## ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

SEP -7 AMII: 4

# MCWHIRTER REEVES

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (\$13) 224-0866 (\$13) 221-1854 FAX PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 222-2525 (850) 222-2506 FAX

September 7, 2001

### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re: Docket No.: 960786-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications, Inc. (Covad), enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and 15 copies of the following:

 Response of DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications, Inc. to Suggestion by Staff to Strike Testimony of Colette Davis.

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy of each and return the stamped copies to me. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Willie Hordow Daugman

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

APP \_\_\_\_\_ CAF \_\_\_\_\_ CMP \_\_\_\_\_VGK/bae COM 5\_\_\_Enclosure ECR \_\_\_\_\_ ECR \_\_\_\_\_ LEG \_\_\_\_\_ OPC \_\_\_\_\_ PAI \_\_\_\_\_ RGO \_\_\_\_\_ SEC \_\_\_\_\_ MCN SER \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED & FILED FPSC DUREAU OF RECORDS

**OCUMENT NUMBER-DATE** PSC-COMMISSION CLERK 154 SEP-75

#### **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

)

)

In re: Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Entry into InterLATA Services Pursuant To Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. 960786-TL

Filed: September 7, 2001

#### RESPONSE OF DIECA COMMUNICATIONS, INC. d/b/a COVAD COMMUNICATIONS, INC. TO SUGGESTION BY STAFF TO STRIKE TESTIMONY OF COLETTE DAVIS

DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications, Inc. (Covad) hereby responds to Staff's suggestion that the Prehearing Officer strike, on his own motion, essentially all of the testimony filed by Covad witness, Colette Davis.<sup>1</sup> Ms. Davis' testimony should <u>not</u> be stricken. It is directly relevant to the issues to be considered in this case.

1. In this docket, the Commission will consider whether BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) has complied with the 14 point Checklist contained in the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). The purpose of the hearing in this matter is for the Commission to determine whether BellSouth is in compliance with those Checklist items at the time the Commission conducts its review. Both the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and the Department of Justice (DOJ) have clearly indicated that when they review a state's recommendation as to 271 relief, they want to be informed about the RBOC's performance at the time of the state's evaluation. This is the very sort of information Covad seeks to present in regard to whether BellSouth is providing nondiscriminatory access to loops.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Staff suggests that Ms. Davis' testimony at page 2, line 17 - page 17, line 4 and page 17, line 10 - page 19, line 18 be stricken. This is all Ms. Davis' substantive testimony.

2. Apparently, Staff seeks to strike Ms. Davis' testimony because it believes the testimony is "OSS-related." However, Covad was very careful in its testimony to refrain from discussing the functionality of BellSouth's OSS.<sup>2</sup> Instead, Covad's testimony is directly related to compliance with non-OSS Checklist items.

2. Checklist Item No. 4<sup>3</sup> relates to whether BellSouth provides nondiscriminatory access to loops. Ms. Davis' testimony squarely and directly addresses this issue.<sup>4</sup> Ms. Davis relates Covad's experience in attempting to secure access to unbundled loops from BellSouth and the numerous, discriminatory situations it has faced in attempting to do so.

3. Ms. Davis'testimony also addresses line sharing and the many problems Covad has experienced in dealing with BellSouth in an attempt to receive line shared loops.<sup>5</sup> Line sharing is an unbundled network element (UNE) to which BellSouth must offer nondiscriminatory access in order to demonstrate Checklist compliance. Covad's testimony provides direct and concrete evidence that BellSouth has failed to comply with this Checklist item.

4. Finally, Ms. Davis discusses BellSouth's own reported performance as to loop access

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> At page 2, line 17-20, Ms. Davis says: "Some of Covad's most serious problems are with OSS, particularly the failure of LENS to successfully support pre-ordering and ordering of xDSL and line shared loops, but I understand that those issues are not being addressed in this docket." And Covad did not address OSS issues in its testimony per its understanding of the Commission's prior orders. However, Covad could not possibly have contemplated so expansive a reading of "OSS-related" that it would not even permit Covad to describe to the Commission its inability to obtain nondiscriminatory access to loops.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Issue No. 5 in Order No. PSC-01-1025-PCO-TL at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See Davis testimony at pages 3-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See Davis testimony, pages 8-9.

and line sharing.<sup>6</sup> Ms. Davis does not take issue with the metrics themselves nor does she suggest other metrics which should be utilized or alternative calculations.<sup>7</sup> Rather, she simply discusses the very metrics which BellSouth <u>itself</u> reports and how BellSouth's <u>own</u> reporting indicates its failure to provide nondiscriminatory access to loops. This is "result-oriented" testimony which indicates how BellSouth is actually performing and how such performance impacts Checklist compliance. If such testimony is not relevant to this Commission's consideration of 271 compliance, it is difficult to imagine any testimony that would be relevant.

5. During the conference call in which Staff informed the parties that it intended to recommend to the Prehearing Officer that certain testimony be stricken, Staff stated that the only type of testimony it expected to receive in the evidentiary portion of the docket was testimony related to "what" BellSouth offered, "where" BellSouth offered it, and "under what conditions" BellSouth made an offering. This tortured view of the type of evidence the Commission should consider is simply wrong. It would fail to permit ALECs to offer evidence as to what BellSouth should and **must** offer to demonstrate Checklist compliance and whether such offerings are appropriately available to them. If this type of evidence is not permitted, the 271 case will essentially be limited to BellSouth's paper view of the marketplace; the ALECs' point of view regarding what the Checklist requires and whether BellSouth has actually met that criteria in practice will be fatally omitted. The case will basically turn into an academic exercise performed by BellSouth without any evidence of ALECs' real world experience.

6. Covad urges the Commission to refrain from so narrowly limiting the evidence in this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See Davis testimony, pages 10-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Covad understands that those topics are the subject of other dockets.

case. The Commission should welcome, and evaluate as the trier of fact, information which ALECs have to bring to this process. After all, the purpose of the Act is to open local markets to competition. Who better to enlighten the Commission as to whether or not that has occurred than ALECs who are attempting to compete with BellSouth every day?

WHEREFORE, Covad requests that Ms. Davis' testimony not be stricken from the record in this portion of the proceeding.

Wilii Hordowskaufman

Catherine F. Boone Covad Communications Company 10 Glenlake Parkway, Suite 650 Atlanta, Georgia 30328-3495 (678) 222-3466 (telephone) (678) 320-0004 (fax) cboone@covad.com

Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 222-2525 (telephone) (850) 222-5606 (fax) vkaufman@mac-law.com

Attorneys for Covad Communications Company

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing the Response of DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications, Inc. to Suggestion by Staff to Strike Testimony of Colette Davis has been furnished by (\*) hand delivery or by U. S. Mail on this <u>7th</u> day of September, 2001, to the following:

(\*) Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Jeremy Marcus Blumenfeld & Cohen 1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Suite 300 Washington DC 20036

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, inc. 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Miami Florida 32301

James Falvey e.spire Communications 131 National Business Parkway Suite 100 Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Michael Gross Florida Cable Telecommunications Association 246 E. 6th Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Kim Caswell GTE Post Office Box 110 FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601 Richard Melson Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314

Scott Sapperstein Intermedia One Intermedia Way MC FLT-HQ3 Tampa, Florida 33619-1309

Donna McNulty 325 John Knox Road Suite 105 Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Floyd Self/Norman Horton Messer Law Firm Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Pete Dunbar/Karen Camechis Pennington Law Firm Post Office Box10095 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Susan S. Masterton Sprint Post Office Box 2214 MC: FLTLH00107 Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214

Ken Hoffman Rutledge Law Firm Post Office Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551 Andrew Isar Ascent 3220 Uddenberg Lane, Suite 4 Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Matthew Feil Florida Digital Network, Inc. 390 North Orange Avenue Suite 2000 Orlando, Florida 32801

Angela Green, General Counsel Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc 125 S. Gadsden Street Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1525

Patrick Wiggins Katz, Kutter Law Firm 12th Floor 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Scheffel Wright Landers Law Firm Post Office Box 271 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street Suite 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Rodney L. Joyce 600 14th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington DC 20005-2004

John Kerkorian MPower 5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30342

CWA (Orl) Kenneth Ruth 2180 West State Road 434 Longwood, FL 32779

ITC^ DeltaCom Nanette S. Edwards 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802-4343

Network Access Solutions Corporation 100 Carpenter Drive, Suite 206 Sterling, VA 20164

Swidler & Berlin Richard Rindler/Michael Sloan 3000 K. St. NW #300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Suzanne F. Summerlin IDS Telcom L.L.C. 1311-B Paul Russell Road, Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Jim Lamoureux AT&T Communications, Inc. 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Room 8068 Atlanta, GA 30309

<u>Ui Uin Andme Daufman</u> Vicki Gordon Kaufman