1 2	FLORID	BEFORE THE A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		DOCKET NO. 000604-TL
4	In the Matter of:	
5	REQUEST FOR REVIEW (PROPOSED NUMBERING F	OF CHANGE OF THE PROPERTY OF T
6	RELIEF FOR THE 941 /	AREA
7		
8	ELECTRONI(C VERSIONS (WORDPERFECT AND PDF
9	FORMAT) OF COPIES ON	F THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE CONVENIENCE LY AND NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT.
10		ERFECT VERSION OF THE TRANSCRIPT CONTAIN PREFILED TESTIMONY
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	ENGLEWOOD, FLORIDA SERVICE HEARING
13 14	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN E. LEON JACOBS, JR. COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON
15		COMMISSIONER MICHAEL A. PALECKI
16	DATE:	Thursday, May 24, 2001
17	TIME:	Commenced at 9:06 a.m. Concluded at 10:32 a.m.
18	PLACE:	Tringali Park Community Center
19		Unit No. 1 3460 South McCall Road
20		Englewood, Florida
21	REPORTED BY:	KORETTA E. STANFORD, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
22		
23		
24		
25	TI OF	OTDA DUDI TO SEDVICE COMMISSIONAL
	rluk	RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

07426 JUN 145

1	APPEARANCES:
2	THOMAS FOLEY, NeuStar, Inc., NPA Relief Planner,
3	1120 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 550, Washington, DC 20005,
4	appearing on behalf of the North American Numbering Plan
5	Administration.
6	SUSAN MASTERTON and SANDY KHAZRAEE, 1313 Blairstone,
7	Tallahassee, Florida 32316, appearing on behalf of Sprint.
8	EARL POUCHER, Office of Public Counsel, 111 W. Madison
9	Suite 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing on behalf of
10	the public.
11	C. LEE FORDHAM, Florida Public Service
12	Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
13	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,
14	appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good morning. Want to welcome you this morning to this hearing of the Florida Public Service Commission. Counsel, would you read the notice.

MR. FORDHAM: Pursuant to notice published May 3rd, 2001, this time and place has been set for public hearing in docket number 000604-TL, request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 941 area code for the purposes set forth in the notice.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We'll take appearances.

MR. POUCHER: Earl Poucher, Office of Public Counsel, Tallahassee.

MR. FORDHAM: Lee Fordham, Legal, for the Public Service Commission.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Let me introduce myself. My name is Leon Jacobs. I am chairman of the Florida Public Service Commission. And as indicated, we're here to take customer input on some proposed numbering relief for the 941 area code. I want to thank you for coming out. And please, if you haven't already, if you do wish to speak this morning, I'll ask that you sign up with the young lady in the back.

Let me first introduce my colleagues. To my right is Commissioner Terry Deason, and to my left is Commissioner Michael Palecki. We're a panel of three today. We'll be taking your information.

The purpose of this process is to -- first of all, let me step back for a moment. To be clear, and we'll have a fuller explanation of this in a moment, but we are not at a point where imminent exhaustive numbers of 941 is here, but there has been a time designated when, if the pace of growth continues, that could be anticipated. So, what we're here for is a preliminary planning process to explore measures that we can undertake to anticipate that occurrence.

There are also efforts on the way to conserve numbers, and some of those measures may come up during the course of our discussions this morning as well. Before we take your comments, we're going to have a presentation and a statement given by a gentleman from the North American Numbering Plan Association, Mr. Thomas Foley. And at this time, we'll have Mr. Foley give his presentation.

MR. FOLEY: Good morning. My name is Tom Foley. I'm an NPA relief planner with the North American Numbering Plan Association. The North American Numbering Plan Association or Administration, or NANPA, was established in 1995 by the Federal Communications Commission. They adopted, at that time, a new model for allocating numbers and to deal with competition.

The FCC formed the North American Numbering Council, or sometimes called NANCI, and developed guidelines under which we operate. Originally, Lockheed Martin was named as the North

American Numbering Plan Administrator in 1997. And subsequent to that, due to some competitive reasons and some business decisions, Lockheed Martin IMS Communications Industries Service Division was spun off into a separate company called NeuStar, and I am an employee of NeuStar.

As the numbering plan or NPA relief coordinator, we determine the need for and identify the timing of any area code relief in the United States and Canada and the Caribbean. I take the lead to prepare some relief options, and we'll see these in just a few minutes, and we convene an industry meeting. We try to facilitate a consensus, and we've done this at this time to recommend to the Florida Public Service Commission an alternative to provide relief for the area code.

We compile necessary documents and do a filing with Commission and we file that filing. We attend these kinds of meetings to make presentations and things like that. And once a decision is reached and the Commission issues its order, we coordinate the initial industry activities for implementation to help assure that there's a smooth transition.

We consider three different types of relief that are available to us. The first is the geographic split, which several of you are probably familiar with as this area underwent a geographic split last year when 863 was broken off. The existing NPA is divided into two or more separate geographic areas.

Another alternative we use for considering for relief is an overlay in which two area codes are placed in the same geographic area. A third area, it's not used very much, is the boundary realignment. That's where a portion of an underutilized area code is moved into the exhausting area. Those are very difficult, sometimes because of conflicts with dialing and the assignment of numbers. And, of course, we can use any combinations of these that work out.

There are several attributes that are important for the split options that are considered and splits provide a single area code for each geographic area and this could minimize confusion. This is generally understood and has been used for a number of years.

Splits require an area code change for approximately half of the customers in a two-way split and about two-thirds of the customers in a three-way split. Geographic splits do permit 7-digit local dialing to remain in areas within an area code. Stationery, business cards, advertising that contain a 10-digit phone number would have to be changed for the area that receives the new area code. And in addition, future splits would further reduce the geographic area of the area code.

In an overlay, there's also several attributes that are important. An overlay will have more than one area code serving the same geographic area. This is a relatively new

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

concept, and currently 110 area codes in the United States utilize the overlay, including some here in Florida. An overlay will not require existing customers to change their area code. An overlay, however, will require 10-digit dialing on local calls.

There's no need to revise any stationery or any business letterhead or any kind of advertising media, unless it contains only a 7-digit number. Overlays will end further shrinkage of the geographic size of an area, because primarily the area will -- any future relief will be another overlay.

This current 941. the NPA. includes Lee. Sarasota. Charlotte, Collier, Manatee and a part of Monroe County, okay? The current configuration of the 941 area was created back in last year. It actually began several years before that in the planning stages, but was finalized last year when 863 was split from 941.

The current projection for the exhaust of the 941 area code is in the first quarter of 2003, and like Chairman Jacobs said that we are in the beginning of the planning process right now and the Commission is holding these hearings and going forward. We try to have a 2 1/2 to three-year planning cycle from the time we start until any change is actually implemented.

The current 941 NPA was actually born May 22nd, 2000. a year and a couple of days ago. And at this round, we had an

22 23

24

25

initial planning meeting with the industry on May 18th of 2000, and we sent the announcement -- excuse me -- and the meeting was held in July. It was held in this part of -- it was held in Fort Myers, I believe. The Florida Public Service Commission filing was made by NANPA on behalf of the industry September 18th, 2000.

Okay. We considered at that meeting four alternatives. The first alternative was the distributed overlay. Okay, the distributed overlay, basically, as I said before, covers the entire geographic area with a second area code. And as you can see here, this is the geographic area that shows the telephone company rate centers, and this relief method would last approximately eight years before additional relief would be required.

Alternative 2 that was proposed and discussed at the meeting was a line, a split line, across what's known as a LATA boundary. That would be between the Port Charlotte and North Port and Englewood and Venice rate centers. The lives there would be approximately six years in the south and ten years in the north.

The third alternative considered was a split line between the Port Charlotte rate centers and the Punta Gorda rate centers and follows the river. And again, the life there would be nine years for the southern area and seven years for the upper area or the northern area.

7 8

9

10

20

21

18

19

22 23 24

25

Alternative 4, which was considered by the industry at this meeting, puts the division between Punta Gorda and north Fort Myers, and the line approximates the county line, and the northern side includes Boca Grande. And in this particular case, the life for Area "B" is approximately ten years and for Area "A" it's approximately seven years. these, by the way, copies of these maps are in the handout that the Commission Staff members passed out.

At the industry meeting, the participants reached consensus to recommend Alternative 1. the all-services distributed overlay to the Florida Public Service Commission as the best method for relief of the 941 NPA, and they also recommended that in the event the Commission decides not to adopt the overlay and adopt the split method instead, that Alternative 4 would be selected. That was the last one that was just shown. The recommendation was filed by NANPA on behalf of the industry with the Florida Public Service Commission in September of 2000.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you.

As indicated, there are several options that have been proposed. We would particularly be interested in your comments on the option that is most preferable to you, and we would also be most interested in your comments on what are the salient communities of interest in this area that would cause

you to be tied to one option or the other.

Now, what we'd like to do, because your testimony, your statements today, are actually going to work their way into our ultimate proceeding, which would be a formal decision making proceeding, your testimony today will be sworn testimony, so I'm going to ask all those who are here to testify today, if you would stand and raise your right hand.

In this matter before the Florida Public Service Commission, do you swear that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? You may answer.

ALL: I do.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. You may be seated.

If I may request, as you come up, if someone else is giving the essence of your testimony, feel free to just adopt their text and add to that as you'd like. Mr. Earl Poucher who, identified himself earlier is with the Office of the Public Counsel. They're here representing consumers and as such, he will coordinate the calling up of witnesses, and he'll call them in order. Thank you.

MR. POUCHER: Representative Jerry Paul.

JERRY PAUL

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

REPRESENTATIVE PAUL: Well, good morning.

2

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good morning, Representative Paul.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Chairman, and let me first begin by thanking the Commissioners, Chairman Jacobs, Chairman Palecki and also Chairman Deason, and let me tell everybody how responsive they have been to me and Tallahassee. As a member of the Utilities Committee, one of the first issues that I attempted to tackle

when I went to Tallahassee was the area code issue.

Chairman Jacobs, Chairman Deason, Chairman Palecki, and Jaber and others really had an open-door policy, have been very responsive to me, have listened to the input that I collected from the people in our community about Alternative 4, which seems to be the consensus for us. They have listened to the comments that I've given to them from the community about how important it is that we maintain Englewood unified, that we not divide Englewood with another line, especially not with an area code line and that we also maintain 941 as our code.

They have been very responsive, and I want to thank you very much for taking time, not only to listen to me in the capitol, but to come down to our town, to our community that we're very proud of, and to listen to us. And, I think, today's an excellent turnout and, I think, we're going to make some progress today.

> I also want to give some special thanks, although he FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

tells me not to, to Lee Fordham. And Lee, stand up for just a moment, if you would. Ya'll remember Lee Fordham. He's a friend of our community, a fellow resident of our community and Lee, as ya'll know, was a prosecutor here locally and now works as counsel at the Public Service Commission. Lee was very instrumental in helping me get a separate meeting scheduled for Englewood. Originally, we didn't have an area code meeting scheduled for our Englewood community, and Lee was very instrumental in getting that added to the Agenda.

I want to thank everybody for the great turnout today. Please do give your comments to the Chairman and to Commissioners Palecki and Deason. They are good people, they will listen to you, and let's just let them know what we think is best. And as I said, I have expressed what I think is the view of the community, Alternative 4, but certainly feel free to give additional information.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you, Representative Paul.

MR. POUCHER: As I call your name, when you come to the podium, if you would, repeat your name with the proper pronunciation; and if you could spell it for the court reporter, it would be very helpful, and if you'll pause a moment when you're through to give the Commissioners an opportunity to ask you any questions that they might need to ask.

The first witness is Debbi Ricci, Boca Grande Chamber.

DEBBI RICCI

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. RICCI: Good morning. My name is Debbi Ricci, Boca Grande Chamber of Commerce, office manager. I have a letter here from the Board of Directors of the Chamber of Commerce I'd like to read:

"The Boca Grande area Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors wishes to express our support for the proposed area code change referred to as Alternative 4. This option makes the most sense to us, only if both Gasparilla Island remains intact as one area versus being split between the Charlotte and Lee County line. The Lee County line split should be on the mainland only.

The community of Boca Grande, mostly a part of Lee County, is more closely related to the business community of Charlotte County and Englewood. Phone calls to Englewood and most of Charlotte County are accessible now by dialing only 7 digits. Since we must dial 11 digits to reach any Lee County location outside of Gasparilla Island, the change in that area area code would not be a problem for us.

Alternative 1 is totally foolhardy, as an overlay is FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	14
1	a burden to everyone, except the phone companies. Dialing 10
2	or 11 digits to call within one's own neighbored is ridiculous
3	and makes no common sense. The overlay only projects an
4	eight-year life for the new area code, no better than the other
5	options.
6	Alternative 2 would fragment Englewood even more than
7	it already is. Alternative 3 would alienate all of Port
8	Charlotte and west Charlotte County from the county seat of
9	Punta Gorda, another unreasonable choice.
10	It's clearly our choice to support Alternative 4. It
11	is in the best interest of all our neighboring communities as
12	well as our own. And we thank you for your consideration.
13	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Any questions,
14	Commissioners? Thank you very much. Let me add, if there are
15	members of the public who are for some reason uncomfortable
16	with coming to the microphone today, feel free to submit your
17	written comments. There's information back at the table that
18	gives you the contacts to do that. Thank you.
19	MR. POUCHER: Mac Horton, Charlotte County
20	Commission.
21	MAC HORTON
22	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,

25

testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good morning, Commissioner, how are FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Il you?

MR. HORTON: Good morning. And I apologize, because you're going to see me twice today. I have two hats to wear. One is my Englewood hat, because this is where I live and this is the area that I represent. My other hat's going to be Charlotte County Commissioner, which I'll be at your next meeting at, because I got to do this job two years ago or whenever it was that we did this the last time, and they've given me the same privilege again of appearing before you and I'm thrilled to be here and I'm glad to have you in Englewood. I hope you enjoy it. We've got nice weather for you, so I hope you enjoy your day with us.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We're happy to be here.

MR. HORTON: Thank you, sir.

And today, I'm going to approach this thing, at this time, from the standpoint of the Englewood community. I think, we're extremely unique. Of course, I know every community says they're unique, but I think we are in many ways, because really we have two county commissioners. We have one in Sarasota County and one in Charlotte County. And if I really stretch it, I can reach down into Lee County and get their representatives as well, because we all have a good relationship. The counties work together, the commissioners do.

We have entered into joint projects. We build roads FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

together between the two counties, and we have a high school here, which has students from all three of the counties because the school boards work well together, too, so this is a unified effort. Then, if you look at the Metropolitan Planning Organization, you will find that they also cooperate on projects jointly, so we are combinations of a unique community and having been a long-time resident here, I have seen this go from days gone by when it was not as well as it is now.

And we come to you on behalf of the Englewood area. Don't divide our phone service area. Don't do that. That's going to create a terrible problem for us, because half of our community is in one county, another half of it is in another county. And if you divide it in Englewood along the county lines between Sarasota and Charlotte County, you're going to divide our community. That is going to be a detriment to us. I think, you need to take into consideration the age of our county. I think, we're the second oldest average age in the state of Florida. One time we were the first one. So, we're getting a little bit better, but not a whole lot.

I tell the story that in Charlotte County, you don't go to the grocery store and buy green bananas, because they may not be around long enough to enjoy them. And that is where we're at. The overlay, I have a problem with the overlay from the standpoint that I look at it -- theoretically, I know this probably would never happen, but it could.

In some of our households, we even have more than one family member living together and yet they both want their own independence, so they both have their own phones. If we got to the point of an overlay, we could theoretically end up with two area codes within one household with the senior citizens having to dial 10-digit numbers. It's going to be a problem for us. I really wish that you would continue as we did four years ago when we did this and we -- out of two years ago and we looked at this and one of the concerns we had then was a geographic split. It hasn't changed. We still all support Alternative 4. It's the best thing for Charlotte County. It's the best thing for Manatee County, it's the best thing for Sarasota County and by far, it's the best thing for Englewood. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Questions?

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you, Commissioner.

MR. POUCHER: I'd like to recognize Shannon Staub, the County Commissioner from Sarasota County, who just wants to say hello.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you, Commissioner Staub. She joined us last night and gave her statement.

MR. POUCHER: And our next witness is M-a-h-e-u, I think.

KREG MAHEU

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. MAHEU: Good morning. That's correct pronunciation. It's Kreg Maheu, K-r-e-g. Good morning, again. I'm an associate with Hole Montes. We're a civil engineering consulting firm with around a hundred employees and offices throughout southwest Florida. Locally, we have offices in Venice, which is Sarasota County and Charlotte, the Charlotte

County side of Englewood.

I am here to support Alternate Number 4, geographic split Punta Gorda north. This alternate makes the most sense for many reasons. Our firm, like many others in this area, have offices in both Sarasota and Charlotte County. You know, their clients and customers expect local access to their service providers. Any area code alternate which would provide Sarasota and Charlotte Counties -- which would divide Sarasota and Charlotte Counties would be contrary to this expectation by creating inconvenience and economic hardship on local businesses and residents.

With the widening of state road 776 from Venice through Englewood to Port Charlotte, the corridor and the communities of each are more closely together than ever before. The greatest projected future growth in Charlotte and Sarasota

Counties is expected in west Charlotte, Englewood area and also in the south Sarasota County areas respectively. It's essential for the socioeconomic cohesiveness of the area to maintain a common tool for communication, which is the 941 area code.

One final reason the Public Service Commission
Alternate 4 should be selected is that contrary to the points
I've just made, the Lee-Charlotte County line split would not
have these impacts because of the low density and less
development down in that area. So, in closing, I respectfully
request that you implement Alternate Number 4, the Punta Gorda
north geographic split. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Questions?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a quick question. As I'm sure you're aware with Alternative 4 is the geographic split, which means one area will retain 941 and another area will receive a new area code. And if the Commission chooses the geographic split, well, then another decision will be which area keeps 941? Which area receives the new area code?

I would assume that you would prefer to keep 941, but just for a moment, I want to ask you a hypothetical question that even if you did not -- even if you did not get to keep 941 or are you still in favor of the geographic split as opposed to an overlay?

MR. MAHEU: Yes, that's correct.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you for that. 1 2 MR. MAHEU: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Following up on that question, we're going to be visiting with the good people in Lee and 4 5 Collier County over the next two days, and I'm sure we're going 6 to hear from them as well that they would like to keep area 7 code 941. 8 And assuming if we do go with Alternate Number 4, 9 what do we tell those people in Lee and Collier, if we decide 10 to leave the area code in these counties further north at 941? 11 Is there anything that you can tell us that would show us that 12 these counties are more deserving or should have the existing 941 area code, rather than the counties to the south? 13 14 MR. MAHEU: No, I can't offer -- I think, everybody 15 wants to keep the area code that they have. There may be other 16 speakers today that can address that, but I'm not prepared to 17 say that we're more deserving than anyone else, but it is 18 important that the split happen as an Alternate Number 4 for 19 the reasons I've stated, as well as Commissioner Horton. 20 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you very much. I 21 appreciate your candor on that. 22 MR. MAHEU: Thank you. 23 MR. POUCHER: La-la Capasso. Let's say, Lang 24 Capasso, sorry.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

LANG CAPASSO

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. CAPASSO: That's all right. Lang Capasso, L-a-n-g C-a-p-a-s-s-o. It's an old Irish name, that's all right.

I'm going to be speaking to you with two hats on; one, I'm the general manager of the Englewood Sun newspaper, which is part of Suncoast Media Group and I'm also president of the Englewood Chamber of Commerce, so I'm going to speak from the same aspects, and they're both relatively the same, and I can assure you I'm probably not going to tell you anything you haven't already heard or are going to hear.

But number one, our office, like my predecessor just talked, we have six offices here in the 941 area code, 400 employees, and we deal not only locally, obviously, but Florida and nationally with our customers who, again, when they call us, the brochures, the rate cards, the business cards, the multiple forms that we send out to our customers the phone number's there, it's already been done.

So, the expense would be quite obviously, and the follow-up to change all this would be quite prohibitive, as it would with any other business, I quite understand that. Being president of the Englewood Chamber of Commerce, representing

over 700 businesses and, you know, five, six pro-business, it's about 35, 34,000 employees, it's a little bit of a difference, because they not only deal, obviously, statewide nationally, but we do quite a bit of business internationally here in our area. We have businesses, Mulligan Industries, Chateau Products, Recycleman, who deal across America.

And I'm reminded of a conversation that I overheard -- not overheard, I was at a tri-Chamber of Commerce the other day, Venice, Englewood and North Port. A gentleman got up and had just consummated a deal where he sold \$2 million worth of fountains to an outfit in Korea. How did they get his name? They were at a trade show in California, picked up one of his pamphlets and called.

The problem was the people in Korea could not speak one word of English, obviously he couldn't speak Korean, and they had to get interpreters, weeks went by and they finally got through. Well, I didn't think much about it at that time, I thought it was a great deal, but if they went back home; and. Again, this could happen anywhere in America, but if they went back home and all they wanted to do is make a simple phone call, they couldn't do it anymore if we changed the area code.

And if they couldn't speak one word of English, imagine what they'd go through if they're trying to go through operators and trying to get through. So, with that being said, my company and speaking for myself and the Chamber, I would

1	encourage you quite whole-heartedly to keep Number 4. We do
2	not need to divide Englewood anymore, it's divided enough. And
3	the 941 area code has worked very well with us, and I'd like to
4	see you continue that. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Any questions? Thank
6	you very much.
7	MR. POUCHER: Thomas Moore.
8	THOMAS MOORE
9	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
10	testified as follows:
11	DIRECT STATEMENT
12	MR. MOORE: My name is Tom Moore, 1936 Georgia
13	Avenue, Englewood. I am seat number one supervisor for
14	Englewood Water Department. I appear here today, though, as a
15	private citizen. I appreciate very much your coming to
16	Englewood and letting us speak to you.
17	I strongly favor Alternative Number 4 with the line
18	being cut at Charlotte County-Lee County border. A new area
19	code would be confusing and expensive for Englewood water
20	district and their 15,050 some customers. I think that
21	Charlotte, Sarasota, and Manatee Counties have many common
22	interests and bonds. It is a logical consolidation for area
23	code 941 under Alternate 4. I thank you for your time and your
24	consideration of our request. Any questions, sir?
25	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Questions,

Commissioners? No, thank you. Thank you very much for coming.

MR. POUCHER: Dr. Bill Coy.

BILL COY

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. COY: For the record, I'm Dr. Bill Coy, C-o-y, not very hard. I'd like to answer the question that you, Commissioner Palecki, asked concerning the why we should do it versus the Lee-Collier County.

We have a unique situation up here that we are divided counties. Englewood area, particularly, is divided between Sarasota and Charlotte County. Boca Grande is divided between Charlotte County and Lee County. They don't have that division down there. Now, Cape Coral, perhaps, is a perfect example. They are a municipality. We do not have municipalities, with the exception of Punta Gorda in Charlotte County. I think that the uniqueness of the working cohesion of Manatee, Sarasota, and Charlotte County far exceed the working collaboration between Lee and Monroe and Collier Counties.

Now, to go back to what I'm doing, I'm going to represent the West County Civic Association, and we certainly appreciate the courtesy that you've extended to us in arranging an additional meeting here in Englewood and recognizing the uniqueness of the Englewood area, since it is partly in south

Sarasota County and partly in northwest Charlotte County.

As president of the west Charlotte County Civic Association, a consortium of 27 home owners associations in the Charlotte County portions of Englewood area, I bring to you the consensus of these associations and I'll be reading to you, for the record, the adopted resolution for the retention of 941.

If you'll turn the page to the colored map, you can see the areas that we cover. You can see the line that Sarasota and Charlotte County is and also on the Lee County and the Boca Grande. You can see how important it is that we consider ourselves as a region. We don't consider ourselves as a county.

So, at this time, I will read for you the resolution. It's a short resolution. "Whereas, the Public Service Commission has planned to modify the 941 area code in the year 2003 and whereas the Commission has presented four alternative plans for public discussion and input; and whereas the counties of Manatee, Sarasota, Charlotte have worked in concert as a regional unit and whereas selecting Alternative 4 will allow this regional unit to continue in its efforts to coordinate its common services; therefore, be it resolved that the west Charlotte County Civic Association consortium of 27 home owners associations and civic groups representing about 18,000 people does hereby recognize that the selecting of Alternative 4, Section A, and retaining area code 941 would be in the best

	26
1	interest of all of the regional unit; and further be it
2	resolved, the West Charlotte County Civic Association
3	recommends that the governing bodies in Manatee, Sarasota, and
4	Charlotte Counties urge the Public Service Commission to allow
5	this regional unit selection of Alternative 4, Section A,
6	retaining 941. This is duly adopted the 16th of April, 2001.
7	Thank you, gentlemen. And in reference to
8	Commissioner Horton's remark about being the oldest county or
9	the second oldest county, it doesn't reflect anybody in this
10	room today. Thank you, gentlemen.
11	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Any questions?
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a question.
13	MR. COY: Yes, sir.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a quick question. It's
15	basically the same question I asked the gentleman earlier. I'r
16	reading your resolution. I understand that you support
17	Alternative 4 and retaining 941 for this particular area.
18	MR. COY: Yes, sir.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And we received a lot of good testimony last evening as to why this area should retain 941, and we all have an open mind on that, but we're going to hear reasons when we go to the south as to why they should retain 941. I guess, my question is do you still support Alternative 4, even realizing that this area may receive a new area code? MR. COY: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Thank you for that 2 clarification. 3 MR. COY: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you, doctor. 6 MR. POUCHER: John Fellin. 7 JOHN FELLIN 8 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 9 testified as follows: 10 DIRECT STATEMENT 11 MR. FELLIN: Good morning. For the record. John 12 Fellin, and I have been sworn. I had the honor of speaking to 13 you this morning on behalf of the Englewood area Planning 14 Advisory Board. This is an advisory council consisting of appointed representatives from Sarasota County, Charlotte 15 16 County, and the city of North Port. Our mission is to make 17 recommendations to those three governing bodies concerning the 18 coordinate provision of public services to the entire Englewood 19 area. 20 Go hold public meetings on a monthly basis and we 21 address issues of importance to the Englewood community. 22 Certainly, this issue, the area code one, is very important to 23 us, and in response to that we have adopted a resolution in 24 support of Alternative Number 4, also in support of retaining

25

the 941 area code.

ΤO

Rather than read the resolution, I'll distribute that for you and for the record. I would like to take a moment, before the question is even asked, yes, it's important that this area keep a single area code, even if it were to be changed.

We feel -- I'm going to speak for myself now, because we didn't address this specific issue, but there is, I think, a very compelling reason why we should keep the 941 area code as opposed to the folks to the south. If I understood the presentation this morning, the northern area offer, the geographical split number 4, will retain its area code or it will last 7 years, whereas to the south it would be approximately 10 years.

I think that three-year differential is a sound basis for argument that we should be entitled to keep this area code that we have now for a seven-year period. If we were to get an area code that would last 10 or more years, then -- and the other area to the south were to be a shorter duration, then certainly I think they would have a compelling argument that they should keep the same one.

Again, it's a matter of whether we have to change again in seven years or will they have to change again or be faced with this issue again in 10 years? So again, I think, from a practical standpoint that's a very compelling argument for us keeping 941.

1 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Any guestions? 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's a very good observation. 3 I appreciate that. 4 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Yeah. it is. 5 COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you. 6 MR. POUCHER: Helen Becker. 7 HELEN BECKER appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 8 9 testified as follows: 10 DIRECT STATEMENT I'm Helen L. Becker. 5226 Neville 11 MS. BECKER: Terrace in Gulf Cove, and my mailing address is Port Charlotte, 12 13 although I live on the eastern side of the Cape Haze peninsula, 14 and I'm speaking as an individual. The 941 is necessary not to divide Charlotte County from anyplace else. We have enough 15 16 problems with Charlotte County as it is. I don't -- my area is 17 not considered east Englewood. It's not considered Englewood. 18 it's not really Port Charlotte. And it's bad enough that 19 Englewood itself is divided by General Telephone or whatever 20 it's called today, Sprint. And it's too many things that 21 divide us, and we need to be united. 22 And Lee County and Collier County seem to do things 23 together. Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte County all have

social or business activities that keep us together. We shouldn't be divided. And that's -- and I like the 4, the FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

number 4. And if there's anybody going to have new phone 1 2 numbers with the faxes or the cell phones and so on and so 3 forth, let them have the 10-digit numbers and leave us alone. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. Any 6 questions? 7 MR. POUCHER: Bruce Pomeroy. Oh, did you have any 8 questions? 9 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. 10 MR. POUCHER: Bruce Pomeroy. 11 BRUCE POMEROY appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 12 13 testified as follows: 14 DIRECT STATEMENT 15 MR. POMEROY: Good morning. My name is Bruce 16 Pomeroy. I'm a taxpayer, resident of Charlotte County for approximately 10 years living in the Englewood east area of 17 18 west Charlotte County. 19 Before the Commissioners I've laid a map showing west Charlotte County. West Charlotte County has a boundary of the 20 Myakka River. And the Myakka River carries westbound into the 21 22 area called south county from Charlotte County. The maps are goofy. Now, this map here is from AAA, so I consider it fairly 23 accurate, but what we have to keep in mind is sometimes the 24 25 maps misrepresent what you actually see. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Charlotte County, it's divided to begin with because of the geographical layout. To the south we have Punta Gorda, our county seat. To midcounty we had the Port Charlotte area, which is our administration building. And in west Charlotte County, we had the golden egg. Now, the golden egg is between two telephone companies; one is United Sprint, which carries approximately half of the geographical area, and the other part was GTE, which is now Verizon.

Back in 1995, the Public Service Commission was very instrumental in helping us in the area of Charlotte County.

Sarasota County petitioned them during their time of span for regulations on rates to implement a 25-cent phone call from Englewood over to Sarasota County, and they approved it.

However, because we were late in getting it started, the Public Service Commission encouraged us to go ahead and take up a petition drive, and this is what we did.

We found that United Sprint gave a little bit. They gave 25-cent, flat-rate fees from Charlotte County in the Port Charlotte area over to west county area to Boca Grande; and guess what GTE gave us, a-gita (phonetic) aggravation. The end result is that they really haven't done too much and they've actually divided our county over a number of years. There's not really been any concessions. If I were to make a phone call from my area of Englewood east calling across the Myakka River or to Port Charlotte where my government is located, it

would be a long-distance rate. It's ridiculous.

My doctor, who has his medical practice in Englewood, in the Sarasota part of Englewood, had an emergency call. He lives in Venice. He had a call in Cape Haze to respond to an emergency call, and this happened just recently, and he said it cost him eight dollars and some change. We have so many things go and on for us in our area, but we don't need a divided community, and our community is divided, because of the telephone system that we have existing. If the Public Service Commission could do something, they would either encourage United Sprint to sell their interest or to Verizon to sell their interest to each other's company, and that might help us out.

So, if you'd keep something in mind, Charlotte County has moved ahead because of the aggressiveness of a lot of citizens bringing forth their views to the county administration. The end results is now that we are getting things accomplished. We have a tremendous working relationship, as we have learned from Sarasota County. We've used them as an example. We've got coordination going. Back in the early years before '95, nobody trusted nobody, everybody was against everybody, so we've developed this working relationship so we have this communication bridge going.

It would be my opinion, and I'm not being hoggy about it, but the best interest of Charlotte County and for the 941

	33
1	would be to remain in the Alternate Number 4 going to the south
2	part where Punta Gorda ends, excuse me, and Lee County begins.
3	The downside of this whole thing is that Lee County going
4	further south have an interest with their fellow county as
5	well.
5	Looking at the development of our general area, it
7	would seem reasonable that we should maintain what we have
3	going in our particular area with the working relationship
9	between the government officials that we do have as well. It's

10 like everything else, you try to get something done from

government and it doesn't always happen, but you meet

12 | reasonable people, and some of your faces are very familiar to

me. You were reasonable the last time. We would hope that you

would continue this approach so that we in Charlotte County,

||Sarasota County, and Manatee County can continue on our good

work, and God bless those people down in Lee County. Any

17 | questions?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Questions? Thank you, Mr. Pomeroy.

MR. POMEROY: And don't forget the golden egg.

Please do something -- maybe you can do something with these people from the telephone company. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you.

MR. POUCHER: John Mills.

25

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JOHN MILLS

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. MILLS: My name is John Mills. I reside here in Englewood east at 7084 Sea Mist Drive, Port Charlotte. That's Port Charlotte, but I live in Englewood. That's part of the confusion he just said. My mailing address is in Port Charlotte. I have a couple of comments and a question. This

number pooling, as you know, is a real waste of codes, and I hope that you give this a very high priority in your

determinations.

This distributed overlay where you have one area code, the area would remain the same, and at some period when this area gets exhausted, we would go to -- we would get another area code added to that. I'm confused. This is where my question is. In this distributed overlay, you say the code life is eight years. What does that mean? Does that mean eight years before we have to add another code or does it mean it will be done in eight years?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Yes. Essentially, the life means that the code that remains will last approximately another eight years.

MR. MILLS: 941 would remain eight years, and then FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	you'd have to add you'd have to start adding the other one.	
2	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: We would have to consider it. Now,	
3	I hasten to add that those numbers are given we're now	
4	considering some measure that we hope would delay those time	
5	frames, but in the worst-case scenario that is a possible life	
6	once we change the code, yes.	
7	MR. MILLS: Just so I make sure I understand what	
8	you're saying, you're saying that we have this distributed	
9	overlay, we wouldn't add any codes until 941 is exhausted; is	
10	that correct?	
11	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Yes. Short answer is yes. We	
12	wouldn't consider adding another code until the numbers of 941	
13	were exhausted.	
14	MR. MILLS: Mm-hmm, okay. And then we'd go to	
15	10-digit dialing at that time or would we go to it immediately?	
16	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: If the option for an overlay is	
17	selected, you would go to 10-digit dialing at that time.	
18	MR. MILLS: When we're exhausted.	
19	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: No. If the decision is made to	
20	adopt the overlay	
21	MR. MILLS: Okay, when it's adopted.	
22	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: when it's adopted, you'd go to	
23	10-digit dialing.	
24	MR. MILLS: Well, I've been around a little bit, and	
25	I remember we went from the operator, we went from the voice	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

And.

with a smile, the operator. We went to the dial system and 1 2 everybody was up in arms about going to -- I'm going to have to 3 dial 7 digits, you know, you had to push this thing around and 4 there was a lot of opposition to that. But I'm sure, as you 5 all know, we got over that, and as one of the previous speakers 6 just said, if I dial my county office, I've got to dial 11 digits now and it's a toll call, so this isn't something new to 7 8 us. As far as I'm concerned, I think that if we go to this 9 distributed overlay, we wouldn't have all these future changes. 10 you know. We're getting this behind us, we're making a 11 decision now where we'll be done with all these changes. 12 I think, that's something you should really give due 13 consideration. 14 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Very well. Questions,

Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a guestion, maybe just a clarification. With the overlay, there would be another area code laid over the current geographic area, so there's a possibility of two area codes.

MR. MILLS: Right.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And then, at the conclusion of eight years, and as the Chairman correctly pointed out, we hope that that period of time would be longer that eight years with some of the conservation -- number conservation measures which we're considering. But even worst-case scenario, in eight

1	years, there would need to be a third area code overlaid on top
2	of that. So you realize that's a possibility with a number
3	line.
4	MR. MILLS: Well, I think, once you go overlay, it
5	really doesn't make much difference.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. That's fine. Thank you.
7	MR. MILLS: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much.
9	MR. POUCHER: Debra Parsons-Drake.
10	DEBRA PARSONS-DRAKE
11	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
12	testified as follows:
13	DIRECT STATEMENT
14	MS. DRAKE: Debra Parsons-Drake. I'm the executive
15	officer of Suncoast Humane Society. For more than 30 years,
16	Suncoast Humane Society has been servicing portions of
17	Sarasota, Charlotte, and the Boca Grande portion of Lee County.
18	In the last few years, we have stepped up our efforts to try to
19	bring a lot of our constituency into Charlotte County. We're
20	finally making some headways. Our service area extends from
21	Laurel Road north of Venice to the Myakka River and it also
22	includes Boca Grande, so we are servicing three areas. We have
23	a vested interest in trying to maintain a cohesive area code
24	for our service area.
25	This county has already got a conception of

segregation because of the county line running through the city of Englewood. To apply an alternative area code on an already perceived segregated community, we are only going to exacerbate the problems. Suncoast Humane Society is a not-for-profit that provides an already difficult community service, asks that you give consideration to maintaining a cohesive 941 area code for our area, Sarasota, Charlotte, and a portion of Lee County, in order to not add any additional roadblocks to our already difficult service for the community. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much.

MR. POUCHER: Bob Granicz.

BOB GRANICZ

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. GRANICZ: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, my name is Bob Granicz. I'm here representing Peninsula Bank. This bank is headquartered in Englewood, but happens to serve an area, which coincidentally mirrors Alternative Number 4's geographic area.

After closely evaluating the alternatives that the Commissioners set forth, we emphatically support Option Number 4, and I'd like to explain why. First of all, banks rely extensively on a customer base, dealing with the public,

dealing with both loan and deposit customers, and on a daily basis, interacting with these individuals as their needs arise.

Years ago, the bank formed -- actually it was 1985, here in Englewood, but it was decided that because of the unique geographic framework that we were dealing with here in southwest Florida, it made sense to regionalize the bank and the region that the bank adopted happens to be at the north end of Manatee County and at the south end of Charlotte County.

This was chosen primarily because if you look at the greenbelts that exist south of Punta Gorda and north of Bradenton, there tends to be a more symbiotic relationship that exists in the communities here in southwest Florida between Bradenton and Punta Gorda than there would be going up to Tampa or southwards to Fort Myers.

We felt at that time as we regionalized the bank that it would also be the area that we would represent as our community service area. Banks are required, by regulation, to adopt service areas to adhere to the Community Reinvestment Act. Although banks can serve areas outside of their service area, there's a tremendous focus on reinvestment and lending and various other services being offered within that particular area.

The area the bank adopted and, to my knowledge, it's an area that is also comparable to many other lending institutions here in southwest Florida. That area is Manatee,

1 Charlotte, and Sarasota Counties. We have thousands of 2 customers in this area. And the concept of maintaining the 941 3 area code, the concept of interacting with these customers 4 daily, whether by telephone, by fax, Internet, or what have 5 you, those are all very important to our customers. 6 And for these reasons, we would strongly urge that 7 you adopt Alternative 4, not only for the benefit of Englewood 8 where we are headquartered, but just as important for the 9 benefit of this region and that we happen to serve. 10 Thank you very much. Any questions? 11 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Any questions? 12 MR. GRANICZ: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. 14 MR. POUCHER: Ken Burda. 15 KEN BURDA 16 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 17 testified as follows: 18 DIRECT STATEMENT 19 MR. BURDA: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Ken Burda, that's B-, as in boy, u-r-d-a. 20 21 I'm here actually wearing two hats, but I'm speaking 22 as a private citizen. I'm vice chairman of the Englewood Water 23 District Board of Supervisors and I'm also chairman of the Lemon Bay MSBU, sometimes referred to as Lemon Bay Street and 24 25 Drainage Committee.

The definitely in faces of Albertahine A for the same
I'm definitely in favor of Alternative 4 for the same
reason that the previous speakers have mentioned. The
Englewood water district is dividing between two counties, and
we have enough problems dealing with two county governments in
the water district. We don't need to have more problems by
having two different area codes.
And the same thing holds true for the Lemon Bay MSBU.
We don't want to be divided in Charlotte County by the Peace
River or the Myakka River, rather. Again, we're sort of
like orphans over here from the rest of the county and are
normally treated that way, and we don't need any further
division. So, as far as I'm concerned, I'm definitely in favo
of Alternative Number 4. Any questions?
CHAIRMAN JACOBS: No questions. Thank you very much
Mr. Burda.
COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you, sir.
MR. POUCHER: We have approximately ten more
witnesses. Gerald Greenmore, did you wish to speak?
Okay. Rick Garms?
RICK GARMS
appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
testified as follows:
DIRECT STATEMENT
MR. GARMS: Good morning. My name is Rick Garms. I
own and operate the Bay Palms RV Park here in Englewood. As

5

6

7

12

25

24

many other people have pointed out. Englewood was obviously designed by a committee because it's fragmented so much, and it was designed by that committee to confuse the tourists; two different counties, 776 changes its name every few miles. Pine Street also.

Anyway, I'm sure you Commissioners are happy to come visit us every few years, but I was wondering whether or not NANPA had made a proposal that did not exhaust the present situation within seven to ten years? I was wondering if there was a proposal that would last for 20 years or 25. Was that made?

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: I'm not aware. I can't speak specifically, but I'm sure that all of the proposals that come out of NANPA were presented today. In fact, Mr. Foley did say that he presented all of the proposals that did come out of the industry meeting, so the short answer is no, there were no proposals for that length of time.

MR. GARMS: Well, we seem to be revisiting this rather guickly. We just did this a year ago. I've been in business here in Englewood for seven years, and I've reprinted this card twice, because of the changing area codes. Is there a possibility of revisiting this with a plan that would last more than seven to ten years, and would that be possibly a little better for this -- for our area?

> CHAIRMAN JACOBS: There are several efforts under way FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

to address the more expedited exhaust of these numbers. The problem, the one that's most prominent is there are trials under which we would change the process by which numbers are distributed. You may be aware that presently any service provider that gets a block of numbers is required to take a 10,000 block of numbers. We're working right now to reduce that block size down to 1.000.

8 And, by the way, we are pursuing a request to undertake one of those trials in the 941 area code. It is not 9 10 -- it is in preliminary stages, but that is one measure. Other consummation measures have also been identified. One of those happens to be reclaiming numbers for those providers that may have taken a ten thousand block, but has not used any significant portion of that block. We are exploring measures by which we can reclaim the unused portions of those blocks and 15 16 possibly redistribute them.

There are several other measures that are also under consideration. Those, we hope, will prolong the life of the existing 941 area code so that we won't even get to the clock ticking on the eight years that you described for some period of time in the future.

And then, once we do get into a new code or in the event that we do, we're hoping that those measures will also prolong the life at that point forward, and we're looking for other means. There are some technology issues that are out

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	there that perhaps could enhance the conservation of numbers,
2	but the short answer is today there's no magic bullet that we
3	can offer you that would automatically extend a code for 10, 20
4	years or even longer.
5	MR. GARMS: Is it true that a major impact on an area
6	code is the proliferation of mobile phones and beepers?
7	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Yes.
8	MR. GARMS: Okay. Would it be possible since we now
9	dial 1 and an area code and the phone, would it be possible to
10	adjust the system so that when someone is calling a mobile
11	phone or beeper they could dial 2, the area code and the
12	number, and that would effectively double the number of numbers
13	in that area code?
14	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: That's an interesting suggestion.
15	I don't know that I've heard that one before.
16	MR. GARMS: And then you could extend that to fax
17	lines that were dedicated for fax lines and dial 3, the area
18	code and the number for any faxes.
19	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: That's a very interesting
20	suggestion. We have the people here who can we can't give
21	you a decision on that, but
22	MR. GARMS: I just wanted to plant the seed.
23	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: I don't know what I'm sure there
24	are technical issues that have to be addressed, but something
25	that we could take and consider. Why don't I do this: I'll
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

have Staff, our Staff, give you a response back and if you would see Mr. Casey, and he'll get your information. And we'll at least give you some response back as to some preliminary analysis of that.

MR. GARMS: Well, thank you very much. Thank you for your time.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Excuse me. Just let me say this: That's a novel idea. I think that right now there are certain dialing patterns out there that the technology supports and that the infrastructure supports. Usually when you dial 1, that signals to the system a certain message, and they know how to interpret that, that being the first digit when that is received. I think, I'm correct in saying that there are no area codes out there that begin with the digit 1.

MS. BANKS: Correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's correct?

MS. BANKS: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And the reason is because when that digit 1 is dialed, the system interprets that to know that it's going to be a long-distance call. If you started using 2 as a signal to the system that it's for a cell customer, well, then, it would anticipate you couldn't have any area codes that start with the digit 2, so you're taking away a lot of potential area codes. There are a lot of problems, but there are a lot of smart people out there who maybe can study it.

1	MR. GARMS: But are there any area codes that have
2	1-1, that start with 1-1?
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: No, there are no area codes
4	that begin with the digit 1.
5	MR. GARMS: Well, I mean, my suggestion of 2 first
6	could be supplanted by 1-1.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Maybe so.
8	MR. POUCHER: Mr. Chairman, I might add there is a
9	significant federal effort ongoing at the present time to
10	determine what we're going to do when we run out of area codes
11	and that effort has people all over the country involved,
12	because that is in a foreseeable time frame. And all of those
13	kind of rethinking of the numbering plan, the dialing
14	structure, is part of that process and, I think that will be
15	fleshed out. Hopefully, it'll be a good solution.
16	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Any further questions? Thank you.
17	MR. GARMS: Thank you very much.
18	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you for your comments.
19	MR. POUCHER: Gene Naples. Mr. Naples is not lost.
20	He lives here in Englewood.
21	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Okay.
22	GENE NAPLES
23	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
24	testified as follows:
25	

2

DIRECT STATEMENT

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NAPLES: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Gene Naples, that is just like the city. I am here this morning representing the Bay Shore area Home Owners Association and, maybe perhaps more importantly, the South County Alliance of Home Owners Associations representing some 27 home owners associations and over 7.000 voters.

It would be repetitious for me to go into all the reasons why we should keep the 941 area code here and adopt Alternate 4. However, I will say that we've been concerned with this for some time, and our organization has met with representative Paul and others. The county -- both county, the Charlotte County and Sarasota County representatives, and many others who have had a concern and many who have been here this morning. And so, again, I won't be repetitious in going through all the reasons, but we strongly support Alternative 4. Thank you.

> CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: I just wanted to say that Gene was my boss in Tallahassee for about five years. He ran the state's fire marshal's office. He's a leader of firefighters throughout the state of Florida and actually throughout the country. I wanted to thank you for coming.

1	MR. NAPLES: Thank you, and don't forget that.					
2	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Touche.					
3	MR. POUCHER: Peter Mason.					
4	PETER MASON					
5	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,					
6	testified as follows:					
7	DIRECT STATEMENT					
8	MR. MASON: My name's Peter Mason. I work with A.G.					
9	Edwards here in town, and I'm also vice president of the					
10	Englewood area Chamber of Commerce. And for the sake of time,					
11	I'd just like to voice my opinion that I'd like to adopt and					
12	join several others in this room today who agree that					
13	Alternative 4 would be best suited for this community. And I					
14	think that I'd further like to add the overlay is certainly not					
15	in our interest. So all that said, I thank you for your time					
16	here today and hope we'll get it going. Thank you.					
17	CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. Any					
18	questions? Thank you.					
19	MR. POUCHER: James Sproul.					
20	JAMES SPROUL					
21	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,					
22	testified as follows:					
23	DIRECT STATEMENT					
24	MR. SPROUL: Yes, good morning. Thank you for a few					
25	minutes of your time. Even though I signed in as James, I go					
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION					

by Jim Sproul, and that's S-p-r-o-u-l. I'm the director of marketing and development at Englewood Community Hospital.

We're a 100-bed hospital servicing everything from south Venice down through Boca Grande, over in North Port and portions of the Port Charlotte area.

I actually did an estimate of what it would cost us to reprint stationery, brochures, existing forms that we have in our inventory. I'm going to give you a figure of \$50,000. It's rounded up, but it's not a number that I pulled out of the air. It would cost us \$50,000 to reprint existing materials.

We're very interested in keeping the market area that I just described together. While change will cause confusion for not only the general public and many businesses, we think the health care industry is a bit unique in that, of course, at the hospital we receive a number of calls that are of a very urgent nature, people wanting to check on the condition of loved ones. If you put us through change, that change causes our business, we feel, an extra anxiety factor, difficult to quantify, but something that we would have to deal with.

We certainly support state Representative Jerry Paul and Commissioner Mac Horton, and most of what seems to be a majority here today in wanting Alternative 4. And as a resident of Charlotte County for 10 years, and I live in the Port Charlotte area, I would think that the people you'll speak with this afternoon would probably support Alternative 4 also.

I think, that it's been pointed out by at least one or two people here this morning that if we do go with Option 4, a strong consideration for this area which, I believe, is Area "A," keeping the 941 area code would be that we're going to have to change again in, what, seven years where Area "B" gets to keep whatever area code for ten years. It would seem to be, you know, to minimize the gross inconvenience if we could keep it for seven years, because the folks to the south are going to be able to hold things in place for ten years.

Something else to be considered is if you look at population density, and this is in support of Alternative 4, in general, I believe, there's a lapse in the population density between Charlotte and Lee Counties, all right, where the area 4 would draw the -- the Alternative 4 would draw the boundary line between those two counties; whereas, there's much more of a population continuum between Charlotte County and to the north of Sarasota, et cetera, so it's just a little bit more argument for Alternative 4, and that's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Questions? Thank you very much.

MR. SPROUL: Thank you.

MR. POUCHER: Frank Hollnagle.

FRANK HOLLNAGLE

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HOLLNAGLE: Good morning. As they say, I have been sworn. I'm here as a senior citizen hopefully to represent the mass amount of senior citizens in Charlotte County and also in Sarasota. We are just nicely -- our friends and families, out of state, out of community, are just nicely getting used to dialing 941. Please do not change 941. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much.

MR. POUCHER: Claudia Ridge.

CLAUDIA RIDGE

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. RIDGE: Hello there. My name is Claudia Ridge. I'm a resident of Englewood, and I've been here for about 12 years, and I'm also a realtor, and I've sold this area for many years as well. I first want to just add my support to Alternative Number 4 as the most logical one for our community.

If you can please imagine a new customer, a new resident, coming into this area because they love what they see, then you face the challenge of having to share with them we have two phone companies, we have two water companies, but we all have one thing in common which is Florida Power & Light, okay?

When you suppress that, that's fine, and they can accept that. But when you're going to have to face the challenge of telling them that they are going to have to pay long distance from one part to the other, that's going to be a problem, and it's going to be a truly challenge. We have worked very hard in this community to keep it unified. You see a fraction of the many people in this community that love this area and that cannot be here because they're working.

I also want to just share with you a small note that you might want to add as helping make your decision for who gets the area code. But if you happen to look in the newspaper at the current statistics on our last census, we in this area over Collier and Lee County, we do have a higher population, we do have more people over 65, and we also have more people over 85 years of age. That's a detriment also to their pocketbook.

So, I wanted to help you make your decision as to who keeps what, but my main goal is to keep our whole community unified for Manatee, Sarasota, and Charlotte County. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. POUCHER: Thomas McInroy.

THOMAS McINROY

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

Well. now

1

DIRECT STATEMENT

2

MR. McINROY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

3

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Good morning.

4 5

MR. McINROY: Tom McInroy. I'm a resident of

Englewood. I would like to reiterate some of the points that

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been made before, especially the age of our population. Many of us go back to the days where we ground the little wheel and spoke to the operator and she'd tell you

these same people are expected to dial 10 digits.

exactly who you were calling and where they were.

absolutely opposed to the overlay system, and I prefer Option

Number 4 to everything else.

I would also like to support the gentleman who suggested doing something about the cell phone and fax numbers. If we keep going down the road of geographic division, we're going to have 10,000 different area codes in this country. The fax and cell phone business is exploding, and it's not just our area, it's every area.

I recall the gentleman at the beginning of the meeting saying he had 147 overlays already. Where does that end? I think, the answer to the problem is to go to where it's coming from, the cell phone problem, the fax problems. And I'd like to also point out to you that I know of no business that lists their fax number in the telephone book or their cell number in the telephone book. This would seem an easy solution

to the problem. Let's get a different system for handling cell 1 2 phones and faxes. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Questions? Thank you. 4 MR. POUCHER: Ron Farmer. Mr. Ron Farmer. Ken 5 Stachowski. 6 KEN STACHOWSKI 7 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth. 8

testified as follows:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. STACHOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, I represent probably your biggest constituency, the everyday phone people that live around here. I just moved down here from Cleveland, Ohio. I remember -- I'm old enough to remember when there weren't any area codes. In the last 10 years where I came from, we went from 216 to 440 to 330, and just before I was leaving they were going to split it again. That's four splits in about five years. I moved down here, and I was down here in 1998 when the split took place and I just came down here permanently and you're talking about another split.

The previous speaker kind of stole my thunder. because I think he hit the nail right on the head. Everybody seems to agree that the problem is the proliferation of cell phones, faxes, et cetera. And, I think, the place that this ought to be addressed is within the technology itself.

Cell phones, pagers, at least, are not listed in the phone book, they're not generally advertised. Why could we not simply have a separate area code for cell phones, a separate area code for beepers? These are highly mobile things. They are not limited geographically and, therefore, don't have the same kind of impact, geographically, that massive changes in area codes seem to have.

I would like to suggest to you that at least you look into that possibility. I know it's not represented here in the little pamphlet that I just saw. But I think if, in fact, we were to start looking at things like separate area codes for at least cell phones and beepers that would enumerably expand the number of numbers available and would have a much less impact upon the everyday user of the phone and the businesspeople within any community. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you. Mr. Stachowski, I'd like to respond to your -- Mr. Stachowski? Mr. Stachowski, I'm sorry.

The proposal for separate area codes for these different offerings has been raised and actually been proposed to the Federal Communications Commission. And the FCC has determined that there are some impediments, particularly, competitive impediments that would probably arise in the context that the companies who are forced to now use those separate codes are facing somewhat of a market disadvantage.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

And so, they frown upon that practice for the moment. It is still an option that is remotely out there, but it has not been embraced as a popular option to deal with numbering issues, but it's a good thought.

MR. POUCHER: Representative Paul.

REPRESENTATIVE PAUL: Thank you. Commissioner

Deason, just to touch basis on your specific inquiry about the area code itself and who would retain the 941. If we can assume for just a moment that we would go with Alternative Number 4 so that we are looking at a geographic split of Manatee, Sarasota, and Charlotte and then ask ourselves the question what distinguishes that region from another competing region that might also want the 941, and let's focus on that for a moment.

One, as you know, a couple years ago one of the list criteria for these decisions was population itself, intrinsic population. Now, as to the intrinsic population, the Manatee, Sarasota, and Charlotte County split would represent far greater numbers of people, just in pure numbers than that southern region, is my understanding anyway, so there would be significantly greater impact on making that change per capita than on that other district.

Second of all, the commercial segment and the economics, the Manatee, Sarasota, and Charlotte County region represents far more businesses, more lines, a greater

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

dependence upon the status quo in terms of our local economy and our state economy, by the way, which is very much dependent upon that commerce for sales tax and use tax, so there would be a greater economic detriment to changing -- divesting that area of the 941 area code as opposed to the others.

And third, and I'm going to call on you to recognize this one as the most important, it is the demographics. What distinguishes us from that other region is the character of our population. The recent data that just came out yesterday showed that, for example, the Sarasota area, Sarasota has a county. It's the fifth largest -- or excuse me, the fifth oldest county in the United States of America in terms of median age; if you take out one county in Hawaii that has a few hundred people, that's basically a condominium project, but it's the fifth oldest.

The city of Venice is the oldest, the highest median age with a population greater than 10,000. Charlotte County, the single highest median age of any county in the United States of America, other than the one small community in Hawaii. You know, you have all read, I'm sure, Tom Brokaw's book, "The Greatest Generation." You're among them today. This is the greatest generation.

These are the seniors who sacrificed, who made commitments for my generation, who created the economic freedom that I enjoy today and the peace that I enjoy today; the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

infrastructure, they built it, they built this country. Now, I don't ask you to keep the 941 in this area for me. I can plug into the new area code in my palm pilot or into my cell phone for my work, but to these people, they have given, they've made tremendous commitments for years to our society.

I know each of you personally and I know each of you professionally. I know about the decisions that you make with tremendous, tremendous economic weight and gravity. The decision you've got before you today, though, is one that long after your career in public service you can look back on and say that I did something special for that segment of our society, that greatest generation that did something special for me generations ago.

And so, I'm asking you, keep that in mind. It's not just a convenience issue, it is a safety issue as well. There is no segment of our society that is more dependent on medical services, for example, than the senior citizens. They access those medical services, in large part, through the telephone infrastructure. Many times because of a phone number that's written on their refrigerator that's been there for years or in their medical response book that they might keep in their purse or in a desk drawer.

And if, for example, after changing this area code, a senior in my community is reaching for that number in an emergency situation, trying to reach the pharmacist on a dosage

issue or trying to reach her doctor or trying to reach Jim Sproul's hospital, and that number's changed, seconds can be the difference between life and death.

 Of course, there are. But by the statistics, you see that there is no greater concentration of members of that greatest generation than right here. And so, I'm asking you as their representative to do something special for them. Let them retain this 941 area code.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you, Representative Paul.

Are there senior citizens in that southern region?

COMMISSIONER PALECKI: Thank you.

MR. POUCHER: Are there any witnesses that we missed? That concludes our witnesses.

CHAIRMAN JACOBS: Thank you very much. As I indicated earlier, if you did not come up, but you do want to give comments, feel free to send those written comments to the Commission. It has been very instructive to be here today. Your comments have been of great assistance to us and will be considered. And we will move on now to our next hearing in Port Charlotte. Thank you all.

If you'd like to monitor the progress of this proceeding, feel free to do so, for those of you who are continued on the web site, and you can follow

www.floridapsc.com. And if there's nothing else to come before

1	us today,	this hearing is adjourned.
2		(Hearing concluded at 10:32 a.m.)
3		·
4		
5		
6		
7	į	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	5	
25		
		FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

				61				
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)						
2		:	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER					
3	COUNTY OF LEON)						
4								
5	I, KORET Reporter do bereb	TA E. S	STANFORD, RPR, Official Commis	ssion				
6 7	Reporter, do hereby certify that the Service Hearing in docket number 000604-TL was heard by the Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated.							
8	IT IS FU reported the said	RTHER (CERTIFIED that I stenographicatings; that the same has been	ally				
9	transcribed under	my dire	ect supervision; and that this true transcription of my note	s es of said				
10	proceedings.							
11	I FURTHE attorney or counse	R CERTI 1 of ar	IFY that I am not a relative, ny of the parties, nor am I a e parties' attorneys or counse	employee, relative				
12	Heometied with the	of the action	e parties' attorneys or couns n, nor am I financially inter	el ested in				
13	the action.	IC Thur	naday 1 14 0001					
14	DATED IN	13 Mur /	rsday, June 14, 2001.					
15	\forall	Dota	E. Stanford RPR					
16	FPSC	Officia 8)	al Commissioner Reporter 350) 413-6734					
17		(0	330) 413 0704					
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24 25								