### VOTE SHEET

### OCTOBER 16, 2001

RE: Docket No. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

ISSUE 1: Should the Joint Parties' Joint Request for Oral Argument on Joint Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-01-1577-FOF-TP to Clarify the Number Pooling Requirements be granted?

RECOMMENDATION: No. The Joint Parties' Joint Request for Oral Argument on Joint Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-01-1577-FOF-TP to Clarify

## **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Deason, Baez, Palecki 🚁

the Number Pooling Requirements should not be granted.

#### COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

<u>MAJORITY</u>	DISSENTING
Mushaul A Palech.	
A Term Leaso	
Mulusz	
<del></del>	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13170 OCT 175

VOTE SHEET

OCTOBER 16, 2001

Docket No. 010102-TP - Investigation of proposed updates to the Routing Data Base System (RDBS) and Business Rating Input Database System (BRIDS) affecting the Tampa telecommunications carriers.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should the Joint Parties' Joint Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-01-1577-FOF-TP to Clarify the Number Pooling Requirements be granted?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. The Joint Parties' Joint Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-01-1577-FOF-TP to Clarify the Number Pooling Requirements should be granted for the purposes of providing greater detail regarding implementation of the Order in question.

# **APPROVED**

ISSUE 3: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: No. This docket should remain open pending implementation of the number pooling trial.

## **APPROVED**