

Legal Department

E. EARL EDENFIELD, JR. General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0763

June 21, 2001

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: 000075-TP (Generic ISP) (Phase II)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Supplemental Post-Hearing Brief, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

E. Earl Edenfield, 9r. E. Earl Edenfield, Jr. (KA)

Enclosures

APP cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

RGO

SEC

SER

RECEIVED & FILED

Rym DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS 36 JUN 21 5

FPSC-RECORDO Q 828 G

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 000075-TP (Phase II)

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

(*) Hand Delivery and U.S. Mail this 21st day of June, 2001 to the following:

Felicia Banks (*)
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service
Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Michael A. Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications
Assoc., Inc.
246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100
Tallahassee, FL 32303
Tel.: (850) 681-1990
Fax: (850) 681-9676
mgross@fcta.com

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. (+)
Martin P. McDonnell (+)
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman
Post Office Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551
Tel.: (850) 681-6788
Fax: (850) 681-6515
Represents US LEC
Represents Level 3
Represents Allegiance
Represents TCG
Represents MediaOne

Elizabeth Howland, Esq.
Attn: Regulatory & Interconnection
Allegiance Telecom, Inc.
1950 Stemmons Freeway
Suite 3026
Dallas, TX 75207

Morton Posner, Esq.
Regulatory Counsel
Allegiance Telecom
1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 205
Washington, DC 20036

Charles J. Rehwinkel Susan Masterton Sprint-Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 2214 MS: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 Tel. No. (850) 599-1560 Fax: (850) 878-0777

Peter M. Dunbar
Karen M. Camechis
Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson,
Bell & Dunbar, P.A.
Post Office Box (32302)
215 South Monroe Street, 2nd Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-3533
Fax. No. (850) 222-2126
pete@penningtonlawfirm.com
karen@penningtonlawfirm.com
Represents Time Warner

Brian Chaiken Legal Counsel Supra Telecom 2620 S.W. 27th Ave. Miami, FL 33133-3001 Tel. No. (305) 476-4248 Fax. No. (305) 443-1078 Wanda Montano
US LEC of Florida, Inc.
401 North Tyron Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
Tel. No. (704) 319-1074
Fax. No. (704) 319-0069

Patrick Wiggins
Charles J. Pellegrini
Katz, Kutter Law Firm
106 E. College Avenue
12th Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 224-9634
Fax. No. (850) 222-0103
Represents Focal and Intermedia

Norman H. Horton, Jr.
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 701
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876
Tel. No. (850) 222-0720
Fax. No. (850) 224-4359

James C. Falvey, Esq.
e.spire Communications, Inc.
131 National Business Parkway
Suite 100
Annapolis Junction, Maryland 20701
Tel. No. (301) 361-4298
Fax. No. (301) 361-4277

Donna Canzano McNulty MCI WorldCom, Inc. 325 John Knox Road The Atrium, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303 Tel. No. (850) 422-1254 Fax. No. (850) 422-2586 Brian Sulmonetti
MCI WorldCom, Inc.
6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200
Atlanta, GA 30328
Tel. No.: (770) 284-5493
Fax. No.: (770) 284-5488

Kimberly Caswell GTE Florida Incorporated P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Tel. No. (813) 483-2617 Fax. No. (813) 204-8870

Scott A. Sapperstein
Senior Policy Counsel
Intermedia Communications, Inc.
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, FL 33619
Tel. No. (813) 829-4093
Fax. No. (813) 829-4923

Marsha Rule (+)
AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 425-6365
Fax. No. (850) 425-6361

Jon C. Moyle, Esq.
Cathy M. Sellers, Esq.
Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Kolins,
Raymond & Sheehan, P.A.
The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
jmoylejr@moylelaw.com
Represents Global NAPs

Mr. Herb Bornack Orlando Telephone Company 4558 S.W. 35th Street Suite 100 Orlando, FL 32811

Robert Scheffel Wright
Landers & Parsons, P.A.
310 West College Avenue (32301)
Post Office Box 271
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Tel. No. (850) 681-0311
Fax. No. (850) 224-5595
Represents Cox Communications

Jill N. Butler
Vice President of Regulatory Affairs
Cox Communications
4585 Village Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23502

Paul Rubey
Focal Communications Corporation
200 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1100
Chicago, Illinois 60601-1914
Tel. No. (312) 895-8491
Fax. No. (312) 895-8403
prebey@focal. Com

Joseph McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin
Davidson Decker Kaufman, et al.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-2525
Fax. No. (850) 222-5606
Represents KMC & FCCA
Represents XO Communications

John McLaughlin KMC Telecom, Inc. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043 Tel. No. (678) 985-6262 Fax. No. (678) 985-6213

Michael R. Romano, Esq. Level 3 Communications, LLC 1025 Eldorado Boulevard Broomfield, CO 80021 Tel. No. (720) 888-7015 Fax. No. (720) 888-5134

Dana Shaffer
Vice President
XO Communications, Inc.
105 Molly Street, Suite 300
Nashville, Tennessee 37201-2315
Tel. No. (615) 777-7700.
Fax. No. (615) 345-1564

Richard D. Melson
Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A.
P.O. Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314
Represents MCI WorldCom
Represents MediaOne
Tel. No. (850) 222-7500
Fax. No. (850) 224-8551

Julian Chang, Esq.
Public Policy Counsel
BroadBand Office Communications, Inc.
Suite 700, 951 Mariner's Island Blvd.
San Mateo, CA 94404

E. Earl Edenfield Jr. / E

(+) Signed Protective Agreement

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into Appropriate)	Docket No.: 000075-TP
Methods to Compensate Carriers)	
for Exchange of Traffic Subject to)	
Section 251 of the Telecommunications)	Filed: June 21, 2001
Act of 1996.)	·

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL POST-HEARING BRIEF

In accordance with the Florida Public Service Commission's ("Commission") May 8, 2001, Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Supplemental Brief and Modifying Order Requiring Additional Briefing (Phase I), BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") files this supplement to BellSouth's Brief of the Evidence dated April 18, 2001.

On April 27, 2001, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") issued its Order on Remand and Report and Order ("Remand Order") addressing a number of issues related to traffic bound for the Internet through Internet Service Providers ("ISP-bound traffic"). The Remand Order is relevant to, and in some instances dispositive of, a number of issues currently under consideration by the Commission in this docket. BellSouth addresses the FCC's findings regarding the nature and jurisdiction of ISP-bound traffic.

DISCUSSION

In its Remand Order, the FCC sets forth a detailed analysis of the interplay between the various provisions of §251 of the Telecommunication Act of 1996 ("1996 Act") and their effect on compensation for ISP-bound traffic. The FCC concluded that:

Central to our modified analysis is the recognition that 251(g) is properly viewed as a limitation on the scope of section 251(b)(5) and that ISP-bound traffic falls under one or more of the categories set forth in section 251(g). For that reason, we conclude that ISP-bound traffic is not subject to the reciprocal compensation

provisions of section 251(b)(5). We reach that conclusion regardless of the compensation mechanism that may be in place for such traffic under the ESP exemption.

Remand Order, at ¶35. Further, the FCC determined that it retained its "Pre-Act authority" over the categories of traffic enumerated in section 251(g), which include "exchange access, information access, and exchange services for such access." (*Id.*, at ¶36) As noted above, the FCC ruled that ISP-bound traffic "falls within at least one of the three enumerated categories in subsection (g)." (*Id.*) Thus, while ISP-traffic is subject to compensation under section 251 of the 1996 Act, it is under section 251(g) and within the exclusive jurisdiction of the FCC.

Consistent with this analysis, the FCC considered the issue of state commission jurisdiction and determined that, "[b]ecause we now exercise our authority under section 201 to determine the appropriate intercarrier compensation for ISP-bound traffic, however, state commissions will no longer have authority to address this issue." (Remand Order, at ¶82) Clearly, the Commission is now preempted from making any decision in this proceeding and should take no further action towards establishing a compensation mechanism for ISP-bound traffic. To the extent, however, that the Commission wants to consider alternative compensation mechanisms for 251(b)(5) traffic (such as bill-and-keep), the Commission should consider that issue in Phase 2 of this docket.

The Commission does retain jurisdiction, however, to resolve disputes issues related to the rebuttable presumption that traffic exceeding a 3:1 ratio of terminating to originating is ISP-bound traffic. As noted by the FCC:

A carrier may rebut the presumption, for example, by demonstrating to the appropriate state commission that traffic above the 3:1 ratio is in fact local traffic delivered to non-ISP customers. In that case, the state commission will order payment of state-approved or state-arbitrated reciprocal compensation rates for that traffic. Conversely, if a carrier can demonstrate to the state commission that traffic it delivers to another carrier is ISP-bound traffic, even though it does not

exceed the 3:1 ratio, the state commission will relieve the originating carrier of reciprocal compensation payments for that traffic, which is subject instead to the compensation regime set forth in this Order.

Remand Order, at ¶79.

The FCC also made a number of findings concerning the pitfalls of applying a calling-party's-network-pays ("CPNP") compensation regime to ISP-bound traffic. While this discussion can be found in ¶¶66-76 of the Remand Order, BellSouth highlights below a few of the more critical factual findings:

The record is replete with evidence that reciprocal compensation provides enormous incentive for CLECs to target ISP customers. The four largest ILECs indicate that CLECs, on average, terminate eighteen times more traffic than they originate, resulting in annual CLEC reciprocal compensation billings of approximately two billion dollars, ninety percent of which is for ISP-bound traffic. ... Indeed, some ISPs even seek to become CLECs in order to share in the reciprocal compensation windfall, and, for a small number of entities, this revenue stream provided an inducement to fraudulent schemes to generate dial-up minutes.

* * *

We believe that a bill and keep regime for ISP-bound traffic may eliminate these incentives and concomitant opportunity for regulatory arbitrage by forcing carriers to look only to their ISP customers, rather than to other carriers, for cost recovery.

* * *

We are convinced, however, that intercarrier payments for ISP-bound traffic have created severe market distortions.

Remand Order, at ¶¶70, 74 and 76.

BellSouth contends that these findings, both as the nature of the traffic as well as the jurisdictional analysis, should shape any future decisions made by the Commission concerning the payment of compensation for ISP-bound traffic.

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of June 2001.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

(LA)

NANCY B. WHITE

JAMES MEZA III

c/o Nancy Sims

150 South Monroe Street, #400

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(305) 347-5558

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY

E. EARL EDENFIELD JR.

675 West Peachtree Street, #4300

Atlanta, Georgia 30375

(404) 335-0763

394367