

CCA Official Filing:
6/4/03*****9:48 AM*****Kay Flynn*****1

ORIGINAL

Kay Flynn

To: Jennifer Brubaker
Cc: John Slemkewicz; Carol Purvis
Subject: RE: 030438-EI - FPUC rate case

Okay. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jennifer Brubaker
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2003 9:47 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: John Slemkewicz; Carol Purvis
Subject: RE: 030438-EI - FPUC rate case

Thanks, Kay. No, I think the filing should be kept as a motion in CMS; it should be addressed over the next few weeks by order of the prehearing officer.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2003 9:44 AM
To: Jennifer Brubaker; Carol Purvis
Cc: John Slemkewicz
Subject: RE: 030438-EI - FPUC rate case

Jennifer, I will print and document-number this e-mail for the docket file and CMS.

Should I also un-mark the filing as a motion in CMS?

Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Jennifer Brubaker
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2003 9:32 AM
To: Carol Purvis
Cc: Kay Flynn; John Slemkewicz
Subject: 030438-EI - FPUC rate case
Importance: High

AUS _____
CAF _____
CMP _____
COM _____
CTR _____
ECR _____
GCL _____
OPC _____
MMS _____
SEC 1
OTH _____

Yesterday I filed an FAW notice of rule waiver in the above-captioned docket, to be delivered to the Department of State today. Staff contacted me this morning to inform me that the company's request is not a rule waiver as contemplated by Chapter 120; rather, this type of request is handled by procedural order of the prehearing officer of the docket.

I am therefore requesting that you *not* deliver the FAW notice to the Department of State today, and further remove the FAW notice from the on-line docket file (or otherwise denote that it was filed in error and not sent to D.O.S.).

Please advise if anything further is needed to process this request or if there are any questions or concerns. Thank you for your attention to this matter; I'm sorry for any inconvenience.

DOCUMENT NUMBER [47]
04954 JUN -4 8
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK