

CCA Official Filing:

1/21/03***** 1:50 PM*****Marguerite Lockard *****1

Marguerite Lockard

030001-ET

From: Marguerite Lockard
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 1:50 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: confidential document 10423-02 in 020001-EI

will do. thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 1:50 PM
To: Cochran Keating
Cc: Marguerite Lockard; Todd Bohrmann
Subject: RE: confidential document 10423-02 in 020001-EI

Thanks. We'll use this message as a staff directive to do so.

Kay

(Marguerite, please print this e-mail and document-number it.)

-----Original Message-----

From: Cochran Keating
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 1:17 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: confidential document 10423-02 in 020001-EI

Yes. Document No. 10423-02 should be returned.

Thanks,
Cochran

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2003 11:29 AM
To: Cochran Keating; Todd Bohrmann
Cc: Marguerite Lockard
Subject: confidential document 10423-02 in 020001-EI

AUS
CAF
CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
MMS
SEC
OTH

We received a memo from you on 12/16 asking us to return several confidential documents and to return portions of some others. One of the documents we were to return was DN 11329-02, which we did return to GPC.

However, there was a cross-reference to 11329-02, DN 10423-02. It was filed under a notice of intent, which GPC then followed up with a formal request and the highlighted version, DN 11329-02.

Should we also return DN 10423-02 to GPC?

If so, your affirmative response to this e-mail will be used as a follow-up to the 12/16 memo and as the directive to return 10423-02.

Kay

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00592 JAN 21 03

FPSC COMMISSION CLERK