State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEYARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-I

DATE:

APRIL 24, 2003

TO:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION

F THE

COMMISSION

CLERK

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF ECONOMIC REGULATION (BRADY, OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL (BRUBAKER)

RIEGER,

· ·

RE:

DOCKET NO. 020484-WS - APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF FACILITIES AND CERTIFICATE NOS. 616-W AND 530-S FROM

LABRADOR SERVICES, INC. TO LABRADOR UTILITIES, INC. IN PASCO COUNTY.

COUNTY: PASCO

AGENDA:

05/06/03 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION

FOR ISSUE NOS. 2 AND 3 - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY

PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\ECR\WP\020484.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

Labrador Services, Inc. (Labrador, utility) is a Class C water and wastewater utility located approximately one mile east of the City of Zephyrhills in Pasco County. Water consumption is regulated by the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD), but the utility's service territory is not in a water use caution area. The area is built out with service provided to 894 lots in Forest Lake Estates Mobile Home Park (MH Park) and 274 lots in Forest Lakes R.V. Resort (RV Resort). Based on its 2001 annual report on file with the Commission, the utility's total revenues are \$182,825 with a total net operating loss of \$191,316.

Original Certificate Nos. 616-W and 530-S were granted to Labrador pursuant to Order No. PSC-01-1483-PAA-WS, issued July 16,

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03757 APR 248

2001, in Docket No. 000545-WS. Prior to that time, Labrador was an exempt entity.

On April 2, 2002, Labrador entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement (sales contract) with Utilities, Inc. (UI). The sales contract closed on May 31, 2002, contingent upon ultimate Commission approval. Prior to the closing, UI assigned the utility assets to Labrador Utilities, Inc. (LUI), a wholly-owned Florida subsidiary of UI. On June 4, 2002, an application was filed for authority to transfer Labrador's facilities and certificates to LUI which resulted in this docket.

The purpose of this recommendation is to address the transfer of certificates, rate base at time of transfer, acquisition adjustment, and rates and charges. The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 367.071, Florida Statutes.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the transfer of facilities and Certificate Nos. 616-W and 530-S from Labrador Services, Inc. to Labrador Utilities, Inc. be approved?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The transfer is in the public interest and should be approved. The territory authorized for Certificate Nos. 616-W and 530-S is described in Attachment A. The buyer is responsible for filing the utility's 2002 annual report in the time-frame and manner prescribed by Commission rules. (BRADY, RIEGER, BASS, BRUBAKER)

STAFF ANALYSIS: On June 4, 2002, an application for the transfer of utility facilities and certificates from Labrador to LUI was filed with the Commission. The sales contract closed on May 31, 2002, which is the date of transfer of facilities. The transfer was made contingent upon Commission approval in compliance with Section 367.071(1), Florida Statutes.

The application as filed and amended is in compliance with the governing statute, Section 367.071, Florida Statutes, and other pertinent statutes and administrative rules pertaining to an application for the sale, assignment, or transfer of a certificate of authorization. The application contained the correct filing fee pursuant to Rule 25-30.020, Florida Administrative Code. The applicant also returned Certificate Nos. 616-W and 530-S for modification as required by Rule 25-30.037(2)(t), Florida Administrative Code. The territory being transferred is described in Attachment A.

Noticing. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.030, Florida Administrative Code, the application contained the requisite proof of noticing. No objections to the application were received by the Commission and the time for filing such has expired.

Sales Contract and Financing. As required by Rule 25-30.037(2)(g), (h), (i), and (k), Florida Administrative Code, the application contained a copy of the sales contract and a description of financing. As noted, the asset purchase agreement was between Labrador, as the seller, and UI, as the buyer, with UI assigning its interest to LUI, a wholly-owned Florida utility subsidiary of UI. UI financed the purchase with its equity. As such, there are no unrelated entities upon which LUI is relying for

financing. Since the utility is built out, there are no guaranteed revenue contracts, developer agreements, customer advances, debt of the utility, or leases that must be disposed of in association with the transfer of the utility facilities. In addition, there are no customer deposits.

The purchase price at the closing on May 31, 2002, was a minimum amount of \$425,000. The final purchase price is dependent upon the dollar amount of rate base established by the Commission in Issue 2 to this memorandum. For rate base in excess of \$425,000, the sales contract provides for a dollar for dollar increase in the purchase price up to \$750,000. For rate base in excess of \$750,000, the sales contract provides for a fifty cents per dollar increase in the purchase price, up to a total maximum purchase price of \$800,000.

Rule 25-30.037(2)(q), Ownership. of Administrative Code, requires proof that the utility owns or has provided for the continued use of the land upon which the utility facilities are located. The water and wastewater plants and spray irrigation fields are located on real property owned by Forest Lake Estates Co-op, Inc. (Co-op). The land was leased to Labrador for 99 years commencing on June 10, 1999, for \$3,500 per month with provisions for indexing based on the Consumer Price Index. According to the rule, a 99-year lease is acceptable proof of continued use of the land. As such, the lease was previously approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-01-1483-PAA-WS, which granted the utility's original certificates. For purposes of this transfer, the lease was assigned by Labrador to LUI. application contained a copy of the executed Assignment of Lease. Subsequent to the filing, a copy of the recorded Assignment of Lease was also filed.

Annual Reports and Regulatory Assessment Fees (RAFs). Staff has confirmed that the utility is current on annual reports and RAFs though 2001 and that there are no outstanding penalties, interest, or refunds due. Staff has also confirmed that the utility has paid its 2002 RAFs. The buyer has agreed to be responsible for filing the utility's 2002 annual report, in the time frame and manner prescribed by Commission rules.

Environmental Compliance. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.037(2)(p), Florida Administrative Codé, the application contained a statement that, after reasonable investigation, LUI had determined the

systems being acquired appeared to be in satisfactory condition and in compliance with all applicable standards set by DEP. Staff has contacted the DEP to verify there are no outstanding violations.

For informational purposes, the water treatment facility is composed of two wells with a 34,000 gallon steel reservoir and three high service pumps. Aeration, chlorination, and the addition of ortho phosphate are the primary forms of water treatment. The average daily flow for the calendar year 2001 was approximately 122,500 gallons per day (gpd). The wastewater treatment facility has a permitted capacity of 216,000 gpd. The average daily flow for the calendar year 2001 was approximately 52,500 gpd with treated effluent disposed of in a wet weather percolation pond, a subsurface drain field, and an offsite spray field.

Technical and Financial Ability. Pursuant to Rule 25-30.037(2)(j), Florida Administrative Code, the application contained a statement indicating how the transfer is in the public interest, including a summary of the buyer's experience and showing of financial ability. The application indicates UI was formed in 1965 with the objective of acquiring small water and wastewater companies to operate and improve. By centralizing the management, accounting, billing, and data processing functions, the application indicates UI can achieve economies of scale that would be unattainable on a stand-alone basis.

The application states that UI currently serves approximately 38,000 residential and non-residential water and wastewater customers in Florida. Further, UI has approximately 35 years of experience in the water and wastewater utility industry and provides safe and reliable services to approximately 230,000 customers in 16 states. UI has operated water and wastewater utilities in Florida under Commission regulation since 1976.

With respect to UI's technical and financial ability, the application indicates UI has both the regulatory experience and financial wherewithal to ensure consistent compliance with environmental regulations. According to the application, UI's experience, through its LUI subsidiary, in operating water and wastewater utilities will benefit its customers on both a day-to-day basis as well as during emergencies. Finally, the applicant included a statement that the buyer will fulfill the commitments, obligations, and representations of the seller with regard to utility matters.

Conclusion. Based on all the above, staff recommends that the transfer of facilities and Certificate Nos. 616-W and 530-S from Labrador Services, Inc. to Labrador Utilities, Inc. is in the public interest and should be approved. The territory to be transferred is described in Attachment A. The buyer is responsible for filing the utility's 2002 annual report in the time frame and manner prescribed by Commission rules.

- 6 -

ISSUE 2: What is the rate base for Labrador Services, Inc.'s water and wastewater systems at the time of the transfer?

RECOMMENDATION: The rate base is \$268,994 for water and \$882,393 for wastewater as of May 31, 2002. The utility should be required to use the average service lives guideline prescribed by Rule 25-30.140, Florida Administrative Code, for all depreciation recorded after May 31, 2002. (BRADY, RIEGER)

STAFF ANALYSIS: The Commission has never established rate base for Labrador's systems. Subsequent to the filing of this transfer, staff requested an audit to establish rate base for transfer purposes as of May 31, 2002. The resulting audit report was filed November 1, 2002.

Utility Plant in Service (UPIS). Labrador's facilities were initially constructed in 1987 by The Halprin Companies along with an adult manufactured housing community under the name of Frontier Acres. The Halprin Companies sold the manufactured housing but rented the lots. Since the cost of water and wastewater services were included non-specifically in lot rent, the development was exempt from Commission regulation pursuant to Section 367.022(5), Florida Statutes.

Sometime in 1989, ownership of the land and development rights was acquired by Henri Viau, who owned and operated the MH Park under the name of Forest Lake Estates, Inc. (FLE). Sometime prior to December of 1997, Mr. Viau began charging specifically for water and wastewater services, at which time the utility became subject to Commission regulation pursuant to Section 367.031, Florida Statutes. Unaware of the need to file for certificates of authorization, Mr. Viau operated the water and wastewater facilities in apparent violation of the statutes from at least 1997 until 2000.

In June of 1999, Mr. Viau sold the community facilities, exclusive of the utility systems, to the Co-op. The Co-op consists of the homeowners in approximately 240 of the nearly 900 lots in the MH Park. Since the Co-op chose not to purchase the utility facilities, Mr. Viau formed Labrador and filed for certificates of authorization in May of 2000. Original water and wastewater certificates were granted to Labrador pursuant to Order No. PSC-01-1483-PAA-WS. In that order, the Commission declined to initiate show cause proceedings for the apparent violation of Section

367.031, Florida Statutes, and continued the utility's existing flat rates until rate base could be established in a subsequent rate proceeding.

The books and records of the prior developers and many of the original cost records for The Halprin Companies, FLE, and Labrador were not available. The consulting firm hired by LUI to determine the cost basis of the undocumented plant relied upon the original cost records and tax returns that Labrador was able to produce to establish the original cost of the utility facilities. Depreciation schedules from prior tax returns were compared with available invoices to verify approximately 62% of the utility's estimated plant cost.

The following chart shows the amount of documented and undocumented plant.

Basis	Water	Wastewater	Total
Documented	\$198,164	\$ 750,874	\$ 949,038
Undocumented	166,393	410,573	<u>576,966</u>
Utility Total	\$364,557	\$1,161,447	\$1,526,004

The undocumented asset costs were based on the amounts reflected in the depreciation schedules on the prior developer's tax returns and a list of the utility's known assets. These costs were then compared with the documented cost of utility facilities constructed during other phases of the development as well as with the costs of other similar developments.

The following lists show the assets identified on the depreciation schedules of the prior owner's tax returns, but unsupported by original invoices, and the basis used to determine whether the original cost was reasonable.

WATER PLANT

Year	Description	Basis	Amount
1987	LinesPhase 1	109 lots @ \$200	\$ 21,800
1989	Well	Consultant Experience	10,000
1989	LinesPhase 2	111 lots @ \$260	28,860
1989	High Service Pump	Consultant Experience	5,000
1989	Lines-Phase 3	125 lots @ \$260	32,500
1994	Lines-Phases 6 & 7	201 lots @ \$300	60,300
2000	Franchise Costs	Annual Report and	
	•	Commission records	7,933
	Water System Undocument	ed UPIS	\$166,393

WASTEWATER PLANT

Year	Description	Basis	Amount
1987	LinesPhase 1	109 lots @ \$350	\$ 38,150
1987	Master Pump Station	Consultant Experience	20,000
1987	Original WWTP	Consultant Experience	20,000
1989	LinesPhase 2	111 lots @ \$350	38,850
1989	LinesPhase 3	125 lots @ \$350	43,750
1989	Lift Station/Mains	Consultant Experience	12,000
1994	Lines-Phases 6 & 7	201 lots @ \$480	96,480
1995	Expand Spray field	Consultant Experience	108,410
1998	Automatic Filter	Consultant Experience	25,000
2000	Franchise Costs	Annual Report and	
		Commission records	7,933
	Wastewater System Undoc	umented UPIS	\$410,573
	Combined Undocumented U	PIS	\$576,966

Staff has reviewed the consulting firm's method of determining the original cost of the plant items. While the consulting firm did not perform all of the steps completed in a typical original cost study, staff believes that the methodology used and the resulting proposed original cost for the water and wastewater systems appear reasonable and should be approved. Therefore, staff recommends that UPIS for the water system is \$364,557 and UPIS for the wastewater system is \$1,161,447.

Land. As noted earlier, the water and wastewater plants are located on real property now owned by the Co-op. A recorded Assignment of Lease was provided with the application for 99 years commencing on June 10, 1999. Since the utility does not own the land under the utility facilities, no land is included in rate base.

Accumulated Depreciation. The utility's 2001 annual report states accumulated depreciation as \$91,599 for the water system and \$266,722 for the wastewater system. These amounts were based on the use of forty year service lives (2.5%) for all assets except Office Furniture and Equipment, and Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment, which were depreciated over fifteen years (6.67%) and Franchise Fees which were depreciated over twenty years (5.0%). The auditors confirmed the utility's depreciation calculations up through December 31, 2001, and then extended the amounts up to May 31, 2002. The resulting balances for accumulated depreciation were \$95,563 for the water system and \$279,054 for the wastewater system as of May 31, 2002.

Staff would note that the majority of the prior owner's depreciation rates are lower than the recommended rates in Rule 25-30.140, Florida Administrative Code. While no adjustment to accumulated depreciation is recommended in establishing rate base as of the transfer on May 31, 2002, staff recommends that LUI be required to use the average service lives guideline prescribed by Rule 25-30.140, Florida Administrative Code, for all depreciation recorded after May 31, 2002.

Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) and amortization of CIAC. There is no CIAC or amortization of CIAC recorded on the utility's books. It appears that the prior developers did not collect service availability charges nor require donated property. In addition, while the developers built and sold the manufactured housing, the lots were leased. A review of available tax returns from 1994 through 1999 appears to confirm that developers did not charge the lines to the cost of goods sold for tax purposes. Further, since the utility was built-out at the time of certification, the Commission did not authorize the utility to collect service availability charges on a going-forward basis.

Pursuant to Rule 25-30.570, Florida Administrative Code:

If the amount of CIAC has not been recorded on the utility's books and the utility does not submit competent substantial evidence as to the amount of CIAC, the amount of CIAC shall be imputed to be the amount of the plant costs charged to the cost of land sales for tax purposes if available, or the proportion of the cost of the facilities and plant attributable to the water transmission and distribution system and the sewage collection system.

Staff interprets Rule 25-30.570, Florida Administrative Code, to be a guideline for imputing CIAC when CIAC is believed to exist but has not been recorded, or creditably recorded. However, that does not appear to be the case in this instance. Therefore, staff recommends that CIAC and amortization of CIAC not be imputed in determining the utility's water or wastewater rate base at the time of transfer.

Conclusion. Based upon all the above, staff recommends that rate base for transfer purposes be established as of May 31, 2002,

at \$268,994 for water and \$882,393 for wastewater for a combined rate base of \$1,151,387. The utility should be required to use the average service lives guideline prescribed by Rule 25-30.140, Florida Administrative Code, for all depreciation recorded after May 31, 2002. Schedule 1 shows the calculation of water rate base and Schedule 2 shows the calculation of wastewater rate base. Staff notes that rate base for transfer purposes does not include the normal rate making calculations of used and useful adjustments or working capital.

- 11 -

ISSUE 3: Should a negative acquisition adjustment be approved?

RECOMMENDATION: No, a negative acquisition adjustment should not be included in the calculation of rate base for transfer purposes. (BRADY, BRUBAKER)

STAFF ANALYSIS: An acquisition adjustment results when the purchase price differs from the original cost calculation adjusted to the time of the acquisition. As noted in Issue 1, the final purchase price will be based on the amount of rate base established by the Commission up to a maximum total purchase price of \$800,000. Assuming the Commission approves staff's recommendations for Issue 2, the acquisition adjustment resulting from the transfer of Labrador to LUI is calculated as follows:

Purchase Price \$ 800,000

Combined Rate Base as of May 31, 2002 \$ 1,151,387

Difference \$(351,387)

The application indicates that there is no proposal at this time for inclusion of an acquisition adjustment resulting from the transfer. Further, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, it was the practice of the Commission at the time the transfer occurred on May 31, 2002, that the purchase of a utility at a premium or discount should not affect the rate base calculation. Staff would note that there do not appear to be any extraordinary circumstances such that a negative acquisition adjustment should be recommended.

Since staff is not aware of any extraordinary circumstances which would justify a negative adjustment under Commission practice at the time of the transfer and Rule 25-30.0371, Florida Administrative Code, was not in effect at the time of the transfer (see note below), staff recommends that a negative acquisition adjustment should not be included in the calculation of rate base for transfer purposes.

Applicability of Rule 25-30.0371, Florida Administrative Code

Effective August 4, 2002, the Commission adopted Rule 25-30.0371, Florida Administrative Code, on acquisition adjustments. Since the effective date of the rule is subsequent to the transfer (May 31, 2002), the transfer of Labrador is <u>not</u> subject to the rule.

However, for informational purposes, staff has made comparison calculations for an uncontested negative acquisition adjustment based upon the new rule.

Rule 25-30.0371(3), Florida Administrative Code, states a negative acquisition adjustment shall not be included in rate base unless there is proof of extraordinary circumstances or the purchase price is less than 80 percent of net book value. In this case the purchase price is approximately 69.5 percent of net book value (\$800,000 / \$1,151,387 = 69.5%) which is less than 80 percent of net book value.

Pursuant to Rule 25-30.0371(3)(b), if the purchase price is less than 80 percent of net book value, and uncontested, then the amount of the difference in excess of 20 percent of net book value shall be recognized for ratemaking purposes as a negative acquisition adjustment and amortized over a 5-year period from the date of issuance of the order approving the transfer of assets.

Purchase	Price	€						\$	800,000
Combined	Rate	Base	as	of	May	31,	2002	<u>\$ 1</u>	,151,387
								\$(351,387)

20% of Combined Rate Base \$ 230,277

Unprotested Negative Acquisition Adjustment pursuant to Rule 25-30.0371(3)(b), Florida Administrative Code. \$(121,110)

Resulting Rate Base as of May 31, 2002 \$ 1,030,277

Again, this information is being provided for informational purposes, only. Staff is not recommending a negative acquisition adjustment for the reasons stated above.

ISSUE 4: Should the utility's existing rates and charges be continued?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The existing rates and charges for the utility should be continued until authorized to change by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The tariff sheets reflecting the existing rates and charges should be effective for services rendered or connections made on or after the stamped approval date. (BRADY)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Rule 25-9.044(1), Florida Administrative Code, provides that:

In case[s] of change of ownership or control of a utility which places the operation under a different or new utility, or when its name is changed, the company which will thereafter operate the utility business must adopt and use the rates, classification and regulations of the former operating company (unless authorized to change by the commission).

The utility was in existence and charging flat rates at the time it filed for original certificates. Based upon the utility's representation that it was in the process of filing for a staff assisted rate case, the Commission approved the continuation of the existing flat rates at the time of certification along with the addition of the Commission's standard miscellaneous service charges. In addition, since the utility was built out at the time of certification, no service availability charges were established nor does the utility require customer deposits.

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE

	Water	Wastewater
Monthly	<u>Flat Rate</u>	<u>Flat Rate</u>
MH Park, per lot	\$4.50	\$10.50
RV Resort, per lot	\$3.00	\$ 7.00

MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES

	<u>Water</u>	Wastewater
Initial Connection Fee	\$15	\$15
Normal Reconnection Fee	\$15	\$15
Violation Reconnection Fee	\$15	Actual Cost
Premises Visit Fee	\$10	\$10

Pursuant to Rule 25-30.155, Florida Administrative Code, each utility shall measure water sold on the basis of metered volume sales unless the Commission approved flat rate service arrangements for that utility. As indicated, the Commission approved flat rate service in Order No. PSC-01-1483-PAA-WS, based upon representations that the utility was intending to file for a staff assisted rate case, which has not yet occurred.

While staff is concerned about the continuation of a flat rate structure because it does not send the appropriate pricing signal to the customers, the utility is not in a water use caution area. Individual meters have been installed for all the mobile home lots and the RV Park is master-metered. In addition, the utility is showing net operating losses in excess of total revenues on its annual reports. Therefore, the utility has both the means and the need for metered volume sales.

Staff asked the buyer's intentions with respect to the continuation of the utility's flat rate structure. According to the buyer, all meters are currently being read to obtain historical consumption information. It is expected that a request for rate restructuring will be filed in 2003.

Therefore, staff recommends that existing rates and charges for Labrador Services be continued pursuant to Rule 25-9.144(1), Florida Administrative Code, until authorized to change by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The tariff sheets reflecting the current rates should be effective for services rendered or connections made on or after the stamped approval date.

ISSUE 5: Should the docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. If no timely protest is received to the proposed agency action issues on rate base and acquisition adjustment, a Consummating Order should be issued upon the expiration of the protest period closing the docket. (BRUBAKER)

STAFF ANALYSIS: If no timely protest is received to the proposed agency action issues on rate base and acquisition adjustment, a Consummating Order should be issued upon the expiration of the protest period closing the docket.

SCHEDULE 1

LABRADOR SERVICES, INC. WATER RATE BASE AS OF MAY 31, 2002

DESCRIPTION	PER UTILITY	STAFF'S ADJUSTMENT	STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION	
UTILITY PLANT-IN-SERVICE	\$ 364,557	\$ -0-	\$ 364,557	
LAND & LAND RIGHTS	-0-	-0-	-0-	
CONTRIBUTIONS-IN-AID-OF- CONSTRUCTION (CIAC)	(-0-)	(-0-)	(-0-)	
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(95,563)		(95,563)	
AMORTIZATION OF CIAC	-0-	<u> </u>		
WATER RATE BASE	<u>\$ 268,994</u>	\$	<u>\$ 268,994</u>	

SCHEDULE 2

LABRADOR SERVICES, INC. WASTEWATER RATE BASE AS OF MAY 31, 2002

DESCRIPTION	PER UTILITY	STAFF'S ADJUSTMENT	STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION
UTILITY PLANT-IN-SERVICE	\$ 1,161,447	\$ -0-	\$ 1,161,447
LAND & LAND RIGHTS	-0-	-0-	- O -
CONTRIBUTIONS-IN-AID-OF- CONSTRUCTION (CIAC)	(-0-)	(-0-)	(-0-)
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(279,054)		(279,054)
AMORTIZATION OF CIAC	0-	<u> </u>	
WASTEWATER RATE BASE	\$ 882,393	\$	<u>\$ 882,393</u>

ATTACHMENT A

WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE TERRITORY
FOR
LABRADOR SERVICES, INC.
IN
PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA

FOREST LAKE ESTATES MOBILE HOME PARK and FOREST LAKES R.V. RESORT

PARCEL A:

Township 26 South, Range 22 East Sections 5 and 8

A tract of land lying in Sections 5 & 8, Township 26 South, Range 22 East, Pasco County, Florida. BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

Begin at the Southwest corner of said Section 5, also being the Northwest corner of said Section 8, thence North 00°35'43" East along the West boundary of said Section 5, a distance of 1,747.18 feet to the South right-of-way line of Frontier Drive; thence South 89055'21" East along said right-of-way line a distance of 50.00 feet to the East right-of-way line of Frontier Boulevard; thence North 00035'43" East along said East right-of-way line of Frontier Boulevard a distance of 690.21 feet; thence continue along said East right-of-way line North 00°36'06" East a distance of 357.18 feet to Southerly right-of-way line of State Road Northeasterly along said right-of-way line and a curve to the left having a radius of 5,779.58 feet, a chord bearing and distance of North 71°56'58" East 684.96 feet; thence along the arc of said curve a distance of 685.36 feet; thence continue along said right-of-way North 68°33'08" East a distance of 381.15 feet; thence continuing along said right-of-way line North 68°35'45" East a distance of 1,067.00 feet; thence South 00°01'19" West a distance of 1,096.12 feet; thence South 00000'38" East a distance of 3,473.69 feet; thence North 89°55'55" West a distance of 2,097.29 feet to the West boundary line of said Section 8; thence North 01°04'30" East along said West boundary a distance of 1,030.84 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING. Containing 60.05 acres.

PARCEL B:

Township 25 South, Range 22 East Section 32

The Southeast 1/4 of the Southwest 1/4 of said Section 32, Township 25 South, Range 22 East in Pasco County, Florida.

ALSO

The South $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Northeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Southwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of said Section 32.

LESS

That part thereof within any railroad right-of-way.

Containing 197.00 acres.