Kimberly Caswell
Vice President and General Counsel, Southeast
Legal Department



FLTC0007 201 North Franklin Street (33602) Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Phone 813 483-2606 Fax 813 204-8870 kimberly.caswell@verizon.com

July 3, 2001

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo
Division of the Commission Clerk
and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000604-TL

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 941 area code

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed for filing in the above matter an original and 15 copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Prehearing Statement. Also enclosed is a diskette with a copy of the Prehearing Statement in Word 97 format. Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 813-483-2617.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Caswell

KC:tas Enclosures

APP CAF CMP COM 3 CTR

ECR LEG OPC PAI

RGO

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

HE CETYED
FLORIDA PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION
NAIL ROOM
MAIL ROOM

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08 8 JUL -35

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 941 area code standards)))	Docket No. 000604-TL Filed: July 3, 2001
)	

VERIZON FLORIDA INC.'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Verizon Florida Inc. (Verizon) files its Prehearing Statement in accordance with Order number PSC-01-0093-PCO-TL in this docket and Commission Rule 25-22.038.

A. Witnesses

Verizon's witnesses for this proceeding and the issues to which they will testify are as follows:

Beverly Y. Menard: Issues 1-4.

B. Exhibits

Verizon reserves the right to introduce exhibits at the hearing or other appropriate points.

C. Verizon's Basic Position

The Commission should approve the industry's consensus plan for relief for the 941 area code. That plan, a distributed overlay, is the least disruptive and longest-term solution for the area at issue, which just had an area code split a relatively short time ago. Unlike another area code split, the distributed overlay will not require any customer number changes. While there is no one solution that will satisfy all customers, an overlay is probably inevitable in the not-too-distant future. It is better to implement this long-term solution now, rather than implementing additional splits, which cause controversy and confusion every time they occur.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

D., E., and F. Verizon's Positions on Specific Issues

Verizon considers each issue in this proceeding to be a mixed question of fact, law, and policy.

Issue A: What is the Commission's jurisdiction in this matter?

Verizon's Position: The extent of the Commission's jurisdiction will depend on what type of solution it is considering for relief in the 941 area code. In any event, the Commission has the authority to order the overlay solution agreed upon by the industry.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the commission approve the industry's consensus relief plan for the 941 area code?

Verizon's Position: Yes. The overlay plan that was unanimously approved by the current code holders in the 941 area code is the best long-term relief solution. Unlike another area code split, the overlay will not require any customer number changes. A split is likely inevitable in the not-too-distant future, and it is better to implement it now rather than forcing customers to undergo still more controversial and confusing area code splits.

<u>Issue 2</u>: If the Commission does not approve the industry's consensus relief plan for the 941 area code, what alternative relief plan should the Commission implement?

Verizon's Position: If, contrary to the industry's recommendation, the Commission orders a geographic split instead of the overlay plan, then it should probably devise a split that keeps customers in Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte counties in the same area code. If the overlay is implemented, all local calls would be dialed on a ten-digit basis.

Issue 3: What number conservation measures, if any, should the Commission implement?

Verizon's Position: The Commission should not implement any number conservation measures in this docket. Any such measures should be considered in the ongoing generic numbering docket (number 981444-TP), so all interested parties can have input and any conservation measures can be implemented uniformly statewide.

Issue 4: If number conservation measures are to be implemented, when should they be implemented?

Verizon's Position: As Verizon pointed out in its response to Issue 3, this docket is not the proper forum for implementation of number conservation measures.

G. Stipulated Issues

There are no stipulated issues at this time.

H. Pending Matters

Verizon is unaware of any pending matters.

I. Confidentiality Claims

Verizon has no outstanding requests or claims for confidentiality of any information that has been submitted in this case.

J. Procedural Requirements

To the best of its knowledge, Verizon can comply with all requirements set forth in the procedural order in this case.

ر المالية

K. Pending FCC or Court Actions

Verizon is unaware of any pending FCC or court actions that may preempt Commission action in this docket or that may affect the Commission's ability to resolve any of the issues presented in this docket. Verizon cannot, however, definitively answer this question without knowing what action the Commission may eventually take in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted on July 3, 2001.

By:

Kimberly Caswell

P. O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110 Telephone No. (813) 483-2617

Attorney for Verizon Florida Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of Verizon Florida Inc.'s Prehearing Statement in Docket No. 000604-TL were sent via U.S. mail on July 3, 2001 to the parties on the attached list.

Mimberly Caswell

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Renee Francis Lee Martha Young Burton Charlotte County Atty's Office 18500 Murdock Circle Port Charlotte, FL 33948-1094 James A. Minix Manatee County P. O. Box 1000 Bradenton, FL 34206-1000

NeuStar, Inc. Tom Foley, NPA Relief Planner 820 Riverbend Boulevard Longwood, FL 32779-2327

Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc Michael A. Gross 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 Kathleen F. Schneider 1660 Ringling Boulevard 2nd Floor Sarasota, FL 34236

Sprint PCS
Joe Assenzo
Legal Department
6160 Sprint Parkway
KSOPHIO414 - 4th Floor
Overland Park, KS 66251

Messer Law Firm Floyd Self P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Sprint-Florida, Incorporated Susan Masterton Charles J. Rehwinkel P. O. Box 2214 MC: FLTLHO0107 Tallahassee, FL 32399-2214

Anne Hoskins Verizon Wireless 1300 "Eye" Street N.W. Suite 400 W Washington, DC 20005 Patrick K. Wiggins Natalie B. Futch Katz Kutter et al. 106 E. College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Robert H. Berntsson City of Punta Gorda 326 W. Marion Avenue Punta Gorda, FL 33950-4492

Collier County Board of Commissioners 3301 E. Tamiami Trail Naples, FL 33962-4977 Dr. Willard Coy Chair, Area Planning Board 4005 Cape Haze Drive Placida, FL 33946 Lee County Board of Commissioners P. O. Box 398 Ft. Myers, FL 33902-0398

Monroe County Board of Commissioners Clerk 500 Whitehead Street Key West, FL 33040-6547

Kimberly D. Wheeler Morrison & Foerster Law Firm 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006-1888 Peter Dunbar Karen Camechis Pennington Law Firm P. O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Carolyn Marek Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002 Charles J. Beck Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400