Meredith E. Mays Senior Regulatory Counsel

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0750

April 29, 2003

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó
Division of the Commission Clerk and
Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 020507-TL (FCCA Complaint)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Continuance and/or Rescheduling, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Meredith E. Mays

Enclosure

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Nancy B. White

489178

DOCUMENT NO MEER - CATE

03918 APR 298

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 020507-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Electronic Mail and U.S. Mail this 29th day of April 2003 to the following:

Patricia Christensen
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service
Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
pchriste@psc.state.fl.us

Vicki Gordon Kaufman (+)
Joseph A. McGlothlin
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-2525
Fax. No. (850) 222-5606
Represents FCCA
vkaufman@mac-law.com
imcglothlin@mac-law.com

Nanette Edwards, Esq. (+)
Director - Regulatory
ITC^DeltaCom
4092 S. Memorial Parkway
Huntsville, AL 35802
Tel. No. (256) 382-3856
Fax. No. (256) 382-3936
nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

Floyd Self, Esq. (+)
Messer, Caparello & Self
215 S. Monroe Street
Suite 701
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Represents ITC^DeltaCom
fself@lawfla.com

Meredith E. Mays (LA)

(+) Signed Protective Agreement

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of the Florida)	
Competitive Carriers Association)	Docket No. 020507-TI
Against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.)	
And Request for Expedited Relief)	Filed: April 29, 2003
)	

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND/OR RESCHEDULING

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.460, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") files this Motion for Continuance seeking an order from the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") continuing and/or rescheduling the hearing in the above-listed case. On April 9, 2003, this Commission issued Order No. PSC-03-0476-PCO-TL ("Order") setting the hearing in this docket for August 6, 2003. Since the time that the Commission issued its Order, BellSouth has attempted to adjust its witnesses schedules to accommodate this hearing. Despite BellSouth's efforts, two of its witnesses – Mr. John A. Ruscilli and Mr. Bill Smith – have conflicts with the August 6, 2003 hearing date that cannot be adjusted. Because BellSouth's defense of this case would be jeopardized without the live testimony of these witnesses, BellSouth respectfully requests that the hearing in this docket be either continued or rescheduled.¹

II. **DISCUSSION**

The hearing in this case was originally scheduled for January 30, 2003. BellSouth filed a Motion to continue the January 30, 2003 hearing due to a discovery dispute – this Commission

¹ It is BellSouth's understanding that while the FCCA may agree to a very short continuance of this hearing, the FCCA would object to rescheduling the hearing until the end of September 2003, which is the first available hearing date that does not conflict with BellSouth's witnesses schedules. BellSouth has not been successful in discussing this matter with ITC^DeltaCom; thus, BellSouth does not know whether ITC^DeltaCom has any objection to this motion.

had granted, in part, BellSouth's Motion to Compel discovery, and the FCCA sought reconsideration of that Order. Because BellSouth had not received discovery necessary to present its defense in this case, it had no alternative than to seek a temporary delay in the hearing. The hearing was rescheduled to April 16, 2003 (this date was later changed to April 22, 2003 on the Commission's own motion). The FCCA and BellSouth filed a Joint Motion to continue the April 22, 2003 hearing as a result of the resolution of the parties' discovery dispute. To date, BellSouth has not received the discovery that it requested last fall, although the parties have reached a resolution, subject to Commission approval, of that dispute. Thus, while the hearing in this case has been postponed, none of the delays were caused by any inaction in prosecuting or defending this case. As a result, BellSouth's request for a continuance based on witnesses' conflicts is reasonable.

This Commission issued an Order on April 9, 2003, granting the parties' Joint Motion for Continuance, which Order is the subject of this Motion. BellSouth appreciates the Commission's willingness to grant the Motion. When the Joint Motion for Continuance was filed, however, the parties' preferred option was to proceed to hearing no later than June 30, 2003, obviously subject to the restrictions of this Commission's calendar. The parties also requested an opportunity to consult with the Commission to avoid previously scheduled commitments.

The Commission granted the Motion and set a new hearing date. The Commission set the new hearing date on its own, indicating that by setting a hearing beyond the June 30, 2003 date requested by the parties there would be "sufficient time to adjust schedules." BellSouth is grateful for the Commission's consideration and has diligently attempted to have the appropriate adjustments made to witness schedules so as to proceed to hearing on August 6, 2003.

Regrettably however, two of BellSouth's witnesses had previously scheduled commitments that conflict with the August 6, 2003 hearing date, which commitments cannot be adjusted. BellSouth witness John A. Ruscilli is scheduled to testify before the North Carolina Utilities Commission in an arbitration proceeding, which hearing was scheduled prior to the Commission's issuance of its Order. BellSouth witness Bill Smith has a family commitment, with travel outside of the country scheduled for the entire week of August 4 – August 8. Mr. Smith's travel arrangements were scheduled on a nonrefundable basis prior to the time the Commission issued its Order. BellSouth remains willing and able to reschedule the hearing to occur earlier than August 6, 2003 with input into the precise date; however, it is BellSouth's understanding that the restrictions of this Commission's calendar prevent an earlier hearing. In the event the Commission's calendar changes, BellSouth remains committed to work with the Commission and parties of record to schedule an alternative hearing date.

Because BellSouth would be prejudiced in the presentation of its case without the live participation of witnesses Ruscilli and Smith, BellSouth asks that the Commission continue and/or reschedule the hearing that is scheduled for August 6, 2003. BellSouth witness John Ruscilli is BellSouth's primary policy witness, and his knowledge of both company and regulatory policy relating to the issues in this proceeding is unique, and cannot be adopted by another BellSouth employee. BellSouth witness Bill Smith is a BellSouth officer, and he has primary responsibility over decisions concerning broadband investment, deployment, and strategy. No other BellSouth employee can express Mr. Smith's knowledge, experience, nor opine with authority as to broadband investment matters — which matters BellSouth desires to present to this Commission through live witness testimony.

BellSouth respectfully requests that the parties and the Commission work cooperatively to reschedule the August 6, 2003 hearing to a mutually agreeable date for all parties. Due to pending arbitration proceedings throughout its region, a number of hearings have been scheduled to take place within the upcoming months that require the live testimony of one or more of BellSouth's witnesses in this case, and, in the event the Commission grants this Motion and sets a new hearing date *sua sponte* similar scheduling conflicts could occur.

III. CONCLUSION

BellSouth acknowledges the restrictions of this Commission's calendar and concomitant challenges in setting hearing dates. The challenges faced by the Commission are challenges that BellSouth shares, as it is subject to regulation within eight other states as well as at the federal level. In this instance, because BellSouth's employees have both professional and family commitments that conflict with this Commission's Order, BellSouth is placed in the precarious circumstance of either proceeding to hearing without crucial witnesses or having to burden this Commission by seeking its indulgence in resetting a hearing that has been delayed as a result of now resolved discovery disputes. Because BellSouth has been unable to adjust the schedules of two of its witnesses, and since BellSouth's presentation of its case would be prejudiced without the live testimony of these witnesses, BellSouth respectfully requests that the Commission grant its Motion for Continuance and/or Rescheduling.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of April 2003.

NANCY B. WHITE

JAMES MEZA

c/o Nancy Sims

Suite 400

150 South Monroe Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(305) 347-5558

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY

MEREDITH E. MAYS

Suite 4300, BellSouth Center 675 W. Peachtree Street, N.E.

Atlanta, GA 30375

(404) 335-0761

COUNSEL FOR BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

488946