

RECEIVED-FPSC

01 AUG 15 PM 4: 42

Susan S. Masterton Attorney

August 15, 2001

CLERK

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk And Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Law/External Affairs

Post Office Box 2214 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 Mailstop FLTLH00107 Voice 850 599 1560 Fax 850 878 0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Re: Docket No. 000828-TP Sprint's Response to BellSouth's Motion for Resolution of Disputed Language and Sprint's Notice of Withdrawal of Motion for Reconsideration

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Sprint's Response to BellSouth's Motion for Resolution of Disputed Language and Sprint's Notice of Withdrawal of Motion for Reconsideration. Copies of these documents have been served by U.S. Mail pursuant to the attached Certificate of Service.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Over S. Mesty 1-

Sincerely,

APP CAF CMP

ECR LEG OPC

PAI RGO

OTH

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosure

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

OF REOLD 0 28 AUG 15 6

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Sprint)
Communications Company Limited)
Partnership for arbitration of) DOCKET NO. 000828-TP
certain unresolved terms and)
conditions of a proposed renewal)
of current interconnection)
agreement with BellSouth) Filed: August 15, 2001
Telecommunications, Inc.)
	.)
	,) .)

SPRINT'S RESPONSE TO BELLSOUTH'S MOTION FOR RESOLUTION OF DISPUTED LANGUAGE

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership ("Sprint") hereby files its Response to Motion for Resolution of Disputed Language, filed by BellSouth

Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") with the Commission on August 9, 2001:

1. As stated in BellSouth's Motion, Sprint filed on July 9, 2001 a letter (included as Attachment A to BellSouth's Motion) stating its opposition to the inclusion of the language BellSouth is proposing regarding the implementation costs associated with BellSouth's obligation to provide at wholesale rates stand-alone vertical features for resale by Sprint. As stated in the letter, Sprint believes that the Commission's decision in the Global NAPs arbitration proceedings (Docket No. 991220-TP) is applicable to the language proposed by BellSouth. In that decision the Commission ruled that it would not incorporate contract language in connection with issues that were not specifically raised in either the petitioning party's arbitration Petition or the responding party's Response.

regarding implementation costs associated with providing stand-alone vertical features for resale, Sprint urges the Commission to reject BellSouth's proposed language. The Commission has already ruled that BellSouth must provide vertical features to Sprint on a stand-alone basis at the wholesale discount, pursuant to BellSouth's obligations under

In the event that the Commission decides to consider BellSouth's proposed language

Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. BellSouth should not be allowed to

undermine this fundamental principle by attempting to recover "implementation costs"

associated with BellSouth's fulfillment of its statutory obligation.

For the reasons set forth above, Sprint urges the Commission to reject the language proposed by BellSouth and order the parties to execute the Interconnection Agreement submitted by the parties on July 9, 2001, without the disputed language.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of August, 2001.

Susan S. Masterton

P.O. Box 2214

Tallahassee, FL 32316-221

850-599-1560

ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Sprint)	
Communications Company Limited)	
Partnership for arbitration of)	DOCKET NO. 000828-TP
certain unresolved terms and)	
conditions of a proposed renewal)	
of current interconnection)	
agreement with BellSouth)	Filed: August 15, 2001
Telecommunications, Inc.)	
	_)	

NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership ("Sprint") hereby files this Notice that it withdraws its Motion for Reconsideration of the Commission's Order No. PSC-01-1095-FOF-TP filed on May 23, 2001. Sprint is withdrawing its Motion for Reconsideration because the Parties' interconnection agreement, filed with the Commission on July 9, 2001, addresses the issue raised by Sprint in the Motion for Reconsideration and, therefore, renders the Motion for Reconsideration moot.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of August, 2001.

Susan S. Masterton

P.O. Box 2214

Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Swar s, noting

850-599-1560

ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 000828-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Mail this 15th day of August, 2001 to the following:

Nancy White/J. Meza III c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

Ms. Patricia Christensen, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. R. Douglas Lackey/E. Earl Edenfield Jr. 675 W. Peachtree Street, NE Suite 4300 Atlanta, GA 30375

Susan S. Masterton

Swan S. motista