In re: Cost recovery and allocation issues for number pooling trials in Florida.

DOCKET NO. 001503-TP ORDER NO. PSC-02-1416-CFO-TP ISSUED: October 15, 2002

ORDER GRANTING SPRINT'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION FOR DOCUMENT NO. 10500-02

On September 29, 2000, this docket was established to address cost recovery and allocation issues for number pooling trials in Florida. On December 12, 2000, the Commission held a workshop. By Order No. PSC-02-0466-PAA-TP, issued April 5, 2002, (Consummating Order No. PSC-02-0590-CO-TP, issued April 30, 2002), we ordered that Commission-regulated carriers seeking recovery should file a petition with a cost recovery mechanism that would meet federal and state law and all supporting documents related to their cost analysis. On September 30, 2002, Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (Sprint) filed its Petition for Cost Recovery.

Sprint filed its Request for September 30, 2002, Confidential Classification Pursuant to Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, for highlighted portions of pages 3, 4, 9, and 12, of Attachment to Exhibit A to its Petition for Cost Recovery (Document Attachment A, attached hereto and incorporated No. 10500-02. herein, contains a detailed justification of the confidentiality of the information at issue. Sprint contends that the information for which the request is submitted is vendor-specific pricing information, or information from which such information can be derived, trade secret or other highly proprietary contractual, competitive or valuable information. Sprint asserts that this information meets the definition of confidential proprietary Sprint also states that the subject business information. information has not been publicly released and that it is required by contract to keep certain information confidential. Further, Sprint contends that release of the information could impair the efforts of the company or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms, thus causing harm to the company's business operations, and could impair the competitive business of the company.

DOCUMENT ALMEER - DATE

11166 OCT 158

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." Rule 25-22.006(4)(c), Florida Administrative Code, provides that it is the Company's burden to demonstrate that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, or to demonstrate that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, in pertinent part, provides:

The term "proprietary confidential business information" means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or provides private agreement that that the information will not be released to the public.

Based on the definition of proprietary confidential business information in Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, it appears that the material described herein is proprietary business information in accordance with Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code. Disclosure of this information would give its competitors an artificial competitive advantage, allowing them to successfully compete against Sprint without the usual market trial and error. As such, Sprint's Request for Specified Confidential Classification of Document No. 10500-02 is hereby granted.

ORDER NO. PSC-02-1416-CFO-TP DOCKET NO. 001503-TP PAGE 3

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Braulio L. Baez, as Prehearing Officer, that Sprint-Florida, Incorporated's Request for Confidential Classification of Document No. 10500-02, as set forth in Attachment A, is hereby granted and incorporated by reference into this Order. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the confidentiality granted to the material specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of the issuance of this Order, in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Braulio L. Baez, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>15th</u> Day of <u>October</u>, <u>2002</u>.

BRAULIO L. BAEZ

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

PAC

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in A motion for the case of a water or wastewater utility. reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ORDER NO. PSC-02-1416-CFO-TP DOCKET NO. 001503-TP PAGE 5

ATTACHMENT A

Portions of	Justification for Confidential Treatment
Attachment to	
Exhibit A to	
Petition for	
Cost Recovery	
Treated as	
proprietary	
p.3, Column D,	Document contains investment amounts related to Sprint's switch
lines 3-15 &	upgrades which is third party proprietary data relating to Sprint's
lines 20-32 and	switching costs. Allowing competitors access to this information would
Column I, lines	impair Sprint's competitive business interests.
3-15 & 20-32	
p. 4, Column	Document contains investment amounts related to Sprint's switch
E, lines 3-15 &	upgrades which is third party proprietary data relating to Sprint's
lines 20-32 and	switching costs. Allowing competitors access to this information would
Column J, lines	impair Sprint's competitive business interests.
3-15 & lines	
20-32	
p. 9, Column F,	Document contains investment amounts related to Sprint's switch
lines 2-15	upgrades which is third party proprietary data relating to Sprint's
	switching costs. Allowing competitors access to this information would
	impair Sprint's competitive business interests.
p. 12, Columns	Document contains data regarding investments related to OSS
H-O, Rows 1,	enhancements. This information is proprietary because it would allow
3, 5, and 7,	competitors to "back into" information relating to Sprint's switch
Column	upgrades, which is third party proprietary data relating to Sprint's
	switching costs. Allowing competitors access to this information would
	impair Sprint's competitive business interests.