## VOTE SHEET

## APRIL 1, 2003

RE: Docket No. 990649B-TP - Investigation into pricing of unbundled network elements (Sprint/Verizon track). (Deferred from March 18, 2003 Commission conference.)

 $\underline{\text{ISSUE 1}}\colon \text{Should}$  the Request for Oral Argument filed by AT&T, MCI WorldCom, and FDN be granted?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Yes. Staff recommends that oral argument be granted, because it appears that it may assist the Commission in rendering its decision in this matter. Staff recommends that oral argument be limited to 10 minutes per side.

Continued to April 9, 2003, 8:30 a.m.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Jaber, Deason, Baez, Bradley

## COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER -DATE

03012 APR-18

VOTE SHEET

APRIL 1, 2003

Docket No. 990649B-TP - Investigation into pricing of unbundled network elements (Sprint/Verizon track). (Deferred from March 18, 2003 Commission conference.)

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: Should the Commission grant Verizon's Motion for Mandatory Stay Pending Judicial Review?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. Staff recommends that the mandatory stay provisions of Rule 25-22.061(1)(a), Florida Administrative Code, pursuant to which Verizon is seeking a mandatory stay, are inapplicable in this situation.

ISSUE 3: Should this Docket be closed?

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: No. This Docket should remain open pending resolution of the Motion for Reconsideration and the pending appeal.