOR WATER AND MB4-5 FOR SEWER

(3) INTERCOASTAL PROPOSES THAT THE RATES ON LINES 8 AND 9 (SEE NOTE 2) BE REDUCED BY 5% AND 13% FOR THE WATER AND SEWER RATES RESPECTIVELY TO THE RATES ON LINES 12 AND 13 INTERCOASTAL PROPOSES THAT THIS RATE REDUCTION TAKE PLACE AT THE BEGINNING OF 2002 OR, IF SERVICE IS NOT REQUIRED IN THE NOCATEE SERVICE AREA IN 2002, ICU RATES WILL BE REDUCED PRIOR TO THE PROVISION OF SUCH SERVICE IN THE NOCATEE SERVICE AREA INTERCOASTAL COMMITS TO HOLD SUCH RATES CONSTANT THROUGH 2005

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES

C (DATA) 23\CUSPRDSHTS\DEPOSI-1\M83S1AF5 \WK4

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM <u>COMPARISON OF NUC AND INTERCOASTAL RATES</u>

	Α	в	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	к	L	м	N
1												-		
2														
3			WA	TER			SEV	/ER			TOTAL V	VATER AND S	EWER	
4		NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated F	roposed ICU - 2002	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated P	roposed ICU - 2002	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated ICU	Proposed ICU - 2002	% ICU < NUC
7	SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGES (SAC) ANNUAL AMORTIZATION OF SAC OVER 10 YEARS	\$235 00 \$23 50	\$234 45 \$23.45	\$234.45 \$23 45	\$234 45 \$23 45	\$1,140 00 \$114 00	\$625.20 \$62.52	\$625,20 \$62.52	\$625 20 \$62 52	\$1,375 00 \$137.50	\$859 65 \$85 97	\$859.65 \$85 97	\$859 65 \$85 97	
8 9	MONTHLY IMPACT OF ANNUAL AMORTIZATION OF SAC	\$1 96	\$1 95	\$1.95	\$1 95	\$9 50	\$5.21	\$5 21	\$5.21	\$11 46	\$7 16	\$7 16	\$7 16	

## 11 COMPARISON OF RATE IMPACTS - INCLUDING THE MONTHLY IMPACT OF A 10 YEAR AMORTIZATION OF SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGES

3		WA	TER		·· · ···	SEV	VER			TOTAL				
4 5 GALLONS	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated F	Proposed ICU - 2002	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated F	roposed ICU - 2002	NUC	Current ICU	Reallocated	Proposed ICU - 2002	% ICU < NUC	
6 3,000	\$14 70	<b>\$1</b> 1 53	\$12 95	\$12 40	\$31 74	\$37 75	\$35 86	\$31 88	\$46 44	\$49 28	\$48 81	\$44 28	-4 659	
7 8 5,000	\$17 86	\$13 31	\$14 43	\$13 81	\$38 92	\$46 71	\$44 06	\$39 01	\$56 78	\$60 02	\$58 49	\$52 82	-6 989	
9 20 5,333	\$18 39	\$13 61	\$14 68	\$14 04	\$40 12	\$48 20	\$45 43	\$40.20	\$58.50	\$61 80	\$60 11	\$54 24	-7 289	
21 22 10,000	\$25 76	\$17 76	\$18 13	\$17 32	\$56 87	\$69 11	\$64 56	\$56 85	\$82.63	\$86 87	\$82 69	\$74.17	-10.24	
23 24 15,000	\$33 66	\$22 21	\$21 83	\$20 84	\$56 87	\$69 11	\$64 56	\$56 85	\$90 53	\$91 32	\$86 39	\$77 68	-14 199	
25 36 25,000	\$49 46	\$31 11	\$29 23	\$27.87	\$56 87	\$69 11	\$64 56	\$56 85	\$106 33	\$100 22	\$93 79	<b>\$84 7</b> 1	-20 339	

.

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES C IDATA1123VICUSPRDSHTSIDEPOSI- IWB3S1AF5 VIK4

10

## INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM <u>COMPARISON OF NUC AND INTERCOASTAL REUSE RATES</u>

1	A	в	С	D	Е	F
2 3	COMPARISON OF NUC AND INTERCOASTAL (ICU) REUS	SE RATES				
4 5			-	RECLAIM	ED WATER	
6			-	NUC	Proposed ICU	
7 8 9	BASE FACILITY CHARGE CHARGE PER 1,000 GALLONS			\$9 68 \$0 35	\$8.78 \$0.31	
9 10 11	COMPARISON OF RATE IMPACTS			•••••		
12						
13 14	GALLONS			NUC	Proposed ICU	% ICU < NUC
14 15 16	3,000			\$10 73	\$9 71	-9 51%
17 18	5,000			\$11 43	\$10 33	-9 62%
19 20	7,830 (1)		]	\$12.42	\$11.21	-9.77%
20 21 22	10,000			\$13 18	\$11 88	-9 86%
22 23 24	15,000			\$14 93	\$13 43	-10 05%
25	25,000			\$18 43	\$16 53	-10 31%
26 27	COMPARISON OF RATE IMPACTS - INCLUDING THE MC SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGES	NTHLY IM	PACT OF A	<u>10 YEAR A</u>	MORTIZATION	<u>I OF</u>
28	SERVICE AVAILABILITT CHARGES					
29				NUC	Proposed ICU	
30 31	SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGES (SAC)			\$550 00		
32 33	ANNUAL AMORTIZATION OF SAC OVER 10 YEARS MONTHLY IMPACT OF ANNUAL AMORTIZATION OF SAC			\$55 00 \$4.58	\$23.45 \$1 95	
34 35						
36				NUC	Proposed ICU	% ICU < NUC
37 38	GALLONS 3,000			\$15 31	\$11 66	-23 84%
39 40	5,000			\$16 01	\$12 28	-23 30%
41 42	7,830 (1)			\$17,00	\$13,16	-22.61%
43 44	10,000			\$17 76	\$13 83	-22 13%
45 46	15,000			\$19 51	\$15 38	-21 17%
47 48	25,000			\$23 01	\$18 48	-19 69%

(1) CONVERSION OF 261 GALLONS PER DAY PER ERC FACTOR FOR REUSE TO A MONTHLY AMOUNT

SOURCE. BURTON & ASSOCIATES

CADATA\123VCUASPRDSHTS\DEPOSI~1WB3S1AF5 WK4

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM <u>CALCULATION OF INTERCOASTAL'S WATER RATES - RATE CALCULATION</u>

		B	c	D	E	F	CALCULAI				
	A	в	C	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	к
WATER									BILLED	AMOUNTS FOR RATE MAKING	
							JARTERLY BILLS		14,171	14,171	
						FACTORED GA		1	667,377		
			PERCENTAG	EASSIGNMEN	іт	FACTOR	ED BILLS	FACTORE	D ERCs	FACTORED	GALLONS
				BASE				BASE	BASE		
ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TEST YEAR	CUSTOMER CHARGE	FACILITY	GAL CHARGE	CUSTOMER COST	CUSTOMER CHARGE	FACILITY	FACILITY	GALL COST	GALL CHARGE
	AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES										<u> </u>
601 Salarie	s and Wages - Employees s and Wages - Directors	204 094 0	17 75% 17 75%	50 00% 50 00%	32 25% 32 25%	\$36,227 \$0	\$2 5564 \$0 0000	102 047	\$5 1590		\$0 0997
604 Employ	ee Pensions and Benefits	55,975	17 75%	50 00%	32 25%	\$9,936	\$0 7011	27 988	\$0 0000 \$1 4149		\$0 0000 \$0 0273
610 Purcha 615 Purcha		0 86,343	0 00% 0 00%	0 00% 0 00%	100 00% 100 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0	\$0 0000 \$0 1308
616 Fuel for	r Power Purchased	0	0 00%	0 00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000
618 Chemic 670 Materia	als Is and Supplies	49,622 140,427	0 00% 0 00%	0 00% 50 00%	100 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 70 214	\$0 0000 \$3 5497		\$0 0752
	ctural Svcs - Engineering	27,426	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	13,713	\$0 6933	70,214 13,713	\$0 1064 \$0 0208
632 Contra	ctural Svcs - Accounting	4,770	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	2,385	\$0 1206	2,385	\$0 0036
	ctural Svcs - Legal ctural Svcs - Management Fees	2,724 53,667	0 00% 0 00%	50 00% 50 00%	50 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	1 362 26 833	\$0.0689 \$1.3566		\$0 0021 \$0 0407
635 Contra	ctural Svcs - Other	19,474	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	9 737	\$1,5566		\$0 0407
	of Bidg/Real Property	26,687	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	26 687	\$1 3492	0	\$0 0000
642 Rental 650 Transo	of Equipment ortation Expense	6,876 37 785	0 00% 0 00%	100 00% 50 00%	0 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	6 876 18 892	\$0 3476 \$0 9551	0 18,892	\$0 0000 \$0 0286
	ce - Vehicles	5,595	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	5 595	\$0 2829		\$0 0000
	ce - General Liability	6 549	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	6 549	\$0 3311	0	\$0 0000
658 Insuran 659 Insuran	ce - Workers Comp	7 542 3 689	0 00% 0 00%	100 00% 100 00%	0 00% 0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	7,542	\$0 3813		\$0 0000
	sing Expense/Amort of Rate Case Exp	1 051	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000	3 689 525	\$0 1865 \$0 0266		\$0 0000 \$0 0008
666 Regulat	ory Commission Expense	0	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000		\$0 0000
670 Bad De		1 374	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	687	\$0 0347	687	\$0 0010
675 Misc E	N & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	6,468 748,138	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0 \$46,162	\$0 0000 \$3 2575	3 234 \$334 556	\$0 1635 \$16 9135		\$0 0049 \$0 5566
403 DEPRECIATION A	ND AMORTIZATION lation	187 205	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	187 205	\$9 4642	0	\$0 0000
407 Amortiz	ation of CIAC	(145,006)	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	(145.006)	(\$7 3308)		\$0 0000
Amortiz	ation of Acquisition Adjustment TION AND AMORTIZATION	4,683	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	4,683	\$0 2367 \$2 3701	0	\$0 0000
TOTAL DEFRECK		795,020				20	\$0 0000	\$40,002	\$2 3/01	\$0	\$0 0000
TAXES OTHER TH											
408 1 Intengit 408 11 Other T	axes & Licenses	496 75	0 00% 0 00%	0 00% 100 00%	100 00% 0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 75	\$0 0000 \$0 0038	496 0	\$0 0008 \$0 0000
408 12	ERCS & LICCHSCS	ŏ	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000		\$0 0000
408 13 Propert	y Taxes	80 664	0 00%	100 00%	0.00%	\$0	\$0 0000	80.664	\$4 0780	0	\$0 0000
408 2 TOTAL TAXES OT	HER THAN INCOME TAXES	0 81,235	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 \$80 739	\$0 0000 \$4 0818	0 \$496	\$0 0000
INCOME TAXES											
409		0	0 00%	0 00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000
TOTAL INCOME T	AXES	0 876 255				\$0	\$0 0000	\$0	\$0.0000	<b>S</b> 0	\$0 0000
RETURN ON INVE	STMENT	117,991	0 00%	0 00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000	117,991	\$0 1788
TOTAL REVENUE	REQUIREMENT	994,246									
LESS MISC & NO	N OP REVENUES	(5,705)	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	(5 705)	(\$0 2884)	) 0	\$0 0000
TOTAL REVENUES	S FOR RATE SETTING	988,541				46,162	\$3 2575	456,472	\$23 0770	] 485,907 [	\$0 7361
RATES ROUNDED	TO TWO DECIMAL PLACES					t	\$3 2600	[	\$23 0800	) (	\$0 7400 }
TEST AGAINST RE	VENUE REQUIREMENTS IN MB4-8 ONS SUPPORTING MB4-8	\$988,541					BFC REVENUE	\$502,634		DNAGE REVENUE	\$485.907 \$988,541
REV IN RATE CAL		\$988,541 0								I O ME REVENUE	\$300,5 <del>4</del>
C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES C CATALL23VCUSPRDSHTS/DEPOSHTWB3514F3 VM4

04/23/2001

1 2

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM CALCULATION OF INTERCOASTAL'S WATER RATES - PROPOSED RATES AND REVENUE TEST

#### NOTE: PROPOSED RATES ARE IN SHADED AREAS

	A	в	с	D	E	F	G	н	I	L	к	L	м	N
	WATER												REVEN	UE TEST
1 2	METER SIZE RESIDENTIAL	METER SIZE ERC FACTOR	UNIT ERC FACTOR	QUARTERLY CUSTOMER COST	METER SIZE ERC.COST	QUARTERLY COST PER UNIT	IQTAL QUARTERLY BEC PER BILL	GALLONAGE CHARGE FACTOR	GALLONAGE CHARGE	BILLS	UNITS	GALLONS	<u>BEC</u> REVENUE	GALLONAGE REVENUE
2 3	5/8x3/4	1	NA	\$3 26	\$23 08	NA	\$26.34	1 00	\$0.74	10,959	NA	408,562	\$288,660	\$302,336
4	3/4	15 25	NA NA	\$3 26 \$3 26	\$34 62 \$57 70	NA NA	\$37.88 \$50,96	1 00 1 00	\$0.74 \$0.74	0 2,509	0 NA	0 158,558	\$0 \$152,949	\$0 \$117,333
6	1 1/2	5	NA	\$3 26	\$115 40	NA	\$118,66	1 00	\$0.74	25	NA	5,481	\$2,967	\$4,056
7	2 3	8 16	NA NA	\$3 26 \$3 26	\$184 64 \$369 28	NA NA	\$187,90 \$372,54	1 00 1 00	\$0.74 \$0.74	12 0	NA O	1,759	\$2,255 \$0	\$1,302 \$0
9	4	25	NA	33 26 \$3 26	\$577.00	NA	\$580,26	1 00	\$0.74	0	0	U	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
10	6	50	NA	\$3 26	\$1,154.00	NA	\$1,157.26	1 00	\$0.74	õ	ō	ō	\$0	<b>S</b> 0
11	8	80	NA	\$3 26	\$1,846 40	NA	\$1,849.66	1 00	\$0,74	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
12 13	10 12	115 215	NA NA	\$3 26 \$3 26	\$2,654 20 \$4,962 20	NA NA	\$2,657.46 \$4,965.46	1 00 1 00	\$0.74 \$0,74	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
14	12	210	100	40 20	34,302 20	134	44,503,40	100		13,505	0	574,360	\$446,830	\$425,026
15	GENERAL SERVICE													
16	5/8x3/4 3/4	1 15	NA NA	\$3 26 \$3 26	\$23 08 \$34 62	NA NA	\$26.34 \$37,88	1 00	\$0,74 \$0,74	359	NA	11,902 0	\$9,456	\$8,807
17 18	3/4	25	NA	\$3 26	\$34 62 \$57.70	NA	\$60,96	1 00 1 00	\$0.74	0 117	0 NA	13,778	\$0 \$7,132	\$0 \$10,196
19	1 1/2	5	NA	\$3 26	\$115 40	NA	\$118.66	1 00	\$0.74	79	NA	17,623	\$9,374	\$13,041
20	2	8	NA	\$3 26	\$184 64	NA	\$187,90	1 00	\$0.74	75	NA	16,141	\$14,093	\$11,944
21 22	3	16 25	NA NA	\$3 25 \$3 26	\$369 28 \$577 00	NA NA	\$372.54 \$580.26	1.00 1.00	\$0.74 \$0.74	4	NA NA	570 80	\$1,490 \$2,321	\$422 \$59
23	6	50	NA	\$3 26	\$1,154.00	NA	\$1,157.26	1 00	\$0.74	ō	0	0	\$0	\$0
24	8	80	NA	\$3 26	\$1,846.40	NA	\$1,849.66	1 00	\$0,74	4	NA	1,331	\$7,399	\$985
25 26	10 12	115 215	NA NA	\$3.26 \$3 26	\$2,654.20 \$4,962.20	NA NA	\$2,857.46 \$4,965.46	1.00 1.00	\$0,74 \$0,74	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
26	12	215	NO.	33 20	\$4,902.20	INA I	34, 903, 40	100	30.74	642		61,425	\$51,265	\$45,455
28	Multi - Residential Servic										-		,	
29	5/8x3/4	NA	0 85	\$3,26	NA	\$19,62	NA	1 00	\$0,74	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
30 31	3/4	NA NA	0 85 0 85	\$3,26 \$3,26	NA	\$19,62 \$19,62	NA NA	1 00 1 00	\$0.74 \$0.74	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
32	1 1/2	NA	0 85	\$3.26	NA	\$19,62	NA	1 00	\$0.74	ŏ	ŏ	0	\$0	\$0
33	2	NA	0 85	\$3,26	NA	\$19.62	NA	1 00	\$0.74	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
34	3	NA	0 85	\$3,26	NA	\$19.62	NA	1 00	\$0.74	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
35 36	4	NA NA	0 85 0 85	\$3.26 \$3,26	NA	\$19.62 \$19.62	NA NA	1 00 1 00	\$0.74 \$0,74	4	16 91	0 6,075	\$327 \$1,798	\$0 \$4,496
37	š	NA	0 85	\$3.26	NA	\$19,62	NA	1 00	\$0.74	4	36	1,223	\$719	\$905
38	10	NA	0 85	\$3,26	NA	\$19.62	NA	1 00	\$0,74	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
39	12	NA	0 85	\$3.26	NA	\$19,62	NA	1 00	\$0.74	12	0 143	0 7,298	\$0 \$2,845	\$0 \$5,401
40 41	Wholesale Service									12	143	7,290	\$2,645	\$3,401
42	5/8x3/4	NA	0 37	\$3.26	NA	\$8,54	NA	0 70	\$0.52	0	0	D	\$0	\$0
43	3/4	NA	0 37	\$3.26	NA	\$8.54	NA	0 70	\$0.52	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
44 45	1 1 1/2	NA NA	037 037	\$3,26 \$3,26	NA	\$8.54 \$8,54	NA NA	0 70 0 70	\$0.52 \$0.52	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
45	2	NA	0 37	\$3,26	NA	\$8,54	NA	0 70	\$0.52	ő	ő	ő	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
47	3	NA	0 37	\$3,26	NA	\$8,54	NA	0 70	\$0.52	Ō	Ō	Ō	\$0	\$0
48	4	NA	0 37	\$3,26	NA	\$8.54	NA	0 70	\$0,52	12	205	24,294	\$1,790	\$12,633
49 50	6 8	NA NA	0.37 0 37	\$3.26 \$3.26	NA	\$8,54 \$8,54	NA NA	0 70 0 70	\$0.52 \$0.52	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
51	10	NA	0 37	\$3.26	NA	\$8.54	NA	0 70	\$0.52	ŏ	ő	Ď	\$0	\$0
52	12	NA	0 37	\$3,26	NA	\$8,54	NA	0 70	\$0.52	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
53 54										12	205	24,294	\$1,790	\$12,633
55	REVENUE TEST						BILLING UNITS !		VENUE	14,171	348	667,377	\$502,729	\$488,514
56							REQUIRED BILL	ING UNITS & REV		14, 171	NA	667,377	\$502,634	\$485,907
57							VARIANCE			0	NA	0	95	2,607

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM CALCULATION OF INTERCOASTAL'S SEWER RATES - RATE CALCULATION

	Α	В	с	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	к
SEWER										AMOUNTS FOR RATE MAKING	
<u></u>							JARTERLY BILLS		12,715	12,715	
						FACTORED QU	JARTERLY ERCs		16,279 271,015	16,279 271,015	
					_						
			PERCENTAG	E ASSIGNMEN	1	FACTOR	ED BILLS	FACTORE	DERCS	FACTORED	GALLONS
		TEST	CUSTOMER	BASE FACILITY		CUSTOMER	CUSTOMER	BASE FACILITY	BASE FACILITY		GALL
ACCT	DESCRIPTION	YEAR	CHARGE		GAL CHARGE	COST	CHARGE	COST	CHARGE	GALL COST	CHARGE
	AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES and Wages - Employees	325,110	17 75%	50 00%	32 25%	\$57,707	\$4 0722	162 555	\$9 9854	104,848	<b>6</b> 0 0000
	and Wages - Directors	525,110	17 75%	50 00%	32 25%	\$0,107	\$0 0000	102 335	\$0 0000	104,848	\$0 3869 \$0 0000
	e Pensions and Benefits	86,557	17 75% 0 00%	50 00%	32 25%	\$15,364 \$0	\$1 0842 \$0 0000	43 278 0	\$2 6585	27,914	\$0 1030
710 Purchase 711 Purchase	ed Wastewaler Treatment	0 141,350	0.00%	0 00% 0 00%	100 00% 100 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 141.350	\$0 0000 \$0 5216
715 Fuel for I	Power Purchased	90,700	0 00%	0 00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	Ō	\$0 0000	90,700	\$0 3347
	ed Fuel for Power Production	0 81,242	0 00% 0 00%	0 00% 50 00%	100 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 40 621	\$0 0000 \$2 4953	0 40,621	\$0 0000
718 Chemica 720 Materials	and Supplies	250,228	0.00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	125,114	\$7 6855	125,114	\$0 1499 \$0 4617
731 Contract	ural Svcs - Engineering	39,894	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	19,947	\$1 2253	19,947	\$0 0736
	ural Svcs - Accounting ural Svcs - Legal	4,230 4,711	0 00% 0 00%	50 00% 50 00%	50 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	2 115 2,356	\$0 1299 \$0 1447	2,115 2,356	\$0 0078 \$0 0087
	ural Svcs - Legal ural Svcs - Mgmt Fees	46,333	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	23,167	\$1 4231	23,167	\$0 0855
735 Contract	ural Svcs - Other	34,374	0 00%	15 00%	85 00%	<b>S</b> 0	\$0 0000	5,156	\$0 3167	29,218	\$0 1078
741 Rental of 742 Rental of	Bidg/ Real Property	23,162 5,956	0 00% 0 00%	100 00% 100 00%	0 00% 0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	23 162 5 956	\$1 4228 \$0 3659	0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000
750 Transpor	tation Expense	33,754	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	16,877	\$1 0367	16,877	\$0 0623
756 Insurance		4,728	0.00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	2,364	\$0 1452	2,364	\$0 0087
	e - General Liab e - Workers Comp	4 986 6,527	0 00%	50 00% 50 00%	50 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	2 493 3 264	\$0 1531 \$0 2005	2,493 3,264	\$0 0092 \$0 0120
759 Insurance		3,108	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	ŝo	\$0 0000	1 554	\$0 0955	1,554	\$0 0057
	Rate Case Expense	56 996	0 00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	28 498	\$1 7506	28,498	\$0 1052
766 Regulato 770 Bad Deb	ry Commission Expense	0 2.553	0 00%	50 00% 50 00%	50 00% 50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 1,276	\$0 0000 \$0 0784	0 1,276	\$0 0000
775 Misc Exc		5,613	0.00%	50 00%	50 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000	2 806	\$0 1724	2,806	\$0 0047 \$0 0104
	A MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	1 252,112 1 195,116				\$73,071	\$5 1564	\$512 559	\$31 4855	\$666,482	\$2 4592
DEPRECIATION AN		1 100,110									
403 Deprecia		437,543	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	437.543	\$26 8774	0	\$0 0000
407 Amortiza	tion of CIAC	(265,894)	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	(265,894)	(\$16 3334)	0	\$0 0000
	tion of Acquisition Adjustment	6,253	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000	6,253	\$0 3841	0	\$0 0000
TOTAL DEPRECIAT	ION AND AMORTIZATION	177,901				20	\$0 0000	\$177,901	\$10 9281	\$0	\$0 0000
TAXES OTHER THA		o	0 00%	0.009/	100.000/	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>*</b> 0.0000	0	£0.0000		<b>F</b> A 0000
408 1 Intangible 408 11 Other Ta		75	0.00%	0 00% 100 00%	100 00% 0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	0 75	\$0 0000 \$0 0046	0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000
408.12		0	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0.0000	0	\$0 0000	Ó	\$0 0000
408 13 Property 408 2	Taxes	129,725 0	0 00% 0 00%	100 00% 100 00%	0 00% 0 00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	129,725 0	\$7 9688 \$0 0000	0	\$0 0000
	ER THAN INCOME TAXES	129,800	0.00%	100 00%	0.00%	\$0	\$0 0000	\$129,800	\$7 9734	\$0	\$0 0000
INCOME TAXES		co 705	0 00%	0 00%	100.000/	\$0	\$0 0000	0	<b>60</b> 0000	co 705	
TOTAL INCOME TA	XES	68,765 68,765	0.00%	0.00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0.0000	\$0	\$0 0000 \$0 0000	68,765 \$68,765	\$0 2537 \$0 2537
RETURN ON INVES	TMENT	377,164	0 00%	0 00%	100 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	0	\$0 0000	377,154	\$1 3917
TOTAL REVENUE R	EQUIREMENT	2 005 742									
LESS MISC & NON	OP REVENUES	(7,972)	0 00%	100 00%	0 00%	\$0	\$0 0000	(7 972)	(\$0 4897)	0	\$0 0000
TOTAL REVENUES	FOR RATE SETTING	1 997,770				73,071	\$5 1564	812 289	\$49 8973	1,112,411 [	\$4 1046
RATES ROUNDED	TO TWO DECIMAL PLACES						\$5 1600	í	\$49 9000	[	\$4 1000
TEST AGAINST REV	ENUE REQUIREMENTS IN MB4-8						BFC REVENUE	\$885,359	GALLO	NAGE REVENUE	\$1,112,411
<b>REV IN PROJECTIO</b>	NS SUPPORTING MB4-8	1 997,770								OTAL REVENUE	
REV IN RATE CALC	ULATION ABOVE	1 997,770									

1 2

10

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES C VOATAI123NCIASPROSHTSDEPOSH1W83351AF5 WK4

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM CALCULATION OF INTERCOASTAL'S SEWER RATES - PROPOSED RATES AND REVENUE TEST

#### NOTE: PROPOSED RATES ARE IN SHADED AREAS

A	в	с	I	D E	F	G	н	i	J	к	L	м	N
<u>SEWER</u>												RE	VENUE TEST

1 2	RESIDENTIA	METER SIZE	METER SIZE ERC FACTOR	UNIT ERC FACTOR	QUARTERLY CUSTOMER COST	METER SIZE ERC COST	QUARTERLY COST PER UNIT	IQTAL QUARTERLY BFC PER BILL	GALLONAGE CHARGE FACTOR	GALLONAGE CHARGE	BILLS	UNITS	GALLONS	<u>BFC</u> REVENUE	GALLONAGE REVENUE
3		5/8x3/4	1	NA	\$5.1	\$49 90	NA	\$55.06	1 00	\$4.10	10,718	NA	208,677	\$590,133	\$855,576
4		3/4	15	NA	\$5.10	\$74.85	NA	\$80,01	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
5		1	25	NA	\$5 ti		NA	\$129.91	1 00	\$4.10	1,664	NA	35,794	\$216,170	\$146,755
6		1 1/2	5	NA	\$5 1		NA	\$254.65	1 00	\$4.10	2	NA	0	\$509	\$0
7		2	8	NA	\$5.1		NA	\$404.36	1 00	\$4,10	4	NA	120	\$1,617	\$492
8		3	16		\$5.1		NA	\$803.56	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
9		4	25		\$5 10		NA	\$1,252.86	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
10		6	50		\$5 1		NA	\$2,500.16	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
11		8	80		\$5 1		NA	\$3,997.16	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
12		10	115		\$5 1		NA	\$5,743.66	1 00	\$4,10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
13		12	215	NA	\$5.1	\$10,728.50	NA	\$10,733.66	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
14											12,388	0	244,591	\$808,430	\$1,002,823
15	GENERAL S														
16		5/8x3/4	1	NA	\$5.1		NA	\$55,06	1 00	\$4.10	183	NA	3,857	\$10,076	\$15,814
17		3/4	15		\$5.1		NA	\$90.01	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
18		1	2 5		\$5 1		NA	\$129.91	1 00	\$4.10	43	NA	1,691	\$5,586	\$6,933
19		1 1/2	5	NA	\$5 1		NA	\$254,66	1 00	\$4.10	42	NA	5,915	\$10,696	\$24,252
20		2	8	NA	\$5 1		NA	\$404.36	1 00	\$4,10	37	NA	6,179	\$14,961	\$25,334
21		3	16		\$5 t		NA	\$803.56	1 00	\$4.10	4	NA	570	\$3,214	\$2,337
22		4	25		\$5.1		NA	\$1,252.66	1 00	\$4.10	2	NA	70 0	\$2,505	\$287
23		6	50		\$5 1		NA	\$2,500.16	1 00	\$4,10	0	0	-	\$0	\$0 \$3,460
24		8	80		\$5.1		NA	\$3,997.16	1 00	\$4.10	4	NA O	844 0	\$15,989 \$0	\$3,460 \$0
25		10	115		\$5.1		NA	\$5,743.66	1 00	\$4,10	•	-	0	\$U \$0	\$0 \$0
26		12	215	NA	S5 1	\$10,728.50	NA	\$10,733.66	1 00	\$4,10	0	0	•		\$78,417
27											315	0	19,126	\$63,027	3/0,417
28	Multi - Resid										0	0	0	\$0	\$0
29		5/8x3/4	1	0,9	\$5,1		\$44.91	NA		\$4.10	0	0	0	50 S0	\$0 \$0
30		3/4	15		\$5.1		\$44.91	NA		\$4.10	0	0	0	50 50	\$0 \$0
31		1	25		\$5.1		\$44.91	NA		\$4.10	0	0	0	50 S0	\$0 \$0
32		1 1/2	5	0 9	\$5.1		\$44.91	NA		\$4.10	0	0	0	30 \$0	\$0
33		2	8	09	\$5,1		\$44.91	NA	1 00	\$4.10	0	0	0	30 \$0	\$0 \$0
34		3	16		\$5.1		\$44.91	NA		\$4,10	0	16	0	\$739	\$0 \$0
35		4	25		\$5,1		\$44.91	NA		\$4.10	2	91	6.075	\$4,107	\$24,908
36		6	50		\$5.1		\$44.91	NA		\$4.10	4	36	1,223	\$1,637	\$5,014
37		8	80		\$5,1			NA		\$4.10	0	30	1,223	31,037 \$0	\$0,014
38		10	115		\$5.1			NA		\$4.10	0	0	0	30 S0	\$0 \$0
39		12	215	09	\$5,1	S NA	\$44,91	NA	1 00	\$4.10	12		7,298	\$6,484	\$29,922
40											14	143	/,230	30,404	\$25,522
41	Wholesale S									51A	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
42		5/8x3/4	1	NA	N			NA NA		NA NA	0	0	0	50 50	\$0 \$0
43		3/4	15			A NA				NA	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
44		1	25			A NA		NA NA		NA	ő	0	ő	\$0	\$0
45		1 1/2	5		N			NA		NA	ő	0	ő	\$0 \$0	\$0
46		2	8			A NA		NA		NA	0	ő	ő	\$0	\$0
47		3	16		N			NA		NA	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	\$0	sõ
48		4	25			A NA		NA		NA	ő	0	0	\$0	\$0 \$0
49		6	50			A NA				NA	ŏ	0	0	30 S0	sõ
50		8	80			A NA		NA		NA	ŏ	0	õ	30 S0	\$0
51		10	115			A NA		NA NA		NA	0	0	ő	\$0	\$0 \$0
52		12	215	NA	N	A NA	NA NA	NA	NA	INA_	<u>ö</u>		ö	\$0	
53											U	U	v	30	30
54		COT							& PROJECTEDR	EVENHE	12,715	143	271,015	\$877,941	\$1,111,162
55	REVENUE T	<b>⊏</b> ∂Ι							LING UNITS & RE		12,715	NA NA	271,015	\$885,359	\$1,112,411
56								VARIANCE		VENOE	0	NA		(7,418)	(1,249)
57								VARIANCE			Ū	no-	0	(7, 410)	(1,275)

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES C IDATA1123/JCU/SPRDSHTS/DEPOSH-19/83/S14F5/9/K4

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM

#### CALCULATION OF INTERCOASTAL'S RECLAIMED WATER RATES - RATE CALCULATION

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G
RECLAI	MED WATER						
<u></u>							
	AIMED WATER USAGE IN GAL TER USAGE PER ERC IN 1,000		Ť				2 7 8
NEOL/WINED W/							, .
	NUE REQUIREMENT PER ERC						\$11
MONTHLY BASE	FACILITY CHARGE PER ERC (	EQUAL TO WATER) (1)					\$8 78
	ARGE REVENUE REQUIREME						<b>60</b> 44
• ••••	MONTHLY CUSTOMER COST	CHARGE PER CUSTOME	R MINUS MOI	NTHLY ERC CH	ARGE PER ERC)		\$2 44
	ARGE PER 1,000 GALLONS						\$0 31
MONTHLY CO	SI PER ERC						\$11.21
							\$26
QUARTERLY	<u>BASE FACILITY CHARGE F</u>	PER ERC					J 920
QUARTERLY	BASE FACILITY CHARGE F	PER ERC					
QUARTERLY	BASE FACILITY CHARGE F	<u>PER ERC</u>					
	BASE FACILITY CHARGE F RATE PER 1,000 GALLON:						
GALLONAGE	RATE PER 1,000 GALLON						L
							L
GALLONAGE	RATE PER 1,000 GALLON						L
GALLONAGE	RATE PER 1,000 GALLON	2					L
GALLONAGE	RATE PER 1,000 GALLONS ALL METER SIZES ILABILITY CHARGE (EQUA	2					\$0.
GALLONAGE	RATE PER 1,000 GALLONS ALL METER SIZES	2					\$234.
GALLONAGE	RATE PER 1,000 GALLONS ALL METER SIZES ILABILITY CHARGE (EQUA	2					\$0

THE BASE FACILITY CHARGE FOR RECLAIMED WATER IS SET EQUAL TO THE BASE FACILITY CHARGE FOR WATER DUE TO THE MARKET LIMITATIONS GENERALLY CONSIDERED TO LIMIT RECLAIMED WATER RATES TO THE PRICE OF POTABLE WATER

(2) THE SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGE FOR RECLAIMED WATER IS SET EQUAL TO THE SERVICE AVAILABILITY CHARGE FOR WATER DUE TO THE MARKET LIMITATIONS GENERALLY CONSIDERED TO LIMIT RECLAIMED WATER RATES TO THE PRICE OF POTABLE WATER

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES C (DATA)123VCUASPROSHTS/DEPOSI- 1WB3S14F5 WH4

#### INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM SUMMARY OF INTERCOASTAL'S PROPOSED RATES

	Α	в	с	٥	E	F	G	н	1	J	к
			WA	TER			SEV	VER		RECLAIME	DWATER
1	METER SIZE	QUARTERLY CUSTOMER COST	QUARTERLY COST PER UNIT	TOTAL QUARTERLY BFC PER BILL	GALLONAGE CHARGE	QUARTERLY CUSTOMER COSI	QUARTERLY COST PER UNIT	<u>IOTAL</u> QUARTERLY BFC PER BILL	GALLONAGE CHARGE	<u>TOTAL</u> QUARTERLY BFC PER BILL	GALLONAGE CHARGE
3	RESIDENTIAL										
5 6	5/8x3/4 3/4	NA NA			\$0.74 0.74	NA	NA		\$4 10	\$26 34	\$0.31
7	3/4	NA			0.74	NA NA	NA NA		4 10 4 10	(2) (2)	0 31 0 31
8	1 1/2	NA		118 66	074	NA	NA		4 10	(2)	0 31
9	2	NA			074	NA	NA		4 10	(2)	0 31
10 11	3 4	NA NA			0 74 0 74	NA NA	NA NA		4.10	(2)	0 31 0 31
12	4 6	NA			074	NA	NA		4.10 4 10	(2) (2)	031
13		NA			074	NA	NA		4 10	(2)	0 31
14	10	NA			0 74	NA	NA	5,743 66	4 10	(2)	0 31
15	12	NA	NA NA	4,965 46	0 74	NA	NA	10,733 66	4 10	(2)	0 31
16 17	GENERAL SERVICE										
18	5/8x3/4	NA	NA	\$26 34	\$0 74	NA	NA	\$55.06	\$4 10	\$26 34	\$0.31
19	3/4	NA			074	NA	NA		4 10	(2)	0 31
20	1	NA			074	NA	NA		4 10	(2)	0 31
21	1 1/2	NA			074	NA	NA		4.10	(2) (2)	0.31
22 23	2 3	NA NA			074 074	NA NA	NA NA		4 10 4 10	(2)	0 31 0 31
23	4	NA			074	NA	NA		4,10	(2)	0 31
25	6	NA	. NA	1,157 26	074	NA	NA	2,500 16	4 10	(2) (2) (2)	0 31
26	8	NA			074	NA	NA		4 10	(2) (2)	0 31
27 28	10 12	NA NA			0 74 0 74	NA NA	NA NA		4 10 4 10	(2)	0 31 0 31
20	12	110		4,80540	014	11/1	19/7	10,100.00	4.10	(*)	001
30	Multi - Residential Service										
31	5/8x3/4	\$3 26	\$19 62	(1)	\$0 74	\$5 16	\$44 91	(1)	\$4 10	\$26 34	\$0.31
32		3 26	19 62	(1)	074	516	44 91	(1)	4 10 4 10	(2)	0.31 0.31
33 34	1 1 1/2	3 26 3 26	19.62 19.62	(1) (1)	0.74 0.74	5 16 5 16	44 91 44 91	(1) (1)	4 10	(2)	0 31
35	2	3.26	19 62	(1)	0.74	5 16	44 91	(1)	4 10	(2) (2) (2) (2)	0 31
36	3	3 26	19 62	(1)	0 74	5 16	44 91	(1)	4 10	(2)	0 31
37	4	3.26	19 62	(1)	0.74	5 16	44 91	(1)	4 10	(2) (2) (2) (2)	0 31
38 39	6 8	3 26 3 26	19 62 19.62	(1) (1)	0 74 0,74	5 16 5 16	44 91 44 91	(1) (1)	4 10 4 10	(2)	0 31 0 31
39 40	8 10	3.26	19.62	(1)	0.74	5 16	44 91	(1)	4 10	(2)	0 31
41	12	3 26	19 62	(1)	074	5 16	44 91	(i)		(2) (2)	0.31
42											
43			<b>6</b> 7 7 4	(4)	to 50						
44 45	5/8x3/4 3/4	\$3.26 3.26	\$8 54 8 54	(1) (1)	\$0 52 0 52	NA NA	NA NA			NA NA	
46	1	3 26	8 54	(1)	0 52	NA	NA			NA	
47	1 1/2	3 26	8.54	(1)	0 52	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
48	2	3.26	8 54	(1)	0 52	NA	NA		NA	NA	
49	3	3.26	8.54	(1)	0 52	NA	NA		NA NA	NA NA	
50 51	4	3 26 3 26	8.54 8.54	(1) (1)	0 52 0.52	NA NA	NA NA		NA	NA	
52	8	3 26	8.54	(1)	0.52	NA	NA		NA	NA	
53	10	3 26	8 54	(1)	0 52	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
54	12	3 26	8 54	(1)	0 52	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

(1) COST PER UNIT TIMES NUMBER OF UNITS

(2) BFC FOR 5/8X3/4 INCH METER TIMES THE ERC EQUIVALENCY FACTOR FOR EACH METER SIZE

SOURCE BURTON & ASSOCIATES

## INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM <u>SUMMARY</u>

## Scenario 1 - Intercoastal Utilities Water and Sewer Rates w/ Intercoastal Capital Plan - 100% Debt / 0% Equity

	Average Monthly Cost per ERC	assumes a	a Single Fan	nily Resider	ntial custom	er with 10,	000 Gallon	is per Mont	th Average	Water Usa	<u>ge.</u>	
1	Water	1999	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	2005	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
2	Rate Adjustment Plan	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.5%	0.0%	2.1%	-2.2%
з	Achieved Return	13:47%	0.76%	-0.27%	-2.88%	-2.93%	-2.14%	-1.37%	7,19%	5.32%	7.07%	7.08%
4	Allowed Return	12.04%	7.39%	7.41%	7.09%	7.08%	7.08%	7,10%	7/19%	7.05%	7.07%	
5	Avg Mo.Cost / SF Res ERC	\$15.81	\$16.18	\$16.18	\$15.37	\$15.37	\$15.37	\$15.37	\$18.83	\$18.83	\$19.22	\$18.80
6	Achieved Return (Millions)	\$0.118	\$0.020	(\$0.007)	(\$0.134)	(\$0.139)	(\$0.103)	(\$0.066)		\$0.315	\$0.411	\$0.403
7	Allowed Return (Millions)	\$0.105	\$0.189	\$0.187	\$0.331	\$0.337	\$0.341	\$0.342	\$0.342	\$0.418	\$0.411	\$0.403
8	Rate Base (Millions)	\$0.876	\$2.558	\$2.518	\$4.669	\$4.761	\$4.811	\$4.81 <del>9</del>	\$4.754	\$5.925	\$5.821	\$5.685
9	<u>Sewer</u>											
10	Rate Adjustment Plan	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-11.4%	0.0%	-6.4%	-5.4%
11	Achieved Return	7.80%	2.87%	5,50%	-1.80%	0.02%	3.85%	7.67%	7.19%	7.44%	7.07%	7.08%
12	Allowed Return	12.04%	7.39%	7.41%	7.09%	7.08%	7.08%		7,19%		7.07%	7.08%
13	Avg Mo.Cost / SF Res ERC	\$63.90	\$59.35	\$59.35	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$45.75	\$45.75	\$42.81	\$40.50
14	Achieved Return (Millions)	\$0.377	\$0.135	\$0.236	(\$0.178)	\$0.002	\$0.365	\$0.692	\$0.569	\$0.817	\$0.761	\$0.741
15	Allowed Return (Millions)	\$0.582	\$0.348	\$0.319	\$0.700	\$0.689	\$0.672	\$0.640	\$0.569	\$0.775	\$0.761	\$0.741
16	Rate Base (Millions)	\$4.834	\$4.713	\$4.298	\$9.868	\$9.733	\$9.482	\$9.019	\$7.922	\$10.987	\$10.771	\$10.461
17	<u>Water &amp; Sewer</u>											
18	Effect on W&S Bill	NA	-5.2%	0.0%	-11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-3.6%	0.0%	-3.9%	-4.4%
19	Achieved Return	8.67%	2.13%	J. 3.37%	-2.15%	0.95%	1.83%	4.52%	7.19%	6,70%	7.07%	7,08%
20	Allowed Return	12.04%	7.39%	7.41%	7.09%	7.08%	7.08%	7.10%	7.19%	7.05%	7.07%	
21	Avg Mo.Cost / SF Res ERC	\$79.71	\$75.53	\$75.53	\$67.01	\$67.01	\$67.01	\$67.01	\$64.58	\$64.58	\$62.04	\$59.30
22	Achieved Return (Millions)	\$0.495	\$0.155	\$0.230	(\$0.312)	(\$0.137)	\$0.262	\$0.626	\$0.911	\$1.133	\$1.173	\$1.144
23	Allowed Return (Millions)	\$0.687	\$0.537	\$0.505	\$1.031	\$1.026	\$1.013	\$0.983	\$0.911	\$1.193	\$1.173	\$1.144
24	Rate Base (Millions)	\$5.710	\$7.271	\$6.817	\$14.537	\$14.493	\$14.293	\$13.838	\$12.676	\$16.912	\$16.593	\$16.146

NOTE:

THE REDUCTION IN THE AVG. MO COST PER ERC IN 2000, IS THE RESULT OF REALLOCATION OF COSTS AND RESTRUCTURING OF RATES, AND DOES NOT RESULT IN A REDUCTION IN RATE REVENUE. ALL CHANGES IN THE AVG MO COST PER ERC IN YEARS SUBSEQUENT TO 2000 WOULD BE ACHIEVED BY "ACROSS THE BOARD" ADJUSTMENTS IN RATES.

SOURCE: BURTON & ASSOCIATES C:\DATA\123\/CU\SPRDSHTS\DEPOSI-1\MB3S1AF5 WK4

## INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES WATER & SEWER SYSTEM <u>SUMMARY</u>

## Scenario 2 - Intercoastal Utilities Water and Sewer Rates w/ Intercoastal Capital Plan - 70% Debt / 30% Equity

	Average Monthly Cost per ERC	assumes	a Single Far	nily Resider	ntial custom	er with 10,	000 Gallor	ns per Mon	th Average	Water Usa	<u>ge.</u>	
1	Water	<u>1999</u>	2000	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
2	Rate Adjustment Plan	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.9%	0.0%	2.4%	-2.4%
3	Achieved Return	13:47%	0.76%	-0.27%	-2.88%	-2.93%	•2.14%	·1.37%	8.03%	6.05%	7.97%	7.96%
4	Allowed Return	12.04%	///////////////////////////////////////	7.41%	62%	7.62%	7.64%	7.78%	8.03%	7,99%	7,97%	7.96%
5	Avg Mo.Cost / SF Res ERC	\$15.81	\$16.18	\$16.18	\$15.37	\$15.37	\$15.37	\$15.37	\$19.20	\$19.20	\$19.66	\$19.18
6	Achieved Return (Millions)	\$0.118	\$0.020	(\$0.007)	(\$0.134)	(\$0.139)	(\$0.103)	(\$0.066)	\$0.382	\$0.358	\$0.464	\$0.453
7	Allowed Return (Millions)	\$0.105	\$0.189	\$0.187	\$0.356	\$0.363	\$0.368	\$0.375	\$0.382	\$0.470	\$0.464	\$0.453
8	Rate Base (Millions)	\$0.876	\$2.558	\$2.518	\$4.669	\$4.761	\$4.811	\$4.819	\$4.754	\$5.925	\$5.821	\$5.685
9	<u>Sewer</u>											
10	Rate Adjustment Plan	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-9.8%	0.0%	_4.7%	-5.3%
11	Achieved Return	7:80%	2.87%	5,50%	1.80%	0.02%	3.85%	7.56%	8.03%	7.84%	7.97%	7.96%
12	Allowed Return	12.04%	<b></b>	7.41%	7.62%		7.64%		···· 8.03%	<b></b>	7.97%	
13	Avg Mo.Cost / SF Res ERC	\$63.90	\$59.35	\$59.35	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$51.63	\$46.56	\$46.56	\$44.35	\$41.98
14	Achieved Return (Millions)	\$0.377	\$0.135	\$0.236	(\$0.178)	\$0.002	\$0.365	\$0.682	\$0.636	\$0.861	\$0.859	\$0.833
15	Allowed Return (Millions)	\$0.582	\$0.348	\$0.319	\$0.752	\$0.742	\$0.725	\$0.701	\$0.636	\$0.871	\$0.859	\$0.833
16	Rate Base (Millions)	\$4.834	\$4.713	\$4.298	\$9.868	\$9.733	\$9.482	\$9.019	\$7.922	\$10.987	\$10.771	\$10.461
17	Water & Sewer											
18	Effect on W&S Bill	NA	-5.2%	0.0%	-11.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.9%	0.0%	-2.7%	-4.4%
19	Achieved Return	8.67%	2.13%	3.37%	-2.15%		1.83%	4.45%	8.03%	7.21%	7.97%	7.96%
20	Allowed Return	12:04%	<b>7.39%</b>	7,41%	1.62%	7.62%	7.64%	7.78%	8.03%	7.93%	7 97%	7.96%
21	Avg Mo.Cost / SF Res ERC	\$79.71	\$75.53	\$75.53	\$67.01	\$67.01	\$67.01	\$67.01	\$65.77	\$65.77	\$64.01	\$61.17
22	Achieved Return (Millions)	\$0.495	\$0.155	\$0.230	(\$0.312)	(\$0.137)	\$0.262	\$0.616	\$1. <b>01</b> 8	\$1.220	\$1.323	\$1.285
23	Allowed Return (Millions)	\$0.687	\$0.537	\$0.505	\$1.108	\$1.104	\$1.092	\$1.076	\$1.018	\$1.341	\$1.323	\$1.285
24	Rate Base (Millions)	\$5.710	\$7.271	\$6.817	\$14.537	\$14.493	\$14.293	\$13.838	\$12.676	\$16.912	\$16.593	\$16.146

NOTE.

THE REDUCTION IN THE AVG MO. COST PER ERC IN 2000, IS THE RESULT OF REALLOCATION OF COSTS AND RESTRUCTURING OF RATES, AND DOES NOT RESULT IN A REDUCTION IN RATE REVENUE. ALL CHANGES IN THE AVG MO COST PER ERC IN YEARS SUBSEQUENT TO 2000 WOULD BE ACHIEVED BY "ACROSS THE BOARD" ADJUSTMENTS IN RATES

SOURCE: BURTON & ASSOCIATES C.\DATA\123\/CU\SPRDSHTS\DEPOSI~1\MB3S1AF5 WK4

## INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES RECLAIMED WATER SYSTEM <u>SUMMARY</u>

# Scenario 1R- Intercoastal Utility's Reclaimed Water Rates w/ Intercoastal Capital Plan - 100% Debt / 0% Equity

Average Monthly Cost per ERC assumes 10,000 Gallons per Month Average Water Usage per ERC

1	<b>Reclaimed Water</b>	<u>1999</u>	2000	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	2004	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
2	Rate Plan				-27.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%	-1.8%	-0.5%	-2.9%
3	Achieved Return				5.48%	4.61%	6.05%	5.55%	6.84%	6.83%	6.86%	6.90%
4	Allowed Return				6.75%	6.7.7%	6,79%	6.81%	6.84%	6.83%	6.86%	6.90%
5	Avg Mo.Cost / ERC				\$11.22	\$11.22	\$11.22	\$11.22	\$11.22	\$11.01	\$10.95	\$10.63
6	Achieved Return (Millions)				\$0.125	\$0.120	\$0.142	\$0.165	\$0.196	\$0.216	\$0.223	\$0.227
7	Allowed Return (Millions)				\$0.154	\$0.177	\$0.191	\$0.202	\$0.196	\$0.216	\$0.223	\$0.227
8	Rate Base (Millions)				\$2.287	\$2.611	\$2.813	\$2.964	\$2.869	\$3.166	\$3.255	\$3.290
	ASSUMED RECLA					GALLONS PER 1,000 GALLON	DAY S PER MONTH					
	BASE FACILITY C				\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800
	GALLONAGE CHA			ENT PER ERC	\$2.44	\$2.44	\$2.44	\$2.44	\$2.44	\$2.23	\$2.17	\$1.85
	GALLONAGE CHA	ARGE PER 1.00	00 GALLONS		\$0.31	\$0.31	\$0.31	\$0.31	\$0.31	\$0.28	\$0.28	\$0.24

\$11.22

\$11.22

\$11.22

\$11.22

\$11.22

\$11.01

SOURCE: BURTON & ASSOCIATES C \DATA\123\ICU\SPRDSHTS\DEPOSI~1\MB31RAF2 WK4

MONTHLY BILL

04/23/2001

\$10.63

\$10.95

## INTERCOASTAL UTILITIES RECLAIMED WATER SYSTEM <u>SUMMARY</u>

.

# Scenario 2R- Intercoastal Utility's Reclaimed Water Rates w/ Intercoastal Capital Plan - 70% Debt / 30% Equity

Average Monthly Cost per ERC assumes 10.000 Gallons per Month Average Water Usage per
---

1	Reclaimed Water	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	2003	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
2	Rate Plan				-27.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.5%
з	Achieved Return				5.57%	4.70%	5.14%	5.64%	6.75%	7.33%	7.54%	7.97%
4	Allowed Relum				7.73%	7,76%	7.80%	7.84%	7.89%	7.87%	7.92%	7.97%
5	Avg Mo.Cost / ERC				\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.24
6	Achieved Return (Millions)				\$0.127	\$0.123	\$0.145	\$0.167	\$0.194	\$0.232	\$0.245	\$0.262
7	Allowed Return (Millions)				\$0.177	\$0.203	\$0.219	\$0.232	\$0.226	\$0.249	\$0.258	\$0.262
8	Rate Base (Millions)				\$2.287	\$2.611	\$2.813	\$2.964	\$2.869	\$3.166	\$3.255	\$3.290

#### ASSUMED RECLAIMED WATER USAGE PER DAY ASSUMED RECLAIMED WATER USAGE PER MONTH BASE FACILITY CHARGE PER ERC S8.7800 \$8.

BASE FACILITY CHARGE PER ERC	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800	\$8.7800
GALLONAGE CHARGE REVENUE REQUIREMENT PER ERC	\$2.52	\$2.52	\$2.52	\$2.52	\$2.52	\$2.52	\$2.52	\$2.46
GALLONAGE CHARGE PER 1,000 GALLONS	\$0.32	\$0.32	\$0.32	\$0.32	\$0.32	\$0.32	\$0.32	\$0.31
MONTHLY BILL	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.30	\$11.24

SOURCE: BURTON & ASSOCIATES C.\DATA\123\ICU\SPRDSHTS\DEPOSI-1\MB31RAF2 WK4