

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
LILA A. JABER, CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
BRAULIO L. BAEZ
MICHAEL A. PALECKI
RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
HAROLD A. MCLEAN
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

February 17, 2003

James Beasley
Ausley & McMullen Law Firm
P. O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

STAFF'S 1ST DATA REQUEST

RE: Docket No. 011333-EU - Petition of City of Bartow to Modify Territorial Agreement or, in the Alternative, to Resolve Territorial Dispute with Tampa Electric Company in Polk County.

Dear Mr. Beasley:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Tampa Electric Company (TECO or utility) please provide responses to the following data requests:

1. Please provide a single composite exhibit based on the City of Bartow's Production of Document Number "BAR-0117". Please include on the composite exhibit the following:
 - a) Pole line distance(s) from TECO's proposed facilities to serve Old Florida Plantation (OFP) to the substation(s) from which TECO proposes to serve Old Florida Plantation. Please include the name of the substation(s).
 - b) The existing capacity of the substation transformer that is planned to serve Old Florida Plantation.
 - c) The highest peak load recorded on the substation transformer that is planned to serve Old Florida Plantation.
 - d) Estimated capacity of the substation transformer that is planned to serve Old Florida Plantation at full build out.
 - e) Estimated peak loading of the substation transformer that is planned to serve Old Florida Plantation at full build out.
 - f) Existing electric retail service area boundary.


DOCUMENT NUMBER - 0215
04067 MAY -68
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

James Beasley
Page 2
February 17, 2003

- g) Proposed new electric retail service area boundary.
- h) Estimated total cost for all planned retail electric distribution facilities to serve Old Florida Plantation at full build out.
2. Would a territorial boundary line bisecting the OFP property following the primary entrance road into OFP and then crossing a conservation area to Lake Hancock result in lower construction costs to both the City of Bartow and TECO than the current territorial boundary line? Please explain.
 3. Would a territorial boundary line bisecting the OFP property following the primary entrance road into OFP and then crossing a conservation area to Lake Hancock result in higher reliability and better customer service to future customers served by both the City of Bartow and TECO than the current territorial boundary line? Please explain.
 4. Would a territorial boundary line modification following the OFP property such that the City of Bartow was obligated to service the entire OFP property result in lower construction costs, higher reliability and better customer service to future customers than the current territorial boundary line? Please explain.
 5. Would a territorial boundary line modification following the OFP property such that the TECO was obligated to service the entire OFP property result in lower construction costs, higher reliability and better customer service to future customers than the current territorial boundary line? Please explain.

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by March 19, 2003, with Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6183 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


Adrienne E. Vining
Attorney

AEV/jb

cc: Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Division of Economic Regulation (Breman, D.Lee, Redemann)