### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s entry into interLATA services pursuant to Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960786-TL ORDER NO. PSC-01-1967-CFO-TL ISSUED: October 3, 2001

## ORDER GRANTING XO'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF DOCUMENT NO. 09775-01 (X-REF DOCUMENT NO. 08898-01)

Pursuant to Section 271(d)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has 90 days to issue a written determination approving or denying a Bell Operating Company's (BOC) application for interLATA authority. Further, the FCC is directed to consult with the appropriate State Commission before making a determination regarding the BOC's entry into the interLATA market. Specifically, the Act requires the FCC to consult with the State Commission in order to verify the BOC's compliance with the requirements of Section 271(c) of the Act. On June 28, 1996, we opened this docket to begin to fulfill our consultative role. The matter was set for hearing.

After hearing, having considered the record, by Order No. PSC-97-1459-FOF-TL, issued November 19, 1997, we rendered findings on whether BellSouth had met the requirements of Section 271(c). Specifically, we found that BellSouth was not eligible to proceed under Track B at that time, because it had received qualifying requests for interconnection that if implemented would meet the requirements of Section 271(c)(1)(A), also known as Track A. Our evaluation of the record on whether BellSouth met the requirements Section 271(c)(1)(A) indicated that while there was of а competitive alternative in the business market, there was not sufficient evidence to determine whether there was a competitive alternative in the residential market. Thus, based on the evidence in the record, we found that BellSouth had not met all of the requirements of Section 271(c)(1)(A).

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12525 OCT-3=

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

ORDER NO. PSC-01-1967-CFO-TL DOCKET NO. 960786-TL PAGE 2

On August 10, 2001, XO filed a Request for Confidential Classification of Document No. 09775-01-01 pursuant to Rule 25-22.006. Florida Administrative request Code. The seeks confidential classification of selected portions of Elina Padfield's Rebuttal testimony. Attachment A contains an explanation of the proprietary information along with a list that identifies the location of the information designated by XO as Specifically, XO asserts that the information confidential. contained in these exhibits includes information about the number of transactions that XO processed in Florida as well as payments due to XO from BellSouth as a result of BellSouth's failure to appropriately process XO orders. Further, XO contends that the public disclosure of this information would harm XO's business operations by limiting its ability to compete.

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." Rule 25-22.006(4)(c), Florida Administrative Code, provides that it is the Company's burden to demonstrate that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, or to demonstrate that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Upon review, it appears that the information for which XO seeks confidential classification in Attachment A is information that if disclosed, would cause harm to XO or its ratepayers by impairing XO's ability to compete. Therefore, I find that XO's request complies with Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and is hereby granted.

It is therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that XO Florida, Inc.'s August 10, 2001, Request for Confidential Classification of Document No. 09775-01, as set forth in Attachment A is hereby granted and incorporated by reference into this Order. It is further ORDER NO. PSC-01-1967-CFO-TL DOCKET NO. 960786-TL PAGE 3

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, any confidentiality granted to material specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of the issuance of this Order in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason as Prehearing Officer, this <u>3rd</u> day of <u>October</u>, <u>2001</u>.

J. TERRY DEASON Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

FRB

#### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

ORDER NO. PSC-01-1967-CFO-TL DOCKET NO. 960786-TL PAGE 4

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

# ATTACHMENT A

# **REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF XO'S**

## CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

### DOCKET NO. 960786-TL

# **Explanation of Proprietary Information**

1. The information provided contains XO-specific order information, such as the number of transactions processed. Public disclosure of this information would be harmful to XO's competitive interests as it would give other competitors insight into XO's on-going business affairs. Pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, such information is classified as proprietary, confidential business information which is exempt from section 119.07(1) and section 24(a), Art. 1 of the State Constitution.

<u>Page No.</u>	Line	<u>Reason</u>
3	16, 21	1
4	9, 10, 18, 21	1
6	4	1