Attorneys for B. Lynn Schopenman, as Trustee of the chapter?
satistics of NorthPolar Communications, Ric., NorthPolar
Communications of Virginia, Inc., and NorthPolar
Informational, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT, 1 1 S S 1 O N
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 1 1 S S 1 O N
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CLERK

In 18

HORTHPOINT COMMUNICATIONS
GROUP, INC., NORTHPOINT
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., NORTHPOINT
COMMUNICATIONS OF VIRGINIA, INC.,
and NORTHPOINT INTERNATIONAL,
INC.,

Date. July 18, 2003
Time 9 30 a.m
Place 215 Pine Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Judge Honorable Thomas E. Carlson

Case No. 01-30127 (Jointly Administered with 01-30125 C7, 01-30126-C7, and 01-30128-C7)

DECLARATION OF E. LYNN SCHOENMANN IN SUFFORT OF JOINT MOTION BY TRUSTEES FOR APPROVAL OF COMPROMISE OF CONTROVERSIES

Chapter 7

I, E. Lynn Schoenmann, declare as follows

- I I on the trustee of the chapter 7 estates of NorthPoint Communications, by:

 ("Communications"), NorthPoint Communications of Virginia, lac ("Virginia") and NorthPoint
 international, in ("Claternational"), and in such especity, I am personally fertilize with such of the
 first stated break to which Localed commentative staffs (I called unon to do so in a court of law.
- Prior to October 23, 2002, I was also the trustee of the chapter 7 estate of NorthPeins
 Communications Group, Inc. ("Group"), the corporate parent of Communications and International
 (Communications, Vargania, International and Group, collectively, the "Debtors")

3 I are informed and believe that the Debtors consist of four selated companies the prior to the commissionment of these bankruptcy cases, were operated as a business enterprise known generally as "NorthPoint." These bankruptcy cases were commenced on January 16, 2001 (M Philises Dist"), fellowing the collapse of a pleased merger with Bell Atlantic Corporation, do business as Verison Communications, and related entitles (collectively, "Verizon"), pursuant to a agreement between Verizon and Group. The bankruptcy cases began under chapter 11 of the Unit States Bankruptcy Code, during which most of the senters' assets were said to AT&T Corporation Following that sale, each of the Debtors' cases was converted to chapter 7 of the Sankruptcy Code.

- 4 During my administration of the Debtorn', I, as trustee of Group's as Communications' estates, pursued breach of contract and fraud causes of action against Verticon, as in 2002, these causes of action were settled and released, with the approval of the Court, in exchange for payment by Vertican to the bankruptcy estates in the amount of \$173,000,000. After payment remaining lices crecumbering the settlement funds and certain other costs. the setates returned approximately \$110,000,000 in not proceeds, without effective among the four estates.
- Because of emerging conflicts between Group's estate and Communications' estate
 with respect to computing claims of entitiement to the net settlement proceeds, it became evident to
 me that the four estates would be best sorved by my continuing as trustee only as to some, but not all,
 of the estates until issues as to allocation and entitlement with respect to the net settlement proceeds,
 or as well as other fastes among the estates, had been recolved. Therefore, on October 23, 2002, it
 resigned as trustee of Group's chapter 7 estate, but remained as trustee of the chapter 7 estates of
 Communications, Virginia and International The United States Trustee speciated an intermi trustee,
 Chartes Sina, as trustee of the Group estate (the "Interna Group Trustee"), and on December 3, 2002,
 a special meeting of creditors was hald to elect a permanent trustee. On December 31, 2002, the
 United States Trustee filed a report confirming the election of Michael M Ozawa as the permanent
 of trustee of the Group estate.
- The comparing claims of the estates to funds and other assets, as well as other lances between the estates, quickly materialized once the estates were separated. On November 16, 2002,

.2. DECLARATION OF B. LYING SCHOMBANNER IN SUPPORT OF HORT MOTE BY TRUSTERS FOR APPROVAL OF CONTROVERS OF CONTROVERSEES

functional party to the underlying marger agreement, inaumuch as Communications, rather than Group, was required to provide all of the substantive obligations and performances under that contract.

-) argued to refuse the Group Trustee's arguments for equitable attended and nubrogation, on three primary bases first, because the actiment funds did not belong to Group, as argued above, nother subrogation not excounted applied; second, those doctiones sid not apply because both Continualizations and Group were primary obligors and beneficiarities of the feasas repaid with settlement precedus, and third, any subrogation or expension claims asserted by Group, even at otherwise enforceable, were nutrified by the doctions of countries to subordination.
- I contended that under all of the esecuristances of the four Debtors, the only reasonable, practical and principled manner of allocating the Vertage settlement proceeds and other funds of the estate, absent an overly expensive and tume-consuming process that would result in an arbitrary and unfair division of saster, would be to substantively consolidate the four catates and distribute funds on a pronate bass. I contended that under applicable decisional law, the case for substantive consolidation was compelled by the excessive entanglement of the four corporate Debtors and the unfarmess to creditors in recognizing arbitrary devisions among them. The Group Trustee contended that substantive consolidation was not justified under the facts of the case or applicable decisional law, in light of the clear structural distinctions between the corporate emitties and the alleged relisence of creditors upon the acquirectures of those centities, and that the Verison settlement proceeds could be easily silocated between the catates without the precessity of consolidation.
- 8 Both 1 and the through Trustos levestigated and resectance the trongening bases was allegations, herisful depail authoration supportive of our sespective positions, and implicits externance discovery discovery discovery discovery discovery discovery discovery discovery discovery discovers and more than a dozen deposition adoptomas.

BECLARATION OF B. LYNOI SCHOOLSHAME OF SUPPORT OF SORT MOT -Q-

9. On March 6, 2003, the Court issued its scheduling order with respect to the

Consolidation Motion and the Group Opposition. Among other things, with the parties' encouragement, the Court airdeted that the parties engage in assistences negotiations before commencing formal discovery. In addition, the Court directed that an evidentiary hearing of the Consolidation Melion be conducted on June 17, 2003. On or about April 44 and 25, 2003, the Group Trustee filled two separate motions for partial, and them for full, summary judgment (collectively, the "Summary Judgment Motions") with respect to the Consolidation Motion, both of which were scheduled for hearing on May 23, 2003.

- 10. Pursuant to the Court's order, I and the Group Trustee, together with our respective professionals, participated in a mediation sessess before the Honorable Dennes Montali on April 14, 2003 as an attempt to resolve all dispotes between us, whether directly related to the Consolidation Motion or otherwise. Although that session did not result immediately in a consequent resolution of such disputes, subsequent discussions between us and our coursel produced a tentative settlement, subject to approval by the Court. Accordingly, on May 8, 2003, the Court issued an order respending Utigation of the Consolidation Motion, and, in particular, removing from the Court's calendar the May 23, 2003 hearing of the Seammary Judgment Motions and the June 17, 2003 bearing of the Consolidation Motion, and postpoxing all scheduled depositions and discovery deadlines, panding further order of the Court
- 11. I and the Group Trustee, with our counsel, have now documented our proposed compromise, in the form of a written settlement agreement entitled "Settlement Agreement Petwoon NorthFoint Trustoes (All Disputes, Including Consolidation, Subrogation And Allocation)," a copy of which is statched begin as Subbit "A."
- 12. I believe that the proposed Settlement Agreement is in the best learnest of my respective estates. I believe that all four Debtor' estates will benefit from the avoidance of eignificant experies and considerable delay that would attend continued itigation of the issues now ponding between me and the Group Trustee. In addition, I believe that the avoidance of risks of loss tolkerent in continued lifegation faither justifies the seems of saturement.
- 13. Prom the perspective of the Communications celete, the settlement terms will hirely result in an outcome more favorable than a less in Hitigation, although not as favorable as a full

DOCLARATION OF B. CYTHI SCHOOLANCE ON MUNICIPAL OF SOUTH MOTION
BY TRACETEES FOR APPROVAL OF COMPROMISE OF CONTROVERSIES
WHAT DOC

because the solitement stemmed primarily from a breach of contract cause of action
asserted by Group, as the only party to the merger agroement with Version.

The Group Truston further contended that he was entitled to all other assets and fund
of the Communications estate as well, including say Vertice partitional proceed
discable to the Communications estate, up to the approximate amount of \$48,000,000
under the doctrines of subregation and equilable exoneration, based on the argument
that a persion of Verticen settlement proceeds had been utilized to repay lease of which
communications was the primary obligor and Group was only a guaranter.

Communication was the primary obligor and Group was only a guaranter.

I contended that a significant portion of the Verticen settlement proceeds belonged to

or example, the interim Group Trustee filed a proof of claim against the estate of Communic

substantive consolidation of the estates of all four Debtors (the "Consolidation Mo Pobrusary 24, 2003, the Group Trustes filed a greenersadum in opposition (the "Group On

esking payment of an amount in excess of \$48,000,000 based upon a theory of subrogation (the

a Claim") On December 16, 2002, I, as Communications' trustee, filed a mo

The larges raised in the Consolidation Motion, the Group Opposition and later briefit
filed by me and the Group Trustee is suspent of our respective positions centered primarily around

actitions on helonged to the Group estate, rather than the Communications estat

zesciution of the fauel claim asserted by Communications and not by Group.

• I also boniemied that even if the Verizon settlement proceeds derived largety from the breach of control cause of action meeted against Verizon, those funds belonged primarity to the Communications estate constitutes, because while Group was the

only nominal plaintiff asserting the breach of contract cause of action.

Communications was the de facto plaintiff with respect to that cause of action, and the

the Communications estate, rather than the Group estate, because they derived from

BECLARATION OF IL LYPIN SCHOOLBERAND IN SUPPORT OF AGENT MOTION BY TRUSTEES FOR APPROVAL OF COMPRESSION OF CONTROVERSIES.

nuccess in that Bilgation If, for example, the Cossolidation Modion were denied and the Group

Trains's position as to subregation, exontration and allocation were sustained, Communications'
general sussecured creditors would receive an distribution at all, nor would priority claims or unpaid
chapter II expenses be paid to any extent. Alternatively, if the Codebuildation Motion were grasted,
according to my present estimates, all administrative and practy citains would be paid in full as
distributions upon altowed wascoured claims would be requiply equal to between 16% and 19% of
allowed amounts (depending upon the outcome of claims reviews and altowances)

14. Under the settlement, on the other hand, assuming available funds of approximately
9 \$30,500,000.00 (after payment of \$86,000,000 to the Group Trustee and resolution of outstanding
0 claims brandling the estates), priority and administrative claims of roughly \$20,000,000.00 and
1 general unsecured claims between \$60,000,000.00 and \$130,000,000 Or, presently estimate that the
0 concerns will be as follows: all allowed priority and administrative claims, including all ungast
10 concerns will be as follows: all allowed priority and administrative claims, including all ungast
13 chapter \$11\$ expenses, will be pead in full, and distributions to Communications' general unsecured
14 concerns will intelly be in the range of \$1.1% to \$17.3% of allowed emounts. Assuming the middle of
15 that range is settlement, or approximately \$12.8%, as compared to the middle of the estimated range in
16 the erveral of full success, \$17.8%, it view the histay result of settlement, being roughly 72% of the
17 centimated outcome in the event of complete bitgation success, to be first and reasonable under the
18 circumstances of these cases. Particularly given the prespect of much earlier and less expensive
19 resolution of the estator' claims and assets, I believe that the estimated settlement outcome I
10 algorithment of the estator' claims and assets, I believe that the estimated settlement outcome I
10 algorithment outcome I

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Ils couled on the day of June, 2003 at Sm Francisco, California.

DOCUMENTALE DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

__

AUS CAF

CMP COM

CTR

ECR

GCL

OPC

MMS

SEC

OTH NEW YOR

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN NORTHPOINT TRUSTEES

This Stitlement Agreement Between NorthFoint Truscos (All Disputes, including Consolidation, Subragation and Allocation) (this "Agreement") is entered into as of May 28, 2003 by (a) E. J.yan Schoeminans, as the struster (the "Communication Truscos") of the chapter ratiots of NorthFoint Communications, inc. "Communications", NorthFoint Communications of Virginia, Ioc. "Chipman"), and NorthFoint Laiernaideost, Inc. "Caternations"); and ShorthFoint Laiernaideost, Inc. "Caternations"); and ShorthFoint Laiernaideost, Inc. "Caternations"); and ShorthFoint Trustees and together with the Communications Trustee, the "NorthFoint Trustees" and together with the Communications. Group, Inc. ("Group"), based upon the following

- A. On January 16, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Group, Communications, Verginia and intermitional (collectively, the "Debtors") sech filled with the United States Baskraper, Continuous, San Francisco Verleien (the "Court"), a evolunizary petition for rebof under the provisions of chapter 11 of the United States Baskrapety Code, and an order for relief was entered to such of rock Debters' chapter 11 cases on that Court of the Court o
- B. On June 12, 2001, the Court ordered the convention of cach of the Debtor' chapter il cases to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, and E. Lynn Schommann was appointed as the trustee of the chapter 7 estates of each of the Debtors
- C On October 23, 2002, Ms Schoenpann resigned as trustee of Group's chapter 7 estate, but remained trustee of the chapter 7 setates of Communications, Virgins and Internstroats The United States Trustee appointed an interns trustee, Charles Siras, as trustee of the Group critice the "Interfit Organ Frustee", and on December 3, 2002, a special meeting of creditors was held to elect a permanent trustee. On December 31, 2002, the United States Trustee Biola expert confirming the election of Michael M Ozawa su the permanent trustee of the Group state. On January 7, 2003, the United States Trustee Biola on the Group state.
- D On November 26, 1003, the Interim Group Trustee filed a proof of claim against the catate of Communications, seeking payment of an amount in excess of \$48,000,000 based upon a theory of subrogation (the "Subrogation Claim")
- E The deadlines for the filing of all proposition general unsecured claims against each of the Dobions' estates expired prior hereto. Prior to such deadlines, proofs of general unsecored claims have been filted against all estates in an aggregate amount in secrets of \$12 billion. Of that amount, approximately \$214.744.014 of claims (not of displications) arise out of certain 12 7785/8 Senior Subsidianted Notes Due 2010 (the "Notes") issued by Group on befoury \$2, 0000 in the aggregate amount of \$40,000,000.000 (collectively), the "Note Claims"). Those claims, antung from the aforementoned boad issuances, are direct, written, confriends obligations of Group. The indensitue trustee speciated with respect to such bond issuances, pursuant to an Indensiture dated as of February \$, 2000, is The Bank of New York (the "Indensitue Trustee").

ı

solely of the Group estate, Michael M. Ozawa shall remain the chapter 7 trustee of Group for the purpose of administering such assets and thehituse in conformity with the terms of this Agsenient. Upon the full disbursement by the Group Dississ of the \$85,000,000 (plus any interest secured thereon after payment by the Communications Trustop pursuant to passgraph 3 interest secreted factors after payment by the Communication interest section in accordance with the rules applicable thereto. Without limiting the georathy of any of the foregoing (1) enther the Consolidated Estain, nor the trustes thereof, nor any of the fund or other assets consolidated within Case No 01:30123-CT, that he litable or subject to any of the claims. habilities or obligations described in paragraph 6 below to any extent, and (5) notice the Group related, nor the Group France, nor the Group France, nor any of the \$86,000,000 as funds to be disbursed by the Group Trantee, and the labilities or obligations that are subject to any of the claims, liabilities or obligations that are subject to substantive consolidation within Care No. 01-30125-C7

- 6. <u>Disturmental By Group Trustes</u> Disturments shall be made by the Group Trustee, solely from the funds not held in the Consolidated Estate, only for the following
 - A For full payment or reserve on account of my and all federal, state or local income tax habilities of any of the Dobtom for the year 2002, subject to the provisions of pleagraph. To below (the Group Trustoe shall have sells and complete authority and discretion with respect to the preparation, filing, handling, negotiation and tripstom of any matters critising to such act liabilities, prevised that the Group catale hold the Communications Trustoe, the Consolidated Exate and all consolidated assets harmless, and undermoty the same, from any such tax liabilities).
 - B For full payment or reserve, not to exceed the sum of \$110,000, on account of any corporate taxes owed by Chrosp to the State of Dalasware for any period of time prior to the Effective Data, subject to the provisions of paragraph on 7 below, provided that the Group Teutor's obligation to pay any such corporate taxes that look exceed the amount of \$150,000, and any taxes payable in recesse of such amount shall be the responsibility of the Consolidated Estate,
 - C For full payment or meanwe of all fees and expenses allowed in favor of the Interfum Group Trustee or the Group Trustee, as well as each of their respective statements and other professionals or replacements of collectively, which the Interful Group Trustee and the Group Trustee, the "Group Trustee Professionals", as allowed by the Court pursuant to the provisions of Sectional 327 at say, of the United States Backuppet Code, public to the provisions of pagagraph or 7 below, provided that the smount of such reserve for payment of such fees and expenses shall be elemented in asol discretion of the Group Trustee with the concent of the Group Trustee Professionals shall not be entitled to each payment of any smouths owed to any of them from the Coursolidated Estate, the trustee thereof or assets therein.
 - For full payment or reserve of all fees and expenses allowed in favor of the Indenture Trustee, as well as its respective alloracys, accountants and other professionals or representatives (collectively, with the Indenture Trustee, the "Indenture

- F On December 16, 2002, the Communications Trustee filed a motion seeking substantive consolidation of the estates of all four Debren (the "Councilidation Motion"). On February 24, 2003, the Group Trustee filed a memorand
- G. Issues raised in the Consolidation Median, the Group Opposition and later brish-filed by such trustee in support of their respective positions lacitede: (a) the propriety of inheranture consolidation, (b) the allocation emony the Poblaton's states of centum floats derived from a prior settlement (the "Version Settlement") with Bell Atlantic Corporation, doing humonas as Version Commanications, and related companies; and (c) arguments and claums asserted by the Group Trustou outlet the doctrimen of aconvention and subrogation.
- H. On March 6, 2003, the Court issued its acheduling order with respect to the Consolidation Motion and the Group Opposition. Among other things, with the parties encouragement, the Court ordered that the parties engage in settlement negotiations before commercing formal discovery. In addition, the Court directed that all discovery and beforing commerce after April 3, 2003, and that as evidentially bearing of the Consolidation Motions to
- I On or about April 14 and 25, 2003, the Group Trustee filed two expanse motions, unitally seeking partial saturancy judgment and subsequently seeking full automaty judgment, with respect to the Crossilitation Modon (collectively, the "Surenays Judgment Motionses"), both of which were abbedued for hearing on May 23, 2001. In addition, both trustees initiated discovery requirest, and noticed multiple depositions during the month of May 2003.
- J Permant to an order of the Court dated April 3, 2003, the Court acheduled a J remains to an order of the Court dated April 3, 2003, the Court schedulers formal stellars conference before the Honoreable Densis Mentals, and on April 14, 2003 the NorthFolia Trustees and their respective professionals appeared before Judge Montals as fall days telliforent conference in an attempt to resolve all disputes between them, whether directly related to the Consolidation Motion or otherwise. Although that is easien did not seall immediately an a consciousal resolution of such disputes, the NorthFourt Trustees made immediately in a concensual resolution of saken disputes, the renorational relations are substantial property during the settlement conference, and settlement discussions continued between the NorthPoint Trustees subsequent to the completion of the settlement conference. As a result of the settlement conference and those subsequent negations on, the NorthPoint Trustees have now reached a settlement appreciately the terms and conditions of which are set forth in that
- K On May 8, 2003, at the request of the parties hereto and in order to facilitate such (urther discussion, the Court tessed an order neuproduce hitsgates across and in over the Court feature, and, in particular, removing from the Court's extender the May 21, 2003 hearing of the Summary Judgment Motions and the June 17, 2003 hearing of the Consolidation Motion, and staying all scheduled discovery (including depositions), proving further order of the Court
- L At present, the Communications Trustee is in possession of funds in the approximate amount of \$112,000,000, with respect to which both the Group Trustee said the Communications Trustee claim ownership and childrened in a addition, the Communications

2

Trustee Professionals"), subject to the provisions of paragraph no 7 below, provided that the Indenture Trustee Professionals shall not be entitled to seek payment of any amounts owed to any of them from the Consolidated Estate, the trustee therof or assots therein;

- E. After full payment or reserve for all anyounts described in subparagraphs (AA), (B), (C) and (D) above, any remaining presends shall be distributed to the indenture Traities, for per rate apparents by the indenture Traities on account of Note Chains, subject to the provinces of paragraph 9 below, provided that outlier the indeciduler Traities on any obtained and any of the indenture Traities of a Note Chain shall be entitled to seek payment four the Constituted Eddate, the traities thereof or any assess shapping on account of any Note Chains to any extent, and shall instead be entitled to payment therefor only from funds held by the indenture Trustee.
- 7 Certain Parments And Reserves By Group Trustees. The Group Trustee shall make no payments or other disbursements or transfers pursuant to subparagraph no 6(C) hereinabove unless and until the Group Trustee has fully paid all smoomst claimed or asserted. the extent described in subparagraphs nos. 6(A), (B), (C) or (D) becomeshove, or has established full cash reserves for such claims, upon notice to the Communications Trustee and each affected to the cast reserves for such courts, upon pouce to the communications frustee and which distinguishing, each in an amount that is (a) so less than an apount determined by the Court to fully satisfy all possible payment requirements with respect to such claimant; or, (b) in the observe of such a determination, the full amount asserted by each such affected claimant to be owing.
 - 8 Untentionally Omitted)
- 9 Termination of the Indentiur. The Indentius shall terminate as of the Effective Date except as recessary its administer the rights, clasms, them and other Interests of the Indentius Trustes as to finding paid to the Indentius' Trustes and other Interests of the Indentius Trustes as to may rights, claims, here of other interests that might observes be asserted against the Communications Trustes, the Consorbated Estate, the trustes thereof or any assets therein), as applicable, indentical Trustes, the Consorbated Estate, the trustes thereof or any assets therein), as applicable Indentius Trustes to receive distributions pursant to this Agreement safe applicable Indentius Trustes and its relativistic them under the oppicitable Indentius to Notebookers. The Indentium's crossly with respect to the payments required to be used to the Indentity Trustes when the proposal Orders or with respect to such other rights of the Indentity and the Agreement of the Approval Orders or with respect to such other rights of the Indentity of the Indentity and the Indentity of the Indentity of the Indentity and the Indentity of the Indentity and the Indentity of the Indentity of the Indentity and the Indentity of the Indentity of the Indentity and the Indentity of Indent
- 10. <u>Mutual General Release</u>. As of the Effective Data, for good and valuable consideration, the recept and sufficiency of which is hereby school-sidegad, the Group Trustee and the Communications Trustee, each no behalf of humself and beared and their respective.

Trustee holds other assets, including without limitation avoidance claims under the provisions of ron 547 of the United States Bankrupicy Code

M The parties' discussions have resulted in a full, consentual resolution of all disputes between the Group Trustee and the Communications Trustee upon the terms and conditions are forth below, without casceding the positions, allegations, defense and other

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD AND VALUABLE CONSIDERATION RECEIVED AND HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED, EACH OF THE PARTIES HERETO AGREES, PROMISES, COVENANTS, REPRESENTS, WARRANTS AND STIPULATES M follows

- 1. Recitals. Each of the foregoing recitals forms a material part of this Agreement
- 2. Court Apaparal The effectiveness of this Agraement is expressly conditioned upon the entity of an order or cardem (collectively, the "Agraement last expressly conditioned upon the entity of each of the Debton, pursuant to the provisions of Rule viol 1919(s) of the Court in the Rules of Bashrupicy Procedure, approving this Agreement and all terms set forth herein, and below. The parties herein each is considered to the provision of the Procedure, approving the Agreement as set in Contin in paragraphs 3, 3 and 6 below. The parties herein shall become refictive on the date (the "Effective Date") that is the eleventh of the Procedure of Date") that is the eleventh of the Procedure of Date") that is the eleventh of the Procedure of Date of the Procedure Date") that is the eleventh of the Procedure of Date of the Procedure Date of Date of the Date of the Procedure Date of the Procedure Date of the Procedure (11°) day following entry of the Appearal Ordens or such tater date as may be ordered by the Court or mutually acceptable in writing to the parties hereto
- Payment to Group Trustees. On the Effective Date, the Communications Trustee shall pay to the Group Trustee, for the benefit of the Oroup estate, the sum of eighty-six million dollars (\$86,000,000), which sum shall be distributed by the Group Trustee in the manner sec
- 4 <u>Withdrawel of Subrogation Claim</u> As of the Effective Date, and expressly contingent upon receipt by the Droup Trustee of the sum of \$86,000,000 as act forth in paragraph 3 above, the Group Trustee shall be deemed to have fully and finally withdrawn the Subrogation
- 5 Substantive Consolidation of Assets and Liabilities As of the Effective Date, and contingent upon receipt by the Group Trustes of \$16,000,000 as set forth in paragraph 3 shows, all of the seases and liabilities of the four Debtors, except as expressly set forth in this paragraph 3, shall be exhibited the Consolidated within Case No. 0, 1-0123-CT, and E. Lynn Schoensmann shall serve as chapter 7 trustee of the consolidated assets and fiabilities in such case (the Consolidated State). Only the following assets and tisabilities of the Debtors shall not be subject to substantive corresolutation, and shall be and remain assets and liabilities lookly of the Orque strates, 1) the \$16,000,000 paid to the Group Trustee shall make the disburnaments of (2) such of the Calima and Intelhites for which the Group Trustee shall make the disburnaments described to paragraph 6 below. With respect to the assets and highlight that are not substantively consolidated pursuant to this paragraph 5 and that are to be assets and highlights.

Debtors and estates, beneficiaties, successors and assigns, shall and hereby do absolutely, Debtors and estatics, peneblearies, sectioned and forever discharge cache other, their respective cutacts, benefitiants, and renorcables, release and forever discharge cache other, their respective cutacts, benefitiants, auccessors and sangus and my age to the communications Trustee (the activities or or prosensitative) of the Orange Trustee and Trustee the Release T.) of and from all demands, actions, causes of section, such contrastic, contrastic, contrastic, contrastic, activates, and of the orange country and all other claims, counterclaims, defenses, my and all other claims, counterclaims, defenses, my of the description of set-off, demands and biblittees whatever of very lind and analize relating to the Debtorp or the dimmunication of any of the whatsoever of every kind and anter relating to the Debterg or the infimitivation of any of the Debtera's businepic cases or catalast, whother homeon or tuthcones, suspected or unsuspected, both at law and in equity, which such cidealing party may now or breasther hold, have or claim to have a gazant the Refeases, or any of them, from the beginning of time until the date of this Agreement, including without himitation the Group Opposition, the Summary Judgment Motions, and Submary business Cidian and say which in the Group Trustee or the Communications Trustee might otherwise useen with respect to funds or other sector in the potential or defended Anomery, that prohifing in this sector is the potential or of the Communications Trustee might otherwise. release shall in any way telease, ducharge or relieve any of the Releasees from any of the parties' obligations, claims, coverants or agreements made or properted by the express terms of this

11. Acknowledsment and Waver Bach of the releaning parties, with respect to the release set forth hercinabove, understand, acknowledge and agree that said release may be pleaded by any of the Releasese as a full and complete defense and may be produced by rach Releases as a best for an injunction spannt any serion, said or claim or other proceeding which may be instituted, prosecuted or valentingies in breach of the provinces of such release. Each of the creaming parties breefly schowledge that it is familiar with Section 1943 of the Civil Code of 196 States Of California, and any smiller federal or state gratual, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS PAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY APPECIED THIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE

Each releasing party baseby waives and relinquishes any right or benefit which it has or may have under seld Socioles 1542 of the Cavil Code of the State of California or any similar provision of the statetory or non-estation; have of any other juntation on whit respect to the releases granted berunder. In consection with such welver each relinquishment, each releasing party section-violeges that it is sware that it or its alterney or agent may hereafter discover facts as addition to or different from those which it now known or bulleview to gaint with respect to the accurate of this release of this Agreement, but that it is each releasing party's intention bareby to settle and release fully, finally and forever all claims, disputes and differences, known or unknown, suspected or encouperied, as set forth herentbove, notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts

12 No Admission Of Liability This Agreement is not intended to, and does not, constitute any admission or evidence of any liability whatsoever by either of the parties hereto with respect to any of the matters relicated herounder, and shall not be construed, offered or

received in evidence as an admission or connection of any liability or wrongdoing by either of them with respect to any of the matters released hereunder.

- 13. <u>Bindung Effect</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inner to the benefit of, each of the parties hereto and its respective successors and assigns, including any successor trustee appointed in any of the Debtors' bankrupacy cases.
- 14 <u>Countements.</u> This Agreement may be executed in my number of counterparts, but all such counterparts shall together countfluits but one said the same Agreement. In making proof of this Agreement, it shall not be necessary by produce or account for more than one counterpart thereof agond by each of the parties thereor. This Agreement may be executed and delivered by telecopy with the same force and effect as if it were a manually executed and delivered counterpart.
- 15 Natices Except as otherwise provided, all polices, requests and demands becaused that be: (a) made to either party herote at its addresses set forth on the agranter pages between or to such other addresses are purply between may designate by written notice to the other parties in accordance with this provision; and (b) dermed to have been given or made as follows: if by hand, unmediately upon delivery; if by teleropy or electronic mail, instendiately upon receipt; if by versuight officery services, immediately upon receipt; and if by first class or certified mail, five (5) days after mailing.
- 16 <u>Authority</u> Each of the persons rigning this Agreement represent and wantant to all parties to this Agreement that he or she has full and reconsite authority to bind each party for whom such person purports to execute this Agreement, and to perform the obligations set forth in this Agreement.
- 17 Further Assurances. The parties hereto agree that they shall, from time to time, exercic and deliver any and all indictional notion supplemental instruments, and do such other exist and things, as may be reasonably necessary or desirable to effect the purposes of that Agreement and the consumentuo of the transactions contemplated hereby
- 18. Merzer This Agreement is the result of a full and complete regoriation at arms length by all parties. No prior drafts or memorande prepared by any parties shall be used to construe or interpret any provision hereoff or of any related document, nor shall any one party hereto be considered the "draftlet" of this Agreement or any related document for purposes of construing the cents, conductor and orbitations are forth herein or therein.
- understanding of the parties with respect to the subject matter beroof and supercoders any one of the parties with respect to the subject matter beroof and supercoders any and all prior agreements and understandings of the parties hereto with respect to the foregroung and this Agreement connot be changed, modified, anothed or terminated except in writing ascented by the parties hereto.

R. LYKN SCHOENMANN, As Trustee of the Estates of Holythyled Communications, Inc., NorthPoint Communications of Virginia, Inc. and NorthPoint International, Inc.

Address: 800 Fowell Street San Francisco, CA 94108 Talephone: (415) 362-0415 Facalmile: (415) 362-0416 Email: Ischoeumann@carthlink.net

With a copy to:

Ideria C. Meyers, Raq Goldberg, Slimestt, Meyers & Davis A Professional Corporation 44 Mostgomery Street, State 2900 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3045 Facsimile: (415) 362-3945 Parasi, meneració-endiase com MICHAEL M. OZAWA Truese of the Erials of North-Pole Communications Group, Inc.

Address: FTI Camphing 433 W. Pitth Street, Buits 1600 Les Angeles, CA 90071 Februaritic (13) 423-4023 Faciantic (13) 434-4098 Beneil: michael-senve@rhoassaking.com

Wish a copy to:

Bidany Levinson, Baq. Hernigan, Bennett & Donnan, LLP 601 South Figurers Street, Seins 1300 Los Aspiña, CA 50017 Telephane: (213) 694-1170 Pastellate: (213) 694-124 Bratil: 1013003 (2004) 124 Bratil: 1013003 (2004) 124

1891 Admi 1894 Admi

35263 4.6m

31365 4 Avc