

ORIGINAL

CCA Official Filing:

1/21/03***** 2:00 PM*****Marguerite Lockard *****1

Marguerite Lockard

030001-ET

From: Marguerite Lockard
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 2:00 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: 020001 - confidential DN 11428-02

I'll process this e-mail as a directive to return confidential DN 11428-02 to the source. thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 1:57 PM
To: Cochran Keating
Cc: Marguerite Lockard; Todd Bohrmann
Subject: RE: 020001 - confidential DN 11428-02

Thanks, Cochran.

Marguerite, please note.

Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Cochran Keating
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 1:31 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: 020001 - confidential DN 11428-02

Yes. Document No. 11428-02 should be returned.

Thanks,
Cochran

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2003 11:35 AM
To: Todd Bohrmann; Cochran Keating
Cc: Marguerite Lockard
Subject: 020001 - confidential DN 11428-02

AUS _____
CAF _____
CMT _____
COM _____
CTR _____
EOR _____
GCL _____
OPC _____
MMS _____
SEC
OTH *Margue*

Same type of question for this document as the question in my earlier e-mail. Your 12/16 memo said to return GPC's confidential DN 12245-02, but it doesn't mention confidential DN 11428-02, which was the confidential version of the document GPC filed first, with its notice of intent.

Should 11428-02 also be returned to GPC? If so, affirmative response to this e-mail will be used as directive to return.

Kay

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

00591 JAN 21 03

FPSO-CONFERENCE CLERK