BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

1

_

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the Matter of

Complaint of Florida Competitive

Carriers Association against

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

regarding BellSouth's practice of

refusing to provide FastAccess
Internet Service to customers who
receive voice service from a
competitive voice provider, and request

for expedited relief.



DOCKET NO. 020507-TL

ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.

PROCEEDINGS: Prehearing Conference

BEFORE: COMMISSIONER BRAULIO L. BAEZ

DATE: January 6, 2003

TIME: Commenced at 3:00 p.m. Concluded at 3:25 p.m.

PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center

Esplanade Way

Room 152 Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: Jane Faurot, RPR

Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services
FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and

Administrative Services

(850)413-6732

00387 JAN 13

DOCUMENT MI MAPER PATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	APPEAKANCES:
2	VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, Esquire, McWhirter, Reeves,
3	McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman & Arnold, P.A., 117 South
4	Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of the
5	Florida Competitive Carriers Association.
6	FLOYD R. SELF, Esquire, Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.,-
7	Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876 and
8	NANETTE S. EDWARDS, ITC^DeltaCom, 4092 South Memorial Parkway,
9	Huntsville, Alabama 35802-4343 on behalf of ITC^DeltaCom
10	Communications, Inc.
11	NANCY B. WHITE, c/o Nancy Sims, 150 South Monroe Street
12	Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of BellSouth
13	Telecommunications, Inc.
14	PATTY CHRISTENSEN, Esquire, Florida Public Service
15	Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, Florida
16	32399-0850, on behalf of the Commission Staff.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Call it to order.
3	Counsel, read the notice.
4	MS. CHRISTENSEN: By notice issued December 23rd,
5	2002, this time and place having been set for prehearing
6	conference in Docket Number 020507, Complaint of the Florida
7	Competitive Carriers Association against BellSouth
8	Telecommunications, Inc., regarding BellSouth's practice of
9	refusing to provide FastAccess Internet Service to customers
10	who receive voice service from a competitive voice provider and
11	request for expedited relief. The purpose of this prehearing
12	conference is as set forth in the notice.
13	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: We will take appearances.
14	MS. WHITE: Nancy White for BellSouth
15	Telecommunications, Incorporated.
16	MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman on behalf of the
17	Florida Competitive Carriers Association.
18	MR. SELF: Floyd Self and Nanette Edwards on behalf
19	of ITC^DeltaCom.
20	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Patricia Christensen on behalf of
21	Staff.
22	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Thank you, Ms Christensen. I am
23	showing here some preliminary matters.
24	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, we have some
25	discovery pending. We have a motion to compel that was filed

ı [by BellSouth and FCCA's response to that motion as well as a
2	request for protective order. Staff recommends that this be
3	addressed in a separate order.
4	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. And without strenuous
5	objection, that is what we are going to do on the discovery
6	matter. The confidential matters, are we straight with that?
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We note that BellSouth's two
В	outstanding requests for specified confidential classification
9	have been addressed in separate orders that are going to be
0	issued. And there is also one notice of an intent to file a
1	request for confidential classification outstanding, and staff
2	recommends that once a request is filed that that also be
3	addressed by separate order.
4	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Very well. Is there anything
5	else?
6	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No. Commissioner, staff is not
7	aware of any further preliminary matters. We would recommend
8	going through the draft prehearing order issue-by-issue.
9	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Let's do that. The first five
20	sections, if there is any typos or anything like that that
21	anyone has caught, and I will just ask staff to keep an eye on
22	it. You know, whatever changes, whatever typos you may find.
23	Order of witnesses. FCCA, you I am only showing

24

25

one direct and three rebuttal.

MS. KAUFMAN: That is correct, Commissioner Baez.

1	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And your rebuttal witnesses are
2	in the appropriate order?
3	MS. KAUFMAN: They are in the appropriate order, but
4	I would suggest that they ought to be the last witnesses in the
5	rebuttal round rather than the first.
6	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And why is that?
7	MS. KAUFMAN: Well, two of them filed only rebuttal
8	testimony. And since we are the complainant, typically we get
9	the last word. Ms. Lichtenberg and Mr. Bradbury have only
10	rebuttal testimony.
11	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: That is correct.
12	MS. WHITE: We are not going to do direct and
13	rebuttal at the same time?
14	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Yes. I was holding off on that.
15	But I think to that extent, what effect would that have on the
16	order, or if you have a problem with that?
17	MS. KAUFMAN: I don't have any objection to doing
18	direct and rebuttal together, understanding we only have one
19	day and we have quite a few witnesses. So I don't have an
20	objection to Mr. Gillan being the first witness and doing his
21	direct and rebuttal together, but I would suggest that Ms.
22	Lichtenberg and Mr. Bradbury be moved to the end of the
23	rebuttal section.
24	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: I think what we are going to try
25	and do is keep the groupings of the witnesses together. So to

1	the extent that you want to have them be your last withesses,
2	that will be fine. I don't think we are going to sandwich the
3	FCCA witnesses. So we are going to take them, direct and
4	rebuttal together, and the FCCA witnesses will be up first
5	whether they are rebuttal only or not. Okay?
6	MS. KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner.
7	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Ms. White, do you have any
8	changes to your order of witnesses?
9	MS. WHITE: No, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. Let the record show that
11	we are going to take rebuttal and direct together.
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Next section. Ms. Kaufman or Mr.
14	Self, do you have any changes that you need to make to your
15	basic position?
16	MS. KAUFMAN: No, sir.
17	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Ms. White?
18	MS. WHITE: No, sir.
19	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. We are just going to go
20	through issues here. Staff, I am assuming you don't have any
21	changes, is that correct?
22	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No, sir.
23	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. Issue 1. Issue 2. Issue
24	3. Issue 4. Issue 5. Issue 6A. Issue 6B. All right. Yes,
25	ma'am.

<u>ገ</u>	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, can I go back to
2	Issue 3? BellSouth's position on Issue 3. There appears to be
3	a typo, but it was a typo that was in the prehearing statement.
4	I want to make sure, see if we can't correct that.
5	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Can you point us?
6	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I'm looking at BellSouth's
7	position, it is the third sentence down, the last word of that
8	sentence, and it says overly. Is that supposed to be overly or
9	overlay?
10	MS. WHITE: I believe it is supposed to be overlay.
11	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: All right. The exhibit list,
13	Section IX. Ms. White, I'm showing one exhibit for Witness
14	Ruscilli, is that still the case? I'm sorry, and another one.
15	MS. WHITE: They're apparently divided up into direct
16	and rebuttal.
17	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. So no changes to your
18	do you have any changes to your exhibit list?
19	MS. WHITE: No, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. Ms. Kaufman.
21	MS. KAUFMAN: I have no changes, Commissioner.
22	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. Mr. Self, I am assuming
23	you don't
24	MR. SELF: No changes.
25	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: All right. Proposed

1	stipulations, showing none. The pending motions. Which was
2	the one that we addressed? We are going to address them in a
з	separate order, right, both of these?
4	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Correct. Both of the pending
5	motions will be addressed probably in one order, but
6	separately.
7	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Okay. And then the pending
8	confidentiality matters, items one and two have been addressed
9	already, so they can be stricken?
10	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Correct.
11	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And the notice of intent to
12	request.
13	MS. WHITE: Commissioner Baez, I would note, I think
14	as staff did earlier, there is outstanding discovery to
15	BellSouth from the FCCA, and I believe from the staff. And
16	there is outstanding discovery from BellSouth to the FCCA. So
17	depending on what happens with that, there may be additional
18	confidentiality motions and/or a motion to compel.
19	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: All right. And we should be
20	prepared to
21	MS. WHITE: We will be prepared to deal with this.
22	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: You have warned me, I guess. All
23	right. Section XIII, are there any changes to the cases? Any
24	additions?
25	MS WHITE: And this is just a matter of making sure

1	I understand. This is something new that we have started
2	doing, I have noticed, putting these up there. And just
з	because I know that Ms. Kaufman had asked that the decisions
4	the FCC asserts may impact be separated from BellSouth's, but I
5	am assuming because they are separated doesn't mean that
6	BellSouth can't mention these orders and vice versa.
7	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: I would hope not.
8	MS. WHITE: I am just double-checking the way I
9	understand this to work.
10	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And, I'm sorry, this part is a
11	little new for me, too. I mean, nobody asked me if they could
12	do this.
13	MS. WHITE: Is this to replace the notice, the
14	judicial notice?
15	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: I think it goes back to something
16	like that, I would suspect.
17	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think in part it is that as well
18	as trying to make sure that there is no definitive preemption
19	order, order that preempts the Commission's power, or something
20	that would come in after we have done the hearing that would
21	say that we didn't have the authority to do it. I think that
22	was some of the thought behind it, as well.
23	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Well, then, clear this up for me.
24	If something came out after the fact, you know it will be what

25

it will be, you know.

1 MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's true. I mean, if they 2 haven't decided on it yet, then obviously they cannot put it in 3 here. But if somebody is aware that there is a pending 4 decision, that could be something that could be addressed in 5 here that may preempt it. That would be something that I think 6 the Commission would want brought to their attention. That was 7 part of it. But that is probably not the case. 8 **COMMISSIONER BAEZ:** This is all FYI, really, just for 9 us to know. 10 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And I don't believe it to be

binding. I mean, it can be added on at hearing or throughout the hearing or as a result of the hearing process. This is just something to kind of let everybody know what is in the neighborhood.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WHITE: I noticed this in the last couple of -and I wanted to make sure I understood what the purpose of it was.

COMMISSIONER BAEZ: I have, too, although I must say I have never seen it as crowded as this time around. I don't know what that means, but --

MS. KAUFMAN: Commissioner Baez, let me just make a comment if I could about those. When I did my prehearing statement, I didn't submit any decisions because I guess everyone was kind of confused, maybe, by this new requirement.

1	I read it to mean decisions that might preempt the Commission's
2	ability to act here. I don't think there are any. But then
3	when BellSouth submitted all of these decisions that I would
4	suggest to you are persuasive of their position, I said, well,
5	there is quite a few decisions that are persuasive of our
6	position, as well. And I think that is how maybe this idea
7	is perhaps getting out of hand. I don't object to them all
8	being in there, and I'm sure they will be argued in the briefs
9	for whatever weight you want to give them.
10	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And I also want to give you some
11	comfort as to if you come up with any cases in addition, I'm
12	sure the presiding officer will be accommodating. If Beth
13	wants to nod or shake her head at the end, how we are going to
14	deal with this, because there seems to be
15	MS. KEATING: I can give you background on it, if you
16	want.
17	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: You can talk to me later. I'm
18	sorry, Mrs. Keating, I didn't mean to drag you into the
19	prehearing unannounced.
20	MS. WHITE: We can talk to her after the prehearing
21	if you would rather, but I just wanted to get a handle on it.
22	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Well, I must confess, I would
23	like to get it straight in my mind, as well.

It originated in one particular docket, and one prehearing

MS. KEATING: This actually came up a few months ago.

24

25

1	officer was concerned about primarily, I think Ms. Kaufman's
2	interpretation is probably a little bit closer. Cases that
3	have a direct impact on the Commission's jurisdiction, on their
4	ability to act in a particular area. And so we started adding
5	that into the order establishing procedure as a requirement for
6	prehearing statements. Not as a definitive list, really, but
7	just so that if there are any decisions out there that we can
8	be aware of ahead of time going into the hearing so that we are
9	aware of them. But it is definitely not to be an all-inclusive
10	or preclusive list.
11	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Right. And that is my
12	understanding of it. You are just really giving the
12 13	understanding of it. You are just really giving the Commissioners and the Commission staff as well a head start on
13	
13 14	Commissioners and the Commission staff as well a head start on
	Commissioners and the Commission staff as well a head start on whatever you all might be discussing, what kind of cases might
13 14 15	Commissioners and the Commission staff as well a head start on whatever you all might be discussing, what kind of cases might be alluded to it at the hearing. So, again, I will restate.
13 14 15 16	Commissioners and the Commission staff as well a head start on whatever you all might be discussing, what kind of cases might be alluded to it at the hearing. So, again, I will restate. Ms. Kaufman, if you have any additional if there was any
13 14 15 16	Commissioners and the Commission staff as well a head start on whatever you all might be discussing, what kind of cases might be alluded to it at the hearing. So, again, I will restate. Ms. Kaufman, if you have any additional if there was any you know, if there was any call that you made against including

MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you. Can I just ask one more question about this?

COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Please.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KAUFMAN: I don't think you meant that please.

COMMISSIONER BAEZ: I don't mean please just one, I mean please ask your question.

1	MS. KAUFMAN: My question is does this obviate the
2	need to do requests for official recognition? I mean, more
3	typically prior to this section being included, we would just
4	have done a request for official recognition. I'm happy not to
5	do that.
6	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: This young woman's health is on
7	your head, okay. (Laughter.)
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I can answer that question which is
9	we are no longer doing the official recognition list.
10	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: We have been back and forth. And
11	not to suggest that this in any way takes the place of it,
12	because I think the whole situation was that we didn't need one
13	in the first place. I guess really that was the argument. So
14	this, again, just take this as the informational section so
15	that the Commission can be any other questions before I let
16	Mrs. Keating sit down.
17	MS. KAUFMAN: And the fewer filings the better. I
18	think that takes care of it. Thank you.
19	MS. WHITE: She sat down now. I have one more
20	no.
21	COMMISSIONER BAEZ: You have one more question.
22	Thank you, Ms. White.
23	MR. SELF: Commissioner, is it true that we are not
24	doing the official recognition list anymore because this is an
25	odd-numbered year?

COMMISSIONER BAEZ: And is it a leap year, I think, really -- (simultaneous conversation). That is good for reading the recommendations with a nice bottle of wine. The opening statements, we are going to set them at ten minutes. Don't feel the need to use them all, but unless there is any objection ten minutes it will be. I see nodding. MS. WHITE: Ten minutes per side or ten minutes per party? **COMMISSIONER BAEZ:** Seeing as how we have --MS. KAUFMAN: Per side is fine. **COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Per side is fine. Okay.** All right. If there is nothing else, speak now or forever hold your peace, or at least until next time. All right. Thanks all for coming, have a great afternoon. We are adjourned. (The prehearing concluded at 3:25 p.m.)