ORIGINAL

SCENED AFSION COMMENTS IN

MCWHIRTER REEVES

TAMPA OFFICE: 400 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2450 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 P. O. BOX 3350 TAMPA, FL 33601-3350 (813) 224-0866 (813) 221-1854 FAX

PLEASE REPLY TO:

TALLAHASSEE

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE: 117 SOUTH GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 222-2525 (850) 222-5606 FAX

October 15, 2002

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re:

Docket No.: 020001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and 15 copies of the following:

FIPUG's Preliminary List of Issues and Positions.

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy of each and return the stamped copies to me. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Uilli Fran Laufman Vicki Gordon Kaufman

AUS	v GK/bae
CAF	Enclosure
CMP	
COM	5
CTR	K-Marie A
ECR	
GCL	
OPC	
MMS	
SEC	
OTH	

T TOTE !

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.

Docket No. 020001-EI

Filed: October 15, 2002

FIPUG'S PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Pursuant to the Case Assignment and Scheduling Record (CASR) in this docket, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG) files its Preliminary List of Issues and Positions. FIPUG reserves the right to amend this preliminary statement.

PRELIMINARY ISSUES

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

ISSUE 1: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2001 through December 2001?

FIPUG: FIPUG contends that Tampa Electric Company's (TECo) true-up for 2001 fuel cost underrecoveries should be considered part of TECo's average fuel costs and be collected from wholesale as well as retail customers.

ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate estimated/actual fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2002 through December 2002?

FIPUG: FIPUG contends that for the months of January and June through September, TECo's fuel cost revenues should be adjusted to credit retail customers with the difference between the fuel cost charged to Hardee Power Partners (HPP) and system average fuel cost; or in the alternative, TECo should provide proof that retail customers are continuing to benefit from the sales to an unregulated affiliate at less than average fuel cost. FIPUG further contends that purchases from HPP should be adjusted to average fuel cost.

ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2003 to December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2003 to December 2003?

FIPUG: The true up fuel cost factor for TECo should be adjusted from 0.01756 to 0.01704 and the Peabody coal buyout amortization surcharge should be adjusted from 0.01760 to 0.01708 to fairly allocate these fuel costs between retail and wholesale customers.

ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

FIPUG: The new factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2003 and thereafter through the last billing cycle for December 2003. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2003, and the last billing cycle may end after December 30, 2003, so long as each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the factors become effective.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

<u>ISSUE 7</u>: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 8: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2003 to December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

What is the appropriate benchmark level for calendar year 2002 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive as set forth by Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, in Docket No. 991779-EI, issued September 26, 2000, for each investor-owned electric utility?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 10: What is the appropriate estimated benchmark level for calendar year 2003 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive as set forth by Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, in Docket No. 991779-EI, issued September 26, 2000, for each investor-owned electric utility.

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

GENERIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 11: What is the appropriate generation performance incentive factor (GPIF) reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2001 through December 2001 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 12: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January, 2003 through December 2003 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 13: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2001 through December 2001?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 14: What are the appropriate estimated/actual capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2002 through December 2002?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 15: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2003 through December 2003?

<u>FIPUG</u>: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 17: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors to be applied to determine the capacity costs to be recovered during the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 18: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery class for the period January 2003 through December 2003?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

FIPUG ISSUES

ISSUE 19: What action should the Commission take to protect retail customers from fuel cost increases that result from the sale of the Polk #1 gasifier.

FIPUG: The Commission should enter a directive that Tampa Electric continue to use the cost of coal as the fuel for the Polk # 1 generating unit if it goes forward with the announced sale of the Polk #1 gasifier unit.

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson Decker Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Timothy J. Perry
McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Davidson
Decker Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing FIPUG's Preliminary List of Issues and Positions has been furnished by (*) hand delivery, or U.S. Mail this 15th day of October 2002, to the following:

(*)Wm. Cochran Keating IV Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

John T. Butler Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 S. Biscayne Blvd. Suite 4000 Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591

Norman H. Horton Messer, Caparello & Self 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 Rob Vandiver Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Lee L. Willis
James D. Beasley
Ausley & McMullen
227 S. Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

James A. McGee 100 Central Avenue, Suite CX1D St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

John T. English Florida Public Utilities Company Post Office Box 3395 West Palm Beach, Florida 33402

Timothy J. Per