BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of IDS Long Distance, Inc.)	
N/K/A IDS Telcom, L.L.C., Against)	DOCKET NO. 010740-TP
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., and)	
Request for Emergency Relief.)	FILED: JULY 23, 2001
)	

OF

BECKY WELLMAN

ON BEHALF OF

IDS TELCOM, L.L.C.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 010740-TP

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BECKY WELLMAN

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Becky Wellman. My business address is 1525 NW 167 th Street,
3		Miami, Florida 33169.
4	Q:	For whom are you employed and in what position?
5	A:	I am employed by IDS Telcom, LLC ("IDS"). My position with IDS is Assistant
6		Vice President of Local Operations.
7	Q:	Please describe your duties at IDS.
8	A:	I am responsible for the provisioning of end user requests to install, convert,
9		or otherwise modify the telephone service and related features of IDS
10		telephone subscribers. In addition, I establish and maintain operational
11		policies and procedures as they relate to the provisioning of Resale and
12		Unbundled Network Element Platform ("UNE-P") products obtained from
13		BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") pursuant to the
14		Interconnection Agreement, as amended, executed by IDS and BellSouth and
15		approved by the Florida Public Service Commission.
16		QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE
17	Q:	Please describe your education and work experience.
18	A:	My resume is attached to this testimony and identified as Exhibit BW-1.
19	Q:	What is your educational background?

1 A: I graduated from Sandy Springs High School in 1965 and attended the 2 University of Georgia from 1965-1966.

3 Q: What work experience have you had in the telecommunications field?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A:

I worked for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") for thirty years in a variety of job categories, beginning as an Operator. I subsequently worked for BellSouth as a Retail Service Representative, Maintenance Administrator, and Load Control Manager. When I left BellSouth in July 2000, I held the position of Operations Staff Support Manager for all BellSouth Local Carrier Service Centers ("LCSCs"). For a total of approximately eleven years. I was a Customer Service Representative for BellSouth in its Retail Division. During that period of time, the overall processing of customer service requests or service orders evolved from a paper order which had to be handwritten and handled manually from beginning to end to having the ability to process an order totally electronically as it exists today. The actual flow of the orders remained much the same but was developed and refined to eliminate unnecessary manual intervention. This electronic process allows BellSouth to enter its customers' requests into its internal ordering systems in real time, correcting immediately any input errors whether they were caused by a simple typing error or because a customer provided incorrect information. These systems even give Service Representatives prompts for inputting correct data so that when the customer hangs up, he has been assured of the correctness of his order and its due date. As a Maintenance Administrator for three years, I handled BellSouth customers' reports of trouble on their telephone line. My responsibilities included testing to determine the origin of the trouble, verifying line translations to ensure all line services (hunting, call waiting, etc.) were correct, and checking the facilities in If I detected trouble, I was responsible for the central office switch. categorizing the trouble ticket so that it would be given to the type of technician who was best suited to clear the trouble. I was then promoted to Load Control Manager for the entire downtown Atlanta area. For six years my main responsibilities were to determine and set repair and installation intervals based on the forecasted load, to dispatch technicians to install or repair lines within the time frame which the customer was given, and to effectively reduce overtime costs while increasing productivity and quality. In addition to my regular job duties, I also set up and ran the 1996 Olympic operations. I was consistently ranked as one of the top three Load Control Managers in the entire state of Georgia. I then was promoted to BellSouth Interconnection Staff supporting the LCSC and became the Subject Matter Expert ("SME") in BellSouth for Selective Call Routing, Interim Number Portablility, Port, and UNE-P. What are your credentials in regard to the specific subject matter of your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A:

Q: What are your credentials in regard to the specific subject matter of your testimony?

Besides the knowledge and experience I have accumulated in my tenure of more than thirty years working for what is now known as BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., during the period from May 1998 through July

- 2000, I held the position of Operations Staff Support Manager for all BellSouth LCSCs.
- Q: What were your responsibilities as the Operations Staff Support Managerduring the above period of time?
- 5 A: Beginning in July 1998, I was intimately involved with the development of the 6 provisioning of local telephone service and features through UNE-P or similar 7 arrangements with CLECs and actually wrote BellSouth's Methods and 8 Procedures currently used by the BellSouth Service Representatives in all the 9 LCSCs. These Methods and Procedures outlined the responsibilities of the 10 Service Representatives and specifically instructed them on how to review a 11 Local Service Request ("LSR") for correctness, and input an accurate order in 12 relation to the products I supported. These M & Ps were developed for the 13 sale of local telephone services and features through UNE-P arrangements 14 with CLECs.
- 15 Q: Are there any other factors regarding your qualifications or tenure as

 Operations Staff Support Manager that are relevant to your testimony?
- 17 A: Yes. Prior to November 1999 and the release of the Federal
 18 Communications Commission's ("FCC's") 319 Remand, I was part of a project
 19 team that was developing a product called "Network Combination." This
 20 project was a BellSouth offering which became the basis for the development
 21 of what is known today as the Unbundled Network Element Platform or "UNE22 P."

In approximately November 1999, when the FCC released its 319 Remand, I was re-assigned to the project team that was dedicated to the development of the UNE-P products as mandated by the FCC. My role was to represent the BellSouth LCSCs on that project team. During the development of these UNE-P products, I worked closely with the Subject Matter Experts ("SME") from various BellSouth departments including Network and Billing, Recent Change Memory Administration Center ("RCMAG"), Line Facility Administration Center ("AFIG"), and CRIS Billing. I worked with the project team five days a week exclusively on product development for the UNE-P in order to meet the FCC imposed deadline of February 17, 2001.

Q:

I was directly involved in the development and testing of BellSouth's internal procedures related to the processing of LSRs on behalf of CLECs and as directed by the FCC's 319 Remand during November 1999.

- What BellSouth employees were assigned to the UNE-P Project Team and what were their respective titles?
- The main BellSouth employees on the UNE-P Project Team, besides myself,
 were the PCU ("Product Commercialization Unit") Project Manager, Ms.
 Sandra Harris, the Network Subject Matter Expert, Ms. Carla Lockerd, the
 RCMAG SME, Mr. Frank Eberle, the AFIG SME, Ms. Jayne Sullivan, the
 CRIS Billing SME, Ms. Debbie Williams, and the Product Manager, Mr.
 William Gulas.
- 23 Q: Who presided over the meetings of the UNE-P Project Team?

1 A: As the Project Manager, Ms. Sandra Harris presided over the meetings of the UNE-P Project Team. Part of her responsibilities as Project Manager was to 2 3 document every aspect of the development and testing of the UNE-P 4 products in order to report to upper management. 5 Q: To whom did the Project Team report in the BellSouth management? 6 A: The Project Team reported to Ms. Suzy Lavett, Director of PCU, and Ms. 7 Peggy Caldwell, Senior Director of PCU. 8 Q: Have you previously testified before any regulatory authority or courts of law? 9 A: No. 10 PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY 11 Q: What is the purpose of your testimony? 12 A: I will address Issue One ("Has BellSouth breached its Interconnection 13 Agreement with IDS by failing to provide IDS OSS at parity?") and Issue Two 14 ("Has BellSouth breached its Interconnection Agreement with IDS by failing to 15 provide IDS UNE-Ps at parity?") as identified by the parties and established 16 by the Prehearing Officer in this proceeding. Please summarize your testimony. 17 Q: 18 A: My testimony describes the specific procedures by which BellSouth provides 19 services to its own retail customers and the specific procedures by which 20 BellSouth provides Operational Support Systems ("OSS") and UNE-Ps to IDS 21 and other CLECs generally. It is my experience that BellSouth has not

provided and cannot provide IDS OSS and UNE-Ps at parity to those services

provided to BellSouth's own customers through its Retail Division because of

22

23

the inherently flawed structure of its CLEC Interfaces and the Local Carrier Service Center operation. My testimony provides a detailed explanation of the Methods and Procedures ("M & P") used by all BellSouth Service Representatives at the three BellSouth LCSCs and an analysis of those Methods and Procedures as they have affected IDS and other CLECs. These Methods and Procedures include among many other topics, CLEC order processing and network access and billing processes and procedures used by BellSouth under current arrangements with CLECs and in particular with IDS.

Q:

Q:

A:

Regarding Issue One in this proceeding, what is your understanding as a lay person of the term "parity" in relation to BellSouth's obligation to provide IDS OSS at parity?

My definition of "parity" as a lay person in this context is that BellSouth is required to provide IDS Operational Support Systems that process IDS' orders for new customers or changes or additions to the services of existing IDS customers that are equivalent in all respects to those systems BellSouth utilizes for its own retail customers. To me, this means that if BellSouth can provide installation of a certain type of telecommunications service to one of its retail customers in a certain time frame and at a certain level of quality, it must provide installation of that same type of telecommunications service to IDS' customer in an equivalent time frame and at the same level of quality.

Has it been your experience that BellSouth has provided IDS OSS at parity?

- 1 A: No, it has been my experience that BellSouth has continually and consistently
 2 provided IDS OSS that is far below parity.
- 3 Q: Why do you believe BellSouth provides IDS OSS that is not at parity?
- A: BellSouth has failed to develop Operational Support Systems for the processing of orders for IDS and other CLECs that are capable of providing services at parity to those provided to BellSouth's retail customers. There is no comparison, much less parity, between the internal systems BellSouth utilizes to process orders for its retail customers and the Local Carrier Service Centers that process orders for IDS and other CLECs.
- 10 Q: Can you explain why you say this?
- 11 A: I say this because I am intimately familiar with the internal systems BellSouth
 12 utilizes to process orders for its own retail customers and I am intimately
 13 familiar with the Operational Support Systems BellSouth has utilized to
 14 process orders for IDS and other CLECs.
- 15 Q: Can you describe your experience with BellSouth's internal systems?
- A: As a Service Representative and Maintenance Administrator, I worked with
 BellSouth's internal systems on a daily basis. I gained vast knowledge from
 regularly interfacing with BellSouth employees in downstream work groups to
 expedite orders or resolve troubles. Also as a Load Control Manager, I
 worked closely with the translations, facilities, and central office groups.
- 21 Q: Can you describe how BellSouth's internal systems process orders for 22 BellSouth's retail customers?

When a retail customer calls BellSouth for service, he speaks directly to the Service Representative who will input an order directly into one of their ordering systems, SONGS or DOE, while the customer is on line. ordering systems are designed to prompt the Service Representative during the input process if certain information, which is required for processing, is missing or invalid. This permits the Service Representative to question the customer for correct information in real time and allows her to change it immediately. Information is also formatted properly by the systems even if it was not entered correctly by the Service Representative. system assigns a telephone number if necessary and the earliest due date available based on what the end user's address facilities are and on the Load Control Manager's forecast for that type of service. The ordering system will continue to perform online edits to ensure accuracy before it allows the order to be released giving the Service Representative repeated opportunities to obtain all necessary information while the customer is still online. Depending on the service request, the order will flow downstream to RCMAG, AFIG, WMC and CRIS to be completed. This can generally be done electronically with no manual intervention unless a dispatch is required. Now that you have described how BellSouth 's internal systems process

A:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q:

Now that you have described how BellSouth 's internal systems process orders for BellSouth's retail customers, can you give us a comparably clear description of how BellSouth's current Local Carrier Service Centers are set up and how BellSouth processes orders from IDS and other CLECs?

Yes. When a CLEC submits an LSR, it must follow the specific BellSouth Business Rules for Local Ordering ("BBRLO") which are available for review on line or on paper, but which are not necessarily going to be present as an edit while the LSR is being entered into the electronic interface. Because of defects in the internal BellSouth OSS, the LSR might go through only to be returned for clarification a day or two later. Once the LSR is accepted by the interface, editing is performed by a BellSouth system called LEO and if there are errors, the LSR will be rejected back to the CLEC for correction and resubmission. If there are no errors, LESOG will generate an order and send it to downstream systems and send the CLEC an FOC ("Firm Order Confirmation") with the due date that has been assigned to the order. If a condition exists that will not allow LESOG to generate an order (multi-line hunting, denials, restorals, BellSouth Customer Service Record errors, etc.), the request will drop to the LCSC to review the request and determine what needs to be done to generate an order. The LCSC Service Representative has eighteen business hours (two days and two hours) to generate the order and return an FOC or send it back for clarification from the CLEC. The clarifications that are returned are often invalid and a call to the LCSC is required to get the LSR processed. If the clarification is valid, the CLEC must submit a supplemental request and may again have to wait for the eighteen business-hour FOC. Although the LCSC Service Representative should provide all clarifications after the first review, often this process will have to be repeated several times. The process for submitting a supplemental request

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A:

cannot be overridden, so the CLEC is basically at the mercy of the LCSC for the timely processing of LSRs. Once the LCSC Service Representative is ready to input the order, she or he uses the same order input systems that BellSouth Retail Service Representatives use. However, if she encounters an edit from the system, she may reject it back to the CLEC for clarification and the whole process will begin again. If she is able to submit the order, the due date is assigned based on the BellSouth Interval Guide, not on the first available appointment per the Load Control Manager as it is in the Retail Division. At that point, an FOC is sent back to the CLEC with the due date.

A:

Q: Can you describe your involvement in the development of the UNE-P product for BellSouth?

Beginning in July 1998, I was intimately involved with the development of BellSouth's Methods and Procedures ("M & Ps") currently used by the BellSouth Service Representatives and all the LCSCs related to provisioning of local telephone service and features through UNE-P arrangements with CLECs. These M & Ps outlined the responsibilities of the Service Representatives and specifically instructed them on how to do their jobs in relation to the products I supported. These M & Ps were developed for the sale of local telephone services and features through UNE-P arrangements with CLECs.

Q: What was the directive given to the UNE-P Project Team by BellSouth
management in November 1999 that you alluded to earlier?

- 1 A: We were instructed to develop the UNE-P products as mandated by the FCC
- and be prepared to roll-out the products by the February 17, 2001, deadline
- 3 established by the FCC order.
- 4 Q: Did the UNE-P Project Team encounter difficulty developing this product?
- 5 A: Yes. During the development and testing process for the UNE-P program,
- the Project Team experienced end-user outages. We also learned that during
- 7 the original conversions of Retail customers to a CLEC's Resale service
- processed using the Disconnect and New ("D & N") procedure, end-users
- 9 also frequently experienced outages. As such, the Resale team developed
- the Change ("Single C") format that eliminated the need for a disconnection
- and corresponding new connection or D & N procedure during Resale
- conversions between BellSouth and a CLEC.
- 13 Q: Did the use of the Single C format eliminate the frequent service outages
- associated with the D & N procedure during Retail to Resale conversions?
- 15 A: Yes.
- 16 Q: Did the UNE-P Project Team experience end-user service outages or service
- feature disruptions during conversions from Retail or Resale to UNE-P
- 18 conversions between BellSouth and CLECs?
- 19 A: Yes. During conversions to UNE-P using the D & N procedure, end-users
- 20 experienced service outages. Additionally, end-users experienced several
- service feature disruptions. Because the end-user outages were so prevalent
- during the conversion to UNE-P using the D & N process, we explored
- 23 numerous paths to develop different methods for UNE-P processing,

including the modification of the Single C format. However, because the Single C format was developed for Resale, there were too many edits and limitations surrounding the process and we were unable to amend it adequately to work with the UNE-P program.

Q:

A:

The team was equally concerned that the BellSouth Legacy System, which supports these arrangements and processes that are so heavily relied upon by BellSouth and the CLECs for conversions, was limited in its capabilities to support the conversions. As such, one or more of the members of the Project Team concluded that the only process that would work, albeit with consequences, was the D & N process.

Did the Project Team as a whole or through individual team members object or express concern over the utilization of the D & N process for UNE-P provisioning and the subsequent end-user outages and service feature disruptions?

Yes. Everyone on the Project Team expressed serious concern about the end-user outages to upper management throughout the development and testing of the UNE-P. In particular, however, Peggy Caldwell and Ken Ainsworth agreed that there was reason for concern based on the history of the original D and N process in the Retail to Resale scenario. The Project Team tested orders for Retail and Resale and from various locations in various states within the region. This allowed us to determine that the problem was system-wide and not limited to a certain part of the BellSouth territory. These problems have nothing to do with the location of the CLEC

because all CLECs in every BellSouth state experience the same types of conversion problems. The same three LCSCs handle all the orders for every CLEC in the region. We found that the outages occurred despite our best efforts to complete the test orders without error. The Project Team was very concerned that if we who developed the process still experienced end-user outages in varying forms, their was a great likelihood of serious complications occurring during thousands of daily conversions between BellSouth and various CLECs on any given day. The results, we feared, could prove disastrous. I have personally witnessed that concern played out as a reality on a daily basis as IDS attempts to do its business. IDS daily submits orders to BellSouth for UNE-Ps and constantly has those orders incorrectly, inefficiently, and ineffectively processed. This is the same experience that any CLEC will have when it attempts to process UNE-P orders with BellSouth. At times, I personally have to instruct the personnel in the BellSouth LCSCs regarding how to correct end-user service outages they cause during conversions of IDS customers. It is evident the problems are the same as those the Project Team encountered during the UNE-P development stages. It is also completely clear that BellSouth has done little if anything to correct the procedure since its inception despite the ongoing end-user outages.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The UNE-P order process was developed with a conscious effort by the Project Team to avoid the end-user outages and feature disruptions caused by the D & N format, however, the process relies heavily on effective and efficient manual and electronic handling of each order. The Project Team continued testing the process until the outages were minimized, but because there were specific personnel handling the flow-through process in certain departments, like the LCSC, the results were obviously skewed. If these processes are not handled by well trained and sufficiently experienced LCSC representatives with the proper escalation personnel on staff at all times, the likelihood of an outage or disruption during conversion drastically increases in relation to the increase in the number of orders being processed by a given LCSC.

Q:

A:

In contrast, the Single C format mentioned above and which is further detailed herein, will not allow for the possibility of a service outage nor does it generally require the critical manual component, thereby reducing further the likelihood of an outage during conversions.

You have stated that BellSouth assigned specifically trained and experienced individuals to oversee the processing of conversions during the developmental stages of the UNE-P program. Do you believe it is possible that BellSouth has utilized similar methods during testing in other situations such as with KPMG in order to skew the results in favor of BellSouth?

In the day to day operation of the LCSCs, there are LCSC representatives handling drastically higher numbers of conversions from multiple CLECs. Because these representatives are not the individuals who participated in the development of the methods and procedures and the SME who supports them was not a part of the complicated developmental project team, they do

not know what to do when the inevitable problems arise with orders from CLECs for UNE-Ps. Therefore, the great majority of orders submitted by CLECs are not handled correctly, efficiently, or effectively. This results in a complete lack of parity for IDS and other CLECs. This is not just my opinion. This has been my experience both within and without BellSouth's operations. Do you believe that it was the intention of BellSouth's management to provide a product to comply with the FCC 319 Remand that essentially did not work? . I cannot say that the intention of BellSouth's management was to mislead the Florida Public Service Commission and the CLEC community concerning this process. I can say with certainty, however, that the Project Team did not have adequate time in which to develop a workable "Single C format" for UNE-P conversions. I can also say with certainty that BellSouth's management knew this then and has known it since then, and has failed to remedy the situation in any fashion other than temporary quick fixes at the request of CLECs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q:

A:

The Project Team was repeatedly told by the Senior Director of the Project Group, Peggy Caldwell, that the UNE-P process must be rolled-out by February 17, 2000, even if it was not 100% reliable. It became evident that the focus was not on developing the product correctly, but rather to simply have a product that complied as much as possible with the FCC requirements ready for use by CLECs. Given what the Project Team knew and communicated to management about the inherent problems with the UNE-Pprocess, and the limited time we had in which to arrive at a viable solution, I

- can only reach one conclusion. BellSouth's management was not and is not serious about correcting the problems the UNE-P process has caused IDS and other CLECs.
- 4 Q: Did anyone ever suggest a modification to the Legacy System as a possible solution?
- 6 A: Yes. BellSouth's management sent the Project Team back to the drawing board several times to revisit the development of alternatives to the D & N 7 8 process that would require changes to the Legacy System. The concept was 9 to explore if the Legacy System could be changed to accept and process 10 UNE-P orders via a different as yet undeveloped process through completion 11 without service outages or service feature disruptions. However, it was 12 concluded that the Legacy System simply could not accept any process other 13 than the D & N to convert UNE-P orders and changes that would allow a 14 different process to be utilized would not or could not be effected.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q:

A:

- Can you explain BellSouth's processing of orders to convert telephone subscribers from BellSouth to a CLEC under a Resale arrangement as opposed to a UNE-P arrangement?
- There are two scenarios for the conversion of a telephone subscriber's services from BellSouth to a CLEC under a Resale arrangement. Under the Resale scenario, a BellSouth retail customer is moving his or her services to a CLEC who will be reselling BellSouth's local service.
- The first scenario for a Resale conversion is known as an "as is" conversion. This conversion simply means that the customer's services will

be identical to their services with BellSouth. The second scenario for a Resale conversion is known as a conversion "as specified." This means that the end-user customer requires an addition or deletion of features or lines to the services they currently receive from BellSouth simultaneously with the conversion.

Each conversion order process begins when a Local Service Request (LSR) is submitted to BellSouth from a CLEC via one of the BellSouth electronic interfaces—LENS, EDI, TAG, or ROBOTAG.

During an "as is" conversion, BellSouth, acting on a CLEC's electronic request, will only have to perform a billing change, on behalf of a subscriber from BellSouth's Retail division to the CLEC's Resale environment. This function requires entries in only nine fields to complete the switch "as is" to the CLEC and does not require any intervention from other downstream groups.

The process flow of a new conversion or "as specified" conversion or switch is essentially the same as an "as is". The LSR is entered into one of the BellSouth interfaces mentioned above and the LSR is filtered through LEO for order validation and LESOG, which generates the order into SOCS. A Single C (Change) order is the product of an "as is" or "as specified" conversion order from Retail (BellSouth) to Resale (CLEC) only. The Single C format uses only one order to convert a customer instead of two orders, in contrast to the UNE-P conversions which require both a Disconnect order and a corresponding New Connect order (known as "D & N"). The Single C

format ensures that the customer will not lose dial tone during the conversion from BellSouth. This Single C format is a BellSouth internal process that BellSouth developed because BellSouth's end users were initially experiencing loss of service when the Disconnect/New Connect process was being used during Resale conversions to CLECs. The Single C format was developed to avoid those types of service disruptions during Retail to Resale conversions. The Single C format cannot be affected by the CLEC whatsoever. For these types of orders (Retail to Resale), no BellSouth downstream systems are queried. This permits the order to "flow" through to completion without manual intervention, which completely eliminates the possibility of a disruption of services or features.

Q:

A:

Will you explain the scenario involved in conversions "as specified," also known as "new conversions," under a Resale arrangement?

Yes. In order to process an order for conversion "as specified" or a "new conversion," the CLEC must provide BellSouth all of the information that the customer wants changed and the information that the CLEC requires for the account. These orders have to be done at line level, which means that every line must be addressed by the LSR. When the LSR is submitted by the CLEC, the LSR will first enter the OSS system referred to as "LEO" for order validation in order to complete an up-front edit. The LEO system reviews the order for specific restrictions that would disallow the LSR from flowing through to completion.

If the LSR encounters a restriction, such as an incorrect or missing telephone number, Purchase Order Number ("PON") number, or other critical information that must be on every LSR, LEO will fatally reject the LSR and the CLEC must resubmit the LSR to correct the error. This edit function will continue indefinitely with every submission of an LSR or supplemental LSR until it has been entirely corrected. If LESOG is required to generate an order, the request is dropped to the LCSC for completion. The LCSC is required to review the entire order for accuracy when initially submitted by the CLEC and all <u>clarifications</u> are required to be made with the first order review in order to avoid repetitive submissions of the same LSR. However, using the current process, it is not unusual to have an order "kicked-back" for clarification several times before the order flows through to completion because BellSouth's LCSCs do not comply with the requirement that all clarifications must be made on the first order review. Based on my direct experience working with BellSouth's LCSCs over the last year in my capacity as the Assistant Vice President of Local Operations for IDS, I know personally that the LCSCs are not following the Methods and Procedures established for their proper operation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q:

Once an LSR passes through LEO, it enters the OSS system known as "LESOG" and LESOG will assign the due date for the service order to be completed and automatically generate an order in SOCS reflecting whatever changes were requested and an FOC is returned to the CLEC.

What happens when a service order flows to completion?

A: Ideally, the conversion is completed on the due date and a completion notice is sent to the CLEC regarding the completion. The Customer Service Record ("CSR") should be updated to reflect the conversion within 72 hours of completion. The CSR update is further verification that the customer's services have been converted.

6 Q: Please describe the conversion of service orders from BellSouth Retail or CLEC Resale to UNE-P.

A:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The order process flow for conversions of service orders from BellSouth Retail or CLEC Resale to UNE-P should be the same as that for Retail to Resale in that the systems and procedures are the same. However, in order to convert a subscriber's services from BellSouth Retail or CLEC Resale to UNE-P "as is" with the only difference being a change to measured service from flat-rate, BellSouth requires that every entry on the CSR be addressed from the listing section through the Service and Equipment section (S&E). This requirement in and of itself is considerably more cumbersome than in a Retail to Resale "as is" conversion, especially for a multi-line account conversion. In addition, BellSouth refuses to allow conversions to UNE-P "as is" as it does for conversions from Retail to Resale. BellSouth refuses to permit an "as is" conversion to UNE-P even when no changes are requested by the end user on his account. For this reason, every single UNE-P order is subject to being queried by all of BellSouth's systems, including RCMAG and AFIG (Assignment Facility Inventory Group). This results in unnecessary intervention by other BellSouth departments and the possibility of a disconnection without a corresponding new connection (D & N) increases substantially.

A:

Q: If the process for converting UNE-P "as is" orders is essentially the same as

Retail to Resale "as is" orders, why do customers experience the

disconnection of their services, as well as other disruptions?

Retail to Resale "as is" orders that are processed using the Single C format do not drop down to BellSouth's downstream systems for input and as a result, they avoid RCMAG and AFIG altogether. UNE-P "as is" orders go through RCMAG and AFIG. These two systems are for translations and cable facility assignment and should not required for UNE-P "as is" orders, with the exception of changing the service from Flat Rate to Measured Rate.

In addition, the BellSouth service representatives use internal OSS systems known as "SONGS" and "DOE" which allow them to perform online edits and correct BellSouth's orders in real time so that BellSouth's own orders flow through the system immediately. BellSouth has refused to permit CLECs parity by providing access to these established OSS systems.

If a CLEC makes a mistake on the LSR, the LCSC or LEO sends the order back to the CLEC for "clarification" creating a time lag for the order to complete that BellSouth does not experience. A conversion from Retail or Resale "as is" to UNE-P must be handled in the same manner as that used for Retail to Resale "as specified." If this is not done, the likelihood of enduser outages or disruption of service features is very high and increases in relation to the number of lines being converted.

- 1 Q: Does the intervention of RCMAG and AFIG in the processing of CLEC orders
 2 necessarily cause a disruption of service?
- A: No. However, in my experience, the outages that we have encountered were caused by the intervention of one of those two departments or the LCSC. If the LCSC omits necessary entries on the service order, that will definitely cause downstream systems to handle the order incorrectly thereby causing outages that are completely out of the control of the CLEC.
- What is your recommendation to the Florida Public Service Commission as
 the solution to the serious lack of parity BellSouth has provided IDS in the
 provision of OSS, UNEs, and UNE-Ps? What does IDS want the Florida
 Public Service Commission to order BellSouth to do?
- 12 A: It is my recommendation that the only way to assure IDS parity in BellSouth's
 13 provision of OSS and UNEs and UNE-Ps is for the Florida Public Service
 14 Commission to order BellSouth to provide IDS direct access to BellSouth's
 15 DOE and SONGS systems. This would provide parity. Short of this, IDS nor
 16 any other CLEC will ever have parity in BellSouth's provision of OSS and
 17 UNEs and UNE-Ps.
- 18 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 19 A: Yes.

Rebecca B. Wellman 123 Luckie Street N.W. Loft 1507 Atlanta, GA 30303

July 2000-

IDS TELCOM - Asst. Vice President Local Service

Responsibilities:

Develop and maintain operational policies and procedures

Provisioning of end user requests for IDS service Change Control Process, OBF, UNE-P User Group

January 1970-July 2000

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Responsibilities And Titles:

Operator – Handle customer requests for local and long distance service

Administrative Assistant – Scheduling; payroll; filing

Marketing Assistant – Compile sales reports; analyze sales data

Service Representative – Issue service orders per customer requests; sell products and services; make billing arrangements; collect final bills

Maintenance Administrator – Analyze customer trouble tickets; test lines and equipment; verify translations; determine final steps necessary for repair

Repair Service Supervisor – Supervise repair attendants; monitor repair attendants as they take customer trouble reports; analyze data and spot trends

Load Control Manager – Dispatch technicians to repair and install customer lines; set repair and installation appointments based on load forecasts; handle escalations

Staff Support Manager – Participate in development teams for products I support; write methods and procedures for service representatives in the LCSC; support training initiatives on UNE-P in the LCSC and Customer Support Manager group

Education:

Graduate Sandy Springs High School, 1965

University of Georgia, 1965-1966

Docket No. 010740-TP Exhibit (BW-1) Page 1 of 1