RICHARD M. PACHULSKI, ESQ. (SBN 90073) KENNETH H. BROWN, ESQ. (SBN 100396) JOHN D. FIERO, ESQ. (SBN 136557) 2 PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL, YOUNG & JONES P.C. 3 Three Embarcadero Center Suite 1020 4 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 263-7000 Telephone: 020000 5 (415) 263-7010 Facsimile: Email: kdbrown@pszyj.com 6 Attorneys for E. Lynn Schoenmann, Chapter 7 7 Trustee 8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 NORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS Case No. 01-30127-C7 GROUP, INC., NORTHPOINT 12 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., (Jointly Administered with: 01-30125-C7; 01-30126-NORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS OF C7; and 01-30128-C7) 13 VIRGINIA, INC., and NORTHPOINT INTERNATIONAL, INC., Chapter 7 14 Debtors. NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR 15 ORDER LIMITING SCOPE OF NOTICE 16 Date: September 3, 2002 Time: 9:30 a.m. 17 235 Pine St., 23rd Floor Place: San Francisco, CA 94104 18 Hon. Thomas E. Carlson Judge: 19 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 3, 2002, at 9:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter 20 as the matter may be heard, in the Courtroom of the Honorable Thomas Carlson, United States Bankruptcy Court, 235 Pine Street, 23rd Floor, San Francisco, California, E. Lynn Schoenmann, 21 the duly appointed and acting Chapter 7 trustee ("Trustee") in the above captioned Chapter 7 cases, will and hereby does move the court for entry of an order pursuant to § 105(a) of title 11 of 22 the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") 2002 and 9007, and Bankruptcy Local Rules of the United States District 23 Court for the Northern District of California (the "B.L.R.") 2002-1 establishing notice and service requirements for the above-captioned chapter 7 cases. 24 Pursuant to the motion ("Motion") the Trustee seeks, inter alia, the entry of an order 25 limiting the scope of notice with respect to various types of proceeding as set forth in detail in the Motion to the following parties:

77350-001\DOCS\_SF:21796.1

(1)

(a)

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

08694 AUG 198

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
The Debtors and their counsel (Latham & Watkins);

the following parties (collectively, the "Core Group"):

NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR ORDER LIMITING SCOPE OF NOTICE Case No.: 01-30127-C7

CAF CMP COM CTR ECR GCL OPC MMS SEC

AUS

26 27 28

PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL, YOUNG & JONES P.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

8120/02

1		(b)	The Trustee and her counsel (Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones);
2		(c)	the United States Trustee;
3		(d)	Counsel for Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, as
4		` ,	administrative agent for the lenders of the Pre-Petition Facility (the "Prepetition Agent");
5		(e)	Counsel to The Bank of New York, as trustee under the indenture
6		(-)	governing the Senior Notes (the "Trustee");
7	(2)	The t	wenty (20) largest creditors of the Debtors on a consolidated basis;
8	(3)	Coun	sel for Monarch Global Capital, the Verizon Litigation lender;
9	(4)		parties that are directly affected by the particular Limited Notice eding (the "Affected Parties"); and
10			s who have filed with the Court, and provided counsel for the Debtors
11		Proce	a written demand to be served with all notices of Limited Notice sedings or hereafter file with the court and provide counsel for the
12			ee with a written demand to be served with all notices of Limited e Proceedings.
13	The statutory basis for the relief requested herein are § 105 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 9007. The Motion is made on the grounds set forth in detail the Motion, and the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of the Motion. Copies of these documents may be obtained by making a written request to Adriana V. Zargoza, Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones, Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020, San Francisco, California, 94111-5994. The papers are also on file with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court located at 235		
14			
15			
16			
17	Pine Street, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco CA.		
18	The Motion is based upon this Notice, the Motion, and the Memorandum of Points and		
19	Authorities filed concurrently, all pleadings and papers on file in these chapter 7 cases, and the preceding chapter 11 cases, the oral argument of counsel, and any evidence submitted to the		
20	Court prior to or during the hearing on this Motion.		
21	Any opposition to the relief requested in the Motion must be filed and served upon the undersigned no less than five days before the date of the hearing on the Motion pursuant to L.B.R. 9014-1(c)(2).		
22			
23	DATED: August 13	3, 2002	PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL, YOUNG & JONES P.C.
24			1/
25			By: Senger Brown
26			Kenneth H. Brown Attorneys for E. Lynn Schoenmann,
27			Chapter 7 Trustee
28			
20	N.		

MOTION PURSUANT TO 9019
TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

detail in the <u>Trustee's Motion Pursuant To F.R.B.P. 9019 For Order Approving Compromise Of Controversey With Verizon Communications, Inc.</u> ("Motion") and the Declarations of E. Lynn Schoenmann in Support of the Compromise Motion ("Schoenmann Declaration") and Daniel Weinstein.

You may review copies of the Motion and the Settlement Agreement (which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Schoenmann Declaration) by sending a written request for these documents to Adriana V. Zargoza, Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones, Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020, San Francisco, California, 94111-5994. The papers are also on file with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court located at 235 Pine Street, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco CA. The facts upon which the Settlement Agreement is based and the essential terms of the settlement are summarized below.

## I. FACTS

NorthPoint Group, Inc. and NorthPoint Communications, Inc. (collectively "NorthPoint") entered into a Merger Agreement and related funding agreement with Verizon in August 2000 ("Merger Agreement"). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Verizon was to contribute \$800,000,000 of cash (including \$350,000,000 of interim financing and more than \$500,000,000 of assets to a new combined entity. In exchange, Verizon would receive a 55% interest in the new entity's business. NorthPoint experienced losses after the Merger Agreement was executed, which it alleges were within those contemplated by the parties. Prior to the closing of the merger, Verizon purported to cancel the merger on the alleged grounds that a "Material Adverse Effect" on NorthPoint's business, operations or financial condition had occurred since the Merger Agreement was executed. In response to the termination of the Merger Agreement by Verizon and the initiation of litigation by Verizon in Delaware, NorthPoint initiated litigation against Verizon in San Francisco Superior Court on December 8, 2000. The Trustee subsequently filed a second amended complaint asserting claims, for among other things breach of contract, fraud and negligent misrepresentation, styled E. Lynn Schoenmann, Trustee for NorthPoint Communications Group, Inc. and NorthPoint Communications, Inc. v, Verizon Communications, Inc. et al, assigned case No. 317249 ("Verizon Litigation"). In the Verizon Litigation, the Trustee alleges that the termination of the merger was improper since no Material Adverse Effect had in fact occurred and that the Merger Agreement only allowed Verizon to terminate the merger in extremely limited circumstances, none of which were applicable. Verizon disputes this.

Prior to the scheduled trial date of July 29, 2002, the parties engaged in extensive discovery and motion practice between December 8, 2000 (when the litigation was commenced in San Francisco) and July 23, 2002, when the case was settled, subject to entry of an order by this Court approving the settlement. In this regard, Verizon produced approximately 25 boxes of documents in response to four sets of requests for production of documents. The Trustee and the Debtors produced over 100 boxes of documents in response to five sets of requests for production. In total, the parties have exchanged over a quarter of a million pages of documents and taken over 60 depositions of percipient witnesses. Eleven expert depositions were also taken. Following discovery, the parties engaged in a two day mediation before retired Judge Daniel Weinstein of San Francisco's Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services (JAMS) with follow-up conversations thereafter. The mediation resulted in settlement that Judge Weinstein (Retired)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

has advised is a very good settlement for the NorthPoint estates in light of the litigation risks and uncertainties.

## ESSENTIAL TERMS OF THE COMPRISE

The essential terms of the Settlement between the Trustee and Verizon are as follows:

- 1. The Settlement is contingent upon an entry of an order by the Bankruptcy Court approving the terms of the settlement and the parties shall cooperate in attempting to obtain such an order. The order shall provide until the order approving the Settlement Agreement becomes a final order, not subject to appeals, the Bankruptcy Court shall not enter an order either: (i) dismissing the above captioned bankruptcy cases ("Bankruptcy Case"); (ii) closing the Bankruptcy Case; or (iii) providing for a final decree closing any case under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code to which the Bankruptcy Case may subsequently be converted.
- 2. Verizon shall pay the sum of \$175,000,000 ("Settlement Amount") to the Trustee by wire transfer within 10 days of the enuy of an order by the Bankruptcy Court approving the terms of the settlement;
- 3. To the extent not already reimbursed, the Trustee and Verizon shall each reimburse the other parties' experts in accordance with applicable provisions of the California Code of Civil Procedure;
- 4. Verizon and its affiliates shall release the Trustee and the Debtors and all of their former and present officers, directors, agents, employees and affiliates from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, losses, liabilities, expenses, and attorneys' fees, known and unknown, past and present and shall waive the provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542;
- The Trustee, on behalf of NorthPoint and its affiliates, shall release Verizon and all of its former and present officers, directors, agents, employees and affiliates from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, losses, liabilities, expenses, and attorneys' fees, known and unknown, past and present and shall waive the provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542;
- Verizon and its affiliates shall withdraw any and all claims filed in the Debtors bankruptcy cases; and
- 7. Upon the Trustee's receipt of the Settlement Amount, the Verizon Litigation shall be dismissed with prejudice and each side shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs, except as set forth in paragraph 3 above.

## III. THE SETTLEMENT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE ESTATES.

The Debtor believes that the Settlement Agreement is in the best interest of the NorthPoint estates. The settlement will result in the prompt payment of \$175,000,000 to the Trustee. The settlement also relieves the estates of claims asserted by Verizon to exceed \$31,085,856.60. The results of litigation concerning the termination of the Merger Agreement are uncertain. Furthermore, the settlement avoids the inherent cost and delay associated with protracted litigation and the inevitable appeals that would follow in the absence of a settlement. Accordingly, the Trustee believes that the settlement of the Verizon Litigation on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the NorthPoint estates.

The Motion is based upon this Notice, the Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations filed concurrently, all pleadings and papers on file in these Chapter 7 cases, and the preceding Chapter 11 cases, the oral argument of counsel, and any evidence

PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL, YOUNG & JONES P.C. ATTORNEYS ATLAW
SAN PRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

submitted to the Court prior to or during the hearing on this Motion.

Any opposition to the relief requested in the Motion must be filed and served upon the undersigned and no less than five days before the date of the hearing on the Motion pursuant to L.B.R. 9014-1(c)(2).

Dated: August 13, 2002

PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL, YOUNG & JONES P.C.

Kenneth H. Brown

Attorneys for for E. Lynn Schoenmann,

Chapter 7 Trustee

05 8 MA 61 3UA SO

DISTRIBUTION CENTER

MOTION PURSUANT TO 9019 TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY