

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power  
cost recovery clause and  
generating performance incentive  
factor.

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 31, 2001

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-01-0665-PCO-EI, issued March 16, 2001, and Order No. PSC-01-1885-PCO-EI, issued September 21, 2001, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission files its Prehearing Statement.

a. All Known Witnesses

Kathy L. Welch

b. All Known Exhibits

Exhibit KLW-1            Staff Audit Report, Florida Power &  
Light, Fuel Adjustment (2000)

Exhibit KLW-2            Staff Audit Report, Florida Power &  
Light, Natural Gas Audit

Exhibit KLW-3            Staff Audit Report, Florida Public  
Utilities Company, Fuel Adjustment (2000)

c. Staff's Statement of Basic Position

Staff's positions are preliminary and based on materials filed by the parties and on discovery. The preliminary positions are offered to assist the parties in preparing for the hearing. Staff's final positions will be based upon all the evidence in the record and may differ from the preliminary positions stated herein.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13794 OCT 31 01

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

d. Staff's Position on the Issues

**GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES**

**ISSUE 1:** What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: No position at this time  
FPUC-Marianna: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 2:** What are the appropriate estimated/actual fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January, 2001 through December, 2001?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: No position at this time  
FPUC-Marianna: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 3:** What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January, 2002 to December, 2002?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: No position at this time  
FPUC-Marianna: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 4:** What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January, 2002 to December, 2002?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: No position at this time  
FPUC-Marianna: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 5:** What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

**POSITION:** The new factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2002, and thereafter through the last billing cycle for December 2002. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2002, and the last billing cycle may end after December 31, 2002, so long as each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the factors became effective.

**ISSUE 6:** What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/ delivery voltage level class?

**POSITION:**

FPC:	Delivery	Line Loss	
	<u>Group</u>	<u>Voltage Level</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	A.	Transmission	0.9800
	B.	Distribution Primary	0.9900
	C.	Distribution Secondary	1.0000
	D.	Lighting Service	1.0000

FPL: Staff's position will be reflected in its position on Issue 7.

FPUC:	<u>Marianna</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	All Rate Schedules	1.0000
	<u>Fernandina Beach</u>	
	All Rate Schedules	1.0000

GULF: See table below:

Group	Rate Schedules*	Line Loss Multipliers
A	RS, GS, GSD, GSDT, SBS, OSIII, OSIV	1.01228
B	LP, LPT, SBS	0.98106
C	PX, PXT, SBS, RTP	0.96230
D	OSI, OSII	1.01228

\*The multiplier applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 100 to 499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

TECO:	<u>Group</u>	<u>Multiplier</u>
	Group A	1.0035
	Group A1	n/a*
	Group B	1.0009
	Group C	0.9792

\*Group A1 is based on Group A, 15% of On-Peak and 85% of Off-Peak.

**ISSUE 7:** What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: No position at this time  
FPUC-Marianna: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 8:** What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January, 2002 to December, 2002?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
FPUC-Fernandina Beach: No position at this time  
FPUC-Marianna: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 9:** What is the appropriate benchmark level for calendar year 2001 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive as set forth by Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, in Docket No. 991779-EI, issued September 26, 2000, for each investor-owned electric utility?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 10:** What is the appropriate estimated benchmark level for calendar year 2002 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive as set forth by Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, in Docket No. 991779-EI, issued September 26, 2000, for each investor-owned electric utility?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time  
FPL: No position at this time  
GULF: No position at this time  
TECO: No position at this time

**ISSUE 11:** Has each investor-owned electric utility taken reasonable steps to manage the risks associated with its fuel transactions through the use of physical and financial hedging practices?

**POSITION:** The Commission should address Issues 11 through 14, 18A, and 19D in a separate proceeding to allow those issues to be examined more closely.

**ISSUE 12:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for gains and losses from hedging an investor-owned electric utility's fuel transactions through futures contracts?

**POSITION:** The Commission should address Issues 11 through 14, 18A, and 19D in a separate proceeding to allow those issues to be examined more closely.

**ISSUE 13:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the premiums received and paid for hedging an investor-owned electric utility's fuel transactions through options contracts?

**POSITION:** The Commission should address Issues 11 through 14, 18A, and 19D in a separate proceeding to allow those issues to be examined more closely.

**ISSUE 14:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the transaction costs associated with an investor-owned electric utility hedging its fuel transactions?

**POSITION:** The Commission should address Issues 11 through 14, 18A, and 19D in a separate proceeding to allow those issues to be examined more closely.

**ISSUE 15:** What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for capital projects with an in-service date on or after January 1, 2002, that are expected to reduce long-term fuel costs?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 16:** What is the appropriate rate of return on the unamortized balance of capital projects with an in-service date on or after January 1, 2002, that are expected to reduce long-term fuel costs?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 17:** If an investor-owned electric utility exceeds the ceiling on its authorized return on common equity, can and/or should the Commission reduce by a commensurate amount recovery of prudently-incurred expenditures through the Commission's fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?

**POSITION:** Staff believes this issue can be withdrawn.

**ISSUE 17A:**  
Should voluntary funding of the Gas Research Institute (GRI) surcharge be recovered through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause?

**POSITION:** Staff became aware of this issue after the deadline for the utilities to file testimony. The Commission should defer this issue until the evidentiary hearing held in

November 2002, in Docket No. 020001-EI to allow all parties an opportunity to file testimony.

**COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES**

**Florida Power & Light Company**

**ISSUE 18A:**

For the period March 1999, to March 2001, did FPL take reasonable steps to manage the risk associated with changes in natural gas prices?

**POSITION:** The Commission should address Issues 11 through 14, 18A, and 19D in a separate proceeding to allow those issues to be examined more closely.

**ISSUE 18B:**

Is FPL's aerial survey method of its coal inventory at Plant Scherer as stated in Audit Disclosure No. 1 of Audit Control No. 01-053-4-1 consistent with the method set forth in Order No. PSC-97-0359-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 970001-EI, issued March 31, 1997?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 18C:**

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for sales of natural gas and transportation capacity made by FPL to an affiliated company?

**POSITION:** When FPL buys natural gas and/or transportation capacity for resale to an affiliated company, FPL should treat both revenues and expenses as a non-utility transaction. FPL should exclude these revenues and expenses from utility operations for both fuel adjustment and earnings surveillance purposes.



**ISSUE 18D:**

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for sales of natural gas and transportation capacity made by FPL to an unaffiliated company?

**POSITION:** When FPL buys natural gas and/or transportation capacity for resale to an unaffiliated company, FPL should treat both revenues and expenses as a non-utility transaction. FPL should exclude these revenues and expenses from utility operations for both fuel adjustment and earnings surveillance purposes.

**ISSUE 18E:**

How should FPL allocate the costs associated with its sales of natural gas to Florida Power and Light Energy Services?

**POSITION:** The Commission can more appropriately address this issue in the FPL rate review proceeding in Docket No. 001148-EI. This docket is set for hearing for April 10-12, 2002 and April 15-16, 2002.

**ISSUE 18F:**

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment of Florida Power and Light Energy Services' revenues and costs made to customers within FPL's service area?

**POSITION:** The Commission can more appropriately address this issue in the FPL rate review proceeding in Docket No. 001148-EI. This docket is set for hearing for April 10-12, 2002 and April 15-16, 2002.

**ISSUE 18G:**

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment of Florida Power and Light Energy Services' revenues and costs made to customer outside of FPL's service area?

**POSITION:** The Commission can more appropriately address this issue in the FPL rate review proceeding in Docket No. 001148-

EI. This docket is set for hearing for April 10-12, 2002 and April 15-16, 2002.

**ISSUE 18H:**

Are the costs associated with Florida Power & Light Company's purchase of 50 MW firm capacity and associated energy from Florida Power Corporation reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 18I:**

Are the costs associated with Florida Power & Light Company's purchase of approximately 1,000 MW of capacity and associated energy from Progress Energy Ventures, Reliant Energy Services, and Oleander Power Project L. P. reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 18J:**

Should the Commission allow Florida Power & Light Company to recover through the fuel and capacity cost recovery clauses payments made to Cedar Bay resulting from litigation between FPL and Cedar Bay?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 18K:**

What is the status of Florida Power & Light Company's request to recover costs associated with the contract dispute with Cedar Bay through the Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Clauses?

**POSITION:** This issue can be subsumed in Issue 18J.

**Florida Power Corporation**

**ISSUE 19A:**

Has Florida Power Corporation confirmed the validity of the methodology used to determine the equity component of Electric Fuels Corporation's capital structure for calendar year 2000?

**POSITION:** Yes. The annual audit of EFC's revenue requirements under a full utility-type regulatory treatment confirms the appropriateness of the "short-cut" methodology used to determine the equity component of EFC's capital structure.

**ISSUE 19B:**

Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the market price true-up for coal purchases from Powell Mountain?

**POSITION:** Yes. The calculation has been made in accordance with the market pricing methodology approved by the Commission in Docket No. 860001-EI-G.

**ISSUE 19C:**

Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the 2000 price for waterborne transportation services provided by Electric Fuels Corporation?

**POSITION:** Yes. The calculation has been made in accordance with the market pricing methodology approved by the Commission in Docket No. 930001-EI.

**ISSUE 19D:**

For the period March 1999, to March 2001, did Florida Power take reasonable steps to manage the risk associated with changes in natural gas prices?

**POSITION:** The Commission should address Issues 11 through 14, 18A, and 19D in a separate proceeding to allow those issues to be examined more closely.

**ISSUE 19E:**

Were Florida Power's replacement fuel costs for the unplanned outage at Crystal River Unit 2, commencing on June 1, 2000, reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 19F:**

Should the Commission allow Florida Power to recover payments made to Lake Cogen, Ltd. resulting from litigation between Florida Power and Lake Cogen, Ltd.?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**Florida Public Utilities Company**

**ISSUE 20A:**

As stated in Audit Disclosure No. 1 in Audit Control No. 01-053-4-2, did Florida Public Utilities Company charge its ratepayers in its GSD class a fuel cost recovery factor that was less than the Commission-approved fuel cost recovery factor for that class?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 20B:**

If Florida Public Utilities Company did charge its ratepayers in its GSD class a fuel cost recovery factor that was less than the Commission-approved fuel cost recovery factor for that class, what are the appropriate corrective actions Florida Public Utilities Company should take?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**Tampa Electric Company**

**ISSUE 21A:**

What is the appropriate 2000 waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company?

**POSITION:** \$26.23 per ton

**ISSUE 21B:**

Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 2000 waterborne transportation benchmark price?

**POSITION:** Yes. Tampa Electric Company's actual costs are below the benchmark as calculated by both staff and the company; therefore, this issue is moot.

**ISSUE 21C:**

For the period January 1998, to December 2000, were Tampa Electric Company's decisions regarding its wholesale energy purchases from and its wholesale energy sales to Hardee Power Partners reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 21D:**

For the period January 1998, to December 2000, were Tampa Electric Company's decisions regarding its wholesale energy purchases from and its wholesale energy sales to non-affiliated entities reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 21E:**

Is Tampa Electric's lease of 39 portable generators to provide 70 MW of peaking capacity reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 21F:**

Is Tampa Electric's proposal to refund \$6.37 million from 1999 earnings to its ratepayers from January 2002, to March 2002, reasonable?

**POSITION:** Yes. Order No. PSC-01-0113-PAA-EI, issued in Docket No. 950379-EI, provides that TECO refund \$6,102,126, plus interest, as of December 31, 2000 to the time the actual refund is completed. The Office of Public Counsel has protested this order, and staff can not determine the final refund amount at this time. However, the amount will be at least the \$6.37 million that has been included in the current filing. Tampa Electric has properly allocated the \$6.37 million among its rate classes.

**ISSUE 21G:**

Does Tampa Electric currently allocate 100% of purchased power costs to retail customers? If so, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 21H:**

Should Tampa Electric's separated wholesale sales be charged average system fuel costs and should non-separated sales be charged system incremental costs?

**POSITION:** By Order No. 97-0262-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 970001-EI, issued March 11, 1997, the Commission requires an investor-owned electric utility to credit system average fuel costs to its fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause for a utility's separated wholesale energy sales. The Commission may approve an alternative treatment if the utility demonstrates that the wholesale energy sale provides net benefits to the utility's retail ratepayers.

In staff's October 25, 2001, recommendation in Docket No. 010283-EI, staff recommended that an investor-owned

electric utility should credit system incremental energy costs to the utility's fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause for each non-separated wholesale energy sale. Under staff's recommendation, the utility would comply with this treatment whether the utility generated or purchased the energy used to make the non-separated wholesale energy sale.

**ISSUE 21I:**

Should the Commission open a docket to require Tampa Electric Company to quantify the magnitude of the past overcharges to retail customers due to its inappropriate management of its long-term wholesale contracts?

**POSITION:** This issue is subsumed in Issue 21D.

**ISSUE 21J:**

Should the Commission hold Tampa Electric Company's proposed \$86 million fuel true-up in abeyance pending the outcome of the new docket recommended in Issue 21G?

**POSITION:** This issue is subsumed in Issue 1-3.

**ISSUE 21K:**

Should the Commission open a docket to conduct an investigation of Tampa Electric Company's affiliate transactions and its procurement of power for its wholesale customers to determine whether Tampa Electric Company's actions regarding affiliate transactions are prudent and beneficial to retail customers?

**POSITION:** This issue is subsumed in Issue 21C.

**ISSUE 21L:**

Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's requested fuel factor?

**POSITION:** This issue is subsumed in Issue 4.

**Gulf Power Company**

**ISSUE 22A:**

Were Gulf Power's replacement fuel costs for the unplanned outage at Crist Unit 2, commencing on August 2, 2000, reasonable?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**ISSUE 22B:**

As stated in Audit Disclosure No. 3 of Audit Control No. 01-053-1-1 and Audit Disclosure No. 3 of Audit Control No. 01-023-1-1, did Gulf Power Company overstate Interchange Sales reported for the year ended December 31, 2000, by \$385,796?

**POSITION:** Yes. Gulf Power inadvertently overstated the emission allowance costs related to Interchange Sales in August 2000, which understated net recoverable fuel expense by \$385,796 in 2000.

**ISSUE 22C:**

If Gulf Power Company did overstate Interchange Sales reported for the year ended December 31, 2000, by \$385,796, what are the appropriate corrective actions that Gulf Power Company should take?

**POSITION:** No position at this time.

**GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES**

**ISSUE 23:** What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January, 2000 through December, 2000 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

**POSITION:** Refer to Attachment A



**ISSUE 24:** What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January, 2002 through December, 2002 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

**POSITION:** Refer to Attachment A

#### COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

**ISSUE 24A:**

Should the actual 2000 heat rates for the Big Bend Units #1 and #2 be adjusted for the flue gas desulfurization's (FGD) impact on Tampa Electric's 2000 reward/penalty?

**POSITION:** Yes. The Commission approved similar adjustments to the actual data for Big Bend Unit 3 from July 1995 to March 1998, when Tampa Electric initiated flue gas desulfurization for that unit. In the next three fuel adjustment hearings, these adjustments will be necessary for the actual heat rate data for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003.

**ISSUE 24B:**

Should the heat rate targets for the year 2002 for Big Bend Units #1 and #2 be adjusted for the FGD's impact on Tampa Electric's eventual 2002 reward/penalty?

**POSITION:** Yes. Adjustments to the heat rates for these units ensures comparability between heat rate targets, which are modeled using historical data, and the actual data for the same periods. These adjustments will also be necessary for the heat rate targets for the year 2003, in Docket No. 020001-EI.

#### GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

**ISSUE 25:** What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January, 2000 through December, 2000?

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI

PAGE 18

**POSITION:** FPC: \$1,402,548 under recovery.  
FPL: \$2,850,420 under recovery.  
GULF: \$340,856 over recovery.  
TECO: \$589,079 under recovery.

**ISSUE 26:** What are the appropriate estimated/actual capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January, 2001 through December, 2001?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time.  
FPL: No position at this time.  
GULF: No position at this time.  
TECO: No position at this time.

**ISSUE 27:** What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time.  
FPL: No position at this time.  
GULF: No position at this time.  
TECO: No position at this time.

**ISSUE 28:** What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time.  
FPL: No position at this time.  
GULF: No position at this time.  
TECO: No position at this time.

**ISSUE 29:** What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors to be applied to determine the capacity costs to be recovered during the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI

PAGE 19

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time.  
FPL: 99.03598%  
GULF: 96.50747%  
TECO: No position at this time.

**ISSUE 30:** What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery class for the period January, 2002 through December, 2002?

**POSITION:** FPC: No position at this time.  
FPL: No position at this time.  
GULF: No position at this time.  
TECO: No position at this time.

**COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES**

**ISSUE 31:** What is the appropriate adjustment to Gulf Power Company's total recoverable capacity payments to reflect the former capacity transactions embedded in the company's base rates, as reflected on line 8 of Schedule CCE-1?

**POSITION:** The appropriate adjustment to reflect the capacity transactions embedded in Gulf's current base rates is \$715,113. This represents an adjustment for the period January 1, 2002 through June 7, 2002. Pursuant to a Petition For Permanent Rate Relief filed by Gulf on September 10, 2001, Gulf's base rates are currently scheduled to change effective June 7, 2002. After June 7, 2002, an adjustment is no longer appropriate because the base rates upon which the adjustment was determined will no longer be in effect.

e. Pending Motions

Staff has no pending motions.

f. Pending Confidentiality Claims or Requests

1. Florida Power Corporation's Request for Confidential Classification for specified responses to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories and Staff's First Request for Production of Documents, filed October 11, 2001, is pending.
2. Florida Power & Light Company's Request for Confidential Classification for specified responses to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories and Staff's First Request for Production of Documents, filed September 24, 2001, is pending.
3. Gulf Power Company's Request for Confidential Classification for specified responses to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories and Staff's First Request for Production of Documents, filed October 9, 2001, is pending.
4. Tampa Electric Company's Request for Confidential Classification for specified responses to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories, filed September 26, 2001, is pending.
5. Tampa Electric Company's Request for Confidential Classification for specified portions of Page 2 of 2 of Exhibit JTW-1 of Joann T. Wehle, filed September 20, 2001, is pending.

g. Compliance with Order No. PSC-01-0665-PCO-EI

Staff has complied with all requirements of Order No. PSC-01-0665-PCO-EI (Order Establishing Procedure) and all subsequent orders revising the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket.

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT  
DOCKET NO. 010001-EI  
PAGE 21

Respectfully submitted this 31<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2001.

*Wm. Cochran Keating IV*

---

WM. COCHRAN KEATING IV  
Staff Counsel  
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Gerald L. Gunter Building  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863  
(850)413-6193

## GPIF REWARDS/PENALTIES

January 2000 to December 2000

<u>Utility</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reward/Penalty</u>
Florida Power Corporation	\$ 266,919	Reward
Florida Power and Light Company	\$ 9,004,713	Reward
Gulf Power Company	\$ 379,732	Reward
Tampa Electric Company	\$ 1,095,745	Reward

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>		<u>Heat Rate</u>	
	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Adjusted Actual</u>
<u>FPC</u>				
Anclote 1	92.4	84.5	10,022	10,177
Anclote 2	83.9	86.7	10,025	10,085
Crystal River 1	90.3	89.1	9,851	9,840
Crystal River 2	75.3	53.4	9,851	9,735
Crystal River 3	93.4	96.8	10,357	10,333
Crystal River 4	75.7	77.1	9,422	9,308
Crystal River 5	94.0	91.2	9,394	9,313
Bartow 3	82.8	80.9	10,140	10,201
Tiger Bay	79.1	81.0	7,590	7,695
<u>FPL</u>				
Cape Canaveral 1	92.4	90.8	9,511	9,541
Cape Canaveral 2	78.2	77.2	9,690	9,764
Fort Lauderdale 4	93.5	91.3	7,349	7,334
Fort Lauderdale 5	93.5	89.9	7,358	7,303
Fort Myers 2	92.7	88.9	9,321	9,442
Manatee 2	71.7	81.1	10,162	10,131
Martin 3	94.2	95.3	6,996	6,770
Martin 4	91.6	95.3	6,906	6,685
Port Everglades 3	95.8	94.6	9,748	9,631
Port Everglades 4	88.2	83.7	9,664	9,647
Putnam 1	91.2	92.9	8,937	8,934
Sanford 4	92.3	90.8	10,016	10,522
Sanford 5	89.3	91.8	10,290	10,247
Turkey Point 3	84.6	90.1	11,066	11,095
Turkey Point 4	84.6	89.2	11,093	11,088
St. Lucie 1	93.6	100.0	10,854	10,805
St. Lucie 2	84.6	90.3	10,872	10,837
Scherer 4	94.2	98.0	9,989	10,036

GPIF REWARDS/PENALTIES  
January 2000 to December 2000

<u>Utility/ Plant/Unit</u>	<u>EAF</u>		<u>Heat Rate</u>	
	<u>Target</u>	Adjusted <u>Actual</u>	<u>Target</u>	Adjusted <u>Actual</u>
<u>Gulf</u>				
Crist 6	84.3	73.5	10,629	10,515
Crist 7	77.3	79.2	10,236	10,241
Smith 1	90.6	92.6	10,332	10,227
Smith 2	89.2	91.5	10,137	10,143
Daniel 1	75.3	80.0	10,237	10,267
Daniel 2	74.5	81.3	10,105	10,046
<u>TECO</u>				
Big Bend 1	78.1	74.3	10,127	10,091
Big Bend 2	80.6	83.2	10,061	9,811
Big Bend 3	76.3	79.6	10,197	9,841
Big Bend 4	84.4	86.1	9,976	9,799
Gannon 5	75.3	57.2	10,562	10,766
Gannon 6	72.2	28.2	10,507	10,529





## GPIF TARGETS

January 2002 to December 2002

Utility/  
Plant/UnitEAFHeat Rate

	<u>Company</u>			<u>Staff</u>	<u>Company</u>		<u>Staff</u>
	<u>EAF</u>	<u>POF</u>	<u>EUOF</u>				
<u>Gulf</u>							
Crist 4	90.9	6.3	2.8	Agree	10,499		Agree
Crist 6	77.3	15.9	6.8	Agree	10,546		Agree
Crist 7	79.7	10.1	10.2	Agree	10,196		Agree
Smith 1	90.7	6.8	2.5	Agree	10,054		Agree
Smith 2	86.6	10.7	2.7	Agree	10,050		Agree
Daniel 1	88.0	2.5	9.5	Agree	10,191		Agree
Daniel 2	70.7	21.6	7.7	Agree	9,906		Agree
<u>TECO</u>							
Big Bend 1	77.3	3.8	18.9	Agree	10,231	No Position	
Big Bend 2	66.7	19.2	14.1	Agree	9,928	No Position	
Big Bend 3	67.5	15.3	17.2	Agree	10,036		Agree
Big Bend 4	82.6	5.8	11.6	Agree	10,089		Agree
Gannon 5	56.7	15.3	27.9	Agree	10,716		Agree
Gannon 6	63.9	18.1	18.0	Agree	10,704		Agree
Polk 1	78.0	7.7	14.3	Agree	10,087		Agree

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power  
cost recovery clause and  
generating performance incentive  
factor.

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI

FILED: OCTOBER 31, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one true and correct copy of STAFF'S  
PREHEARING STATEMENT has been furnished by U. S. Mail this 31<sup>st</sup> day of  
October 2001 to the following:

Jeffrey Stone/Russell Badders  
Beggs and Lane Law Firm  
P. O. Box 12950  
Pensacola, FL 32501-2950

James A. McGee  
Florida Power Corporation  
P. O. Box 14042  
St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Susan D. Ritenour  
Gulf Power Company  
One Energy Place  
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman  
McWhirter Law Firm  
117 South Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Fla. Industrial Power  
Users Group  
c/o John McWhirter, Jr.  
McWhirter, Reeves Law Firm  
P. O. Box 3350  
Tampa, FL 33601-3350

R. Wade Litchfield  
Florida Power & Light Company  
700 Universe Blvd.  
Juno Beach, FL 33408

Robert Vandiver  
Office of Public Counsel  
c/o The Florida Legislature  
111 West Madison Street, #812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Florida Public Utilities Co.  
George Bachman/John T. English  
P. O. Box 3395  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Norman H. Horton, Jr.  
Messer Law Firm  
215 South Monroe Street, Ste. 701  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Angela Llewellyn  
Tampa Electric Company  
P. O. Box 111  
Tampa FL 33601-0111

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  
DOCKET NO. 010001-EI  
PAGE 2

Florida Power & Light Company  
Bill Walker  
215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 810  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Ausley & McMullen Law Firm  
James Beasley/Lee Willis  
P. O. Box 391  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Steel, Hector & Davis Law Firm  
Matthew M. Childs  
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804



---

WM. COCHRAN KEATING IV  
Staff Counsel  
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850  
(850) 413-6193