RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN ORIGINAL

HAND DELIVERY

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. ECENIA JOHN R. ELLIS KENNETH A. HOFFMAN THOMAS W. KONRAD MICHAEL G. MAIDA MARTIN P. McDONNELL

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

> TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788 TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515

> > June 1, 2001

R. DAVID PRESCOTT HAROLD F. X. PURNELL GARY R. RUTLEDGE

J. STEPHEN MENTON

GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS MARGARET A. MENDUNI

M LANE STEPHENS

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Docket No. 000075-TP Re:

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed herewith for filing in the above-referenced docket on behalf of Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") are the original and fifteen copies of Level 3's Objections to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the copy to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely,

Martin P. McDonnell

Martin & McDel

APP CAF CMP COM CTR ECR LEG OPC PAI RGO SEC SER			ED & FII	LEO	
SER		PSE-BL	REAU	OF RECO	RDS
	-				

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

069 | 4 JUN-15

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into appropriate)	
methods to compensate carriers for)	Docket No. 000075-TP
exchange of traffic subject to Section 251)	
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	
)	Filed: June 1, 2001

LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC'S OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3"), pursuant to Order No. PSC-00-2229-PCO-TP issued November 22, 2000, Order No. PSC-00-2350-PCO-TP issued December 7, 2000, Order No. 00-2452-PCO-TP issued December 22, 2000, and Order No. PSC-01-0632-PCO-TP issued March 15, 2001, and Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340, objects to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories to Level 3 Communications, LLC dated May 22, 2001, and says:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory to the extent that it is intended to apply to matters other than Florida intrastate telecommunications operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission. Level 3 objects to such interrogatories as being irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive.
- 2. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory insofar as it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and not relevant to the subject matter of this generic docket.
- 3. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory to the extent that it calls for information that is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or other applicable privilege.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06914 JUN-18

FROM REPORTS / REPORTING

- 4. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory insofar as it is overly broad as written, and would be unduly burdensome, oppressive or excessively time consuming for Level 3 to respond.
- 5. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory to the extent that the information requested enjoys statutory "trade secrets" privilege pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes.
- 6. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory that would require the disclosure of customer specific information, the disclosure of which is prohibited by Section 364.24, Florida Statutes. Without waiving any general objection or specific objections stated herein, Level 3 will fully respond to requests for "proprietary confidential business information" pursuant to Sections 350.121, 364.183, 366.093 and 367.156, Florida Statutes, that are not subject to Section 364.24, Florida Statutes, in the event Level 3's general objections and/or specific objections concerning the specific requests are denied by the Prehearing Officer of the Commission. Level 3 will make such information available to PSC Staff and file with such response a Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Information.
- 7. Level 3 objects to each interrogatory insofar as it is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise or utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations and not properly defined. Any answer provided by Level 3 in response to these interrogatories will be provided subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objection.
- 8. Level 3 objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Commission.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

In the recent FCC Order No. 01-131, the FCC established certain interim measures for compensating ISP-bound traffic, as well as revisions removing the word "local" from certain rules.

- (a) Does FCC Order No. 01-131 impact any issues being addressed in Phase II of this docket?
- (b) if the answer to (a) is affirmative, which issues are impacted and how?

Level 3 objects to Interrogatory No. 1 to the extent that it calls for legal conclusions. Level 3 intends to address all legal authority that impacts any issue being considered by the Commission in Phase II of this generic docket in its Posthearing Brief.

Interrogatory No. 2. Please refer to page 9, lines 5-7 of witness Gates' direct testimony. What companies presently have LATA-wide local calling agreements with BellSouth as described in your testimony?

Level 3 objects to Interrogatory No. 2 to the extent the information requested is in the public record before this Commission or any other state commission. Level 3 further objects to Interrogatory No. 2 to the extent that Level 3 cannot fully provide the information requested as Level 3 is without knowledge as to all companies that may have entered into such agreements with BellSouth.

- <u>Interrogatory No. 3</u>. Please refer to page 10, beginning with line 7 of witness Gates' testimony.
- (a) You state that interstate local calling is relatively common. Are ILECs permitted to carry local calls across state lines in these situations?
- (b) Provide examples of this practice.

Level 3 objects to Interrogatory 3(a) to the extent that the Interrogatory, in asking whether ILECs are "permitted" to carry local calls across state lines, refers to whether ILECs are <u>legally</u> permitted to carry such calls. To the extent that the Interrogatory asks whether ILECs are legally permitted to carry such calls, it calls for a legal conclusion and is objectionable.

Interrogatory No. 6 Please refer to page 27, lines 19-21, and page 28, lines 1-2 of Gates' direct testimony.

(a) What percentage of Level 3's virtual NXX arrangements are utilized by ISPs?

(b) What percentage of Level 3's virtual NXX arrangements are utilized by types of

customers other than ISPs?

(c) Provide examples of other types of customers that utilize virtual NXX arrangements?

Level 3 objects to Interrogatory No. 6 on the grounds that the information requested is not

relevant to the subject matter of Phase II of this generic docket, is overly broad and unduly

burdensome, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Interrogatory No. 12. Please refer to pay 30, lines 13-15 of witness Hunt's direct testimony. Explain how "binding statutory definitions" should influence any

Commission definition of IP Telephony as telecommunications.

Level 3 objects to Interrogatory No. 12 to the extent that the information sought calls for a

legal conclusion. Level 3 intends to address all legal authority that impacts any issue being

considered by the Commission in Phase II of this generic docket in its Posthearing Brief.

WHEREFORE, Level 3 respectfully requests that the Commission sustain each of the

objections set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted this 1st day of June, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.

Martin P. McDonnell, Esq.

Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.

P. O. Box 551

Tallahassee, FL 32302

(850) 681-6788 (Telephone)

(850) 681-6515 (Telecopier)

and

4

Michael R. Romano, Esq. Level 3 Communications, LLC 1025 Eldorado Boulevard Broomfield, Colorado 80021

Counsel for Level 3 Communications, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U. S. Mail to the following this 1st day of June, 2001:

Felicia Banks, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Room 370 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Elizabeth Howland Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 1950 Stemmons Freeway, Suite 3026 Dallas, TX 75207-3118

Morton Posner, Esq.
Regulatory Counsel
Allegiance Telecom, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 205
Washington, DC 20036

Ms. Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

James Meza, III, Esq.
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Legal Department
Suite 1910
150 West Flagler Street
Miami, Florida 33130

James C. Falvey, Esq. e.spire Communications, Inc. 133 National Business Parkway Suite 200 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701 Michael A. Gross, Esq. Florida Cable Telecommunications, Asso. 246 East 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32303

Mr. Paul Rebey Focal Communications Corporation of Florida 200 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, IL 60601-1914

Global NAPS, Inc. 10 Merrymount Road Quincy, MA 02169

Scott Sapperstein Intermedia Communications, Inc. 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, Florida 33619-1309

Donna Canzano McNulty, Esq. MCI WorldCom 325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131

Norman Horton, Jr., Esq. Messer Law Firm 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876

Jon Moyle, Esq. Cathy Sellers, Esq. The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Herb Bornack Orlando Telephone Company 4558 SW 35th Street, Suite 100 Orlando, FL 32811-6541

Peter Dunbar, Esq. Karen Camechis, Esq. P. O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Charles R. Rehwinkel, Esq. Susan Masterton, Esq. Sprint-Florida, Incorporated Post Office Box 2214 MS: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316

Mark Buechele Supra Telecom 1311 Executive Center Drive, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Kimberly Caswell, Esq. Verizon Select Services, Inc. P. O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Charlie Pellegini, Esq. Patrick K. Wiggins, Esq. P. O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq. John T. LaVia, III, Esq. P. O. Box 271 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Wanda G. Montano, Esq. US LEC Corporation Morrocroft III 6801 Morrison Boulevard Charlotte, NC 28211 Carolyn Marek Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. 117 South Gadsen Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael R. Romano, Esq. Level 3 Communications, LLC 1025 Eldorado Boulevard Broomfield, Colorado 80021

Marsha Rule, Esq. AT&T 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549

Richard D. Melson, Esq. Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A. P. O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Christopher W. Savage, Esq. Coles, Raywid & Braverman, LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Ste. 200 Washington, DC 20006

By: Martin P. McDonnell, Esq.

at&T/level.3objections