1 2	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
3		DOCKET NO. 000824-EI	
4	In the Matter of:		
5	REVIEW OF FLORIDA PO	OWER	
6	CORPORATION'S EARNINGS, INCLUDING EFFECTS OF PROPOSED ACQUISITION OF		
7	FLORIDA POWER CORPOR CAROLINA POWER & LIC	RATION BY GHT.	
8	FLECTRONI	C VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE	
9	A CON	C VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE VENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT	
10	THE OFF.	ICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, ERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.	
11	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE	
12		ITEM NO. 9**	
13	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN LILA A. JABER COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER BRAULIO L. BAEZ	
14			
15		COMMISSIONER RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY COMMISSIONER CHARLES M. DAVIDSON	
16		SOURCES II. BATTESON	
17	DATE:	Tuesday, May 20, 2003	
18	TIME:	Commenced at 9:40 a.m. Concluded at 9:52 a.m.	
19	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center	
20		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way	
21		Tallahassee, Florida	
22	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Chief. Office of Hearing Reporter	
23		Chief, Office of Hearing Reporter FPSC Division of Commission Clerk Administrative Services	
24		(850) 413-6732	
25			
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DAT	

1	PARTICIPATING:			
2	HAROLD McLEAN, GENERAL COUNSEL, and JENNIFER			
3	BRUBAKER, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard			
4	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on			
5	behalf of the Commission Staff.			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

25

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN JABER: That takes us back to Item Number 9.

__

Commissioners, I wanted to tell you that I have had several requests for deferral on this item. The first one came from the company, I want to say a couple of weeks back, with a couple of dates suggested by the company for rescheduling the matter. And after my aide consulted with your aides, it looked like a couple of Commissioners were not available on the dates that were suggested.

Subsequently I denied the request for a deferral. I have had a second request for a deferral from one of the parties, Mike Twomey. In addition to that, we have had Public Counsel file a motion in limine and motion to strike, and then a recent intervention by the Attorney General, which was granted, I think, by the prehearing officer.

I am giving you all the background, because I really would like some guidance from you on this one. I don't really have strong feelings one way or the other. If I had to decide, I am inclined to allow staff enough time to address the motion in limine and to allow them to notice that item and maybe even have oral argument, but I would like to hear from the Commissioners.

Commissioner Davidson?

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Thank you, Chairman. That would be my inclination, as well. While it would be nice to

get this case resolved, it has been on the docket for awhile, I think it is important to give the intervenors and Mr. Twomey time to do what they feel they need to occur. And I also think it is important to allow staff to have time to address this motion in limine, which may have a meaningful impact on the outcome of the case.

I think in the long-run, deferring this is not going to burden Florida ratepayers at all. Because whatever the outcome is, it will have a retroactive effect. So the customers in the state won't be short-changed. I have no problem at all with a deferral. And, of course, I'm available for any date that fits within the Commissioners' calendar, except for one day this month, the 30th.

MR. BRADBURY: Commissioner Bradley.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: I would agree with Commissioner Davidson. However, I would also like to throw out some other thoughts or concepts for consideration. You know, the Commission really did not have the opportunity to look at all the facts in this case, which in my opinion disadvantages any decision that we might make. And right now it appears as if a negotiated agreement is being highly contested by both parties.

I think that it might be more prudent for this Commission to just dissolve the agreement altogether, and to schedule this matter for a full blown rate case so that we can

do discovery, take testimony, and decide the merits of the case. Or if the Commission so wishes, one other option would be to send this matter, since it is a contractual disagreement in my opinion, send this matter over to the appropriate court to decide the merits of the contract that is in dispute. Just some thoughts from me.

But I will go along with Commissioner Deason's wishes, if my fellow Commissioners want to take that route. But I think that this matter needs to either be given full consideration or be sent to the appropriate venue, and I think that venue is the court system.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Deason.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me just -- I will go ahead and pipe in at this time and maybe have a little bit of a different opinion. I certainly respect the positions just indicated by my fellow Commissioners, but my position is different. I am prepared to go forward today. It is my preference to go forward today. This matter has been pending for some time. We have had the benefit of the petition and the response.

We have had the benefit of a staff recommendation. I know that there has been some motions filed here very recently. I have reviewed those this morning before coming down, and I think they are pretty limited in scope. And I think that I feel comfortable going ahead and ruling on those today without

the benefit of delaying this for staff to do any analysis on that.

I do agree with Commissioner Davidson that there is no harm to the ratepayers by deferral. And if that is the wish of the Commission, I am certainly not going to object to it. I just think it is preferable to go ahead and get this matter behind us. And if there is to be an additional refund to customers, have that done. If there is not, go ahead and notify customers that there is not to be an additional refund. But I guess I'm speaking more of preference and my own personal perspective. I am ready to go forward, and that is what I would prefer.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Baez, you had your microphone on, as well?

COMMISSIONER BAEZ: Yes, Madam Chairman. I walked in ready, or at least a couple of days ago, as early as a couple of days ago I was ready to move forward with this. Because like Commissioner Deason, I think that we have had this question, it has been percolating for some time. I would support a deferral, however, for this reason. I think now -- the first request for deferral ran into scheduling problems.

I think what we have before us now is a different situation. We actually have a document that although I have reviewed it, I think we could all benefit from perhaps even oral argument on the motion, because it does impact the actual

recommendation that we have before us.

So I think in order to give us all time to really examine it closely, give staff the opportunity to analyze it and to come back with some form of recommendation, I think it would benefit us all to defer. Although I'm not quite sure what the timelines are, and that was a question that I would put to the chair. What kind of dates are we looking at and what kind of time frame?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes. We have tentatively looked at -- well, let me not say tentatively. There are two days that I know, Commissioners, are available in the very near future. And I am glad you asked that, Commissioner Baez, because it gives me an opportunity to express some real frustration with the parties and how this case has been handled.

The initial difficulty in looking at the first request for a deferral was to -- was because of people's vacation calendar, and one attorney's unavailability. And, you know, while I try to be flexible, and I know I am flexible as it relates to the Commission calendar, our agenda conferences are noticed and on the Internet for a long period of time. And if a company who knows there is a case pending in front of the Commission allows key people to be on vacation, that is their problem, not my problem.

And it makes for a difficult scheduling issue.

because you have to keep in mind -- I also have to keep in mind five Commissioners who have very busy hearing schedules. We have other industries we regulate. And the Commissioners -- I don't want to leave the impression that the Commissioners were unavailable because they had personal issues. No, they were coming back or at a hearing. And you have to keep that in mind.

The other thing that makes this a very frustrating situation is, unlike Commissioner Bradley, I do think we have everything in front of us we need to go forward. I don't want to prejudge the outcome of this, so I don't want to speak to the venue, the appropriate venue. And in saying all of that, there are two days, Commissioner Baez, one that I would like to hold for an oral argument and disposition of the motion in limine. That date is June 30th. It will involve my moving Internal Affairs to the next day, but I think that is acceptable.

The second date is the day I propose we have a special agenda conference to ultimately dispose of the recommendation in front of us, and that is July 9th. And I want to publicly say those dates so that the parties can do whatever it is they need to do to rearrange their schedules and to find attorneys that are available June 30th and July 9th, because requests for deferral will not be looked upon favorably. And I hope I have your support there,

Commissioners. Saying all of that, I recognize we don't have a motion.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Well, one comment, and then a motion. I agree with the Chair. While I was not involved in the dispute that gave rise to the settlement agreement, we do have a negotiated signed contract that I feel it is incumbent upon us to interpret and enforce. I understand the concerns that have been expressed, but we can't punt. I mean, the contract is before us. It arose out of a dispute that the Commission handled, and we have to interpret that.

That said, I would move that we defer this matter to
-- in accordance with the schedule stated by the Chair; oral
argument on the motion in limine to be held on June 30th, and a
special agenda on the dispute itself to be held on June 9th.
And that is my motion.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Davidson, hold onto that motion, because Commissioner Bradley had a question.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Yes. I guess my question is, and I don't disagree with the motion, but to interpret and to enforce without taking further testimony, in my opinion, just necessitates a full blown rate case. I'm trying to figure out how we are going to interpret the contract and how we are going to enforce it without really having all of the facts before us. And that is my only question and my dilemma. But I know that we have a very competent staff, and staff that will work with

all the parties that have a vested interest in this matter. So at this point, I will agree to the motion, but I would just like for the other members to be mindful of my concerns.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you, Commissioner Badley.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Chairman, as an additional new commissioner, can I respond to that question?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Oh, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: And I think it would be helpful, and maybe if I am on base if you all could let me know, or if I am off base. My understanding, Commissioner Bradley, is that the ultimate recommendation and decision on the motion in limine will decide whether or not additional information would be considered. If there is a basis for granting -- if the motion in limine is granted, that would impact, I guess, the scope of the inquiry. And I guess I can't really comment more without going to the merits.

But I think the contract itself resolves the issue of the full blown rate case. But that may be going to the merits, which I guess we will hear on the 9th. But, I mean, I hear the concerns that, you know, we need to understand what is going on, but, again, I think that we have got it here. That is just a comment.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Commissioner Davidson, on your motion, can I get you to add that it would be an oral argument and disposition of the motion in limine? I think that is what

you meant. You said oral argument, but I also want to make clear that we will be voting.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: I will clarify the motion, then. The motion would be that on June 30th the Commission will consider the motion in limine that has been filed, we will hear oral argument and vote on that motion. And then on July 9th, we will consider the underlying motion to enforce the settlement agreement that has been filed by OPC.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Thank you. Well, I think there was already a second. Mr. McLean, before I call it for a vote, I want to be clear to staff that on July 9th I want Public Counsel's motion for enforcement of the settlement to be in a position to be voted on. So if there are any pending motions, you be prepared to include them in the recommendation, but this case will be disposed of on July 9th.

MR. McLEAN: Madam Chairman, on June 30th that oral argument is meant to address the motion --

CHAIRMAN JABER: Motion in limine.

MR. McLEAN: Any pending motions?

CHAIRMAN JABER: Well, you know, let me leave that up to your discretion. If something comes in, I will let you bring it up to us. We have two days available. We have June 30th and, as it stands now, to address the motion in limine. So why don't we cross the bridge when we come to it. But I think it is fair to say you have the flexibility to bring any

motion in front of us on that date.

2 MR. McLEAN: Understood.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: And I was going to add while this is not necessary for the motion, that maybe it should go onto the record that in the event there are other motions that need to be disposed of, my motion would include a recognition of the inherent authority of the chair to schedule procedurally how those motions would be resolved. So that would really be a catch-all to handle any other disputes that come in. The Chair, I believe, has that inherent authority on procedural matters. But I think it is important to recognize it in the context of this, so that we get from none of the parties any additional arguments or motions as to why this should be delayed or put off any further.

MR. McLEAN: Understood. And, Madam Chairman, while I've got my button down, let me point out that we have a number of good faith parties represented by seasoned counsel and the opportunity to settle is always out there.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Yes, I haven't gotten to that point yet. Mr. McLean, the other thing that we should clarify -- you didn't think I was going to let this opportunity go, did you?

MR. McLEAN: I thought you might. I was just reminding you.

CHAIRMAN JABER: And if I haven't said in the last five minutes how frustrated I am about how this is handled, let

me just say it again. Mr. McLean, with respect to the prehearing officer, we do have a prehearing officer in this case, so if there are procedural motions that are more appropriate to go to him, don't forget that, too.

MR. McLEAN: Understood.

CHAIRMAN JABER: Okay. With respect to settlement, looking at positives of every situation, I would hope that parties don't lose an opportunity for today through June 30th and through July 9th to dialogue and understand more fully what the agreement says or what it doesn't say. And I think it is just important to leave it at that. It is just real important to leave it at that.

Commissioners, there was a motion and a second. Commissioner Deason, do you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Before we vote, just let me indicate that I am going to support the motion. I expressed a preference. I still think that it would be the best course of action to get this matter behind us. I feel comfortable going forward. I certainly am capable of counting votes. I don't want there to be any dissent. I think that the plan that has been put forward is certainly workable. I would just encourage everyone to be mindful that we do need to get this behind us. And I think the Chairman has given direction to us, staff, and the parties that this is going to be resolved at a time certain, and I am comfortable with that, so I can support the

1	motion.
2	CHAIRMAN JABER: A motion and a second. All those in
3	favor say aye.
4	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
5	CHAIRMAN JABER: The motion carries unanimously.
6	Item 9 is deferred as articulated by the Commissioners, and
7	that takes us back to Item 4.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	