BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3

DOCKET NO. 020919-TP

In the Matter of

REQUEST FOR ARBITRATION CONCERNING COMPLAINT OF AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, LLC, TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC., AND TCG SOUTH FLORIDA FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS. INC.



ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.

13

14

16

18

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE

15 BEFORE:

COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON

PREHEARING OFFICER

17 DATE:

Monday, April 21, 2003

TIME:

Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 9:59 a.m.

19

Room 152

PLACE: 20

Betty Easley Conference Center 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

22

21

JANE FAUROT, RPR

23

REPORTED BY:

Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter Services FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and

Administrative Services

(850) 413-6732

24 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

APPEARANCES:

TRACY HATCH, Esquire, AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., 101 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States and TCG South Florida.

ANDREW SHORE and JAMES MEZA,III, c/o Nancy Sims, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, Esquire, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing conference 3 to order. Could I have the notice read, please. 4 MS. CHRISTENSEN: By notice issued April 9, 2003, 5 this time and place have been set for a prehearing conference 6 in Docket Number 020919, request for arbitration concerning complaint of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, 7 Teleport Communications Group, Inc., and TCG South Florida for 8 9 enforcement of interconnection agreements with BellSouth Telecommunications. Inc. The purpose of this prehearing 10 11 conference is as set forth in the notice. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let's take appearances. 13 MR. SHORE: This is Andrew Shore appearing on behalf 14 of BellSouth. MR. MEZA: This is Jim Meza appearing on behalf of 15 16 BellSouth. 17 MR. HATCH: I'm Tracy Hatch appearing on AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 18 19 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Patricia Christensen appearing on 20 behalf of the Commission along with Anne Marsh for staff. 21 Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Ms. Christensen, 23 are there any preliminary matters we need to address? 24 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, staff notes that the 25 parties have agreed to stipulate Issue 1B. However, the

1	parties have not agreed to the exact wording of that
2	stipulation. Staff has included a draft language in the
3	prehearing order of the proposed stipulation. And at the
4	Commissioner's discretion we can either address that now or we
5	can address that when we get to that section of the draft
6	prehearing order.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: We will address it when we get
8	to that section, then. Thanks for that heads-up.
9	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Staff is not aware of any other
10	preliminary matters.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch, do you have any
12	preliminary matters?
13	MR. HATCH: No, Commissioner Deason.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Shore or Mr. Meza?
15	MR. MEZA: Just a couple of proposed revisions to
16	the or additions, I guess, that would be considered to the
17	prehearing order.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I think we can address
19	that when we get to that particular section.
20	MR. SHORE: Nothing preliminarily.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Well, if there is
22	nothing else of a preliminary nature, it is my intention then
23	to proceed through the draft prehearing order. We will go
24	section-by-section and issue-by-issue when we get to the

issues. If there are any changes, or corrections, or

25

1	clarifications, please let me know as we proceed. Having said
2	that, we will proceed with Section I of the draft prehearing
3	order, conduct of proceedings. Section II, case background.
4	Section III I'm sorry?
5	MR. SHORE: I've got one proposal on Section II.
6	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Please proceed.
7	MR. SHORE: In the first paragraph there where it
8	sets out AT&T has filed this complaint and then elaborates a
9	little bit and summarizes AT&T's allegations, then goes on to
10	say BellSouth filed its response. I would propose adding a
11	sentence, or two, or three at the most sort of just paralleling
12	AT&T's allegations and setting forth what BellSouth's position
13	was just to balance that paragraph out there. I understand
14	that is not material, but just to balance it out with my
15	reasoning.
16	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, any problem with that?
17	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No, Commissioner, we can go ahead
18	and add a few sentences that encapsulates what BellSouth's
19	response is and their position.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch, any problem?
21	MR. HATCH: That would be fine with me.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We will make that
23	change.
24	MR. SHORE: Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Section III,

confidential information. Section IV. post-hearing procedures. 1 2 Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits. Section VI, order 3 of witnesses. Any changes or corrections to this order? This 4 order, I assume, is satisfactory, Mr. Hatch? 5 MR. HATCH: Yes. sir. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: BellSouth? 7 MR. SHORE: It's fine with us. We only have one 8 witness. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Very well. Section VII. 10 basic positions. 11 MR. HATCH: Commissioner Deason, I don't have any 12 changes to announce now subject to review and minor 13 typographical corrections and so forth, I will submit those to 14 staff after review. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section VIII. 16 issues and positions. Issue A. Issue 1A. Issue 1B. I 17 understand Issue 1B is the issue where there has been a 18 stipulation, but there has not been agreement on specific 19 language? 20 MS. CHRISTENSEN: That is correct. I left the 21 parties' positions in this section, but under the stipulation 22 section I added the draft proposed stipulated language. I 23 think although the parties have agreed in essence as to what 24 basically the position should be, which is that the reciprocal

compensation rates for local traffic are from July 1st, 2001

25

I forward.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I guess I'm at a loss. What is the -- if that is the essence of the agreement, what is the nature of the disagreement, if any, with the language?

MR. SHORE: I think maybe we had both worded it a little bit differently in our initial positions, and Patty had suggested language, I think exactly what she just stated, and BellSouth is fine with that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch?

MR. HATCH: I think that is correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Ms. Christensen, your language is satisfactory, so we can just utilize it.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 2. Issue 3. Issue 4. Issue 5. I believe Issue 5 is the last issue. We will proceed then to Section IX, the exhibit list. Any changes or corrections to the list as shown on the draft prehearing order?

MR. SHORE: The only other changes we had to that, and that ties into also what we had stipulated to in Section X, counsel for AT&T, we had agreed that they could use a couple of demonstrative type exhibits, one being a LATA map of Florida, and two, a matrix of some contract language as they proceeded through the negotiations. And we had also agreed that in order to streamline the discovery in this case and the hearing, we had tried this case, this exact case earlier this year in North

Carolina, that the parties would agree to admit into evidence the depositions of the four witnesses from the North Carolina case as well as the hearing transcript. So if we are going to do that, it seems we may need to mark those as exhibits.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, how do you plan to address those exhibits?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, there are several exhibits that were listed by AT&T that are not sponsored by a particular witness, that we would like to identify the witness that those would be put in through. But it looks like a couple of those that were just mentioned, the depositions from the North Carolina proceeding and the hearing exhibit from the North Carolina proceeding are listed, included in that as well as some additional documentation by that. If the parties agree to it, staff has no objection to making that a stipulated exhibit and entering it as either a composite exhibit or individually as stipulated exhibits, and we would not need to identify a witness, those could just be moved in at the beginning of the hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you are agreeable to having those exhibits included into the exhibits you normally identify at the beginning of a hearing as stipulated exhibits?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Staff is agreeable to going ahead and having those identified as stipulated exhibits to the extent that they can agree. I know at least two of those

1 exhibits it sounds like they are agreeable to. There is a 2 couple of other exhibits that are listed by AT&T that may also 3 be included and it would be preferable if we can get clarification on it now. If the parties are agreeing to that 4 5 then we can just have it as a stipulated exhibit and that would 6 be the North Carolina public staff proposed order. MR. SHORE: They did not, in fact, file a proposed 7 8 order, so that document doesn't exist. I think AT&T was 9 anticipating that perhaps the public staff would file a 10 proposed order on April 4th. They did not. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So that can just be deleted 12 from this prehearing order, correct? 13 MR. SHORE: It doesn't exist. I don't want to speak 14 for AT&T, but there is no such thing. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch? 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HATCH: I apologize for any confusion that gets rendered here. I don't know whether that order won't exist in the future. I don't know if it is delayed in its issuance or not. Whether it will exist in the future prior to this hearing, I don't know. So I would reserve the right at least at the time of the hearing to bring it up at that point as an exhibit.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. It will not be included in the list of exhibits. Mr. Hatch, if the North Carolina public staff does indeed issue a proposed order you 1

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will be allowed to address that at the time of hearing.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, there is also the North Carolina Utility Commission orders in the docket, and I'm not sure the same case doesn't exist for those that they haven't been issued vet.

MR. SHORE: If you are talking about any final orders or orders dealing with the merits in any way, there is no order yet, and I don't believe there will be one certainly before the time this case is tried. We can leave that as an open exhibit. Certainly to the extent those are official exhibits, official orders which they would be of the North Carolina Commission. and our position would be that the Florida Commission can take judicial notice of those. I don't know that it is necessary to have those identified as an exhibit and leave an exhibit blank for those, but if AT&T feels strongly I wouldn't object to that.

MR. HATCH: I agree with Mr. Shore. I don't think that these have to be numbered as an exhibit at this point. If at the time we go to trial in this case they exist, then the Commission can take official recognition of them.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sounds like a workable plan to me.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, I would like to go back to Page 22 at the bottom. It starts the exhibits that AT&T lists that don't have a particular witness that is

1 sponsoring them that we have not yet addressed, and see if we 2 can either have AT&T identify a witness that may be able to 3 sponsor that or let me know if they can get back with me with 4 that. Some of these also appear that they may have been 5 addressed in other testimony. Specifically the first one, the 6 relevant provisions from the first and second interconnection 7 agreements, I believe that has been addressed through testimony 8 that was attached to certain witnesses. 9 MR. HATCH: I expect that is true. But to the extent that we need further clarification, I will be glad to get that 10 11

and supply that to the staff.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. And then you have the current interconnection agreement for the State of Mississippi that we --

MR. SHORE: I think that -- I mean, I don't mind -the relevant language, I think, for Mississippi is referenced in a couple of folks' testimony, but if AT&T wants to put in the whole document as an exhibit, I don't object to that. I mean, I don't see necessarily the need for it, but I don't object to that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch, what is your intention?

MR. HATCH: I'm going to have to clarify that. to the extent that he has no objection to admitting the whole document, that's fine, too.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just let staff know.

MR. HATCH: I will clarify this entire list for staff so that everybody knows what is going on.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: You have listed any exhibits attached to AT&T's direct and rebuttal testimony.

MR. HATCH: This is already taken care of.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, that is redundant. Any discovery responses received by BellSouth in this proceeding, and any exhibits identified in depositions taken in this proceeding which, of course, have not happened yet. And there were a few others on the back page. Any switched access tariffs filed by BellSouth with the state commission or the Federal Communications Commission. Any testimony, pleadings, or summary of ex parte discussions filed by BellSouth with any state commission or the FCC, and the matrix or other summary documents of various red-lined versions of the contract language exchanged between AT&T and BellSouth relative to the interconnection agreements or interconnection negotiations in dispute.

MR. HATCH: I will clarify those. Mr. Shore, correct me if I'm wrong. The matrices that are referred to in that last exhibit, are those the matrices that you had discussed with --

MR. SHORE: Yes. And I know exactly what those are. there were some matrices that were included in AT&T's brief it just filed in North Carolina. And that's fine with me. I would like some clarification on the testimony, pleadings, ex partes filed anywhere, anytime, anyplace. I think, you know, we need a little bit more clarification on what AT&T intends there. MR. HATCH: And that's fine. I will endeavor to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that for everyone.

MR. SHORE: The only thing, Patty, that I would add is to the extent we are going to identify all BellSouth's discovery responses, that we would also identify AT&T's. We have got some outstanding discovery to AT&T.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just for my clarification, these are listing out potential exhibits, they do not exist as we know now?

> MR. HATCH: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And this is -- go ahead.

MR. HATCH: I'm sorry. Staff counsel and I were discussing this a little bit earlier. Basically, if you take the procedural order in its literal fashion, it says identify all exhibits. Well, some exhibits don't exist yet, but you know they are going to or probably will. And so Ms. Cecil in an abundance of caution has listed them all here, even though they won't necessarily exist here. But by the time we get to

trial, then we will know the exhibits we are going to have.

And as we progress through the trial, then those are identified in the normal way and either entered into the record or not as the case may be. This is just a literal abundance of caution on her part as to everything that might potentially be an exhibit in this proceeding.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I appreciate that effort. But normal procedure it seems like is we identify all known exhibits at the time, and then parties are free to bring up exhibits and they are just identified and either accepted or not accepted at that particular time.

MR. HATCH: Yes, sir, that is correct.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, should we go ahead and then delete this section to the extent that they are not sponsored by a witness, except for maybe those two exceptions that we know what they are, the North Carolina exhibits, and that the parties are willing to stipulate to and maybe identify those under the stipulation section.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That is agreeable with me if it is agreeable with Mr. Hatch.

MR. HATCH: That would be agreeable.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I do recognize the language at the end of this section that says parties and staff reserve the right to identify additional exhibits for the purpose of cross-examination. I think that gives you a caveat there to go

	Turrough what I consider to be normal procedure.
2	MR. HATCH: That is correct.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section X, proposed
4	stipulations.
5	MR. SHORE: I'm not sure if we need to include it
6	there. It strikes me that we may very well, the stipulations
7	regarding admitting the depositions and hearing transcript from
8	the North Carolina proceeding in Docket P55 Sub 1376. And I
9	had sent Loretta some language, and I think it was okay with
10	them. They said they agreed to it. They didn't comment on the
11	exact language. Then I can send that to you, Patty, as well.
12	But AT&T agreed to that as a stipulation as well as to the
13	stipulation of their matrices and their LATA map.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch, do you have any
15	problem with that being included as a stipulation?
16	MR. HATCH: No, I do not subject to discussion with
17	Ms. Cecil about the text of the stipulation, but I think we can
18	work that out. I don't there is an issue with that.
19	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think that would be beneficial if
20	they could come up with some agreed language and sent it in
21	by the end of the week?
22	MR. SHORE: Yes. I sent it to them last I think
23	it was Wednesday or so. I will send it to you with another
24	copy to AT&T today.
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section XI, pending motions. There is the second motion to strike additional BellSouth extrinsic testimony. What is the status of that now, is it going to be addressed by the full Commission?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, you addressed that at the last agenda conference, so I believe we could actually take this off the pending motions list and just list it as none at this point.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I thought that we had addressed it, but I wasn't sure. I know we had addressed the first one, and I thought we had also addressed the second one. That is the case, we have addressed both?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Correct. And both of those orders shall be issued before we get to hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section XII, pending confidentiality matters. It is indicated that none are pending. Section XIII, decisions that may impact Commission's resolution of issues. None have been identified. Section XIV, rulings. It is indicated that opening statements shall not exceed ten minutes per party. Let me ask, do parties -- is it the parties' intentions to engage in opening statements?

MR. HATCH: At this point my understanding is yes.

If that changes, I will be glad to clarify and work it out with the rest of the folks.

MR. SHORE: From BellSouth's perspective, I think we

ought to agree ahead of time. But if AT&T is willing to forego 1 2 an opening statement, BellSouth would be willing to forego an opening statement, as well. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: My only request is that when --4 5 (Simultaneous conversation.) 6 MR. SHORE: -- would go first, and if they give one I 7 will feel compelled to give one, as well. COMMISSIONER DEASON: My only request is that at the 8 9 time of hearing that you all be in agreement that either there 10 is going to be opening statements or not, and that one party is not prepared and the other party unprepared. 11 12 MR. HATCH: That will not be a problem. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. And if there is an opening statement, the ten-minute limitation is acceptable? 14 15 MR. SHORE: I think that is fine. I mean, I think 16 five would be fine with us, but ten certainly is more than 17 adequate. 18 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We will just leave it at ten at this point. That is the last section of the draft 19 prehearing order. Are there any other matters which we need to 20 address at this time? BellSouth, anything on your part? 21 22 MR. SHORE: Nothing from us. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hatch? 24 MR. HATCH: I don't believe there is anything 25 additional.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Staff?
2	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, I think we have
3	addressed all staff's concerns.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Thank you all for
5	your participation, and this prehearing conference is
6	concluded.
7	MR. SHORE: Thank you.
8	MR. MEZA: Thank you very much, Commissioner Deason,
9	for allowing BellSouth to participate via phone.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. You are quite
11	welcome.
12	(The hearing concluded at 9:59 a.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	,
25	